Genetic analysis of multiple sclerosis severity identifies a novel locus and implicates CNS resilience as a major determinant of outcome
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Abstract

Multiple sclerosis (MS) is an autoimmune disease of the central nervous system (CNS) that results in significant neurodegeneration in the majority of those affected and is a common cause of chronic neurological disability in young adults. To provide insight into the mechanisms determining progression, we conducted a genome-wide association study of the age-related MS severity score in 12,584 cases and replicated our findings in a further 9,805 cases. We identified a significant association with rs10191329 in the DYSF-ZNF638 locus (P=3.6×10^{-9}), the risk allele shortening the median time to require a walking aid by up to 3.7 years. We also identified suggestive association with rs149097173 in the DNM3-PIGC locus (P=2.3×10^{-7}) and significant enrichment for expression in CNS tissues. Mendelian randomization analyses indicated a protective role for higher educational attainment. In contrast to immune-driven susceptibility, these findings indicate a key role of CNS resilience and neurocognitive reserve in determining outcome in MS.

Introduction

Multiple sclerosis (MS) is an autoimmune disease of the central nervous system (CNS)\textsuperscript{1} affecting more than 2.8 million individuals worldwide\textsuperscript{2} and profoundly reducing quality of life for the majority of affected individuals\textsuperscript{3}. Clinically, the disease is characterized by recurrent episodes of largely reversible neurological dysfunction, known as relapses, together with steady and unrelenting accumulation of chronic neurological disability, referred to as progression\textsuperscript{4}. The relative impact of these largely independent features varies between patients and during the course of illness within individuals\textsuperscript{4}. Over the last few decades the introduction of a range of immunological treatments has transformed the ability to control relapse activity in the disease, leaving therapy capable of controlling progression as the greatest currently unmet clinical need\textsuperscript{5}.

Case-control genome-wide association studies (GWAS) have identified over 200 variants influencing susceptibility to the disease, with the strongest effects coming from the major histocompatibility complex (MHC)\textsuperscript{6} and the implicated genes being overwhelmingly enriched for immune relevance. Although these risk variants have been found to reduce the age at onset\textsuperscript{7–11}, it is notable that they do not appear to have any impact on disease severity\textsuperscript{11–17}. These findings, together with the concordance for outcome within families\textsuperscript{18–21}, suggest that an independent genetic architecture determines the clinical course of the disease, as has been seen in other autoimmune\textsuperscript{22} and neurological conditions\textsuperscript{23,24}. However, published efforts to systematically interrogate severity have to date only involved modest numbers of cases, and unanimously fall short of identifying any convincingly associated genetic variants\textsuperscript{10,17,25–27}.
Through long-standing international collaborations, we have completed the largest in-depth effort to date aimed at characterizing the genetic architecture underlying MS severity. In this study, we combined cross-sectional and longitudinal analyses of MS-specific disability outcomes, and correlated findings with tissue-specific expression patterns. We contrasted the genetic determinants of susceptibility and severity, and examined potential modifiable risk factors for MS progression. Given the significantly increased potential for the development of rational therapies attached to drug targets with genetic support, our work will likely help to advance patient priorities with regard to treatment and prognosis.

Results

Here we describe a genetic analysis of disease severity performed in 12,584 people with MS of European ancestry. After imputation to the Haplotype Reference Consortium and rigorous quality control (Methods), a total of 7.8 million autosomal single nucleotide variants with a minor allele frequency (MAF) > 0.01 were analyzed. The discovery cohort was collected from 21 centers across North America, Europe and Australia (Extended Data Fig. 1 and Supplementary Table 1). In line with standard practice, neurological disability was measured using the Expanded Disability Status Scale (EDSS), an ordinal numerical scale that increases as neurodegeneration progresses. To control for the effects of aging, individual EDSS measures were converted to the age-related MS severity (ARMSS) score by ranking disability within age-specific strata (Methods). To ensure that residuals were normally distributed, we based our analyses on the rank-based inverse-normal transformation (RINT) of the ARMSS score, unless otherwise indicated. To reduce the influence of disability fluctuation related to relapses and lessen the imprecision of attempting to predict outcome in patients early in the disease, we focused recruitment on older individuals with longer duration of disease who had effectively declared their outcome. Consequently, mean age at last follow-up and disease duration were 51.7 and 18.2 years, respectively (Supplementary Table 2 and Extended Data Fig. 2). Replication of variant associations was tested in existing data from an independent cohort of 9,805 cases (Supplementary Tables 1 and 2, Extended Data Fig. 2). The replication population was organized into four strata matched by genotyping platform and was subjected to equivalent quality control procedures (Extended Data Fig. 1 and Supplementary Tables 3 and 4).

