

Redefining the Risk of Surgery for Clinical Stage IIIA (N2) Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer: A Pooled Analysis of the STS GTSD and ESTS Registry

Andrew T Arndt (✉ Andrew_T_Arndt@rush.edu)

Rush University Medical Center <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5856-9329>

Alessandro Brunelli

St James's University Hospital

Silvia Cicconi

University of Liverpool

Pierre-Emmanuel Falcoz

Nouvel Hopital Civil

Michele Salati

University Hospitals of Ancona

Benjamin Kozower

Washington University School of Medicine in Saint Louis: Washington University in St Louis School of Medicine

Michael J. Liptay

Rush University Medical Center

Gaetano Rocco

Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center

Justin M. Karush

Rush University Medical Center

Nicole Geissen

Rush University Medical Center

Sanjib Basu

Rush University Medical Center

Christopher W. Seder

Rush University Medical Center

Original Research

Keywords: Surgery for Clinical Stage IIIA, Pooled Analysis, Pulmonary resection

Posted Date: February 5th, 2021

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-173045/v1>

License:   This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

[Read Full License](#)

Abstract

Background

Management of clinical stage IIIA-N2 (cIIIA-N2) non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) remains controversial. We evaluated treatment strategies and outcomes in cIIIA-N2 NSCLC patients who underwent pulmonary resection in The Society of Thoracic Surgeons General Thoracic Surgery Database (STS GTSD) and the European Society of Thoracic Surgeons (ESTS) Registry.

Methods

The STS GTSD and ESTS Registry were queried for patients who underwent pulmonary resection for cIIIA-N2 NSCLC between 2012 and 2016. Demographic variables, treatment strategies, and outcome measures were collected and analyzed. Significance of differences was determined using the X^2 test for categorical variables and the Wilcoxon rank sum test for continuous variables.

Results

Pulmonary resection was performed in 4279 cIIIA-N2 NSCLC patients (2928 STS GTSD; 1351 ESTS). Induction therapy was administered to 49%. Lobectomy was performed in 67.1% and pneumonectomy in 13%. Lobectomy was associated with 19.2% major morbidity and 1.6% operative mortality, while pneumonectomy was associated with 34.1% and 5%, respectively. Induction therapy was associated with a higher rate of major morbidity or mortality than upfront surgery (23.2% vs. 19.5%, $p=0.004$), driven by pneumonectomy (40.7% vs. 30.3%, $p=0.012$) rather than lobectomy (20.3% vs. 18.8%, $p=0.31$).

Conclusions

Pulmonary resection for cIIIA-N2 NSCLC is associated with low rates of operative morbidity and mortality, with lobectomy having lower morbidity and mortality than pneumonectomy. Induction therapy, particularly chemoradiotherapy, is associated with a higher rate of composite morbidity or mortality than upfront surgery in pneumonectomy patients but not lobectomy patients.

Introduction

Lung cancer is the leading cause of cancer death worldwide. [1] Approximately 1 in 4 patients diagnosed with non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) in the United States will present with locally advanced disease, with an overall 5-year survival of 29.7%. [2, 3] Significant variation in the treatment of stage IIIA-N2 NSCLC exists, both on a national [4] and international level. [5] Furthermore, there is no standard of care based on best medical evidence, as a number of multicenter, randomized controlled trials have demonstrated the efficacy of different multimodality strategies. [6–9]

The INT-0139, a phase III prospective, randomized controlled trial, demonstrated no difference in overall survival between definitive chemoradiotherapy and induction chemoradiotherapy followed by resection

for patients with stage IIIA-N2 NSCLC. [6] The lack of survival benefit in the surgical arm was partially driven by a 26% mortality rate following pneumonectomy. [4] However, a recent report from the Society of Thoracic Surgeons General Thoracic Surgery Database (STS GTSD) that examined management of stage IIIA-N2 NSCLC in North America reported excellent results with surgical resection. [10]

The STS GTSD and the European Society of Thoracic Surgeons (ESTS) Registry Taskforces have worked over the past number of years to standardize definitions and terminology for efficient and accurate database collaborations. [11] Building upon this, the current study analyzed pooled STS GTSD and ESTS Registry data to produce contemporary intercontinental outcome data and allow comparison of stage-specific practice patterns for clinical stage IIIA-N2 NSCLC, with the hypothesis that surgical resection, when performed as part of a multi-modality regimen, is associated with low rates of perioperative morbidity and mortality.

Methods

This study was granted exemption from full review and was therefore approved by the Rush University Medical Center Institutional Review Board.

