Life history traits and biogeographic features shaped the complex evolutionary history of an iconic apex predator (Galeocerdo cuvier).
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Abstract

Background. The tiger shark (*Galeocerdo cuvier*) is a large iconic marine predator inhabiting worldwide tropical and subtropical waters. So far, only mitochondrial markers and microsatellites studies have investigated its worldwide historical demography with inconclusive outcomes. Here, we assessed for the first time the genomic variability of tiger shark by Rad-sequencing 50 individuals from five sampling sites in the Indo-Pacific (IP) and one in the Atlantic Ocean (AO) to decipher the extent of the global connectivity and its demographic history.

Results. Clustering algorithm, \( F_{ST} \) and an approximate Bayesian computation framework revealed the presence of two clusters corresponding to the two oceanic basins. By modelling the two-dimensional site frequency spectrum, we tested alternative isolation/migration scenarios between these two populations. We found the highest support for a divergence time of \(~193,000\) years before present (B.P) and an ongoing but limited asymmetric migration \(~176\) times larger from the IP to the AO \((Nm\sim3.9)\) than *vice versa* \((Nm\sim0.02)\).

Conclusions. The two oceanic regions are isolated by a strong barrier to dispersal more permeable from the IP to the AO through the Agulhas leakage. We finally emphasized contrasting recent demographic histories for the two regions, with the IP characterized by a recent bottleneck around 2,000 years B.P. and the AO by an expansion starting 6,000 years B.P. The large differentiation between the two oceanic regions and the absence of population structure within highlight the existence of two large management units and call for future conservation programs at the oceanic rather than local scale, particularly in the Indo-Pacific where the population is declining.

Background

Predation plays a fundamental role in the top-down regulation of ecosystem dynamics, with apex predators being key actors in promoting species diversity (1). However, in marine ecosystems, many predatory species have declined across their range (2). As such, considerable effort should be devoted to develop conservation programs that correctly define units of managements for these species (3). Recent advances in sequencing technologies allow the characterization of thousands of independent loci giving the power to assess the genetic diversity of any target model or non-model species, which can inform management policies. However, genetic diversity should be complemented by the reconstruction of species connectivity and historical demography to better establish conservation priorities, since understanding how populations are spatially connected as well as the divergence time between lineages is essential to decipher at which geographic scale a species should be managed. Reconstructing the evolutionary history of a species is often a complex task that requires an educated choice of the most likely model of evolution, often selected among a reduced selection of biologically meaningful models. Unfortunately, selection of an inappropriate model can yield misleading estimates of critically important parameters as more data are collected. This has important implications for conservation genetic
applications that rely on accurate estimates of genetic diversity and changes in effective population size through time (4–7).

The tiger shark (*Galeocerdo cuvier*, Péron & Lesueur, 1822) is a large and iconic apex marine predator, that is considered “Near Threatened” by the International Union for Conservation of Nature (IUCN). Though the tiger shark is a predominantly coastal species, its distribution includes tropical and subtropical waters worldwide (8). The species is heavily impacted by fisheries (9) and shark control programs in the Indo-Pacific (10). Indirect estimates have suggested an annual number of tiger shark catches between 50,000 and 300,000 individuals (11), raising conservation concerns. Though not directly endangered by global climate changes, the species is likely to extend its habitat range poleward as a consequence of the increase in annual sea surface temperatures (12), which may increase the potential for greater trans-oceanic movements (13). Despite being found predominantly along the coastline, tiger sharks spend considerable time in pelagic waters and telemetry studies have shown that they can cross oceanic expanses (14–16), but no evidence of contemporary migration between the Indo-Pacific and the Atlantic Ocean has yet been found.