Heritability and tissue enrichment. The SNP-based heritability estimate ($h^2_{SNP}$) for variants with a MAF > 0.01 was 0.10 (s.e. 0.03). After partitioning the data into 10 MAF and linkage disequilibrium (LD) score bins, an approach which is generally regarded as more robust, the estimated $h^2_{SNP}$ was slightly higher (0.13, s.e. 0.04; Supplementary Table 5). Partitioned heritability analysis by functional annotation with 96 categories did not identify strong enrichment in any category after correction for multiple testing (Supplementary Table 6). To uncover disease-relevant tissues, we combined variant association statistics with gene expression profiles from 205 tissues and cell types in a heritability enrichment analysis using
stratified LD score regression (LDSC)\textsuperscript{34}. We observed a significant enrichment (adjusted for multiple testing) exclusively in CNS tissues across multiple brain regions and the C1 segment of the cervical spinal cord (Fig. 1 and Supplementary Table 7). In contrast, repeating the same analysis for MS susceptibility revealed strong enrichment in lymphoid organs, immune lymphoid and myeloid cells, as well as in tissues with recognized immunological functions and microbiota interactions (pharynx, lung, terminal ileum and endocervix; Fig. 1 and Supplementary Table 8)\textsuperscript{6}. This pattern faithfully recapitulates the immune-related nature of susceptibility associations, further highlighting the striking difference from the heritability pattern observed for disease severity.

**Discovery and replication of a disease severity locus for MS.** To identify genetic variants associated with MS severity, we first performed a cross-sectional GWAS using ARMSS scores with the entire discovery cohort, adjusting for age, sex, date of birth, EDSS source, center, genotyping batch and the first ten principal components. Use of MS disease modifying therapy was not included as a covariate given the potential for collider bias\textsuperscript{35}. We observed only modest inflation of the test statistics ($\lambda_{\text{GC}} = 1.016$; Supplementary Fig. 3) and LDSC yielded an intercept not significantly different from 1 (1.009, s.e. 0.007, 95% confidence interval [CI] 0.996 to 1.022), consistent with polygenicity driving inflation\textsuperscript{36}. An association signal in the DYSF–ZNF638 locus reached genome-wide significance ($P < 5 \times 10^{-8}$) (Fig. 2 and Table 1). The lead variant rs10191329$^A$ was not close to (> 3 Mb) or in LD with ($r^2 \leq 0.006$) any of the lead MS susceptibility variants\textsuperscript{6}. Eleven additional loci showed suggestive association with ARMSS score ($P < 5 \times 10^{-6}$; Fig. 2), thereby identifying 12 independent loci that were brought forward for replication (Supplementary Table 9). Conditional and joint analysis did not identify secondary signals.

The DYSF–ZNF638 locus was confirmed in the replication population and retained genome-wide significance in fixed-effects meta-analysis (Table 1). The direction of effect was consistent across all replication centers without evidence of heterogeneity (Q-statistic = 1.5, $P = 0.99$; $I^2 = 0$; Extended Data Fig. 4). A suggestive association signal in the DNM3-PIGC locus replicated but did not reach genome-wide significance in the combined analysis (Table 1). The lead variant in this locus (rs149097173$^T$) did not overlap with any of the known MS susceptibility loci. The ten other suggestive loci were not replicated. Statistical fine-mapping supported the replicated lead variants to be causal at their respective loci (rs10191329 posterior inclusion probability (PIP) = 0.75, rs149097173 PIP = 0.95; Extended Data Fig. 5).

**Genetic modifiers of longitudinal disability outcomes in MS.** We next investigated whether the associations identified using the cross-sectional ARMSS score-based GWAS could be confirmed using additional MS specific disability outcomes from patients who had been assessed longitudinally. For this analysis, we identified 8,325 patients in our study with EDSS documented at three or more timepoints.
Cumulatively, these patients were evaluated over 54,113 study visits spanning up to 13.9 years (Methods). Adjusted Cox proportional hazards analyses showed that the lead DYSF–ZNF638 variant (rs10191329A) was associated with faster 24-week confirmed disability worsening (hazard ratio [HR] = 1.1 per unit increase in allele dosage, 95% CI 1.02-1.18, \(P = 7.9\times10^{-3}\); Fig. 3a), a metric used as the primary outcome in progressive MS therapeutic trials\(^{37}\). In homozygous carriers, the lead variant also conferred a 3.7-year shorter median time to using a walking aid (HR = 1.22, 95% CI 1.09-1.38, \(P = 9.3\times10^{-4}\); Fig. 3b), a clinically relevant MS disability milestone that typically tracks with the progressive phase of the disease and fixed neurological disability\(^{38}\). Moreover, a generalized linear mixed model analysis of serial EDSS across all visits confirmed that DYSF–ZNF638 risk allele carriers displayed faster disability progression (\(P = 0.002\); Fig. 3c).

Although less frequent (MAF 0.01), carrier status at rs149097173T in the DNM3 locus was similarly associated with faster 24-week confirmed disability worsening (HR = 1.29, 95% CI 1.02-1.65, \(P = 0.037\)), shorter time to EDSS 6.0 (HR = 1.56, 95% CI 1.05-2.34, \(P = 0.029\)), and accelerated rate of disability accrual (\(P = 0.041\); Fig. 3d-f). The median time to require a walking aid was 2.2 years less for risk allele carriers than for non-carriers.