Data Sources

The STS GTSD is the largest thoracic database in North America and includes demographic, operative, perioperative, and short-term outcome data. Similarly, the ESTS Registry is a comprehensive European database that maintains data including demographic information, operative details, and perioperative outcomes for thoracic surgical patients. To abide by international data transfer regulations, only aggregate data were obtained from the ESTS Registry.

Patients

Patients who underwent pulmonary resection for clinical stage IIIA (T1-3N2M0) NSCLC between 2012 and 2016 were identified from the STS GTSD and the ESTS Registry. Demographic variables, disease characteristics, treatment strategies, and outcome measures were defined by STS GTSD or ESTS Registry guidelines. [12, 13] Staging was according to the seventh edition of the American Joint Committee on Cancer NSCLC staging system. [14] Pulmonary resection was defined as either thoracoscopic or open: wedge resection, segmentectomy, lobectomy, bilobectomy, or pneumonectomy. Patients younger than 18 years of age and those who underwent non-elective procedures were excluded from analysis.

Variables

Demographic variables examined from the STS GTSD and ESTS Registry included: age, gender, height, weight, coronary artery disease, diabetes mellitus, dialysis, congestive heart failure, prior cardiothoracic surgery, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, cigarette smoking (never, past, or current), Zubrod score, clinical staging modality (computed tomography (CT) scan, positron-emission tomography-computed tomography (PET-CT), or invasive staging (endobronchial ultrasound (EBUS), endoscopic ultrasound

(EUS), or mediastinoscopy)), pathologic stage, and lung cancer histology. Treatment details collected included type of pulmonary resection performed, laterality, and the administration of preoperative chemotherapy and radiotherapy. Perioperative outcome data collected included date of surgery, date of discharge, major morbidity, and 30-day mortality. Major morbidity was defined as: tracheostomy, reintubation, initial ventilator support > 48 hours, adult respiratory distress syndrome, bronchopleural fistula, pulmonary embolism, pneumonia, unexpected return to the operating room for bleeding, myocardial infarction, deep vein thrombosis requiring treatment, atrial arrhythmia requiring treatment, renal failure (RIFLE criteria), chylothorax, and recurrent laryngeal nerve paralysis.

Statistical Methods

Descriptive analyses were performed across the populations with patients stratified by upfront surgery, induction chemotherapy followed by surgery, and induction chemoradiotherapy followed by surgery. The groups were compared using the χ^2 test for categorical variables and the Wilcoxon rank sum test for continuous variables. A p-value of < 0.05 was considered statistically significant. Patients who underwent lobectomy and pneumonectomy were the primary focus of this study and were specifically analyzed. These patients were categorized as having had upfront surgery versus any induction therapy; the “any induction” category was then further classified into chemoradiotherapy and chemotherapy subgroups, excluding those that received induction radiation alone.

Results

Patients

Between 2012 and 2016, pulmonary resection was performed on a total of 4279 clinical stage IIIA-N2 NSCLC patients: 2928 in the STS GTSD and 1351 ESTS Registry. STS patients were older (mean 65.2 vs 63.3 years, $p < 0.001$), were less likely to be male (51.8 vs 70%, $p < 0.001$), had a higher body mass index (27.5 vs 26.1, $p < 0.001$), and were more likely to have coronary artery disease (18.1 vs 13.8%, $p = 0.001$), diabetes mellitus (15.8 vs 5.1 %, $p < 0.001$), prior cardiothoracic surgery (14.2 vs 5.6%, $p < 0.001$), and COPD (35 vs 24.7%, $p < 0.001$). ESTS patients had a higher rate of baseline renal failure (1.9 vs 0.4%, $p < 0.001$) and a lower mean DLCO (69.7 vs 71.7% predicted, $p = 0.002$). [Table 1]

