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Abstract: The nodes in the sensor network have a wide range of uses, particularly on under-sea links that are skilled for detecting, handling as well as management. The underwater wireless sensor networks supports collecting pollution data, mine survey, oceanographic information collection, aided navigation, strategic surveillance, and collection of ocean samples using detectors that are submerged in water. Localization, congestion routing, and prioritizing traffic is the major issue in an underwater sensor network. Our scheme differentiates the different types of traffic and gives every type of traffic its requirements which is considered regarding network resources. Minimization of localization error using the proposed angle-based forwarding scheme is explained in this paper. We choose the shortest path to the destination using the fitness function which is calculated based on fault ratio, dispatching of packets, power, and distance between the nodes. This work contemplates congestion conscious forwarding using hard stage and soft stage scheme which reduce the congestion by monitoring the status of the energy and buffer of the nodes and controlling the traffic. The study with the use of the ns3 simulator demonstrated that a given algorithm accomplishes superior performance for loss of packet delay of latency and power utilization than the existing algorithms.
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1. Introduction

Technologies like Wireless Sensor Networks (WSNs) are recognized by various industries as an economical alternative to currently implemented and expensive approaches used in technology [1]. Terrestrial communication is a standard system of WSN which uses radio waves. WSN currently uses many new techniques for better communication. These techniques are also tested by researchers in the field of underwater [2]. The scope for various innovative networking structures has been opened by key technological developments in associated fields. Three-fourths of the earth's surface is covered by water. People are more curious in this uncharted region. Over the period, the happenings of man-made calamities evoke many of them to caring over the aquatic regions. The Wireless detector network built undersea fascinated many researchers to create and invent some new applications related to undersea. UWSN (Underwater Wireless Sensor Network) has established many applications as they are used in collecting pollution data, mine surveys, oceanographic information collection, aided navigation, strategic surveillance, sea exploration, military applications, and my surveys [3].

The necessity in underwater applications is transmitting the information from sensor nodes to one-off sinks at the sea surface using multi-skips. Figure 1 shows the general architecture of Underwater Sensor Networks. The sensors kept under the sea get connected using a nonwired acoustic communication network. Autonomous Underwater Vehicles (AUVs), immersed in the water as well as in the surface sink, in the shallow of the water receive the signals from the nodes that attach those sensors. The AUVs and the surface sink are attached using radio and acoustic communication networks. Surface sinks receive relays using acoustic communication signs from the nodes which
examine indigenous actions that occur under the water with the help of multiple skips [4]. Information gathered from the sinks on the surface to manage UWSNs which got equipped with a satellite transmitter and the sensors for acoustic communication, thus passes to the land stations.

Figure 1: Architecture Of UWSN

The main benefit of underwater sensor node design is that it works continuously and properly, besides there will be no need for fixing or any service as well as rejuvenation is required for many years. The nodes are not able to do side-side movements independently in underwater networks, so they have been arranged with a specific space between them [5]. These sensor nodes remain inactive until they observe an effect. Congestion Control in UWSNs is required in a situation when the nodes of the sensor initiate their production and transfer of information to more than one sink placed on the surface of the water. Thus, congestion control is necessary to avoid the abrupt increase in the loads of the sink as explained in the above-mentioned situation. The congestion may result in packet loss and slow communication performance since the sensory nodes as well as the sinks depend on the transmittal rate. In UWSNs, congestion is elevated further due to bad routes of transmission. The over-crowding situation that happens during the transmission should be controlled using the schemes in underwater sensory networks [1]. The data communication within the network without any congestion failure should be introduced in the congestion control schemes [4]. Most congestion control schemes assume that major network losses are due to congestion only, which causes more problems in UWSNs. TCP-based protocols cannot be suitable for UWSNs since they could not be able to determine the difference in packet losses, whether they occur because of over-crowding or route failure [6]. The main reason for this over-crowding is because of the synchronicity loss, all sources connected to one sink, and some overflow that occurs in the buffer [1].