**Gene prioritization and associations with other traits.** To identify possible biological mechanisms at the discovered loci, we applied several approaches to prioritize putative causal genes (Methods, Supplementary Table 10). The intergenic MS severity variant rs10191329 is nearest to DYSF (3,692 base pairs to the transcription start site), and this gene was prioritized by the combined SNP-to-gene (cS2G)\(^{39}\) strategy based on enhancer-gene linking. This variant also displayed a methylation quantitative trait locus (QTL) effect in the promoter region of DYSF (ENSR00001922663) in the dorsolateral prefrontal cerebral cortex\(^{40}\) (Supplementary Table 11). In addition, rs10191329 showed correlation (\(r^2 > 0.6\)) with fine-mapped expression QTLs for the upstream gene ZNF638 (Supplementary Table 12) and weaker correlation with splicing QTLs for the same gene in brain (\(r^2 0.3\) to 0.4). Among other traits, rs10191329A has been negatively associated with intelligence (Supplementary Table 13). Both these genes are highly expressed in neuronal and glial cells in the CNS with shared specificity for oligodendrocytes (Extended Data Fig. 6 and 7) and are important in biological processes of potential relevance. DYSF is implicated in membrane repair\(^{41}\); ZNF638 mediates the silencing of unintegrated viral DNA\(^{42}\) and regulates adipogenesis\(^{43}\). The suggestive variant rs149097173 is intronic to DNM3 and PIGC, the latter also being nominated by cS2G. Reported trait associations for this second variant are limited to height (Supplementary Table 14), but DNM3 is known to participate in the morphogenesis of the postsynaptic density and excitatory synaptic transmission\(^{44}\) and demonstrates preferential expression in the CNS, specifically in neurons and oligodendrocyte lineage cells (Extended Data Fig. 6 and 7). PIGC initiates biosynthesis of the glycosylphosphatidylinositol anchor (Extended Data Fig. 8)\(^{45}\).
Limited influence for genetic susceptibility to MS on disease outcomes. We undertook multiple approaches to determine whether previously described MS susceptibility variants also drive disease severity. First, in an LD score regression analysis we observed only weak non-significant genetic correlation between MS severity and susceptibility ($r_g = 0.17, p = 0.25$). Next, the proportion of susceptibility variants showing concordant direction of effect in the severity GWAS was not different from that expected by chance ($P_{\text{binom}} = 0.097$). We then aggregated the effect of the genome-wide significant MS susceptibility variants into a polygenic risk score (PRS) and evaluated the gain in coefficient of determinant (incremental $R^2$) when the PRS is added as a variable to a regression of the phenotype on a set of baseline covariates (Methods). We found a weak but statistically significant positive correlation with ARMSS score (incremental $R^2 = 0.001, P = 7.1 \times 10^{-5}$) across MHC and non-MHC regions (Supplementary Fig. 5). However, higher genetic susceptibility for MS leads to earlier age at onset, which in turn is associated with increased MS severity (Supplementary Fig. 4). Therefore, we repeated this analysis adjusting for age at onset and observed that the effect of the susceptibility PRS on ARMSS score was substantially attenuated (incremental $R^2 = 3.9 \times 10^{-4}, P = 0.014$; Supplementary Fig. 5). In addition, we interrogated the association of susceptibility variants with longitudinal disability outcomes. Individually, none of the variants influenced these outcomes after adjusting for the number tested (Extended Data Fig. 9a-c and Supplementary Table 15). Furthermore, none showed consistent nominal association ($P < 0.05$) across outcomes (Extended Data Fig. 9d and Supplementary Table 15). Comparing individuals in the highest susceptibility PRS quartile to those in the lowest, we detected no significant differences in longitudinal outcomes in the adjusted survival and linear mixed model analyses (Extended Data Fig. 10). In short, we found no evidence that susceptibility variants exert a meaningful effect on the outcome of the disease.

Mendelian randomization (MR) highlights an association between educational attainment and MS severity. We investigated putative causal and modifiable risk factors for MS severity using two-sample MR. We focused our analyses on traits with prior evidence for association with MS outcomes and suitable genetic instruments, namely 25-hydroxyvitamin D (25OHD) levels, body mass index (BMI), and educational attainment (Supplementary Table 16). The latter was further motivated by the implication of brain reserve in MS disability progression and our finding of CNS heritability enrichment. MR analyses did not indicate a causal role for either 25OHD levels or BMI (Fig. 4). In contrast, the main inverse-variance weighted MR estimate provided support for an association between higher years of education and milder MS severity, at two p-value thresholds for genetic instrument selection ($\beta = -0.16, P_{\text{IVW}} = 0.014$ based on 263 education-associated variants; $\beta = -0.16, P_{\text{IVW}} = 9.7 \times 10^{-4}$ based on 610 education-associated variants). This result was substantiated by pleiotropy-robust MR sensitivity analyses (Fig. 4; Methods). Additionally, the MR-Egger intercept revealed little evidence of directional pleiotropy and MR-PRESSO found no outliers (Supplementary Table 17). We observed no significant
heterogeneity based on Cochran’s Q-statistic and MR-PRESSO global test. Reverse analysis did not support an effect of genetic liability to MS severity on 25OHD levels, BMI or years of education (Supplementary Table 17).

**Discussion**

In summary, this GWAS, which included over 22,000 people with MS, suggests that outcome in the disease is at least in part influenced by the resilience of the CNS to injury. We have identified the first genome-wide significant modifier of long-term outcome in MS, and have thereby identified high value targets for drug discovery. The lead variant, and an additional suggestive association, replicated and showed concordant significant effects in a range of MS-specific longitudinal disability outcomes across tens of thousands of patient visits. These severity variants were not associated with susceptibility. Furthermore, we show that genetic susceptibility burden has little influence on cross-sectional and longitudinal outcomes outside of its effect on age at onset. Finally, MR analyses provide evidence for educational attainment as a potential modifiable risk factor for MS progression. Our observations concord with the proposed enhanced penetrance of monogenic causes of neurological disease reported to result from comorbidity with MS.