Table 1
Patient Baseline Characteristics

	STS + ESTS	STS	ESTS	p value
Total (n)	4279	2928	1351	
Age, years (mean ± SD)	64.6 ± 9.81	65.2 ± 9.9	63.3 ± 9.5	< 0.001
Male gender (%)	57.5 (2462)	51.8 (1516)	70 (946)	< 0.001
Body mass index (kg/m ² ; mean ± SD)	27.1 ± 5.46	27.5 ± 5.8	26.1 ± 4.5	< 0.001
Comorbidities				
CAD	16.8 (717)	18.1 (531)	13.8 (186)	0.001
Diabetes mellitus	12.4 (532)	15.8 (463)	5.1 (69)	< 0.001
Renal Failure	0.9 (39)	0.4 (13)	1.9 (26)	< 0.001
CHF	2.3 (98)	2.2 (64)	2.5 (34)	0.506
Prior cardiothoracic surgery	11.5 (493)	14.2 (417)	5.6 (76)	< 0.001
COPD	31.8 (1360)	35.0 (1026)	24.7 (334)	< 0.001
FEV1% predicted (mean ± SD)	82.7 ± 19.1	82.5 ± 19.6	83.0 ± 18.1	0.427
DLCO% predicted (mean ± SD)	71.1 ± 19.7	71.7 ± 20.5	69.7 ± 17.7	0.002
Zubrod (STS) or ECOG (ESTS) score				
0	41.8 (1789)	37.6 (1100)	51.0 (689)	< 0.001
I	47.6 (2038)	57.3 (1679)	26.6 (359)	< 0.001
II	4.3 (184)	4.1 (119)	4.8 (65)	0.273
III	0.8 (36)	0.8 (22)	1.0 (14)	0.345
IV	0.2 (7)	0.1 (4)	0.2 (3)	0.521
Unknown	5.3 (225)	0.1 (4)	16.4 (221)	< 0.001
Clinical staging modality				
CT alone	7.0 (300)	4.2 (124)	13.0 (176)	< 0.001
PET-CT	83.9 (3591)	89.9 (2631)	71.1 (960)	< 0.001

All data presented as % (n), unless otherwise specified. AJCC, American Joint Committee on Cancer; STS, Society of Thoracic Surgeons; ESTS, European Society of Thoracic Surgeons; CAD, coronary artery disease; CHF, congestive heart failure; COPD, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; CT, computed tomography; DLCO%pred, diffusion capacity, % predicted; FEV1%pred, forced expiratory volume in 1 second, % predicted; PET, positron emission tomography; EBUS-FNA, endobronchial ultrasound guided-fine needle aspiration.

	STS + ESTS	STS	ESTS	p value
Invasive mediastinal staging	45.3 (1937)	57.1 (1671)	19.7 (266)	< 0.001
Clinical T Stage (AJCC 7th Edition)	18.1 (774)	22.0 (643)	9.7 (131)	< 0.001
1a	17.5 (748)	20.0 (586)	12.0 (162)	< 0.001
1b	29.2 (1248)	30.5 (892)	26.3 (356)	0.021
2a	13.4 (575)	14.3 (420)	11.5 (155)	0.017
2b	16.6 (709)	11.1 (324)	28.5 (385)	< 0.001
3	5.6 (225)	2.2 (63)	12.0 (162)	< 0.001
Unknown				

All data presented as % (n), unless otherwise specified. AJCC, American Joint Committee on Cancer; STS, Society of Thoracic Surgeons; ESTS, European Society of Thoracic Surgeons; CAD, coronary artery disease; CHF, congestive heart failure; COPD, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; CT, computed tomography; DLCO%pred, diffusion capacity, % predicted; FEV1%pred, forced expiratory volume in 1 second, % predicted; PET, positron emission tomography; EBUS-FNA, endobronchial ultrasound guided-fine needle aspiration.

Staging

Most patients in the combined cohort had a PET-CT (83.9%) rather than a CT alone (7%) for radiographic staging. ESTS patients were more likely to be staged by CT alone (13 vs 4.2%, $p < 0.001$), whereas STS patients were more likely to have a PET-CT (89.9 vs 71.1%, $p < 0.001$). Overall, 45.3% of patients underwent invasive mediastinal staging, with STS patients more likely to undergo invasive mediastinal staging than ESTS patients (57.1 vs 19.7%, $p < 0.001$). STS patients were more likely to present with T1a, T1b, T2a, or T2b than ESTS patients, whereas ESTS patients had a higher rate of clinical T3 lesions (28.5 vs 11.1%, $p < 0.001$).

Treatment Strategy

In the combined cohort, 48.9% of patients underwent induction treatment, with STS patients being more likely to have received induction therapy than ESTS patients (52.5 vs 41.2%, $p < 0.001$). [Table 2] STS patients were more likely to have chemoradiotherapy than chemotherapy alone (32.6 vs 19.9%, $p < 0.001$), whereas ESTS patients were more likely to have chemotherapy alone (29.4 vs 11.8%, $p < 0.001$).