Knowledge of these ecological traits is important to devise meaningful evolutionary models, but it is not sufficient. Large marine predators with continuous distribution can be intuitively considered as capable of high dispersal due to the absence of clear physical barriers (17). Nevertheless, there are both examples of panmictic species, such as the blue shark *Prionace glauca* (18) or the mako shark *Isurus oxyrinchus* (19), and examples of species structured according to ocean basins such as *Carcharhinus galapagensis* and *Carcharhinus obscurus* (20). The degree of population structure, the extent of genetic diversity and the historical demography of the tiger shark remain largely mis-understood because of contrasting evidences mainly based on mitochondrial DNA (mtDNA) or nuclear microsatellite loci (21–27). Even though all studies recognize the existence of a clear separation between the Indo-Pacific (IP) and the Atlantic Ocean (AO), it remains controversial whether they represent two allopatric species as originally proposed by (21) or two divergent lineages as proposed by (22). In addition, an accurate characterization of divergence and migration is still lacking. Indeed, (22) provided a divergence time computed on mtDNA using a non-equilibrium model implemented in mdiv (28) but no confidence interval could be determined. At the same time, migration rates were estimated using the equilibrium model implemented in Migrate (29). Yet it remains unclear which model should be finally preferred to estimate which parameter. Population structure within the IP is also contentious, with (22) suggesting moderate population structure with a separation between Hawaii and the rest of IP, while (24) and (23) pointing to a panmictic population, which was recently supported by genomic data but few sampling locations (27). Furthermore, an in-depth analysis of the historical demography in both regions is still lacking, with only (24) supporting a recent decrease in effective population size in two sampling sites from the IP. Rad-seq provides a wealth of data that can help to resolve controversies originated by the analysis of mtDNA and of a small panel of microsatellites. To this end, we sequenced a total of 50 sharks from six sites in the IP and one in the AO (Fig. 1) to investigate the genetic diversity, the level of population structure, and the historical demography in all sampling sites. Finally, we tested alternative evolutionary scenarios to model the divergence and migration between IP and AO by fitting the observed two-dimensional site frequency
spectrum (2D-SFS) with extensive coalescent simulations. These analyses are necessary not only to reconstruct the evolutionary history of the tiger shark but also to better inform conservation strategies.

Results

Rad-seq sequencing

The average number of reads retained per individual after the quality filtering and demultiplexing step was 4,011,430 (± 1,314,894 s.d.). After a first round of de novo assembly and filtering using STACKS v.2, the depth of coverage was low with a mean of 12.65 (± 6.36 s.d.), which motivated the use of the genotype-free allele frequency estimation pipeline implemented in angsd (30) rather than the direct call. The final number of loci (variable and fixed) was highly variable between sampling locations (Table 1) ranging from 16,953 to 118,591 in Brazil (BRA) and New Caledonia (NCA) sampling sites respectively, with a number of SNPs with no missing data following a similar trend (from 5,868 to 26,075 for BRA and NCA, respectively).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sample size (n), mean pairwise difference (θ_π), Watterson theta (θ_w), Tajima's D (TD), and total number of loci (monomorphic included) (n_loci) and SNPs (n_SNP) for all sampling sites (ranged from west to east). AUS_E: East Coast of Australia; AUS_N: North Coast of Australia; BRA: Brazil; COR: Coral Sea; NCA: New Caledonia; RUN: Reunion Island.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>n</th>
<th>θ_π (10^-3)</th>
<th>θ_w (10^-3)</th>
<th>TD</th>
<th>n_loci</th>
<th>n_SNP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BRA</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>1.16</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>16,953</td>
<td>5,868</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RUN</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>-0.19</td>
<td>71,214</td>
<td>19,971</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AUS_N</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>-0.19</td>
<td>38,420</td>
<td>11,627</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COR</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>97,736</td>
<td>18,407</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AUS_E</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>49,380</td>
<td>11,385</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCA</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>-0.03</td>
<td>118,591</td>
<td>26,075</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

^a Tajima's D values in bold are significantly different from 0 (P< 0.001).

Population structure

After filtering, the remaining number of SNP was 24,454 for the Principal Component Analysis (PCA) and the non-negative matrix factorization (nmf) inference, and ranged from 8,785 to 15,977 per population pair for the F_ST computation. Pairwise F_ST highlighted a moderate differentiation between Indo-Pacific (IP) and Atlantic Ocean (AO) sampling sites, with values ranging from 0.117 to 0.129 and systematically significant (P≤ 0.001, Fig. 2 and Table S1). Conversely, the average F_ST between IP sites was 0.023
(ranging from 0.018 to 0.029) and not significant for the majority of pairwise comparisons (Table S1). The Mantel test, computed between IP sampling sites only (given the evidence of a clear genetic discontinuity between AO and IP), showed no correlation between genetic and geographic distances \((r = 0.005, P = 0.62, \text{Figure S1})\). Clustering analyses were consistent with the observed pattern of differentiation. First, the PCA clearly segregated AO from IP individuals, with 38.71\% of the total variance explained by the first axis (Fig. 3). Second, the \text{nmf} algorithm selected \(K = 2\) ancestral populations corresponding to IP and AO (Fig. 2). Individuals from IP had a probability ancestry to cluster 1 ranging from 92.6–100\% whereas individuals from AO showed a probability ancestry to cluster 2 ranging from 84.6 to 100\%. Average ancestry of cluster 2 in IP individuals was only 0.7\% while average ancestry of cluster 1 in AO individuals was 4.4\%. Sharks from Reunion Island (RUN), the IP sampling site closest to AO, did not show higher cluster 2 contribution than other IP individuals nor lower \(F_{ST}\) or more proximity in the PCA plot to AO (Fig. 2 and Fig. 3). When computed on IP individuals only, the PCA identified a single cluster (Fig. 3) and the \text{nmf} did not show any meaningful geographic clusters with \(K = 2\) (Figure S2). We further applied an Approximate Bayesian Computation (ABC) framework using a 500 trees random forest for all sampling sites after checking for the evolution of the out-of-bag error rate. The model selection between the \text{Stepping Stone (SS)}, \text{Finite Island (FIM)} and \text{Non-Structured (NS)} models (Figure S3 and Table S2) highlighted NS as the most supported model with a posterior probability ranging from 0.48 to 0.89 in the IP sampling sites and of 0.62 for BRA (Table S3).

