

Stereotactic Body Radiation Therapy Mitigates Radiation Induced Lymphopenia in Early Stage Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer

Mark McLaughlin (✉ mark.mclaughlin@utsouthwestern.edu)

University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center at Dallas <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3622-2532>

Morshed Alam

University of Nebraska Medical Center

Lynette Smith

University of Nebraska Medical Center

Jeffrey Ryckman

University of Nebraska Medical Center

Chi Lin

University of Nebraska Medical Center

Michael J. Baine

University of Nebraska Medical Center

Research

Keywords: SBRT, NSCLC, Radiation Induced Lymphopenia, Lymphopenia

Posted Date: March 20th, 2020

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-18134/v1>

License: © ⓘ This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

[Read Full License](#)

Version of Record: A version of this preprint was published at PLOS ONE on November 30th, 2020. See the published version at <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0241505>.

Abstract

Background Radiation-induced lymphopenia (RIL) occurs during treatment with conventional radiation in multiple organ sites. Development of RIL portends poor prognosis. Stereotactic body radiation therapy (SBRT) spares RIL in pancreatic cancer, but has not been examined in other sites commonly treated with SBRT. This work examines if SBRT similarly spares RIL in patients with non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC).

Methods Retrospective analysis was done at a single institution on 40 distinct cases of SBRT for early stage NSCLC from 2006-2017. Incidentally collected lymphocyte counts collected within 6 months of SBRT treatment were analyzed to determine if RIL occurred. The presence of RIL was correlated with location of initial failure and survival endpoints. Kaplan-Meier curves were constructed with significance defined at the level $p = 0.05$.

Results RIL was observed in 35% of the analyzed patients. Patterns of failure and survival data were comparable to prior SBRT literature. There was no observed association in two year local, nodal, or distant failure, progression free survival, or overall survival based on the presence of RIL.

Conclusions SBRT spares RIL in NSCLC compared to historical rates observed with conventionally fractionated radiation. As understanding of the role of the immune system in cancer control continues to evolve, the importance of RIL sparing techniques take on increasing importance. This study represents the first analysis of RIL sparing in SBRT in an early stage NSCLC cohort without the confounding influence of chemotherapy.

Background

While radiation serves as an important pillar in oncology treatment, radiation therapy often comes with significant side effects. Patients treated with conventionally fractionated radiation for tumors located in the brain, spleen, lung, breast, pancreas, and head and neck show decreases in lymphocyte counts following therapy (1-7). Importantly, this phenomenon, termed radiation-induced lymphopenia (RIL), directly correlates with decreased overall survival (OS) for patients with non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC), pancreatic adenocarcinoma, and gliomas (1, 2, 8). While it was initially theorized that RIL resulted from radiation exposure to sites of lymphocyte production or maturation, newer studies indicate that radiotoxic doses to the circulating lymphocytes themselves may be responsible (9). Post-radiation lymphopenia shows surprising persistence after the radiation event, taking up to two years for counts to normalize (5).

Modeling dose received by lymphocytes circulating throughout the body, traveling in and out of the radiation field, creates numerous difficulties. One model developed to measure radiation received during a standard 30 fraction glioma treatment estimates a mean dose of >2 Gy to circulating lymphocytes (10). Experimental studies done extracorporeally indicate that a 2 Gy dose is sufficient to kill 60% of the lymphocyte population (11). In mice, whole body exposures of as low as 0.3 Gy produce significant RIL

while exposures of 0.5 Gy produce marked RIL (12). Increasing the number of radiation fractions greatly increases the proportion of lymphocytes exposed to large doses of radiation as it increases the opportunities for the circulating blood pool to flow into the treatment field. Ergo, the model further suggests that hypofractionation may help mitigate decreases in lymphocyte count (10). Research into stereotactic body radiation therapy (SBRT) for pancreatic cancer supports this hypothesis. While conventionally fractionated radiation induces treatment associated lymphopenia, SBRT therapies cause no significant decrease in lymphocyte counts (7, 13).