Table 2
Treatment Strategy

	STS + ESTS	STS	ESTS	p value
Induction Therapy	48.9 (2093)	52.5 (1537)	41.2 (556)	< 0.001
Induction chemotherapy	22.9 (980)	19.9 (583)	29.4 (397)	< 0.001
Induction chemoradiotherapy	26.0 (1113)	32.6 (954)	11.8 (159)	< 0.001
Minimally invasive	31.0 (1328)	37.8 (1106)	16.4 (222)	< 0.001
Thoracotomy	68.4 (2927)	62.1 (1818)	82.1 (1109)	< 0.001
Primary procedure				
Wedge resection	7.2 (310)	8.3 (242)	5.0 (68)	< 0.001
Segmentectomy	2.2 (96)	2.3 (68)	2.1 (28)	0.612
Lobectomy	67.1 (2871)	69.2 (2027)	62.5 (844)	0.012
Sleeve lobectomy	3.8 (164)	2.7 (78)	6.4 (86)	< 0.001
Bilobectomy	6.5 (280)	6.2 (181)	7.3 (99)	0.173
Pneumonectomy	13.0 (558)	11.3 (332)	16.7 (226)	< 0.001
Histopathology				
Adenocarcinoma	24.9 (1065)	24.8 (725)	25.2 (340)	0.805
Squamous cell carcinoma	12.0 (512)	11.1 (326)	13.8 (186)	0.021
Neuroendocrine	1.9 (80)	2.3 (66)	1.0 (14)	0.007
Mixed histology	1.0 (43)	0.9 (27)	1.2 (16)	0.427
Unknown	60.3 (2579)	60.9 (1784)	58.8 (795)	0.415
Pathologic stage				
pCR	5.4 (229)	6.6 (193)	2.7 (36)	< 0.001
IA	12.6 (538)	12.3 (360)	13.2 (178)	0.450
IB	6.8 (290)	8.2 (239)	3.8 (51)	< 0.001
IIA	8.7 (373)	10.1 (295)	5.8 (78)	< 0.001
IIB	7.6 (324)	7.6 (223)	7.5 (101)	0.877
IIIA	42.3 (1835)	46.3 (1357)	35.4 (478)	< 0.001

All data presented as % (n). STS, Society of Thoracic Surgeons; ESTS, European Society of Thoracic Surgeons.

	STS + ESTS	STS	ESTS	p value
IIIB	2.5 (107)	2.2 (65)	3.1 (42)	0.087
IV	1.5 (65)	1.6 (47)	1.3 (18)	0.501
TX or NX or Unknown	12.1 (518)	5.1 (149)	27.3 (369)	< 0.001
All data presented as % (n). STS, Society of Thoracic Surgeons; ESTS, European Society of Thoracic Surgeons.				

Minimally invasive surgical techniques were employed 31% of the time, with the STS cohort being more likely than the ESTS cohort to have undergone minimally invasive surgery (37.8 vs 16.4%, $p < 0.001$). Among upfront surgery patients, 57.5% of STS and 18% of ESTS patients had minimally invasive surgery. The most commonly performed procedure was lobectomy at 67.1%. Pneumonectomy was performed in 13% of patients overall and was the second most common operation in each database.

Pathology

While histopathology was unknown in 60.3% of patients (60.9 and 58.8% in STS and ESTS patients, respectively), the most common reported histopathology was adenocarcinoma, accounting for 24.9% of overall diagnoses and 65.7% of patients with a documented histopathology. The most common pathologic stage was IIIA, found in 42.3% of patients in the combined group. Among upfront surgery patients in the combined group, nodal overstaging (clinical N2, pathologic N0/1) occurred in 47.8% (998/2088).

Major Morbidity

The major morbidity rate in the combined cohort was 20.8%, with no difference between the STS and ESTS cohorts (21.4 vs 19.4%, $p = 0.185$). [Table 3] The 30-day mortality was 2% overall, with no difference between the STS and ESTS cohorts (2.1 vs 1.9%, $p = 0.735$). The STS group had a significantly higher rate of tracheostomy (1.2 vs 0.3%, $p = 0.042$), reintubation (2.1 vs 1.2%, $p = 0.036$), unexpected return to OR for bleeding (4.6 vs 1.3%, $p < 0.001$), DVT requiring treatment (1 vs 0.1%, $p = 0.001$), and atrial arrhythmia requiring treatment (12.6 vs 8.3%, $p < 0.001$). The ESTS cohort had a higher rate of pneumonia (7.8 vs 5.2%, $p = 0.002$) and a greater length of stay (7.6 vs 6.3 days, $p < 0.001$).

