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Abstract

Objectives: To report the mid-term outcomes and complications of ankle Dias-Tachdjian pronation-eversion external rotation (PEER) physeal fracture in children treat by ORIF with combined medial and lateral incision.

Materials and Methods: A total of 21 children (12 male and 9 female patients with ankle Dias-Tachdjian PEER physeal fracture, underwent open reduction treatment with combined medial and lateral incision between Jan, 2015 and Oct, 2017. The surgical outcomes were assessed by X-ray film after operation. The lateral distal tibia angle (LDTA) was measured to evaluate angular deformity and the X rays was taken to evaluate the premature physeal arrest.

Result: All the patients were followed up for an average time of 20.1 months (ranging from 17 to 25 months). Bone healing was achieved in all cases. American Orthopedic Foot and Ankle Society-Ankle and Hindfoot score (AOFAS-AH) of the patients improved from median 31(11,38) before operation to median 68(63,73) post-operation, median score 91(87,96) at last follow-up. Lateral distal tibia angle (LDTA) of the patients improved from 70.5±4.9 before operation to 90.0±1.2 post-operation, measure 90.6±3.7 at last follow-up. The differences between pre and post operation and the differences between pre-operation and last follow-up in both findings were statistically significant (P<0.05). There is no premature physeal closure, ankle deformity in 19 cases. They could normally exercise and take part in normal sport activities. The remaining 2 cases had physeal bone bridge and premature physeal closure but could still carry on daily activities and thus were categorized as good.

Conclusion: ORIF with one-stage medial-lateral combined incision for ankle Dias-Tachdjian PEER physeal fracture in children can decrease the rate of premature physeal closure and has excellent efficacy for fracture union and the recovery of ankle functions.

Introduction

The physeal fracture is a common type of fractures, accounting for 20% to 30% of all fractures in children. The distal tibial type constitutes 1/3 of physeal fractures [1] and is primarily induced by falling injuries [2]. The most important complication is premature physeal closure-related limb deformity. Incomplete reduction and multiple closed reductions can increase the risk of premature physeal closure. Around 25% of distal tibial physeal fractures are accompanied by fibular fracture. The stable fibula after reduction is beneficial for healing of distal tibial physeal injury and can shorten the healing time of tibial physis [3]. The current treatment strategies include expectant treatment, fixation with external fixator, internal fixation with locked reconstruction plate in combination with Kirschner wire, and fixation with absorbable screw [4,5].

In the present study, we discussed the mid-term outcomes and complications of combined medial and lateral incision for the treatment of ankle Dias-Tachdjian PEER physeal fracture in children. Fracture healing and complications were also assessed via the imaging.
Materials And Methods

Study population

Children with ankle fracture treated at our department between January 2015 and October 2017 were enrolled in the current study. All subjects met the following criteria: 1) ankle Dias-Tachdjian PEER physeal fracture diagnosed by two independent attending physicians, associate chief, or chief physicians reading the film; 2) fracture gap >3mm under Fluroscopy perspective after reductions once or twice under anesthesia and muscle relaxation; and 3) aged<15 years old. A total of 21 patients, 12 males and 9 females with an average age of 10.3 ±1.8 years old (6 to 14 years old) were eligible. There were 13 cases of the right foot injury and 8 of the left foot injury; 12 cases were caused by falling injury and 9 cases by road traffic injury.

Surgical procedures

Placed in the supine position, the patient was given general anesthesia and the fracture end was fixed under the Fluroscopy. Lateral and medial longitudinal incisions were successively made and the skin, subcutaneous tissue and deep fascia were cut to fully expose the fracture ends. Fibular fracture was fixed with the locked reconstruction plate, which was proved rigid under the fluroscopy and direct vision. An incision was made in the medial tibia to remove the curled periosteum in the fracture (Figure 1). After anatomical reduction of the distal tibia physis, the fracture was crossly fixed with the Kirschner wire (1.6mm), which was also rigid under the Fluroscopy. Finally, the wound was rinsed, sutured and dressed.

Data collection

Lateral distal tibia angle (LDTA) in the anterior-posterior radiography pre- and post- operation and during the last-follow up were used as the evaluating indicators, which were measured as the angle of axial line of the tibia with tibia-ankle joint line. American Orthopedic Foot and Ankle Society-Ankle and Hindfoot (AOFAS-AH) were recorded before operation, post operation and the last follow up (Table 1).

