Simple Strategy for Rapid and Sensitive Detection of 2019 novel coronavirus Based on Antibody
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Abstract

Objectives: Since December 2019, acute respiratory disease due to 2019 novel coronavirus emerged in Wuhan city and rapidly spread throughout China. Real-time RT-PCR is widely deployed in diagnostic virology. However, the positive detection rates of RT-PCR are only 30% to 50%. Therefore, we propose a simple strategy for rapidly and sensitively detecting the IgM/IgG antibody against 2019-nCoV using a colloidal gold-based immunochromatographic strip test.

Methods: A total of 41 clinically 2019-nCoV suspected cases (23 males and 18 females) were enrolled. The sensitivity of colloidal gold-based immunochromatographic strip test and of RT-PCR were compared and evaluated. McNemar’s test was used to compare the detection rate of both assays (P<0.05).

Results: The Antibody was detected in 63.4% (26/41) of blood specimens using the assay. In contrast, the 2019-nCoV was detected in 46.3% (19/41) of nasal and pharyngeal swab specimens using the RT-PCR assays. The detection rate obtained by this assay was markedly higher than that obtained by the RT-PCR assays (P=0.039)

Conclusion: This detection assay exhibits a higher detection sensitivity than RT-PCR. More important, the assay shows the benefits of easy operation and setup. We believe that the sensitive and time-saving approach may be used as an auxiliary diagnostic tool for 2019-nCoV detection and virus screening and confirmation.

Introduction

According to the World Health Organization (WHO), the WHO China Country Office was informed of cases of pneumonia of unknown aetiology in Wuhan City, Hubei Province, on 31 December 2019. High-throughput sequencing has revealed a novel betacoronavirus that is currently named 2019 novel coronavirus (2019-nCoV). Evidence pointing to the person-to-person transmission in hospital and family settings has been accumulating. Lots of patients who are infected with the 2019-nCoV progress to pneumonia or acute respiratory distress syndrome. As of February 27th, 2020, a total of 78,630 laboratory-confirmed cases of human infection with 2019-nCoV in China, and among them, 2009 ended in death. A confirmed case with 2019-nCoV ARD was defined as a positive result to high-throughput sequencing or real-time reverse-transcriptase polymerase-chain-reaction (RT-PCR) assay for nasal and pharyngeal swab specimens. However, high-throughput sequencing is time-consuming and complex. Although RT-PCR is relatively time-saving, it still needs several hours. At present, the positive detection rates of RT-PCR are only 30% to 50%. Additionally, high cost and the need of well-trained technical staff further limit the technique. Therefore, there is an urgent demand for developing a simple, cost-effective, sensitive, and specific assay to rapidly diagnose infections with the 2019-nCoV. We propose a simple strategy for rapidly and sensitively detecting the IgM/IgG antibody against 2019-nCoV using a colloidal gold-based immunochromatographic strip test. Most importantly, the assay time took less than
5 min. In this study, the sensitivity of colloidal gold-based immunochromatographic strip test and of RT-PCR were compared and evaluated.

**Patients And Methods**

*Study Population.* A total of 41 clinically 2019-nCoV suspected cases (23 males and 18 females) which from the First Affiliated Hospital of Henan University of Science and Technology were enrolled. Suspected cases were identified as having fever, or respiratory symptoms, or radiologic abnormalities on computed tomography, and a history of exposure to wildlife in Wuhan seafood market, a travel history or contact with a confirmed case within 2 weeks. Written informed consent forms were provided and signed by the study participants, and the study procedures were conducted in agreement with ethics committee regulations. The study was approved by the Institutional Ethics Committee of the First Affiliated Hospital of Henan University of Science and Technology.

*Procedures.* Laboratory confirmation of the 2019-nCoV was achieved through the concerted efforts of Luoyang Center for Disease Prevention and Control (CDC). The RT-PCR assay for nasal and pharyngeal swab specimens was conducted in accordance with the protocol established by the World Health Organization. The detection of IgM/IgG antibody was performed using the colloidal gold-based immunochromatographic strip test kit (Zhu Hai Livzon Diagnostics INC, Zhuhai, China). Briefly, the assay is performed by adding 10 μl of serum/plasma or 20 μl of whole blood, and 2 drops of the assay buffer. Reacting bands were read after 1–5 min. The final results were agreed upon by 2 investigators (Fig.1).

*Statistical analysis*

Statistical analyses were performed using IBM SPSS Statistics Version 22.0. McNemar’s test was used to compare the detection rates of the assay and RT-PCR. Differences were considered statistically significant when $P<0.05$.

**Results**

The Antibody was detected in 63.4% (26/41) of blood specimens using this assay. Among them, the IgM was detected in 29.3% (12/41) of blood specimens. The IgG was detected in 46.3% (19/41) of blood specimens. In contrast, the 2019-nCoV was detected in 46.3% (19/41) of nasal and pharyngeal swab specimens using the RT-PCR assays. The detection rate obtained by the assay was markedly higher than that obtained by the RT-PCR assays ($P = 0.039$) (Table 1).

Table 1. Sensitivity of this assay
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>N=41</th>
<th>RT-PCR</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>Negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The assay</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Discussion**

Rapid and accurate diagnosis of respiratory viruses can help in epidemiologic monitoring, along with taking effective prevention steps and implementing appropriate antiviral therapies. In the present case of 2019-nCoV, RT-PCR is widely deployed in diagnostic virology. In this study, the detection assay exhibits a higher detection sensitivity than RT-PCR. More important, this assay shows the benefits of easy operation and setup. Thus, We believe that the sensitive and time-saving approach may be used as an auxiliary diagnostic tool for 2019-nCoV detection and virus screening and confirmation. Nevertheless, further systematic investigations on clinical specimens collected from 2019-nCoV-infected patients at different post-onset time points will be needed.
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**Figures**
Figure 1
The results of the assay. The procedure does not require any specific technic, can be tested easily and rapidly, and showed clear results.