Table 3
Frequency of complications

	STS + ESTS	STS	ESTS	p value
Major morbidity	20.8 (888)	21.4 (626)	19.4 (262)	0.185
Tracheostomy	0.9 (39)	1.2 (35)	0.3 (4)	0.042
Reintubation	1.8 (78)	2.1 (62)	1.2 (16)	0.036
Initial ventilatory support > 48 Hours	0.7 (29)	0.8 (22)	0.5 (7)	0.389
Adult Respiratory Distress Syndrome	0.9 (39)	1.0 (30)	0.7 (9)	0.254
Bronchopleural fistula	0.5 (21)	0.5 (16)	0.4 (5)	0.444
Pulmonary embolus	0.5 (21)	0.6 (17)	0.3 (4)	0.217
Pneumonia	6.0 (258)	5.2 (153)	7.8 (105)	0.002
Unexpected return to operating room (for bleeding)	3.6 (154)	4.6 (136)	1.3 (18)	< 0.001
Myocardial infarction	0.3 (13)	0.4 (12)	0.1 (1)	0.064
DVT requiring treatment	0.7 (29)	1.0 (28)	0.1 (1)	0.001
Atrial arrhythmia requiring treatment	11.2 (480)	12.6 (368)	8.3 (112)	< 0.001
Renal failure (RIFLE criteria)	1.2 (51)	1.3 (37)	1.0 (14)	0.527
Chylothorax	0.8 (35)	0.7 (21)	1.0 (14)	0.283
Recurrent laryngeal nerve paralysis	1.6 (69)	1.7 (51)	1.3 (18)	0.327
30-day mortality	2.0 (87)	2.1 (61)	1.9 (26)	0.735
Hospital length of stay (days, mean ± SD)	6.7 ± 5.8	6.3 ± 6.6	7.6 ± 3.3	< 0.001
All data presented as % (n). STS, Society of Thoracic Surgeons; ESTS, European Society of Thoracic Surgeons; DVT, deep vein thrombosis; SD, standard deviation.				

Major Morbidity or Mortality for Lobectomy and Pneumonectomy by Treatment Strategy

Overall, lobectomy was associated with 19.2% major morbidity and 1.6% operative mortality, while pneumonectomy was associated with 34.1% major morbidity and 5% operative mortality. [Table 4] Among patients who underwent lobectomy or pneumonectomy, induction therapy was associated with a higher rate of composite major morbidity or mortality than upfront surgery (23.2 vs 19.5%, $p = 0.004$). The increased risk of morbidity or mortality with induction therapy was driven by patients who underwent pneumonectomy (40.7 vs 30.3% complication rate in induction vs upfront surgery, $p = 0.012$) rather than

lobectomy (20.3 vs 18.8% complication rate in induction vs upfront surgery, $p = 0.31$). Induction chemoradiotherapy was associated with a higher rate of composite morbidity or mortality than induction chemotherapy (25.2 vs 20.9%, $p = 0.025$) in patients who underwent lobectomy or pneumonectomy. Similarly, the increased risk of morbidity or mortality with induction chemoradiotherapy vs induction chemotherapy alone was driven by patients who underwent pneumonectomy (46.2 vs 34.6%, $p = 0.05$) rather than lobectomy (22.1 vs 18.2%; $p = 0.06$).

Table 4
Major morbidity and mortality stratified by treatment strategy

	(n)	Major Morbidity	Mortality	Major Morbidity or Mortality
All Lobectomy or Pneumonectomy*	3428	21.6 (739)	2.2 (74)	22.1 (758)
Lobectomy**	2886	19.2 (554)	1.6 (47)	19.6 (565)
Pneumonectomy	542	34.1 (185)	5.0 (27)	35.6 (193)
Right Pneumonectomy	237	31.2 (74)	5.5 (13)	33.8 (80)
Left Pneumonectomy	275	36.7 (101)	4.7 (13)	37.5 (103)
Upfront Surgery	1929	18.9 (365)	1.9 (37)	19.5 (376)
Lobectomy	1372	18.4 (253)	1.7 (23)	18.8 (258)
Pneumonectomy	267	28.5 (76)	3.7 (10)	30.3 (81)
Right Pneumonectomy	111	27.9 (31)	5.4 (6)	31.5 (35)
Left Pneumonectomy	131	28.2 (37)	2.3 (3)	29.0 (38)
Any Induction ♦Surgery	1978	22.8 (451)	2.3 (45)	23.2 (460)
Lobectomy	1514	19.9 (301)	1.6 (24)	20.3 (307)
Pneumonectomy	275	39.6 (109)	6.2 (17)	40.7 (112)
Right Pneumonectomy	126	33.3 (43)	5.6 (7)	35.7 (45)
Left Pneumonectomy	144	44.4 (64)	6.9 (10)	45.1 (65)
Chemoradiotherapy ♦Surgery	1075	24.8 (267)	2.5 (27)	25.2 (271)
Lobectomy	816	21.6 (176)	1.6 (13)	22.1 (180)
Pneumonectomy	145	46.2 (67)	6.9 (10)	46.2 (67)
Right Pneumonectomy	63	36.5 (23)	6.3 (4)	36.5 (23)
Left Pneumonectomy	79	53.2 (42)	7.6 (6)	53.2 (42)
Chemotherapy ♦Surgery	903	20.4 (184)	2.0 (18)	20.9 (189)
Lobectomy	698	17.9 (125)	1.6 (11)	18.2 (127)
Pneumonectomy	130	32.3 (42)	5.4 (7)	34.6 (45)