Statistical analysis

SPSS was used for statistical analysis. The AOFAS-AH score were expressed as the median(Min, Max). The LDTA degree were expressed as the mean± standard deviation (SD). All measured data during pre and post operation and the last-follow up were compared using the wilcoxon signed rank test. P<0.05 was considered statistically different.

Results
All patients were followed up post operation, with the average follow-up period of 20.1± 2.1 months. Bone union was achieved in all 21 cases and no disunion was seen. AOFAS-AH of ankle function where above 90 stood for excellent, 75–89 for good, 50–74 for fair, and below 50 for poor [5], were used to evaluate the pain, walking, active movement, ankle joint function, stability and deformity. American Orthopedic Foot and Ankle Society-Ankle and Hindfoot score(AOFAS-AH) of the patients improved median 31(11,38) before operation to median 68(63,73) post-operation, median score 91(87,96) at last follow-up. There was a significant difference among the preoperation, postoperative and last follow-up scores (P<.05). A total of 16 cases were categorized as excellent and 5 cases as good. During the last follow up, 19 patients reported normal ankle joint ability and no discomfort while other two patients reported slightly limited activity of ankle joint. Lateral distal tibia angle (LDTA) of the patients improved from 70.5±4.9 before operation to 90.0±1.2 post-operation, measure 90.6±3.7 at last follow-up. The differences between pre and post operation and the differences between pre-operation and last follow-up were statistically significant (P<.05). During the last follow-up, 19 cases had normal Lateral distal tibia angle (LDTA) and no ankle varus whereas two patients, a 13-year old girl and an 11-year old boy, experienced slight ankle varus that did not affect their daily activities. The girl's physeal plate was almost mature and the varus deformity did not worsen. The boy had the growth potential, and the ankle varus progressively aggravated leading to the second surgery, which eventually produced satisfactory effect (Table 1).

Among 21 cases, 2 had pressure ulcer in the heel 7 weeks after removing gypsum, which healed following the change of dressing and antibiotics. Typical cases are shown in Figure 2, 3 and 4.

**Discussion**

The distal tibial physeal fracture is very common in childhood, making up for around 1/3 of all fractures in children [1]. Salter-Harris classification is most widely used for physeal fractures; however, it is limited to ankle fracture. In 1978, Dias and Tachdjian [8] modified Lauge-Hansen classification and grouped the child ankle fractures into four subtypes comprising the Salter-Harris classification. PEER fracture is the typical distal tibial Lauge-Hansen I or II fracture complicated with fibular fracture. In the current study, all 21 patients had Salter-Harris II fracture.

Stable distal tibial Salter-Harris II fracture without displacement usually requires the following treatment: short leg or bent long leg plaster fixation for 3–4 weeks followed by plaster cast or walking splint for 2–3 weeks. For unstable displaced Salter-Harris I or II distal tibial physeal fracture, acceptable or suitable reduction are still controversial. Barmada et al [7] made expectant treatment for distal tibial Salter-Harris I and II fractures finding the premature physeal closure in 60% of patients (14/20) with fracture gap >3mm after reduction and 17% of patients (4/24) with fracture gap <3mm. Yet, Park et al [8] found no significantly different effects of operative and nonoperative treatment for Salter-Harris II distal tibial fractures with residual gap of >3 mm. Nevertheless, the authors still considered that surgery might reduce the rate of premature physeal closure for PEER fracture for the high rate of premature physeal closure in this type of fracture, although surgery might not be very effective for patients with residual gap >3mm after reduction [9]. In the present study, we enrolled PEER patients, and conducted open reduction to reduce the rate of premature physeal closure, regardless of residual gap >3mm. From the medial incision
in the tibia, periosteum entanglement was observed in all 21 cases. The periosteum was pulled out and the second reduction was conducted, followed by Kirschner wire insertion to fix the fracture end, and maximally reduce the rate of premature physeal closure. Our results suggest that this type of fracture should be treated by open reduction and periosteum removal to maximally reduce the rate of premature physeal closure.