NSCLC represents an interesting study for RIL. As highly vascular organs, the lungs receive a large portion of blood flow at any given time. Prior studies demonstrate RIL with chemotherapy combined with conventionally fractionated (60 Gy in 30 fractions) radiation in stage III NSCLC. RIL occurs in 50% of the patients studied with an average reduction of 67%. RIL below 500 cells/mm³ corresponds with a non-significant decrease in median survival time from 27.3 to 21.8 months ($p = 0.38$) (2).

While historically treated with conventional fractionation, inoperable early stage NSCLC is increasingly treated definitively with SBRT, providing outcomes comparable to lobectomy (14). Compared with conventional fractionation, SBRT delivers a higher dose per fraction in fewer fractions. Modern SBRT techniques compensate for respiratory motion, further reducing the volume of lung (and therefore volume of circulating blood pool) exposed to large doses of radiation. However, it is noteworthy that patients treated with SBRT may suffer from a large volume of low-dose spillage in healthy lung which receives a large proportion of the circulating blood pool. This spillage could theoretically expose a large fraction of blood to meaningful radiation doses.

This paper seeks to explore whether patients treated with SBRT for NSCLC experience lymphopenia similar to those treated with conventionally fractionated radiation using incidentally collected total lymphocyte counts before and after SBRT.

Methods

Patient Selection, Treatment and Data Collection:

Data was collected from 65 early stage NSCLC patients treated at a single institution between 2006 and 2017. SBRT radiation planning and treatment were performed under the supervision of board certified expert radiation oncologists. Patients were followed for up to 121.3 months. Baseline characteristics including age, sex, race, tumor histology, tumor size, performance status, and treatment dose/fractionation were recorded. Treatment was delivered via megavoltage linear accelerators in four or five fractions with a median dose of 50 Gy. Incidentally collected lymphocyte counts were recorded for up to three instances within the six months preceding treatment and averaged to establish a baseline. Up to six post-treatment lymphocyte counts were collected within 6 months following treatment and used to monitor response. Patients were excluded from analysis for concomitant factors associated with alteration of lymphocyte count such as chemotherapy, steroid use, or unrelated infection. Further, patients

without a baseline lymphocyte measurement or with one or fewer post-treatment lymphocyte counts were excluded. The location of failure was recorded for patterns of failure analysis. In patients with multiple lesions treated, only the initial treatment was analyzed with subsequent treatments taken as evidence of recurrence.

Statistical Analysis:

The initial SBRT treatment was selected for analysis for each patient. RIL was defined as a reduction in lymphocyte count in two consecutive blood draws within the first 6 months following treatment. Progression free survival (PFS) and OS time was calculated from the date of diagnosis to death. Time to local failure (LF), nodal failure (NF), and distant metastasis (DM) was calculated from the date of initial treatment to the date of the respective events.

As a part of our descriptive analysis mean, median, and interquartile range were calculated for continuous variables. Frequencies and percentages were calculated for categorical variables. Wilcoxon rank-sum test was used to assess the relationship between RIL and continuous variables while chi square and Fisher’s exact test were used to assess the relationship with categorical variables. Kaplan-Meier curves were constructed for LF, NF, DM, PFS, and OS with respect to RIL. Individual lymphocyte trend plots were constructed by using statistical software package R with all other statistical analysis using SAS software. P-values less than 0.05 for any test was considered as statistically significant.

Results

Demographics:

Of the 65 patients analyzed, 25 were excluded for a final cohort consisting of 40 patients. Demographics of the cohort reflected the neighboring community of the single institution performing the study. The vast majority of patients were white. A small majority was female. Adenocarcinoma was the most common histologic subtype, followed by squamous cell, then all other subtypes. Treatment was most commonly done in five fractions (67.5%) though some patients received four. A slight majority of patients had T1 disease as opposed to T2 or higher. The majority of patients had good performance status (80% ECOG 0-1). There was no significant association between decreases in lymphocyte count and any demographic characteristics such as patient age, gender, race, histology, tumor size, ECOG status, or number of treatment fractions. In our cohort, 14 patients (35%) had evidence of RIL following treatment while 26 patients (65%) showed no evidence of RIL. Demographic data of the patient population is summarized in Table 1.