All data presented as % (n).

*Data include patients who underwent radiation alone followed by surgery

**Sleeve lobectomy patients included

	(n)	Major Morbidity	Mortality	Major Morbidity or Mortality
Right Pneumonectomy	63	31.7 (20)	4.8 (3)	34.9 (22)
Left Pneumonectomy	65	33.8 (22)	6.2 (4)	35.4 (23)
All data presented as % (n).				
*Data include patients who underwent radiation alone followed by surgery				
**Sleeve lobectomy patients included				

Examining mortality, patients who underwent any induction therapy had a 1.6% and 6.2% mortality rate with lobectomy and pneumonectomy, respectively. Mortality in the post-induction right pneumonectomy group was similar to the post-induction left pneumonectomy group (5.6% vs. 6.9%, $p = 0.661$).

Discussion

This study pooled 4279 clinical stage IIIA-N2 NSCLC patients from the STS GTSD and ESTS Registry, allowing for a robust analysis of a heterogeneous patient population. The results suggest pulmonary resection, as part of a multi-modality approach, is associated with low rates of perioperative morbidity and mortality, with lobectomy having lower morbidity and mortality than pneumonectomy. In addition, induction therapy, particularly chemoradiotherapy, is associated with a higher rate of composite morbidity or mortality than upfront surgery in pneumonectomy patients but not lobectomy patients.

These data highlight that radiographic and invasive mediastinal staging practices vary widely for clinical stage IIIA-N2 NSCLC. Despite being recommended for all clinical stage IIIA-N2 NSCLC patients by the American College of Chest Physicians [15] and the European Society of Thoracic Surgeons, [16] only 89.9% of STS and 71.1% of ESTS patients underwent PET-CT. Similarly, invasive mediastinal staging is recommended for clinical stage IIIA-N2 NSCLC patients [15, 16] but was performed only 45.3% of patients in the combined cohort. Invasive mediastinal staging was more frequently performed in the STS group than in the ESTS group (57.1 vs 19.7%). Perhaps the lower rate of invasive mediastinal staging in the ESTS group is explained by the higher rate of clinical T3 presentation (28.5 vs 11.1%, $p < 0.001$), and a radiographic T3N2 was more likely to be accepted as accurate and less likely to prompt invasive mediastinal staging than a radiographic T1-2N2 patient. A more likely explanation may be that surgeons and treatment teams in both the STS and ESTS used clinical judgment in determining which patients would benefit from invasive mediastinal staging. Examples could include a surgeon not judging lymph nodes that were “barely” over 1 cm or nodes that were faintly FDG avid to be high yield for biopsy despite a strict radiographic designation of N2 and a recommendation for invasive mediastinal staging. [15, 16]

An equally surprising finding was the low rate of induction therapy use for clinical stage IIIA-N2 NSCLC patients. In the combined STS and ESTS cohort, only 48.9% of patients underwent induction chemotherapy or chemoradiotherapy (52.5% in STS; 41.2% in ESTS). This number is particularly

noteworthy given that the cohort included only pretreatment, clinically N2 patients and is not reflective of nodal upstaging or “surprise” N2 disease in a group of clinical N0-1 patients; therefore, this group would be advised to undergo induction therapy by most current guidelines. [17] As with the possibility that some surgeons omitted invasive mediastinal staging in patients for whom they felt it was low yield, it is possible that this same subset of patients with mediastinal adenopathy that was deemed “borderline” would have at times undergone upfront surgery rather than induction treatment. However, clinical leeway in such “borderline” situations seems unlikely to account for such a disparity between recommendation and actual practice.