An independent transmission and reception node with multiple knowledge-based applications on measurements, oceanographic surveys, catastrophe prevention, as well as strategic observations can be done using underwater sensor networks [1]. A feasible alternative for the under-sea transmission is only through acoustic communication. The acoustic waves are nothing but pressure waves that travel transversely. The main drawback of radio waves is a terrible distribution which results in the weakening of transmission. The acoustic waves create a spherical distribution of transmission because of the signaling and environment features [1]. Severe limitations occur on the power ratio, effectual rates as well as the feasibility of the materials used due to the confining nature of the water in the sea. However, the nodes present under sea water can withstand these severe limitations in the aquatic environment without any need for assistance for years. Already we know that these nodes are arranged in space because of their inability to autonomous or intentional lateral movements. They must be kept intact and arranged accordingly to avoid the interruption caused by drifts underwater, thus making them work an efficient manner [2].

2. Related Works
Zahoor Ali Khan et al. [7] suggest that a model-free algorithm based on energy and collecting of information to be kept efficiently as well as in balanced conditions using a routing protocol. The frequency of the forwarding nodes is chosen based on the basics of their residuary power and so joined to their nearby nodes' power. This selection is done on the basics of their energies, making them an energy effective method and also resulting in increased lifespan. When the empty node gets failed in its recovery procedure, then the whole configuration of the system gets modified. To ensure uninterrupted communication, the empty holes in the explained method of QL-EEBDG get an adjacent node QL-EEBDG-ADN is suggested so that it gets replaced near by route for packet communication.

Jain et al. [8] implemented the relative reasoning of under-sea networking forwarding protocols on current audio-video data with the help of H.265/HEVC encrypting media succession. To widen up the corpora-ted assist in under-sea networking forms for communication purposes in current data congestion is the main goal of this above-mentioned article. The research on various multimedia load performances along with changing quality of data trafficking control dealing in under-sea modules was investigated along with the study of its production features. The defense and sea observation areas of USWN applications have been keenly watched and explained in this algorithm.

An Energy efficient forwarding scheme named E-CARP for the net of submerged matter that lets the puffers of detecting the information at the surface sink which averts these packets of information routing has been implemented in [9] by the author. The implemented algorithm in [10] calculates the standard of a route set for leveling multi-media loads where the nodes might impede behind the transmission range. The proposed model in [11] gives the small world multipath complex forwarding algorithm and SMH-GEAR for the developed arrangement. The mentioned arrangement will have a network that can withstand for many years as well as improvements in the output and network. Secret technological Delaware could be known well by the reports produced on the problems faced in multichannel forwarding of aquatic zone networks. This was explained clearly by the researcher in [12].

The localized adhoc under-water acoustic system that senses seawater pollution is explained by Khan and Jenkins [13]. They developed the sensor network which got effective lifetime and feasibility and proved them using the required simulation outputs. The environmental observations in Qinghai Lake give data on the stream's nature as well as about the living life that depends on it can be done as research on Underwater Acoustic Network (UAN) testbed [14].

The algorithm that was specified in [15] concerns an optimum weight process for calculating the rate of capturing signals and communication failure while dispatching information all through the shortest way in less-depth areas to reduce the delay between the endpoints and energy usage in undersea acoustic networks. Reduced end-end delay and energy usage results in the usage of low system output and packet transfer rate in an acoustic network. The same scheme has been proposed in underwater system network depth alternative while dispatching the data packets from empty areas to sink into the surface [16].

The article [17] proposes a method that reduces the energy consumption of the loads and gets rid of empty areas due to the casual depth change of the dispatch in the acoustic network. In [18], they included the new pressure forwarding that provides feasible packet communication towards any surface sink. The implemented method uses a subset of the dispatched data based on the pressure levels for forwarding the data with less intervention to the sinks. Denationalized protocols help in reducing the low end-end transmission, energy usage, and good packet transmission, but the cost of as-synchronized and debased packets of data because of protocols in empty areas in acoustic network systems was explained in [19].