Our findings demonstrate that approximately 13% of the variance in long-term MS severity (by heritability analysis) can be attributed to common and low frequency single nucleotide variation, explaining some of the considerable variability in MS outcome. Notably, this GWAS revealed enrichment for this heritability in components of the brain and spinal cord, in marked contrast to the pronounced immune signal seen for MS susceptibility. Although divergent genetic determinants of susceptibility and progression have been noted in other conditions, the observation of distinct tissue enrichment is to our knowledge unique to MS. This result has potentially significant clinical implications. A persistent challenge in understanding MS progression has been determining the relative contributions of inflammatory activity (including CNS-compartmentalized immune responses) and neurodegeneration. Here, we show that genes preferentially expressed within the CNS in controls likely contribute to MS severity. This strongly implicates neuronal and glial mechanisms as key determinants of MS progression, and provides genetic evidence to support the search for new therapeutic targets focused on neuroprotection and brain repair. It may also partly explain why immunosuppressive therapies have thus far had little or no effect on disability accumulation in progressive MS trials.

The two main identified MS severity variants had a clinically meaningful impact on time to needing a walking aid, with the median interval from onset shortened by 3.7 years for homozygous carriers of the common *DYSF-ZNF638* variant (rs10191329A) and 2.2 years for carriers of the *DNM3-PIGC* variant (rs149097173T). Although not comparable in terms of likely mechanism, the magnitude of this effect is
comparable to the impact of treatment with a first line disease modifying agent such as beta-interferon. This key MS disability milestone is associated with unemployment, reduced quality of life and irreversible neurological disability. In principle, relapses and progression could both influence the MS severity outcomes used in this study. However, although relapses typically lead to transient increase in disability, it is recognized that their contribution to long-term disability and confirmed disability progression is limited, especially after the first few years post diagnosis. In addition, relapse frequency spontaneously diminishes over time. In this context, a recent study of relapse activity in MS reported distinct genetic association signals and alternate pathways. Given the average age and disease duration of our population (respectively 51.7 and 18.2 years), as well as the associations with time to EDSS 6.0, our findings are likely to reflect independent mechanisms underlying MS progression. Nevertheless, additional longitudinal analyses will be required to further refine the effects of these severity variants on MS phenotypes, including molecular, imaging and pathology.

Our gene prioritization analyses implicated four biologically plausible genes at the identified loci, including ZNF638 upstream of the intergenic variant rs10191329. ZNF638 encodes the DNA-binding zinc finger protein 638 (also known as NP220), which mediates the transcriptional repression of unintegrated retroviral DNA through recruitment of the human silencing hub (HUSH) complex and the histone methyltransferase SETDB1. The same chromatin repressors are involved in epigenetic silencing of endogenous retroviruses. Several exogenous and endogenous viruses have been considered in MS pathogenesis, with the most compelling evidence implicating respectively Epstein-Barr virus (EBV) and human endogenous retrovirus type-W (HERV-W). The possibility of ZNF638 silencing EBV or HERV-W could have therapeutic implications in MS, as demonstrated by the ongoing development of EBV T-cell therapy (NCT03283826) and HERV-W envelope protein-binding monoclonal antibody. Furthermore, convergent evidence supports a role, still to be determined, for ZNF638 in the CNS, including in the context of MS. The gene is highly expressed in the brain, particularly in oligodendrocytes and their precursor cells, and has been implicated repeatedly in large-scale genetic studies of intelligence and general cognitive ability. In single-nucleus RNA sequencing from brain white matter areas in MS patients and controls, ZNF638 was preferentially expressed in an oligodendrocyte cluster with a predicted actively myelinating phenotype. Moreover, cell expression of ZNF638 was proportionally enriched in control brain tissue and chronic inactive MS lesions compared to other MS lesions.

DYSF, the nearest gene to rs10191329, encodes dysferlin, a type II transmembrane protein. Although widely expressed, its functions are mainly characterized in skeletal muscle where it participates in calcium-mediated membrane repair and regeneration. Recessive pathogenic variants lead to muscular dystrophies (OMIM 254130, 253601, 606768). DYSF is also specifically expressed in oligodendrocytes and excitatory neurons, and the protein has been found to accumulate in Aβ-containing extracellular...
neuritic plaques, in proportion to Alzheimer disease severity\textsuperscript{75}. Although its role in the CNS has yet to be determined, participation in membrane maintenance of neurons or glia could influence neuronal and axonal survival (such as in response to axonal swelling\textsuperscript{76}) or subsequent remyelination.

The suggestive variant rs149097173 is located in intron 20 of \textit{DNM3}, which encodes dynamin-3 and mediates synaptic vesicle endocytosis. As with other prioritized genes, expression is preferentially in oligodendrocytes lineage cells and neurons. An independent variant in \textit{DNM3} was reported to associate with age of onset in \textit{LRRK2} parkinsonism\textsuperscript{77}, although this did not replicate in a follow-up study\textsuperscript{78}. Interestingly, the paralog dynamin-2 participates in membrane repair by wound-induced endocytosis in skeletal muscle\textsuperscript{79}, which may point to a convergence of mechanisms with \textit{DYSF}. Variant rs149097173 is also intronic to \textit{PIGC}, mutations in which can lead to intellectual disability and epilepsy\textsuperscript{45}.