**Genetic diversity and variation of \(Ne\)**

Genetic diversity values were very similar among sampling sites, with BRA being slightly more variable than the IP counterpart (Table 1). Tajima’s D \(TD\) was significantly positive for BRA \(TD = 0.137; P \leq 0.001\), while significantly negative for Northern Australia (AUS\(_N\)) and RUN \(P \leq 0.001\) and not significantly different from zero for the other populations (Table 1). Except for the AUS\(_N\) population, the reconstructions of the effective size \(N_e\) through time by the stairwayplot were very similar among IP locations: an ancestral expansion occurred between \~100,000 and \~200,000 years before present (BP). bringing the median \(N_e\) to \~10,000 followed by a very recent bottleneck \~2,000 to \~4,000 years BP (Fig. 4). The stairwayplot for AUS\(_N\) displayed a different signal, with an ancestral \(N_e\) median value similar to the one retrieved in the other sampling sites (\~10,000) followed by a strong and recent expansion that raised the modern \(N_e\) to \~35,000, contrasting with the recent decrease observed for the other IP sampling sites. The demographic history reconstructed for BRA was slightly more complex with the ancestral \(N_e\) of \~12,000 first decreasing to \~9,000 at \~40,000 years BP and then increasing (between \~4,000 and 6,000 years BP) to a modern \(N_e\) of \~20,000 (Fig. 4).

**Population divergence and migration rate estimation**

In the light of the absence of population structure within the IP and the AO and the genetic distinctness between them, we tested five Isolation-Migration (IM) models to determine the divergence time and the
migration pattern between the two oceanic regions (Fig. 5). The likelihood distribution computed over 100 replicates was similar for models IM-bsc and IM-full, but the AIC values supported IM-bsc as the model with the highest probability since it was designed with less parameters (Figure S4). The distribution of the likelihood evaluated in each model under the Maximum Likelihood (ML) parameters proved that they can be distinguished based on the available data (Figure S4). The two oceanic regions appeared connected, though the migration rate is limited and strongly asymmetric, being ~ 176 times higher from IP to AO ($Nm \sim 3.9$) than vice versa ($Nm \sim 0.02$) (Table 2). Going backward in time the populations from the two regions merged ~ 193,000 years BP (90% CI: [77,000; 355,000]). The ancestral population size was almost half of those estimated in both IP and AO derived populations (Table 2), indicating an ancestral expansion, consistent with the observed stairwayplot dynamics for IP populations. An increase in effective size was estimated in the AO starting ~ 45,000 years BP (90% CI: [14,000; 53,000]), bringing the effective size from 1,406 (90% CI: [1,178; 4,781]) to 16,810 (90% CI: [12,885; 40,036]) which is consistent with the observed expansion in the stairwayplot of BRA. We observed a decrease in $N_e$ in the IP from 49,000 to 17,000, but the timing was poorly estimated. Moreover, ancient and modern $N_e$ in the IP showed largely overlapping confidence intervals (Table 2).
Table 2
Maximum Likelihood (ML), 90% confidence interval (5% lower bound and 95% upper bound) and search bounds for the parameters estimated by fastsimcoal under the IM-bsc model.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>ML</th>
<th>5% lower bound</th>
<th>95% upper bound</th>
<th>Parameter bounds</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$N_{anc}$</td>
<td>9,274</td>
<td>1,310</td>
<td>80,372</td>
<td>U: (100; 100,000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$N_{mod_{IP}}$</td>
<td>17,591</td>
<td>16,643</td>
<td>31,915</td>
<td>U: (100; 100,000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$N_{mod_{AO}}$</td>
<td>16,810</td>
<td>12,885</td>
<td>40,036</td>
<td>U: (100; 100,000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T_{s_{IP}}$</td>
<td>153,090</td>
<td>27,460</td>
<td>769,750</td>
<td>U: (10; 100,000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T_{s_{AO}}$</td>
<td>45,980</td>
<td>14,030</td>
<td>53,090</td>
<td>U: (10; 100,000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$N_{anc_{IP}}$</td>
<td>48,823</td>
<td>3,103</td>
<td>107,816</td>
<td>U: (100; 100,000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$N_{anc_{AO}}$</td>
<td>1,406</td>
<td>1178</td>
<td>4,781</td>
<td>U: (100; 100,000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T_{div}$</td>
<td>193,850</td>
<td>77,110</td>
<td>355,910</td>
<td>U: (10; 100,000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Nm_{AO/IP}$</td>
<td>0.022</td>
<td>0.008</td>
<td>0.124</td>
<td>U: (0; 50)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Nm_{IP/AO}$</td>
<td>3.873</td>
<td>3.171</td>
<td>8.919</td>
<td>U: (0; 50)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Times are expressed in years using a mutation rate of $1.93 \times 10^{-8}$ per generation per site and a generation time of 10 years