M. Nain et al. [20] present an introduction to UWSN. After this, the architecture of UWSN will be discussed. This survey paper aims to discuss various localization schemes that are mainly categorized into centralized and distributed. Finally, we will conclude our paper with various challenges in the
underwater wireless sensor network node localization. X. Pan et.al [21], we use joint target localization and attack detection to diminish the effect of TSAs. We prove that this task can be derived as a mix-integer programming question with the count of optimization variables relative to the count of multipath, and thus is impressive while the multipath impact is severe. When the extent of the association between multipath signals is capped by some constant value, one which can be fulfilled in practice. The mix-integer design can be simplified, and the count of optimization variables can be decreased and does not vary with the count of multipath any longer.

3. Proposed Work

3.1 Enhanced Multi-Objective QoS Routing

There are four principal modules is proposed for QoS (Quality of Service) segregating forwarding system. It consists of,

- Application Module
- Neighbor (Localized) Module
- Routing Module
- Queuing Module

The capabilities and objectives of the above-mentioned elements are explained below in detail. The figure below demonstrates the framework of the proposed system along with the important elements.

3.2 Traffic Classification

The module Traffic Classification is accountable for facilitating traffic diversity that focuses on UWSNs applications with various data traffic. This section can segregate the necessary data for each kind of traffic that is based on separating QoS requests corresponding to the data form. It supports customized QoS metrics for every data form as per needs. The suggested algorithm can fulfill data-related QoS metrics by employing this kind of classification. Through this, each sensor can map the packet to a different type to a certain group. This enables the algorithm to satisfy the requirement of different QoS services. This kind of traffic classification affects directly the performance of the network in general as it needs to ensure the necessary QoS for every data packet's. Output from the traffic classification is used by the FF (Fitness Function) function to allow the route selection depending on QoS purposes like packets forwarding, energy, distance, and error rate.

In this paper, we are suggesting a traffic classification standard that depends on QoS requisites as depicted in Fig 4. It illustrates the classification procedure of traffic in a submerged sensor node. The initial step toward categorization is to verify the class of every packet depending on the size of the packet or the use of the developed UWSNs or rules of classification. The submerged sensor's port number or the position of the node that connects to particular types of sensing functions are generally examined fields in the packets.
In general, data traffics are categorized into the below groups:

a. **C1** → Massive real-time traffic which needs consistency and less delay facilities as it is connected to the crucial tasks.

b. **C2** → Scalar real-time traffic which provides data packets within a given time limit for packet arrival at the endpoint.

c. **C3** → Scalar non-real-time data traffic that needs distribution with no particular time limit, which ensures a fair loss of packets, such as permanent monitoring systems; and

d. **C4** → Good effort traffic which does not have any certain conditions.

In the below table, Table 1 describes the traffic kinds that I suggest for standard applications in UWSNs as explained before.

**Table 1:** The type of traffic classification in UWSNs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter Being Monitored</th>
<th>Traffic Type</th>
<th>Location of Sensor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Scalar Data (humidity)</td>
<td>Non Real-Time</td>
<td>(x₁, y₁)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scalar Data (temperature/pressure)</td>
<td>Real-Time</td>
<td>(xₙ, yₙ)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Video/Image Data (object tracking)</td>
<td>Real-Time</td>
<td>(xₘ, yₘ)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Video/Continuous monitor</td>
<td>Non Real-Time</td>
<td>(x₂, y₄)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data cataloging may resolve the necessity of multiple applications in UWSNs due to the rising growth of many sensing capabilities for many observing and data collecting activities. These activities have multiple requirements and significances corresponding to their QoS related to timeliness, consistency, and power consumption.