Fibular PEER fracture has not yet been fully elucidated. Blackburn et al [10] suggested that stable fibular fracture does not require internal fixation, while unstable fibula after reduction just needs closed reduction and internal fixation with Kirschner wire. In the static model of lower limb, Lambert and colleagues [11] found that fibula bore 1/6 of body weight when the ankle joint stood. Strauss et al [12] proved that fibular fixation was helpful for maintenance of tibial reduction. However, Taylor and his team [13] reported that tibial fracture could heal even if fibula was not fixed and fibular fixation had no effect on postoperative tibial line of force. Furthermore, Weber et al [14] reported that fibular fixation could significantly reduce the movement of tibial fracture end for combined tibial and fibular fracture which was not strongly fixed but had no significant advantages for plate or bone nail fixation. In the present study, we use Kirschner wires to fix tibia and we used locked reconstruction plate to fix the fibula, result shows that our fixation partly reducing the residual gap in the physeal fracture of distal tibia and increasing the stability of fracture.

The result demonstrates that both AOFAS-AH score and LDTA improved significantly from pre-operation to post-operation even the patient wearing a cast(P<0.05), the AOFAS-AH score improved from post-operation to last follow-up (P<0.05). The average LDTA maintain improved in last follow-up which indicate that the reduction and fixation bring good mid-term result. In addition, patients could do load-bearing and functional exercises very early after operation. They also benefited from fracture healing and reduction of complications.

One of the early and severe complications of ankle Dias-Tachdjian PEER physeal fracture is osteofascial compartment syndrome. To timely detect and prevent this complication, all patients were asked to raise the diseased limbs in order to observe levels of pain and toe activity. If this complication was suspected, plaster open arthrolysis was conducted.

One of the long-term complications is premature physeal closure, which occurs in up to 50% of PEER fractures as reported by Michael et al [15]. However, in the present study, only two patients (9.5%) had premature physeal closure in the X rays, while the ankle point angle was within the normal range, and there were no ankle varus and valgus deformity during the last follow up. The obvious inconsistencies with previous studies might be explained by small sample size and short follow-up period. Physeal arrest may appear 2 years post operation. Besides, medial-lateral incision in all cases, regardless of residual gap>3mm after reduction, fixes fibula and removes curled periosteum, thus reducing the incidence of premature physeal closure.

In conclusion, medial-lateral combined incision can decrease the incidence of premature physeal closure regardless of residual gap >3mm after reduction and thus should be a treatment choice for PEER fracture.
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Table

Table 1 General information of selected children
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No</th>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>Age/yo</th>
<th>Left/Right</th>
<th>FU Time/mo</th>
<th>AOFAS PreOP</th>
<th>Post OP</th>
<th>Last FU</th>
<th>LDTA PreOP</th>
<th>Post OP</th>
<th>Last FU</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>105</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>89</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 1

Intra-operative photographs. (a) Periosteum embedded in the fracture end, blocking the reduction; (b) periosteum was removed from the fracture end; (c) fracture end after removing periosteum.

Figure 2

Boy, age 6, Case No.4, diagnosed the Dias-Tachdjian PEER physeal fracture of right distal tibial epiphysis and fibula, AOFAS-AH scoring 11 during preoperative assessment, 65 postoperation and 96 at 20 months follow-up. LDTA was 60 degree in the preoperative assessment, 89 degree postoperation and 91 degree at 20 months follow-up.
Figure 3

Girl, age 10, Case No. 14, diagnosed the Dias-Tachdjian PEER physeal fracture of left distal tibial epiphysis and fibula, AOFAS-AH scoring 28 during preoperative assessment, 68 postoperation and 91 at 18 months follow-up. LDTA was 78 degree in the preoperative assessment, 88 degree postoperation and 87 degree at 18 months follow-up.

Figure 4

Boy, age 11, Case No. 3, diagnosed the Dias-Tachdjian PEER physeal fracture of right distal tibial epiphysis and fibula, AOFAS-AH scoring 34 during preoperative assessment, 66 postoperation and 87 at 20 months follow-up. LDTA was 65 degree in the preoperative assessment, 87 degree postoperation and 105 degree at 20 months follow-up. X rays in the last follow up, shows bone bridge and varus of ankle joint.