§ Number of migrants per generation are expressed in forward.

U: uniform distribution.

Discussion

To shed light on the evolutionary history of the tiger shark, we sequenced thousands of loci in 50 individuals following a double digest Rad-seq protocol. We handled low coverage issues by applying an appropriate framework based on genotype free likelihood estimation of allele frequencies (30). The first and most impressive result is the presence of two highly divergent genetic clusters, corresponding to the Indo-Pacific (IP) region and the Atlantic Ocean (AO), and the signature of very weak population structure within each of them. Despite the large panel of SNPs that could potentially detect fine spatial structure compared to previous work based on microsatellites, we did not find any barrier to gene flow within the IP, but rather a signature consistent with a large panmictic population or a meta-population characterized by very large amount of gene flow (Fig. 2, Fig. 3, Figure S1 and Figure S2). This strongly confirms previous findings (23, 24, 27) and dismiss the conclusions of (22), who found a significant evidence for population structure. Using an unprecedented amount of genomic data, we provide conclusive evidences for the
presence of a single mating population in the IP since (1) the PCA and \(\text{nmf}\) analyses unambiguously detected one single cluster in the IP; (2) the \(\text{F}_{ST}\) values computed between sampling sites did not exceed 0.029 (as a comparison, an average value of \(~0.124\) was found between IP and AO) with no signature of isolation by distance (Figure S1); (3) all IP sharks showed a similar amount of genetic contribution from the AO cluster in the \(\text{nmf}\) analysis (Fig. 2). These results can only be explained considering that either tiger sharks randomly mate within IP or that the number of migrants exchanged each generation between sampling sites is so large to erase any signature of genetic structure. The absence of multiple sampling sites in AO prevented us to perform similar analyses in AO. To test the presence of a single panmictic population, we therefore followed an ABC strategy based on coalescence simulations to reconstruct the evolutionary history of BRA population and assess whether it is better described by unstructured or metapopulation models. This approach has been successfully applied in both empirical and simulation-based works (7, 31, 32) and represents an alternative (or complementary, when it is possible) method to infer the presence of population structure. Similarly to IP, the NS (No Structure) model was by far the most supported one within the AO (Table S3). Even though more sampling sites and SNPs would help providing tighter estimates, this result also suggest the presence of a single mating population in the AO. Population structure have been detected before within the AO with few mitochondrial markers (25, 26). However, our results are consistent with the genome wide study of (27) who suggested low to no population structure in the AO. We stress that given the accuracy provided by the size of genomic datasets (thousands of SNPs) and the robustness of our demographic inferences, results based on few mitochondrial markers have to be carefully interpreted. Furthermore, it is not surprising to find a similar scenario (i.e., low to no population structure) in the two oceanic regions, as it is consistent with the fact that the tiger shark is highly migratory. However, it does not explain why a large predator that is able to cross ocean basins covering distances of several thousands of kilometers (13–15) could not erase the genetic differentiation between the two regions.