### 3.3 FF (Fitness Function) And Weight

The FF contemplates 5 QoS attributes as given below.

\[
(\text{Fitness}) = w₀f(E_y) + w₁f(DR_y) + w₂f(\text{dist}_y - BS) + w₃f(Err_y) + w₄f(\text{Load}_y) 
\]

(1)

where \(f(E_y)\) is an element that indicates the residual power depends on the battery lifespan? A node is selected based on the power consumption, the higher the power consumption higher the chances of getting selected. The ratio of packets delivered successfully concerning packets received in a node is denoted as \(f(DR_y)\). This method indicates the consistency of a node to route packets. Nodes that are having delivery ratio are favored by this method for routing. \(f(\text{dist}_y - \text{Destination})\) is the aspect of distance from a node to its Destination that estimates the delay in transmission between endpoints.

When a node is closer to its destination; the hop delay predicted also will be less. It makes the node more attractive for transmitting real-time data. \(f(\text{Err}_y)\) is utilized to calculate the connection accessibility of a candidate's next node. A particular node with a higher failure rate, which is calculated by multiple channel failures to access, is getting degraded in the selection process. \(f(\text{Load}_y)\) is an aspect that indicates the quantity of buffer overflows that happen in a queue.

Even if a node is having a lot of power, if it is used heavily then this aspect makes that particular node less attractive. \(w₀-₄\) are weighting factors.

The below table (Table 2) displays that traffic of every class is allocated different weights due to various degrees of the significance of each metric.
Table 2: Weight and Importance Level

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Weight</th>
<th>C1</th>
<th>C2</th>
<th>C3</th>
<th>C4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( w_2f(e_y) )</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( w_3f(DR_y) )</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Equal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( w_3f(dist_y-BS) )</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Equal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( w_3f(Err_y) )</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Equal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( w_4f(Load_y) )</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>Equal</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The total weighting factors are 1. According to the above table, we can specify separate weights based on the type of traffic class to each metric depending on the metric’s contribution level. This empowers the FF methodology to accomplish route classification which fulfills several QoS routes for every traffic class.

4 Priority-Based Delivery

As explained earlier, corresponding to data classification we define those different types of data packets that need different degrees of quality and significance and consequently might have different priorities in terms of data routing. Certain applications may require that Destination receives the data packets without any loss, others may be accepting data with the loss of some packets and the rest may accept the delivery within a provided time limit (delay-sensitive). Each packet type, to comply with different QoS according to a variety of data classes, is allocated a specific priority and each packet type is handled differently according to the given priority to meet the application’s needs.

Our class-based queuing protocol depends on the data packet’s priority classified into the below types:
- Real-time queue
- Non-Real-time queue.

Incoming packets’ type is checked by the classifier, then the data packets are categorized correctly in the upper layer. As per the label, the packets are forwarded into the proper queue. The packets which are having a deadline like C1 or C2 are assigned maximum priority and routed to the real-time queue. At the same time, the packets like C3 or C4 are handled through the non-real-time queue. The implementation along with a packet scheduler shown in Fig 4 decides the data packet’s order in which the packets are sent to the queue. The order is decided as per the priority of queuing policy depending on each type of packet.

Figure 3: Traffic Classification Model

Assume this is the threshold of every queue size in which the newly arriving data packets are held. Take x as the priority in a queue for node P, then the queue may keep,

\[ (NQ_L)_P \rightarrow \text{count of packets which has low-level priority} \]
\[(NQ_{c})_{p} \rightarrow \text{count of packets which has equal priority}
\]
\[(NQ_{c})_{p} \rightarrow \text{count of packets which has higher/critical priority}
\]