Our MR results do not support a causal role for serum 25OHD levels or BMI on MS severity, which may potentially indicate confounding or reverse causality in the reported observational associations. This agrees with the inconclusive results of randomized trials of vitamin D supplementation in MS\textsuperscript{80}, and with a recent prospective study that found no association between BMI and clinical disability\textsuperscript{81}. We note that these MR analyses assume linearity and may not be applicable to individuals at the extremes of trait distributions. Additionally, as obesity and vitamin D deficiency are risk factors for the development of MS\textsuperscript{1}, collider bias may occur, although its effect is likely to be small\textsuperscript{82}. On the other hand, a few observational studies have documented an inverse association between educational attainment and subsequent MS disability\textsuperscript{50–52} as well as retinal neurodegeneration\textsuperscript{83}. In accordance with these data, we have found genetic support for educational attainment having a causal effect on reducing long-term MS severity, with little evidence of horizontal pleiotropy. The effect size was substantial, with 4 years of additional education (equivalent to an undergraduate degree) predicted to reduce the rank of disability by a quintile. This finding would be consistent with education promoting neurocognitive reserve\textsuperscript{84}, and thereby increasing resilience to neuronal degeneration resulting from MS injury and aging. Similar protective effects of education have also been observed in Alzheimer’s disease and frontotemporal dementia\textsuperscript{84,85}, indicating some commonality with other neurodegenerative conditions. In addition, our results support the study of modifiable lifestyle factors that have been proposed to influence neurocognitive reserve and maintenance\textsuperscript{84}, such as social engagement, diet and physical activity, as potential approaches to slow MS progression.

In conclusion, this study presents conclusive evidence for the role of genetic variation in influencing MS progression. MS has undergone a therapeutic revolution in the past few decades, with the emergence of ever more effective immune therapies that reduce and even halt relapses. Despite this, treatment of
progression remains an unmet need. We have identified genetic drivers of disability in MS, providing new directions for functional characterization and drug development targeted on the neurodegenerative component of the disease. Successful unraveling of the genetic basis for disease susceptibility has implicated dysregulation across immune cells as a driver of MS onset. Our findings establish CNS resilience and reserve as key determinants of MS progression, and may have broader implications for other neurodegenerative diseases.

Materials And Methods

Study participants and GWAS outcome. The discovery population consisted of patients with MS recruited through 21 centers from North America, Europe and Australia. A total of 15,072 patients were genotyped on a common platform (Illumina Global Screening Array) in five cohorts. Samples from patients with longer disease duration, older age, and availability of longitudinal outcome measures were preferentially submitted for genotyping. A primary progressive onset was reported in 8.6% of patients with a documented disease phenotype. Supplementary Tables 1 and 2 respectively describe the case counts per center and additional demographic characteristics. The replication population consisted of a combination of already genotyped MS patients and controls with available clinical information assembled through 9 European centers and genotyped on various Illumina arrays, resulting in 17 cohorts (Supplementary Table 3). Patients that passed sample quality control and had at least one disability measure were included in the analysis (Extended Data Fig. 1). All participants gave written informed consent in accordance with approval from the relevant local ethical committees or institutional review boards. Patients with MS were ascertained and diagnosed by a neurologist locally according to established criteria. Neurological disability was measured using the EDSS\textsuperscript{29}, an ordinal scale which incorporates a range of neurological functions relevant to MS. EDSS was scored by neurologist assessment in all but 1,040 cases (4.6%), where it was approximated via questionnaire. For each individual, the last recorded EDSS was converted to an ARMSS score by ranking disability against participants with the same age (±2 years) from the same cohort and from an additional 26,058 patients with MS\textsuperscript{30}.

Quality control and imputation. For each cohort, we performed individual- and variant-level quality control, after which cohorts were merged into strata based on genotyping platform and submitted to additional stratum-level quality control (Supplementary Note). Sample overlap across strata and between the discovery and replication populations was assessed, and duplicates removed. Imputation to the Haplotype Reference Consortium panel (release 1.1)\textsuperscript{86} was performed using Minimac4 (v1.0.2)\textsuperscript{87} and in-house scripts. The resulting variant counts and imputation quality metrics are described in Supplementary Table 4 and Supplementary Fig. 2, respectively.
GWAS and replication. To identify genetic variants associated with MS severity, we performed a linear regression model implemented in fastGWA\textsuperscript{88} using genotype dosages. We applied a rank-based inverse-normal transformation (RINT) to the ARMSS scores and fit as covariates in the model age, sex, date of birth, EDSS source (neurologist assessment vs. questionnaire), center, genotyping batch and the first ten principal components. Disease modifying therapy was not included as it is not a confounder (i.e. does not influence genotype) and may instead introduce collider bias\textsuperscript{35}. To assess any residual confounding due to population stratification or cryptic relatedness, we calculated the genomic inflation factor and LDSC intercept using HapMap3 variants and LD scores from 1000 Genomes phase 3\textsuperscript{36}. Conditional and joint (COJO) analysis\textsuperscript{89} was performed to identify potential secondary association signals. Lead variants with association $P \leq 5 \times 10^{-8}$ were considered genome-wide significant and were tested in the replication population, together with those with suggestive association $P \leq 5 \times 10^{-6}$.