One possible explanation was proposed by (21), who suggested the presence of two allopatric subspecies in IP and AO. This hypothesis was later refuted by (22), who still agreed on a long term genetic isolation between the two oceanic regions but proposed some genetic exchanges. By harnessing the power of Rad-seq genome wide data, our coalescent modelling could not only unequivocally disentangle the two hypotheses, but also quantitatively determine the tempo and mode of divergence. Comparing five Isolation/Migration (IM) scenarios, we found that the most supported model included a divergence around \(~193,000\) years BP (90% CI: [77,000; 356,000]) between the two regions (Table 2), which nevertheless remained in contact since then through a very limited (3.9 individuals per generation; 90% CI: [3.2; 8.9]) and asymmetric gene flow \(~176\) times higher from IP to AO than the opposite direction. The low number of migrants \(Nm\) exchanged each generation (Table 2) and the asymmetric exchange are consistent with the clustering results and the \(\text{F}_{ST}\) values (Fig. 2), which unambiguously differentiated the two regions and clearly highlighted a higher, but weak, genetic contribution from IP to AO than \textit{vice versa} (Fig. 2). These results support the idea that populations from the AO and from the IP represent two lineages (22), rather than two allopatric species (21). A permeable barrier to gene flow between the two oceanic regions is therefore responsible for the observed pattern of divergence and asymmetric
migration. The presence of this barrier can be explained by the ecology of the tiger shark and by the environmental conditions governing the Indian-Atlantic water exchange, the so-called Agulhas leakage. As a tropical to sub-tropical species, tiger sharks prefer warm water and they show the peak of swimming activities at ~ 22°C (12) so that their movement from the Atlantic to the Indo-Pacific is hampered by the upwelling of cold water off South-Western Africa (the Benguela current). However, the AO receives warm water from the IP through the Agulhas leakage (33), which can account for the asymmetric migration reported, consistent with the pattern observed in other tropical sharks, bony fishes and turtles (34–37). The Agulhas leakage has not been constant through time, with an increasing intensity in the Holocene, preceded by a period of stasis and a strong peak in the late Pleistocene around 130,000 years BP (38, 39). This variation in Agulhas leakage intensity could have influenced the relation between the two basins. However, we could not distinguish pulses of migrations in our data since the model IM-bsc was preferred to those accounting for variation in migration rate through time. Model selection procedure robustly selected the IM-bsc model (Figure S4), which is neither the most nor the least parameters rich, supporting the idea that our results are not an artefact of wrong modelling (see also below). We are aware that in the next future there will still be space to improve our estimates: more data will help refining the confidence interval of each parameter and ameliorating the calibration of the molecular clock.

We found divergent demographic histories in the two oceanic regions (Fig. 4). First, we note that since both regions are most likely described by non-structured models and the migration rates between them are very low, it is possible to directly interpret the results of unstructured model such as the stairwayplot. It is important to stress this point, since otherwise the presence of population structure could determine spurious signature of population size changes that would be biologically misinterpreted (32, 40, 41). All the Indo-Pacific populations (except AUSN) underwent a recent bottleneck between ~ 2,000 and 4,000 years BP. This is barely consistent with what was recently proposed by (24) based on 25 microsatellites combined with mitochondrial DNA. Here we refined their estimates and better characterized the intensity of the bottleneck since we applied a non-parametric approach (the stairwayplot) exploring a large parameter space to genome wide data. The demographic history in the AO contrasted with the IP. Indeed, we estimated a very high \( N_e \) (~ 20,000) following a recent expansion that happened between ~ 4,000 and 6,000 years BP (Fig. 4), also consistent with the estimates retrieved by the IM-bsc model (Table 2). The strong signature of population expansion recovered implies that the tiger shark is profiting from recent environmental changes in AO, in contrast to the IP population. Consistently, (26, 42) found a recent demographic trend suggesting an expansion rather than a decrease in AO, while the recent demographic trends in the IP are rather conditioned by intense pressure from fisheries and shark-control programs (9–11). More investigations are needed to determine the origin of the difference between the two oceans. The applications of methods based on linkage disequilibrium applied to whole genome data will help detect more recent events (43), which will be important for planning conservation strategies. Given the very low genetic structure within IP, we would expect AUSN to have the same demographic history than the other sampling sites in the IP. We cannot exclude that AUSN represents an isolated population experiencing its own demographic history that separated from the rest of the IP too recently to
accumulate divergence. More data will be needed to shed light on this result, both by sampling more individuals and more loci at higher coverage.