Table 1: Demographic and treatment characteristics

Characteristics	Specifics	Total	RIL Change		P-value
			RIL present 14(35%)	RIL absent 26(65%)	
Age in Years (median, IQR)	-	69.17 (59-76)	71.33 (62-76)	67.55 (59-76)	0.263
SBRT dose Gy (median, IQR)	-	50.0 (48-50)	49.0 (48-50)	50.0 (48-50)	0.250
Gender	Male	45%	12.5%	32.5%	0.510
	Female	55%	22.5%	32.5%	
Race	White	85%	27.5%	57.5%	0.651
	Black	10%	5.0%	5.0%	
	Others	5%	2.5%	2.5%	
Histology	Adeno	55%	20.0%	35.0%	0.232
	Squamous	17.5%	10.0%	7.5%	
	Others	27.5%	5.0%	22.5%	
Tumor size	≤2 cm	53.85%	12.82%	41.03%	0.108
	>2 cm	46.15%	23.08%	23.08%	
ECOG status	(0-1)	80%	30.0%	50.0%	0.689
	(2-3)	20%	5.0%	15.0%	
Number of fractions	4	32.5%	15.0%	17.5%	0.480
	5	67.50%	20.0%	47.5%	

IQR=Interquartile range

Effect of RIL on Tumor Progression:

The presence of RIL had no statistically significant effect on patterns of failure. Similar to established literature for NSCLC treated with SBRT, local failure rates were rare in our cohort with 95% local control at two years. Two patients developed isolated local failure as the first site of relapse. Patients with stable/increasing lymphocyte counts had no significant difference in two-year local failure when compared with patients with decreasing lymphocyte counts (p = 0.265). Figure 1 shows a Kaplan-Meier curve for time to local failure based on the presence of RIL. While NF and DM were more common than

LF, they remained a small percentage of the overall cohort. Four patients developed NF as their first site of relapse. Two-year NF also showed no significant association with RIL ($p = 0.25$). Kaplan-Meier curve for NF based on the presence/absence of RIL is shown in Figure 2. Similarly, analysis of DM showed no significant association with RIL ($p = 0.807$) in the six patients where DM was the first site of failure. Figure 3 illustrates the Kaplan-Meier curve for distant failure.

Effect of RIL on Survival:

As each component of progression showed no change based on RIL status, PFS also showed no significant association with RIL ($p = 0.089$). Figure 4 shows a Kaplan-Meier curve for PFS based on the presence of RIL. Patients with stable/increasing lymphocyte counts had no significant difference OS when compared with patients with decreasing lymphocyte counts ($p = 0.690$). Two-year PFS and OS was nominally longer in those whose lymphocyte count did not decrease, though again this difference was non-significant. Figure 5 shows a Kaplan-Meier curve for overall survival based on the presence of RIL. Table 2 summarizes two year rates of LF, NF, DM, PFS, and OS.

Table 2: Effect of RIL on 2-year patterns of failure and 2-year survival

	RIL Present 2-year estimate (95% CI)	RIL Absent 2-year estimate (95% CI)	P-value
Cumulative incidence of local failure	0% (NE)	4.5% (0.0-19.4%)	0.265
Cumulative incidence of nodal failure	16.7% (2.4-42.5%)	5.6% (0.0-23.6%)	0.250
Cumulative incidence of distant failure	0% (NE)	15.0% (3.6-34.0%)	0.807
Progression-Free Survival	54.2% (25.0-76.2%)	56.6% (34.2-73.9 %)	0.089
Overall Survival	65.6% (42.6-81.0%)	68.7% (36.4-87.1%)	0.690

NE=Non-estimable

Discussion

This work represents the first investigation of RIL following SBRT for NSCLC. Our results suggest that SBRT may not induce RIL despite the associated risk of a large volume of healthy lung receiving low-dose spillage.