In line with previous literature [5], STS patients who underwent induction therapy were more likely to have chemoradiotherapy than chemotherapy alone, while ESTS patients were more likely to receive chemotherapy alone rather than chemoradiotherapy. As evidence mounts supporting the role of induction chemotherapy over chemoradiotherapy, increasing numbers of patients in both the STS GTSD and the ESTS Registry may receive induction chemotherapy alone in the future. [18]

Surgical management of clinical stage IIIA-N2 NSCLC was predominantly with an open lobectomy. Pneumonectomy was more common in the ESTS Registry than in the STS GTSD. The frequencies of lobectomy and pneumonectomy in the current study are consistent with other recently published reports. [10] Additionally, the rate of nodal overstaging noted in the upfront surgery group was in line with previous reports. [10] The overall mortality for lobectomy in the combined cohort was 1.6%, which did not differ for the upfront surgery, induction chemoradiotherapy, or induction chemotherapy groups. Overall mortality for the pneumonectomy group was 5% with similar rates between the induction chemotherapy only and chemoradiotherapy patients. This finding is in line with a recent report from the ESTS Database Committee that post-induction pneumonectomy, in a largely chemotherapy only cohort, was not associated with a higher mortality than pneumonectomy without induction therapy. [19] Additionally, in contradiction to some prior reports [20], post-induction right pneumonectomy in this study did not carry a higher rate of mortality than post-induction left pneumonectomy. However, this finding is consistent with other reports that demonstrated no difference in post-induction mortality between right and left pneumonectomy. [10].

This study has certain limitations. The STS GTSD and the ESTS Registry are voluntary databases that do not capture all surgically managed NSCLC patients and likely reflect trends at major academic and tertiary care centers—with care rendered by “expert teams.” The STS includes participating hospitals from the United States, Israel, Singapore, and the United Arab Emirates; 32% are teaching hospitals. [21] The ESTS includes participating hospitals from Albania, Austria, Belgium, Croatia, France, Germany, Greece, Hungary, Italy, the Netherlands, Poland, Portugal, Romania, Slovakia, Slovenia, Spain, Switzerland, Turkey, and the United Kingdom; 19.4% are teaching hospitals. [22] Therefore, while this study’s 5% operative mortality for pneumonectomy after induction therapy is in line with other recent published reports of STS and ESTS data, [10, 19] this outcome may not be generalizable to every hospital. In addition, the inability to compare patient-level data between the STS GTSD and the ESTS Registry limits the conclusions that can be drawn. Without the ability to risk adjust, comparing outcomes become difficult; this report is purely

descriptive, and caution should be used when interpreting the findings in this unadjusted dataset. Additional limitations include lack of information on number of lymph node stations involved, which would improve granularity of the dataset given the heterogeneous nature of the disease, and high rate of unknown pathology.

In conclusion, this study suggests that pulmonary resection for cIIIA-N2 NSCLC is associated with low rates of operative morbidity and mortality, with lobectomy having lower morbidity and mortality rates than pneumonectomy. Induction therapy, particularly chemoradiotherapy, is associated with a higher rate of composite morbidity or mortality than upfront surgery in pneumonectomy patients but not lobectomy patients. In addition, this report highlights that there is room for improvement in both radiographic and invasive staging in patients with clinical stage IIIA-N2 NSCLC.

Declarations

Acknowledgements

The data for this research were provided by the Society of Thoracic Surgeons' National Database Participant User File Research Program. Data analysis was performed at the investigators' institutions.

Funding

This study was funded by the Department of Cardiovascular and Thoracic Surgery, Rush University Medical Center, Chicago, IL, USA.

Disclosures

The authors have no conflicts of interest.

Presentation

This abstract has been accepted for oral presentation at The Society of Thoracic Surgeons 2020 Annual Meeting, January 25-28, 2020 in New Orleans, LA, USA.

Synopsis

Management of clinical stage IIIA-N2 (cIIIA-N2) non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) remains controversial. A pooled analysis of the STS GTSD and ESTS Registry found that pulmonary resection for cIIIA-N2 NSCLC is associated with low rates of operative morbidity and mortality.

References

[1] Siegel R, Miller KD, Jemal A. Cancer statistics, 2018. CA Cancer J Clin 2018;68(1):7-30.