**Conclusions**

Reconstructing the evolutionary history of a species relies on the application of a realistic demographic model, which is mostly unknown in empirical studies. Here, we carefully investigated population genetic structure of the tiger shark and found that its evolutionary history is characterized by an asymmetrical migration between the AO and the IP and a signature of random mating within each region. These findings let us modelling each oceanic region as a single unstructured population and advised us on the demographic scenarios to implement in order to investigate their divergence time and migration rates. The two regions are separated by the Benguela barrier, but our estimates strongly suggest that the Agulhas leakage allows an asymmetric migration between them, by far stronger from IP to AO than in the opposite direction. While we confirmed that the tiger shark is likely undergoing a reduction in $N_e$ in the Indo-Pacific, we show that it probably underwent a strong expansion in the Atlantic Ocean. Even if a better calibration of the molecular clock and full genome analyses would still be needed to confirm our results, our findings support the existence of two management units. This implies that local conservation or shark control programs will have very limited impact on the dynamics of the species, which needs to be managed at the ocean basin level, demanding considerable communication efforts among different countries and coordination as suggested for other megafaunal organisms (45).

**Material And Methods**

**Sampling, library preparation and sequencing**

A total of 50 tiger shark individuals (*Galeocerdo cuvier*) from both the Indo-Pacific (IP) and the Atlantic Ocean (AO) were sampled off (from west to east) Brazil (BRA), Reunion Island (RUN), North Coast of Australia (AUS$_N$; North Territory), East Cost of Australia (AUS$_E$; Sunshine Coast), Coral Sea (COR) and New Caledonia (NCA). Sharks were grouped into six populations based on their sampling site (Fig. 1; Table 1). Total genomic DNA was extracted from muscle tissue or fin clips preserved in 96% ethanol using QIAGEN DNeasy Blood and Tissue kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) according to the manufacturer’s protocols. Double-digest restriction-associated DNA (ddRAD) libraries were prepared following (46) using EcoRI and MspI restriction enzymes, a 400-bp size selection, and a combination of two indexes and 24 barcodes to pool 48 individuals per lane. The genomic libraries obtained were sequenced with a HiSeq 2500 Illumina sequencer (single-end, 125 bp).

Rad-seq **de novo** assembly

Raw reads were first demultiplexed and quality filtered through the `process_radtags.pl` pipeline in stacks v.2.5 (47). In the absence of a reference genome of *G. cuvier* or of closely related species, RAD-seq loci (125 bp sequences) were **de novo** assembled under the `denovo_map.pl` pipeline in stacks. Preliminary
results based on parameters \(m = 3\) (minimum read depth to create a stack), \(M = 3\) (number of mismatches allowed between loci within individuals), and \(n = 3\) (number of mismatches allowed between loci within catalogue) found an average depth of \(\sim 10x\) (see Results). Despite the absence of a clear cut-off indicating an acceptable coverage value above which genotype calling may be considered reliable, simulation results suggest that \(\sim 10x\) may produce inconsistent calling under different algorithm (48). To prevent this, we used on a genotype free estimation of allele frequencies implemented in the software angsd v.0.923 (Analysis of Next Generation Sequencing Data; (30)), which has been proven more efficient for low to medium coverage next-generation sequencing (NGS) data than SNPs calling algorithms (30). We describe below the bioinformatics steps required to apply angsd to Rad-seq data from a non-model organism and the downstream population genetic analyses applied to the filtered datasets.

Assembly pipeline and filtering

angsd requires a reference sequence to work, which we were lacking. To circumvent this issue, we followed the approach described in (49) by creating an artificial reference sequence from loci previously assembled by stacks under the parameter \(m = 3, N = 3, M = 3\) (based on the results of Mona, Bertorelle, Benazzo, in preparation). To this end, we concatenated the consensus sequences of each locus spaced by a stretch of Ns and then map reads back from individual fastq files using the bwa-mem algorithm with default parameters (50). Using custom bash scripts coupled with angsd, we then discarded: (i) sites with coverage < 3x \((-\text{minIndDepth} = 3\), corresponding to \(m\) in the first assembly performed by stacks) and/or of low quality (based on the per base alignment score, \(-\text{baq} = 1\) flag); (ii) low quality bases and poorly aligned reads \((-\text{minQ} \text{ and } -\text{minMapQ} \text{ and } -C\) flags with default values); (iii) SNPs present in the last 5 bp of each locus and SNPs genotyped as heterozygous in 80% or more of the individuals; (iv) loci with more than 5 SNPs that might be the result of paralog RAD loci alignment on the reference. Specific filters were further added according to the downstream analyses performed.