As above, linear regression of ARMSS scores was performed in the replication population using the same covariates. Individual-level imputed genotypes were merged across strata prior to joint analysis. Principal components were calculated on a set of hard-called high-quality (imputation $R^2 \geq 0.9$, genotype missingness < 0.01, MAF > 0.05) and LD-pruned genotypes. To examine for heterogeneity, we recalculated the association between lead variants and MS severity in the replication stratified by center (n=9) and computed Q-statistics and $I^2$ tests. Finally, association statistics from the discovery and replication were combined using fixed-effects meta-analysis.

Heritability estimation. To estimate SNP-based heritability, we constructed a genomic relationship matrix (GRM) from all variants and used it to remove individuals (n = 848) with a coefficient of relationship $> 0.025$. The resulting GRM was used to estimate SNP-heritability with restricted maximum likelihood (single-component GREML)\textsuperscript{90}. As SNP-heritability can be sensitive to LD and allele frequency assumptions\textsuperscript{31}, we also fitted a model with ten GRMs (GREML-LDMS) constructed from variants assigned to five MAF bins (0.01-0.1, 0.1-0.2, 0.2-0.3, 0.3-0.4 and 0.4-0.5) each divided into two by the median LD score in each bin. To calculate LD scores, variants were first hard-called (PLINK2 –hard-call-threshold 0.1) then filtered for missingness < 0.05, MAF > 0.01 and HWE $P > 10^{-6}$. Heritability analyses were adjusted for the same set of covariates as the GWAS.

Heritability enrichment analyses. We used stratified LDSC (version 1.0.1) to calculate SNP-based heritability enrichment for 96 categories (baseline-LD model version 2.2)$^{32,33}$, including functional, MAF-related and LD-related annotations. Next, we assessed the SNP-based heritability associated with different tissues by applying stratified LDSC to our GWAS summary statistics using a gene expression dataset consisting of 205 tissues and cell types (as provided in the LDSC software)$^{34}$. Tissues and cell
types were grouped into nine categories for visualization (Supplementary Tables 7 and 8). The same analysis was repeated with the summary statistics from the discovery phase of our previous GWAS meta-analysis of MS susceptibility to compare the enrichment patterns. We applied FDR correction for multiple testing within each enrichment analysis, and FDR-corrected \( P < 0.05 \) were considered statistically significant.

**Longitudinal analysis of MS disability outcomes.** We identified a subset of 8,325 MS patients from our study population with a minimum of 3 visits separated by at least 6 months (5,565 from the discovery cohort and 2,760 from the replication cohort). These patients contributed a total of 56,966 visits, of which 54,113 (95%) occurred within 13.9 years of follow-up from the first study visit (mean 5.2 years). Two key MS-specific disability outcomes were examined in survival analyses. First, we estimated the influence of MS severity variants on time to a clinically meaningful increase in neurological disability. Similar to MS clinical trials, worsening was defined as an increase in EDSS by 1.0 if the baseline score was < 5.5 and by 0.5 if the baseline was \( \geq 5.5 \). To increase specificity, the endpoint also required this EDSS increase to be maintained on a subsequent visit and for at least 24 weeks. Second, we examined the influence of genotype on time (from disease onset) to reaching EDSS 6.0 (defined as requiring unilateral assistance to walk more than 100 meters). Following left-censoring, 7,832 patients and 51,189 study visits remained, extending to 28.3 years from disease onset. Cox proportional hazards analyses were carried out using the coxph function in the ‘survival’ package (version 3.2-11) in R, with Efron approximation for tie handling. Sex, age at onset, date of birth, center, genotyping platform and the first ten principal components were included as covariates. Adjustment for baseline EDSS was included in the 24-week confirmed disability worsening analysis to account for the non-linear nature of this scale; this was not applicable for the time to EDSS 6.0 analysis. The proportional hazards assumption was examined by inspection of scaled Schoenfeld residuals. Hazard ratios were calculated using dosages for rs10191329 and carrier status for rs149097173 given its low frequency.

To assess the influence of MS severity variants on the rate of disability progression, we constructed a generalized linear mixed model with serial EDSS scores as the dependent variable. The primary predictor was the interaction term between genotype (dosage or carrier status) and time in the study (years), with individuals and centers as random terms. Subject-level fixed covariates were sex, age at onset and study entry, date of birth and the first ten principal components. This analysis was performed using penalized quasi-likelihood estimation as implemented in the glmmPQL function from the ‘MASS’ package (version 7.3-54) in R to address the non-normal distribution of EDSS.

**Fine-mapping.** For each lead variant, effect estimates on MS severity in a 250 kb region centered on the variant were extracted. A variant correlation matrix was computed with LDstore2 (version 2.0) from the
same genotype dosage used to generate the GWAS summary statistics. Fine-mapping with shotgun stochastic search was performed using FINEMAP (version 1.4)\textsuperscript{92} with equal prior probabilities.