- [2] Noone AM, Howlader N, Krapcho M, et al. SEER Cancer Statistics Review, 1975-2015, National Cancer Institute. Bethesda, MD, https://seer.cancer.gov/csr/1975_2015/, based on November 2017 SEER data submission, posted to the SEER web site, April 2018.
- [3] Goldstraw P, Chansky K, Crowley J, et al. The IASLC Lung Cancer Staging Project: proposals for revision of the TNM stage groupings in the forthcoming (eighth) edition of the TNM classification for lung cancer. *J Thorac Onc* 2016;11(1):39-51.
- [4] Veeramachaneni NK, Fein RH, Stephenson BJK, LJ Edwards, Fernandez FG. Management of stage IIIA non-small cell lung cancer by thoracic surgeons in North America. *Ann Thorac Surg* 2012;94:922–8
- [5] Seder CW, Salati M, Kozower BD, et al. Variation in pulmonary resection practices between the Society of Thoracic Surgeons and the European Society of Thoracic Surgeons General Thoracic Surgery Databases. *Ann Thorac Surg* 2016;101(6):2077-84.
- [6] Albain KS, Swann RS, Rusch VW, et al. Radiotherapy plus chemotherapy with or without surgical resection for stage III non-small-cell lung cancer: a phase III randomised controlled trial. *Lancet* 2009;374:379-86.
- [7] Albain KS, Rusch VW, Crowley JJ, et al. Concurrent cisplatin/etoposide plus chest radiotherapy followed by surgery for stages IIIA (N2) and IIIB non-small-cell lung cancer: mature results of Southwest Oncology Group Phase II Study 8805. *J Clin Oncol* 1995;13:1880-92.
- [8] van Meerbeeck JP, Kramer GW, Van Schil PE, et al. Randomized controlled trial of resection versus radiotherapy after induction chemotherapy in stage IIIA-N2 non-small-cell lung cancer. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 2007;99(6):442-50.
- [9] Thomas M, Rübe C, Hoffknecht P, et al. Effect of preoperative chemoradiation in addition to preoperative chemotherapy: a randomised trial in stage III non-small-cell lung cancer. *Lancet Oncol* 2008;9(7):636-48.
- [10] Boffa D, Fernandez FG, Kim S, et al. Surgically managed clinical stage IIIA-clinical N2 lung cancer in the Society of Thoracic Surgeons Database. *Ann Thorac Surg* 2017;104:395-403.
- [11] Fernandez FG, Falcoz PE, Kozower BD, et al. The Society of Thoracic Surgeons and The European Society of Thoracic Surgeons General Thoracic Surgery Databases: joint standardization of variable definitions and terminology. *Ann Thorac Surg* 2015;99:368–76.
- [12] Society of Thoracic Surgeons General Thoracic Surgery Database Training Manual: Version 2.3. Available at www.sts.org/sites/default/files/documents/August28_v2.3_GTSD_Training_Manual.pdf (Accessed August 27, 2018)

- [13] European Society of Thoracic Surgery Annual Database Report (2016). Available at http://www.ests.org/_userfiles/pages/files/ESTS%202016Silver_Book_FULL_FINAL_14.50.pdf (accessed August 27, 2018)
- [14] Detterbeck FC, Boffa DJ, Tanoue LT. The new lung cancer staging system. *Chest* 2009;136:260-271.
- [15] Silvestri GA, Gonzalez AV, Jantz MA, et al. Methods for staging non-small cell lung cancer: diagnosis and management of lung cancer, 3rd ed: American College of Chest Physicians Evidence-Based Clinical Practice Guidelines. *Chest* 2013;143(5 Suppl):e211S–50S.
- [16] De Leyn P, Doooms C, Kuzdzal J, et al. Revised ESTS guidelines from preoperative mediastinal lymph node staging for non-small-cell lung cancer. *Eur J Cardiothorac Surg* 2014;45(5)787-98.
- [17] Ramnath N, Dilling TJ, Harris LJ, et al. Treatment of stage III non-small cell lung cancer: diagnosis and management of lung cancer, 3rd ed: American College of Chest Physicians Evidence-Based Clinical Practice Guidelines. *Chest* 2013;143(5 Suppl):e314S–40S.
- [18] Blumenthal GM, Bunn PA, Jr., Chaft JE, et al. Current status and future perspectives on neoadjuvant therapy in lung cancer. *J Thorac Oncol* 2018;13:1818-31.
- [19] Brunelli A, Rocco G, Szanto Z, et al. Morbidity and mortality of lobectomy or pneumonectomy after neoadjuvant treatment; an analysis from the ESTS database. *Eur J Cardiothorac Surg* 2020;57(4)740-46.
- [20] Yang CJ, Shah SA, Lin BK, et al. Right-sided versus left-sided pneumonectomy after induction therapy for non-small cell lung cancer. *Ann Thorac Surg* 2019;107:1074-81.
- [21] Email communication with Adelaide Dolan, Database Coordinator, STS National Database.
- [22] Email communication with Stefano Passani, MD MHM.