These results are in line with predicted improvements in lymphopenia with hypofractionated regimens (10) and previously reported studies with SBRT in pancreatic cancer (13). Lymphopenia can impact progression and survival in multiple ways. Immunocompromised patients carry elevated risks for infection. In our cohort only one patient had infection as cause of death. This low infectious risk aligns

with our finding that a minority of patients had decreased lymphocyte counts and even fewer had severe lymphopenia. However, previous studies using conventionally fractionated radiation which did show significant RIL also had low numbers of deaths due to infection (2). Differences in clinical outcomes must therefore be driven by factors other than opportunistic infections. It is becoming increasingly clear that the immune system plays a role in preventing metastasis and growth of tumors (15). Despite this growing body of evidence, no significant difference was found in two-year local, nodal, or distant failure between groups with stable/increasing lymphocyte counts and those with decreasing lymphocyte counts in our cohort.

Numerous novel and emerging cancer treatments rely on the immune system to recognize and eliminate cancer cells. In NSCLC, the potential exists to combine immunotherapy with existing radiation treatments. If radiation were to cause significant RIL, it could blunt the immune system's ability to respond to tumor cells. This work suggests that RIL would not be a significant confounding factor for NSCLC patients treated with SBRT combined with immunotherapy.

Importantly, unlike many previous studies which combine some form of chemotherapy with radiation, this work deals with patients predominantly receiving definitive radiotherapy only, as is the standard paradigm. This removes many confounding effects as many chemotherapeutic regimens prove quite cytotoxic to circulating lymphocytes.

While this study supports the continued use of SBRT in lung cancer without modification for lymphopenia precautions, there are some limits to its scope and applicability. As a retrospective study, it does not account for potential confounding variables. Also, lymphocyte counts were not taken at uniform times pre- and post-irradiation. Time-dependent and self-resolving phenomenon could therefore have been missed in our analysis. Demographics of the single institution patient population used in the study reflect the relatively ethnically homogenous population of the area served. This may limit applicability of results to broader sections of the population. It is unknown if members of non-white ethnic groups might respond differently to radiation. Further, as a single institution study, overall numbers are relatively low. Conversely, the single institution nature lends itself to reproducibility with regards to patient setup, treatment, and ancillary care.

The results presented here support the notion that modern SBRT techniques, in contrast to their predecessors, do not significantly alter circulating lymphocyte counts. Further, patients who experienced decreasing lymphocyte counts after SBRT therapies exhibited no significant difference in clinical outcomes. Further work will outline the significance of dose distribution in the lung to lymphopenia and recurrence patterns.

Conclusions

Similar to studies of pancreatic cancer, SBRT spares clinically significant RIL from a majority of patients with early stage NSCLC.

Abbreviations

DM – distant metastasis

LF – local failure

NF – nodal failure

NSCLC – non-small cell lung cancer

OS – overall survival

PFS – progression free survival

RIL – radiation induced lymphopenia

SBRT – stereotactic body radiation therapy

Declarations

Ethics – The need for ethics committee approval was waived for this retrospective chart review using de-identified information per institutional policy of the University of Nebraska Medical Center

Consent for publication – Not applicable

Availability of data and materials - The datasets used and/or analysed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Competing interests - The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Funding - This research did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

Authors' Contributions – MM and MB collected patient data. MA, and LS analyzed data and performed statistical analysis. MM, MB, JR, and CL were major contributors in writing the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript."

References

1. Balmanoukian A, Ye X, Herman J, Laheru D, Grossman SA. The association between treatment-related lymphopenia and survival in newly diagnosed patients with resected adenocarcinoma of the pancreas. *Cancer Invest.* 2012;30(8):571-6.
2. Campian JL, Ye X, Brock M, Grossman SA. Treatment-related lymphopenia in patients with stage III non-small-cell lung cancer. *Cancer Invest.* 2013;31(3):183-8.