**Gene prioritization and associations with other traits.** To prioritize putative causal genes, we applied a combination of functional and non-functional strategies: (1) the closest gene(s), defined as genes with overlapping bodies or closest transcription start site; (2) genes that overlap with a genomic range of 200 kb centered around the variant; (3) genes with missense or loss of function coding variants in LD ($r^2 > 0.6$) with the lead variant; (4) genes with fine-mapped (PIP > 0.1) cis-eQTL or splicing QTL in LD ($r^2 > 0.6$) with the lead variant; (5) genes prioritized by Open Targets Genetics using a V2G\textsuperscript{93} threshold of 0.5; (6) genes prioritized by the combined SNP-to-gene (cS2G) strategy\textsuperscript{39}. We retrieved fine-mapped QTLs from GTEx\textsuperscript{94} (version 8) and the eQTL catalogue\textsuperscript{95}. The V2G aggregates weighted evidence from variant functional prediction, colocalization with molecular QTLs, chromatic interaction and gene distance. The cS2G strategy consists of seven components, with gene assignments most often driven by a single feature. Moreover, we evaluated the influence of MS severity variants on brain dorsolateral prefrontal cortex methylation based on 543 individuals from ROSMAP (Bonferroni-corrected $P < 5\times10^{-9}$)\textsuperscript{40}.

To investigate the effects of the MS severity variants on previously reported phenotypes, we retrieved phenome-wide associations in the Open Target Genetics portal\textsuperscript{96} obtained from the GWAS Catalog, UK Biobank and FinnGen.

**Gene expression profiles.** Gene expression values in human tissues for the prioritized genes at the two MS severity loci were obtained from GTEx\textsuperscript{94} (version 8). Cell type expression profiles for the same genes were evaluated using single cell RNA sequencing data in 76 cell types from the Human Protein Atlas\textsuperscript{97}. We examined genes for cell type specificity, defined as expression that is at least fourfold higher in a cell type compared to the mean of all others (cell type enhanced)\textsuperscript{97}. Since PIGC expression in brain neuronal and glial cell types was missing, we obtained it from a study of 4 progressive MS patients and 5 non neurological controls with single nuclear RNA expression in white matter tissues\textsuperscript{74}.

**MS susceptibility variants.** To compare the genetic architecture of MS susceptibility and severity, we calculated the genome-wide genetic correlation excluding the MHC region using bivariate LDSC (version 1.0.1)\textsuperscript{36}. A free intercept was modeled to allow for sample overlap. We then focused our analyses on the 232 autosomal MS susceptibility associations we previously reported\textsuperscript{6}. For non-MHC variants, we included the association statistics from the joint analysis and labeled them using the discovery variant
(‘SNP discovery’). We excluded variants that were palindromic (n=1), missing from the current study (n=1) or with a joint $P > 5 \times 10^{-8}$ (n=2). For MHC associations, we included those reported as non-palindromic single nucleotide variants (as opposed to HLA alleles) and added rs3135388 to tag HLA-DRB1*1501$^{98}$. In total, 209 variants (197 non-MHC and 12 MHC) were examined (Supplementary Table 15). A two-sided exact binomial test was used to assess concordance of direction of effect on MS susceptibility and severity. The same variants were tested for association with longitudinal outcomes using a Bonferroni-corrected significance threshold ($P < 0.05/209$ or $2.4 \times 10^{-4}$) and evaluated for concordance of nominal association ($P < 0.05$) across four disability outcomes (ARMSS score, 24-week confirmed disability worsening, time to EDSS 6.0 and rate of EDSS change).

To determine the aggregate effect of MS susceptibility on disability outcomes, we constructed a PRS using 178 variants retained following LD clumping ($r^2 < 0.01$) of the 209 susceptibility associations. Variants were weighted by the natural log of their joint odds ratio. We then regressed the ARMSS scores on the PRS adjusting for the same covariates as in the GWAS. We also regressed the phenotype on the covariates alone and measured the difference in $R^2$ with and without the PRS, reported as the incremental $R^2$. We performed similar analyses using age at onset, as well as ARMSS scores adjusted for age at onset. Next, we compared individuals in the highest and lower quartile of PRS based on the same survival and linear mixed model analyses as previously described for the MS severity variants.

**Mendelian randomization.** We applied MR analysis to investigate the effects of 3 exposures with robust genetic associations and strong prior evidence of association with MS severity. In the case of body mass index and 25-hydroxyvitamin D, previous MR studies additionally provided support for a causal role in the development of MS$^{99}$. A description of the GWAS used to proxy the exposures is provided in Supplementary Table 16. For each of these, variants were selected at two different association thresholds ($P < 5 \times 10^{-8}$ and $P < 5 \times 10^{-5}$), as in previous studies$^{24}$, and LD clumped ($r^2 < 0.001$) to ensure independence. Palindromic variants were excluded. For variants absent from our MS severity GWAS, we selected a strong LD proxy ($r^2 > 0.8$) when possible. The variants included were examined for instrument strength$^{100}$ (mean $F$-statistic $> 10$; Supplementary Table 16).

The main analysis was performed using the inverse-variance weighted MR approach with a random-effects model. We also tested for heterogeneity across the genetic variants as a potential indicator of horizontal pleiotropy, using the Cochran’s Q-statistic and MR-pleiotropy residual sum and outlier (PRESSO) global test$^{101,102}$. To further examine the assumption of no horizontal pleiotropy, we applied four additional MR methods: robust adjusted profile score, weighted median, MR-PRESSO and MR-Egger regression (reviewed in ref$^{102}$). Consistent results across these methods reduce the likelihood of bias. For
the MR-Egger regression, we focused on the intercept as a test for unbalanced pleiotropy given that the association estimate is considerably underpowered\textsuperscript{103}, although beta-coefficients are reported in Supplementary Table 17.