A packet M entering the ith queue \((Q_{i})\) of node p, will have an anticipated waiting time \(T[Exp_{p}]\) is defined by: (1) the residual time for a packet in the active service/process of delivery, \(t[cu]_{p}\), (2) the processing time for all critical/higher or equal priority data packets in the queue with, \((NQ_{c})_{p}\), and (3) the delivery service/process time of new critical/higher priority data packets which enters the queue while the packet M is waiting for its time, \(t((NQ_{c})_{p})\). Nevertheless, the count of the new packet entering into a queue constantly remains lesser when compared to \(Th\) (threshold). Hence, the total expected waiting period of packet M is derived as:

\[T[Exp_{p}] = t[cu]_{p} + t \sum_{x,c,z=0}^{Th} (NQ_{c})_{p} \]  

As explained in the given formula it’s clear that data packet transmission is determined by the queue classifier by following the priority order of the packets. The critical/highest priority data packets are allotted to C1, after that to C2, afterward C3, and then the last low priority to C4. As outlined in Fig 5, the queuing model offers some queue size for each type of packet to be held, consequently retaining the throughput for each data class. When one of two types of traffic is under the limits or non-existent, then both classes can make use of the available bandwidth from each other. Same as the service rate policy, the queuing standard permits a specific type of packet to accept another buffer occupancy, which is committed to different traffic types when the certain buffer is not used or is below the thresholds.

5 Angle-Based Localization Error Minimization and Routing

In UWSN, energy is used for communication processes, incorporation, and data processing. However, the amount of energy needed for communication is the greatest. Consequently, a great deal of attention has been given to decreasing communication overheads in UWSN by deploying an energy-efficient routing protocol. To attain robustness in the transmission of data between source and destination nodes, there must be numerous corridors. If all traffic is routed through a minimum energy path, the nodes will be aligned with this path, and energy resources will be depleted thus quickly rendering other nodes ineffective in terms of the network partition even though they can access energy resources. Instead of minimizing the total amount of energy used on a path, the attached network should be preserved for as long as possible.

5.1 Path Discovery

Wireless underwater sensor networks may contain a huge number of underwater sensors and these underwater sensors might have different applications. The sink is usually at the center and the UW detector nodes are deployed in an area to observe the surroundings and send some information to the surface sink. These UW(Underwater) detectors have multiple tasks. It senses data and also routes the data to be delivered. The source node detects the event and forwards it to its neighbor and the neighbor forwards it to its neighbor until it reaches the sink. In the proposed protocol the sink will broadcast a hello message to all networks. Every node will save the coordinate of the sink and will broadcast the message with its coordinates. By the end of this process, all nodes will have the coordinates of the sink and their neighbors and the counts of jumps to reach the sink.

The proposed algorithm needs every underwater detector to make a router group using local nearby nodes. The routing angle provides an angle with the network administrator and so limits the number of route path constructions and so reduces the latency as well as packet dispatch time. The construction of a greater number of paths regularly at the period of routing the message with the router sets for balancing the loads, limits the end-end data dispatch time delay. When there occurs any damage to any of the sensors underwater, the ADPC chooses a different underwater sensor from the leftover numbers in the group to get recovered from the damage, increasing the reliability of the data communication without any delay in dispatch.
Figure 4 shows underwater sensor nodes and base station. The underwater sensor nodes are source nodes (S) and intermediate nodes (V). The \((s^x, s^y)\) represent the x,y position value on node \(s \in S\), and \((v^x, v^y)\) represents the x,y position value on node \(v \in V\) and \(A_{si}\) be the field of the radio limit of \(s\) and \(A_{vi}\) be the field of the radio limit of \(v\).

Definitions: neighbors nodes(N(v_j)). Group of nodes set \(v_i\) that occupied in the given radius ‘r’ that forms the group of neighbors \(v_i\) that gets represented by

\[
N(v_i) = \{v_j \in V | d(v_i, v_j) \leq r, i \neq j\}
\]  

(3)

\(d(v_i, v_j)\) is the interval connecting the nodes \(v_i\) and \(v_j\); it is represented by 2D Euclidean distance

\[
d(v_i, v_j) = \sqrt{(v^x_i - v^x_j)^2 + (v^y_i - v^y_j)^2}.
\]  

(4)

I.e., in image 3, a group of neighbors nodes \(v_1\), is \(N(v_1) = \{1; 2; 3; 4; 5; 6; 7; 8; 9; 10; 11; 12; 13; 14; 15; 16; 17; 18; 19; 20\}.