Population structure

A single reference sequence was created for all populations and we retained sites shared by at least 80% of the samples. The PCA was computed with PCAngsd v.0.97 based on genotype likelihood (51). Admixture was then investigated by running the non-negative matrix factorization algorithm (\textit{nmf}) implemented in PCAngsd which is based on the same covariance matrix inferred for the PCA. The number of ancestral populations \((K)\) was automatically chosen by PCAngsd to be \(e + 1\), where \(e\) is the optimal number of significant principal components depicting population structure, resulting from the Velicer’s minimum average partial test run on the covariance matrix. The sparseness regularization parameter \(a\) (used to reduce the noise in low depth NGS data) that best fitted the data was tested between 0 and 100 and it was chosen by comparing the resulting likelihood following (51). We generated pairwise site allele frequency likelihood files and then computed \(F_{ST}\) with the realSFS program in angsd (52) using SNPs with a minor allele frequency \(\geq 0.05\) \((-\text{minMaf} \text{ flag})\). The significance of each pairwise \(F_{ST}\) comparison was evaluated with 1,000 permutations by randomly allocating individuals to one of the
two populations. We finally tested isolation by distance (IBD) using a Mantel test (53) and plotted the relationship between genetic vs. geographic distances.

We applied an approximate Bayesian computation (ABC) approach similar to previous studies (7, 31, 32) in all sampling sites to further investigate the presence of population structure. This approach is particularly helpful in the Atlantic Ocean (AO) where only one locality was sampled (Brazil; BRA population). Briefly, we designed three demographic models (Figure S3): (1) NS (No Structure) which represents a panmictic population where \( N_{mod} \) the modern effective size instantly changes to \( N_{anc} \) the ancestral effective size, at \( T_s \) (time shift) generations; (2) FIM (Finite Island Meta-population) which represents a finite island meta-population model composed of 100 demes exchanging symmetrically \( Nm \) migrants per generation with each other. All demes were instantaneously colonised, \( T_{col} \) generations ago, from an ancestral population of size \( N_{anc} \). (3) SS (Stepping-Stone) which represents a stepping-stone model where the 100 demes are arranged in a two-dimensional grid and where migration is only allowed symmetrically in both directions between the four nearest neighbouring demes. We performed 50,000 coalescent simulations under each model using fastsimcoal v.2.6.0.3 (54) extracting parameters from the priors distributions displayed in Table S1. Model selection was evaluated by the random forest classification method implemented in the abcRF package in R (55). We used the SFS, \( \theta_{\pi} \) and \( TD \) as summary statistics and further added the first two axes of the Linear Discriminant Analysis in the dataset as suggested by (55) to increase the classification method accuracy. The number of trees was chosen by checking the evolution of the out-of-bag error.

Genetic diversity and effective population size variation

We created one reference sequence per population in order to maximise the number of loci assembled. We filtered the sites with missing data by setting the \(-\text{minInd}\) flag in angsd to the total number of individuals in each population. The filtered dataset was then used to generate a site allele frequency likelihood (saf) file, where genotype likelihoods were computed using the SAMtools method (\(-GL = 1\) flag). The folded site frequency spectrum (SFS) was directly computed from the filtered saf datasets through the realSFS program (52). Nucleotide diversity (\( \theta_{\pi} \)), Watterson's theta based on segregating sites (\( \theta_{w} \) (56)) and Tajima's \( D \) (\( TD \); (57)) were computed with custom script from the SFS. Significance of \( TD \) was evaluated after 1,000 coalescent simulations of a constant population model with size \( \theta_{w} \). We reconstructed the variation in the effective population size (\( N_e \)) through time by running the stairwayplot v.0.2 software (58), where the composite likelihood is evaluated as the difference between the observed (folded) SFS and its expectation under a specific demographic history.