3. Chadha AS, Liu G, Chen HC, Das P, Minsky BD, Mahmood U, et al. Does Unintentional Splenic Radiation Predict Outcomes After Pancreatic Cancer Radiation Therapy? *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys.* 2017;97(2):323-32.
4. Grossman SA, Ye X, Lesser G, Sloan A, Carraway H, Desideri S, et al. Immunosuppression in patients with high-grade gliomas treated with radiation and temozolomide. *Clin Cancer Res.* 2011;17(16):5473-80.
5. MacLennan IC, Kay HE. Analysis of treatment in childhood leukemia. IV. The critical association between dose fractionation and immunosuppression induced by cranial irradiation. *Cancer.* 1978;41(1):108-11.
6. Meyer KK. Radiation-induced lymphocyte-immune deficiency. A factor in the increased visceral metastases and decreased hormonal responsiveness of breast cancer. *Arch Surg.* 1970;101(2):114-21.
7. Wu G, Baine MJ, Zhao N, Li S, Li X, Lin C. Lymphocyte-sparing effect of stereotactic body radiation therapy compared to conventional fractionated radiation therapy in patients with locally advanced pancreatic cancer. *BMC Cancer.* 2019;19(1):977.
8. Mendez JS, Govindan A, Leong J, Gao F, Huang J, Campian JL. Association between treatment-related lymphopenia and overall survival in elderly patients with newly diagnosed glioblastoma. *J Neurooncol.* 2016;127(2):329-35.
9. Onsrud M, Grahm I, Gaudernack G. Early stage carcinoma of the uterine cervix. Effects of intracavitary radium treatment on lymphoid cells in blood and pelvic lymph nodes. *Acta Radiol Oncol.* 1986;25(2):127-30.
10. Yovino S, Kleinberg L, Grossman SA, Narayanan M, Ford E. The etiology of treatment-related lymphopenia in patients with malignant gliomas: modeling radiation dose to circulating lymphocytes explains clinical observations and suggests methods of modifying the impact of radiation on immune cells. *Cancer Invest.* 2013;31(2):140-4.
11. Weeke E. The development of lymphopenia in uremic patients undergoing extracorporeal irradiation of the blood with portable beta units. *Radiat Res.* 1973;56(3):554-9.
12. El-Shanshoury H, El-Shanshoury G, Abaza A. Evaluation of low dose ionizing radiation effect on some blood components in animal model. *Journal of Radiation Research and Applied Sciences.* 2016;9(3):282-93.
13. Wild AT, Herman JM, Dholakia AS, Moningi S, Lu Y, Rosati LM, et al. Lymphocyte-Sparing Effect of Stereotactic Body Radiation Therapy in Patients With Unresectable Pancreatic Cancer. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys.* 2016;94(3):571-9.
14. Chang JY, Senan S, Paul MA, Mehran RJ, Louie AV, Balter P, et al. Stereotactic ablative radiotherapy versus lobectomy for operable stage I non-small-cell lung cancer: a pooled analysis of two randomised trials. *Lancet Oncol.* 2015;16(6):630-7.
15. Schreiber RD, Old LJ, Smyth MJ. Cancer immunoediting: integrating immunity's roles in cancer suppression and promotion. *Science.* 2011;331(6024):1565-70.

Figures




Figure 1

Cumulative incidence curve showing no statistical difference in time to local failure (months) based on RIL status.




Figure 2

Cumulative incidence curve showing no statistical difference in time to nodal failure (months) based on RIL status.




Figure 3

Cumulative incidence curve showing no statistical difference in time to distant failure (months) based on RIL status




Figure 4

Kaplan Meier curve showing no statistical difference in progression free survival (months) based on RIL status.




Figure 5

Kaplan Meier curve showing no statistical difference in overall survival (months) based on RIL status.