Through way (L): The unstoppable or straight way (L), denoted as \((L) = (v_i, v_s)\), is the distance between IN and sink and it is calculated by 2D Euclidean distance

\[
d(L) = d(v_i, v_s) = \sqrt{(v^x_i - v^x_s)^2 + (v^y_i - v^y_s)^2}.
\]  

(5)

The angle of forwarding by network administrator \((\theta_{vi})\): This angle is defined in a regular manner surrounding path L. This angle range \(\theta_{vi}\) is \(0 < \theta_{vi} < 180^\circ\). In Fig7, we take that as \(\theta_{v1} = \theta_{v2} = \theta_{v3} = 30^\circ\).

![Figure 4: Typical UWS](image)

6. Congestion Control and Avoidance

Data traffic plays an important role in all wireless systems. They cause some consequences in the rate of loss, the standard of channels, Usage of connection, the transgression numbers, congestion flow, system feasibility period, detain period, and power along with the output results. So we need to rely upon a perfect scheme for reduced traffic. To overcome these issues, the proposed work utilizes angle based forwarding scheme and we choose the shortest path to the destination using the fitness function which is calculated based on packets routing, false ratio, power, and displacement among the nodes and along with that here we concern upon the congestion conscious forwarding using stage and soft stage scheme will reduce the congestion by monitoring the status of the energy and buffer of the nodes and control the traffic. The flowchart of the proposed scheme in UWSN is shown in Figure 2, where the Dynamical Secondary Course Option formula is used to reduce congestion.
A flag is nothing but a field that gets attached to the table which only takes the Boolean expressions to point out the presence of the node. The flag scheme gets briefly analyzed and explained during the hard stage scheme.

A tie-break execution method has been presented in this suggested method in the adjacent jump options of the nodes. For example, when a node got in the nearby table, more than one node which is of the same level then it selects the transferring node during each transmission process with the use of the fitness function. This makes them have a balanced energy intake by each node and so the congestion gets distributed among the nodes thus resulting in best load sharing.

7 Execution and Assessment

7.1 Surrounding Situation

The suggested model gets executed with the use of OOPS(Object Oriented Programming Languages) language and thus accession takes place with the use of an improved multi-purposed QoS forwarding scheme. The differentiation between the suggested and the standard model is executed by evaluating the parameters like the mean packet dispatch rate, source-destination detain period, output, and the draining nodes. The simulation takes place on100-100 m area and highly 200 to 250 nodes are used in 10-10 grid links.

7.2 Experimental Evaluation

This evaluation takes place on the randomly chosen sensor and surface sink nodes on the normal channel arrangements. The computation objective is to compare the two models of LPQCR(Localization with Priority QoS Congestion Routing) and the ITMIL(Iteration of Mobile Tracing Localization) model nodes selected node distributed in size 100-100m using NS-3 simulator for traffic sensing methodology for multi-path routing.

7.2.1 Packet Dispatch Rate

The count of packets that gets transferred from the end-end is calculated as the packet dispatch rate. This parameter is used to evaluate the number of packets transmitted from the source node and soundly received at the destination. To get a higher rate value we need to eliminate the trafficking channels as like in a suggested method where they chose the nodes perfectly to get a higher packet dispatch rate. We implemented all four-type traffic packet delivery ratios c1, c2, c3, and c4 and comparison for four traffic with packet delivery ratios to choose the shortest path to deliver the packets. The below formula gives us the rate values in percentages.
\[ PDR = \frac{\sum \text{Received Packets}}{\sum \text{Send Packets}} \]