Population divergence and migration rate estimation

Based on the results of the previous analyses, we devised five alternative Isolation/Migration (IM) models of divergence between IP and AO regions using the composite likelihood method implemented in fastsimcoal (54). We presented in Fig. 3 the model richest in parameters, the remaining four representing simplified versions nested within it. Hereafter, a brief description of the five models going from the most
complex to the simplest: (a) **IM-full**: the two ocean regions with their respective modern effective population sizes, $N_{\text{mod}_{\text{IP}}}$ and $N_{\text{mod}_{\text{AO}}}$, diverged at $T_{\text{div}}$ from an ancestral population of effective population size $N_{\text{anc}}$. Due to the stairwayplot results, we allowed the two modern effective population sizes $N_{\text{mod}_{\text{IP}}}$ and $N_{\text{mod}_{\text{AO}}}$ to change to $N_{\text{anc}_{\text{IP}}}$ and $N_{\text{anc}_{\text{AO}}}$ following an exponential dynamic in $T_{s_{IP}}$ and $T_{s_{AO}}$ years respectively. Migration is defined by two time periods: $m_1$ representing the migration rate occurring between time 0 until $T_{\text{mig}}$ and $m_2$ between time $T_{\text{mig}}$ until $T_{\text{div}}$. The migration matrix in each time period is asymmetric: for instance, $m_{1_{\text{AO}/\text{IP}}}$ represents the forward migration rate from AO to IP and $m_{1_{\text{IP}/\text{AO}}}$ from IP to AO. In summary, the model is defined by thirteen parameters: five effective population sizes, four migration rates and four historical events; (b) **IM-anc**: same as IM-full with ancestral migration only between $T_{\text{mig}}$ and $T_{\text{div}}$. The model is defined by eleven parameters, the two $m_1$ migration rates being removed; (c) **IM-rec**: same as IM-anc, but with recent migration only occurring between time 0 until $T_{\text{mig}}$, keeping only the two $m_1$ migration rates; (d) **IM-bsc**: the classic model where migration is constant from time 0 until $T_{\text{div}}$ (i.e. $m_1 = m_2 = m$ (28)). We modelled the variation in effective size of the two regions similarly to the other models, for a total of ten parameters; (e) **IM-div**: a pure divergence model with no migration. This is defined by eight parameters: the five effective population sizes and three historical events ($T_{\text{div}}$, $T_{s_{IP}}$, $T_{s_{AO}}$). The analyses are based on the folded 2D-SFS computed by angsd between six individuals from Brazil (representing the AO) and six from the Indo-Pacific (IP). This sample size was chosen to obtain a balanced design and to maximise the number of SNPs shared among the two ocean basins. Similarly, in each basin, we selected the individuals presenting the smaller proportion of missing data to further increase the number of joint SNPs. To maximize the observed 2D-SFS we applied the following options in fastsimcoal: -N 300,000 (number of coalescent simulations), -L 40 (number of expectation-maximization (EM) cycles), and -C 10 (minimum observed SFS entry count considered for parameter estimation). For all model parameters we used wide search ranges with uniform distributions (Table 2). We ran each model 100 times in order to determine the maximum likelihood parameters and to perform model selection using the Akaike’s information criterion comparing the best run of each model (54). To check the robustness of the model selection procedure and to take into account the presence of linked sites in our dataset, we further examined the likelihood distribution obtained based on 100 expected 2D-SFS simulated under the parameters estimated in the best run of each model, each approximated with $10^6$ coalescent simulations. This procedure is needed to take into account the variance in the likelihood estimation given our dataset: if the distributions obtained by the various models do overlap, the difference in the estimated likelihoods of our models is not significant (59). Finally, we determined the confidence interval of the parameter estimated under the best run of our best model by parametric bootstrapping. The 2D-SFS was bootstrapped 100 times using fastsimcoal and each of these datasets was analysed under the same conditions as the original data (one hundred independent runs for each dataset). Calibrating the molecular clock is crucial to obtain accurate estimates of demographic parameters and historical events, but it is challenging when fossil records and/or orthologous loci from an outgroup are lacking. Here, all demographic inferences were performed.
using the Rad-seq mutation rate of $\mu = 1.93 \times 10^{-8}$ per site and per generation previously used for the tiger shark (7), and the generation time was set to 10 years (24, 60).
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**Figures**
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**Figure 1**

Map of the sampling sites. From west to east: Brazil (BRA: n = 7), Reunion Island (RUN; n = 15), North Coast of Australia (AUSN; n = 8), Coral Sea (COR; n = 5), East Coast of Australia (AUSE; n = 7) and New Caledonia (NCA; n = 8).
**Figure 2**

Ancestry proportions retrieved using the nmf algorithm with K=2 ancestral populations (A) and heat map representing the pairwise Reynold's $F_{ST}$ values between sampling sites (B). Both analyses were performed with PCAngsd.

**Figure 3**

Principal Component Analysis (PCA) computed with: (A) all individuals ($n = 50$) and (B) Indo-Pacific individuals only ($n = 43$).
Figure 4

Variation of the effective population size ($N_e$) through time and its 75% confidence interval estimated by the stairwayplot for all sampling sites. AUS$_E$: East Coast of Australia; AUS$_N$: North Coast of Australia; BRA: Brazil; COR: Coral Sea; NCA: New Caledonia; RUN: Reunion Island.

Figure 5

See image above for figure legend
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