To determine the direction of effect, we also conducted a reverse analysis examining the effect of genetic liability to MS severity on each of the traits considered. As a single variant was available at the instrument selection threshold of $P < 5\times 10^{-8}$, we applied a Wald ratio test in place of the inverse-variance weighted MR.

Finally, to provide an interpretable estimate of the effect size of education on MS severity, we conducted a GWAS of untransformed ARMSS scores and repeated the educational attainment MR analysis with estimates on the absolute scale.

MR analysis was conducted in R using in-house scripts, as well as the ‘MendelianRandomization’ and ‘TwoSampleMR’ packages.
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Tables

Table 1 | Variants associated with MS severity.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chr.</th>
<th>Position (bp)</th>
<th>ID</th>
<th>Risk allele</th>
<th>RAF</th>
<th>Effect (s.e.)</th>
<th>P discovery</th>
<th>P replication</th>
<th>P combined</th>
<th>Genes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>71676999</td>
<td>rs10191329</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.089 (0.015)</td>
<td>9.7×10⁻⁹</td>
<td>0.021</td>
<td>3.6×10⁻⁹</td>
<td>DYSF-ZNF638</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>172370873</td>
<td>rs149097173</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.256 (0.056)</td>
<td>4.1×10⁻⁶</td>
<td>0.010</td>
<td>2.3×10⁻⁷</td>
<td>DNM3-PIGC</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Effect on ARMSS score in patients with MS. Two variants were genome-wide significant (bold) or suggestive in the discovery GWAS and confirmed in the replication population. Chr., chromosome; bp, base pair (GRCh37); RAF, risk
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**Figures**
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**Figure 1**

**Tissue and cell type heritability enrichment.** **a,** MS susceptibility from previous meta-analysis. **b,** MS severity from this study. While susceptibility associations display strong immunological lymphoid and myeloid enrichment, our analysis of MS severity uncovered significant enrichment exclusively in CNS tissues. Each point represents one of 205 tissues and cell types, grouped by color into 9 categories. Large circles are significant at a false discovery rate cutoff of 0.05 (dotted line). Full results including tissue and cell type labels are provided in *Supplementary Tables 7 and 8.*
Within-cases GWAS identifies a novel locus associated with MS severity. **a**, Genome-wide association statistics obtained by linear regression of ARMSS scores. The $-\log_{10}(P)$ are plotted against chromosomal position. The horizontal dashed line corresponds to the genome-wide significant threshold ($P < 5 \times 10^{-8}$) and the horizontal dotted line reflects the threshold for suggestive association ($P < 5 \times 10^{-6}$). The bold label indicates the lead genome-wide significant and replicated variant. Variants labeled in gray were not replicated. **b**, Locus Zoom plot for rs10191329 (DYSF-ZNF638 locus). **c**, Locus Zoom plot for rs149097173 (DNM3-PIGC locus). Top, $-\log_{10}(P)$ for variants at each locus (left y-axis) with the recombination rate indicated by the blue line (right y-axis); bottom, gene positions. Colors represent LD ($r^2$ values) with the lead variant. LD, linkage disequilibrium.
Figure 3

**MS severity variants accelerate disability accumulation in longitudinal analysis.** *a*, Covariate-adjusted cumulative incidence of 24-week confirmed disability worsening in MS patients based on rs10191329 genotype. Similar to MS clinical trials37, worsening was defined as an increase in EDSS by 1.0 if the baseline score was < 5.5 and by 0.5 if the baseline was ≥ 5.5. *b*, Covariate-adjusted cumulative incidence of requiring a walking aid for the same lead variant. Homozygous carriers had a 3.7-year shorter median time to require a walking aid. *c*, Adjusted mean EDSS scores over time predicted from linear mixed model analysis showed faster disability worsening in risk allele carriers. Shaded ribbons indicate the standard error of the mean over time. The same analyses were repeated for the low-frequency variant rs149097173 (*d–f*); carriers had a 2.2-year shorter median time to require a walking aid. HR and *P* values were obtained from Cox proportional hazards models using imputed allele dosage for rs10191329, and carrier status for rs149097173 (*a–b,d–e*, Methods). CI, confidence interval; HR, hazard ratio.
Figure 4

Mendelian randomization analysis estimates from MS severity and a priori selected phenotypes. MR results for the effect of 25OHD, BMI and years of education on RINT(ARMSS). The inverse variance weighted analysis and sensitivity analyses consistently demonstrated reduced MS severity with higher years of education. Additional MR methods (MR-Egger intercept and MR-PRESSO) showed no evidence of pleiotropy (Supplementary Table 17). Results for 25OHD and BMI were not significant. Point estimates are presented for two p-value instrument selection thresholds, with error bars reflecting 95% confidence intervals. Significant results are marked with an asterisk. 25OHD, 25-hydroxyvitamin D; ARMSS, age-related multiple sclerosis severity score; BMI, body mass index; RINT, rank-based inverse-normal transformation.
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