**Figure 6:** Packet-Delivery-Ratio-Comparison

**Figure 7:** Packet delivery ratio for C1

**Figure 8:** Packet-Delivery-Ratio for C2

**Figure 9:** Packet Delivery Ratio for C3
The differentiation in packet dispatch rate between the suggested method and the standard ITML routing models is shown above in the figures. To find out the rate value they computed the congestion ratio using simulation to detect the effect on the packet dispatch ratio. The output gives the relation as when there is an increase in congestion ratio there the packet dispatch rate is reduced. While the ITML model, they do not take concern the nodes trafficking which affects the working of the model. It results in the wrong selection of nodes for transmission of data and increases the dispatch ratio of the packets to be maximized. Thus, the suggested model LPQCR works well compared to the above-mentioned scheme (ITMTL).

7.2.2 Source-Destination Delay

The congestion in the system can be eased using detain-conscious channel direction and equality. These mentioned parameters could make the dispatch rate higher and thus minimizes the source-destination detain period in the system. We implemented all four types of traffic packet rate delays c1, c2, c3, and c4 and comparison for four traffic with delays to choose the shortest path to deliver the packets. The mean source-sink delay can be computed using the below-given formula.

\[
\text{Avg End to End Delay} = \frac{\sum (\text{Arrive Time} - \text{Send Time})}{\sum \text{Number of Arrived Packets}}
\]
Figures represent the mean latency detain of the information arrangement. Since the detain period gets direct relativity with the congestion ratio, therefore when the ratio gets maximum leads to over traffic. This results in maximum detain queuing time between the nodes. Thus, it concludes that the low trafficking way reduces the detain time and makes it perform at a better latency period compared to ITMTL.

7.2.3 Throughput

The Output in the proposed model computes the amount of KB transmitted in a second. The images below display the output differentiation of explained model with the standard models. The output will be maximized under the dispatched bytes to the respective output location, which improves the usage of channels. The suggested model dispatches maximum packets to the endpoint when compared to the standard models. We implemented all four types of traffic throughput c1,c2,c3, and c4, and comparison for four traffic with throughput to choose the shortest path to deliver the packets Throughput is measured by

\[
\text{Throughput} = \frac{\text{Total data transported}}{\text{Time interval}}
\]
7.2.4 Drained Nodes

The aquatic SNs whose nodes are installed unnecessarily, so there needs to be only a smaller number of nodes. The next stage with the decentralized algorithm in the web inquires the system and checks for switches putting back it again to the same position. We implemented low drained nodes when compared to the existing method. The figure displays the difference between the novel and standard models.

![Figure 19: Drained Nodes Comparison](image1)

![Figure 20: Drained nodes for all traffic](image2)

8. Conclusion

This proposed paper gives the precedence-based Multifaceted QoS traffic control forwarding arrangement that can be applied to many acoustic wireless detectors undersea. It aids the mentioned congestion classes of high-precedence and low precedence. Among them, the high preceded one needs to be taken good care on transmitting the packets carefully without any losses and delays in dispatch and that are employed on delicate and highly important traffic like transferring data using detectors in sea boundaries of the countries. But the other one that gets produced by the detectors for observing the undersea surroundings. A novel traffic control helps to minimize trafficking. The above-explained way of trafficking makes use of an angle-based routing structure for avoiding, sensing, and justifying the trafficking. Assigning similar weights for the nodes may result in incorrect conclusions because of their difference in areas that are located, the proposed hard stage and soft stage scheme which reduce the congestion by monitoring the status of the energy and buffer of the nodes and controlling the traffic. The calculation in the model is done using an NS-3 simulator on different states of performance. The various variables were measured like case dip range, case dispatch rate, output or capacity as well as detain using the proposed architecture, and thus the measured variables get differentiated while compared to the existing methods in increased dispatch rate, power effective and their feasibility for a very long period under network-connected QoS variables.
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