

1 **Coal Fly Ash liquid on Growth amendments of Eri silkworm and amelioration of**  
2 **horticulture crops**

3 Kitherian Sahayaraj<sup>a\*</sup>, Suppiah Arumugavel<sup>a</sup>, Athirstam Ponsankar<sup>a</sup>, and Mariappan  
4 Madasamy<sup>a</sup>

5 <sup>a</sup>Crop Protection Research Centre (CPRC), Department of Zoology, St. Xavier's College  
6 (Autonomous), Palayamkottai – 627002, Tamil Nadu, India

7 Suppiah Arumugavel (s.arumugavel1995@gmail.com)

8 Athirstam Ponsankar (sreeram.pons@gmail.com)

9 Mariappan Madasamy (madasamy18492@gmail.com)

10

11 **Correspondence author**

12 Kitherian Sahayaraj

13 Crop Protection Research Centre (CPRC),

14 Department of Zoology, St. Xavier's College (Autonomous),

15 Palayamkottai – 627002, Tamil Nadu, India

16 Email: [ksraj48@gmail.com](mailto:ksraj48@gmail.com)

17 Mobile: + 91 9443497192

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27 **ABSTRACT**

28 To enhanced the development and reproduction of Eri silkworm incorporation of Coal Flyash  
29 Liquid (CFL) in their natural diet was developed to evaluate the growth and fecundity rate of  
30 eri silkworm. energy dispersive analysis of castor leaves treated with CFL at 10%  
31 concentration revealed the presence of five major minerals viz., magnesium (Mg), silica (Si),  
32 chlorine (Cl), potassium (K) and calcium (Ca). While CFL incorporated castor leaf enhanced  
33 the pupation rate, shell weight, cocoon shell ratio, fecundity and egg hatchability of the  
34 silkworm. In contrast, pupal weight was increased at lower concentration of CFL with a  
35 weight of 2.39 mg. A significant difference was observed in rearing performance of eri  
36 silkworm at different concentrations of CFL incorporated castor leaf. Relative growth rate  
37 of the eri silkworm was increased to 84% when larvae treated with CFL at 5% concentration.  
38 Further, CFL at the concentration of 5% enhanced the seed germination percentage and at the  
39 higher concentration of 10% increased the root-shoot ratio, relative water content and vigour  
40 index of cucumber. Similar response of increase in studied growth parameters was also  
41 observed in radish seedlings when treated with CFL. The physiochemical properties of CFL  
42 amended soil (5%) showed that available phosphorous level and potassium level was  
43 increased respectively to 9.52% and 53.92% in comparison to control. It could be concluded  
44 that minerals present in CFL may be influential and responsible for the growth of eri  
45 silkworm and seedling of cucumber and radish.

46 **Keywords:** Coal Flyash; EDAX; Mortality; Cocoon shell ratio; Relative growth rate; Root  
47 shoot ratio.

48

49

50

## 51 **Introduction**

52 The eri-silkworm, *Samia cynthia ricini* Boisduval, is one of the most exploited and  
53 commercialized non-mulberry silkworms. It is a multivoltine and polyphagous species which  
54 can be reared throughout the year depending on the availability of feed (Kedir et al., 2014).

55 Fortification is a method of incorporating any nutrients for the promotion of growth, cocoon  
56 shell production and reproduction. In past, iodide, copper sulphate, streptomycin, procaine  
57 penicillin, inorganic minerals, potassium senescent tapioca leaves and cyanobacteria were  
58 incorporated for the improvement of eri silkworm rearing (Sakthivel & Qudri, 2013) .  
59 Tapioca is also considered as primary food plant of eri silkworm (Rajashekhargouda et al.,  
60 2009) . Presence of minerals in silkworm feeds is ought to be essential in sericulture.  
61 Moreover, it is observed that the silkworm which feeds on leaves having moisture of 70.6% ,  
62 29.46% of crude protein, 17.93% of minerals and 9.78% total sugars has showed better  
63 cocoon growth (Ito, 1978; Legay, 1958; Krishnaswami, 1978).

64 Coal is a major source of fuel for production of electricity in many countries in the world.  
65 During the combustion of coal, 70 % of fly ash is produced as solid waste where disposal  
66 becomes challenging (Roy et al., 1984; Theis & Gardner, 1990). Coal power plants produce  
67 large quantities of combustion residues including bottom ash, slag and fly ash. Fly ash is an  
68 inorganic residue with variety of elements such as Mn, B, Ba, Cu, Sr, Ni, Cr, Zn, Cd, Co, Mo,  
69 V, Se, Pb, As, etc. (Adriano et al., 1980). Studies on Fly ash has been promising in  
70 controlling insect pest (Narayanaswamy, 2010; Pradhan & Bral, 2016). However, application  
71 of fly ash as growth stimulator for insects is not available in the literature.

72 Based on literature, the present study was conducted to evaluate the effect of growth of eri  
73 silkworm by incorporation of fly ash on following objectives: 1) to analyse the  
74 physicochemical properties of CFL and CFL incorporated castor leaf through EDAX; 2) to

75 examine the growth behavioural of eri silkworm after feeding with CFL incorporated castor  
76 leaf; 3) to assess the effect of CFL amendment soil on the growth of CFL treated seeds of  
77 cucumber and radish and 4) examined the physico-chemical properties of CFL amendment  
78 soil through.

## 79 **Materials and method**

### 80 **Collection and rearing of eri silkworm**

81 Eri silkworm eggs (seeds) certified as disease-free laying (dfles) were procured from Seed  
82 Technology Laboratory, Central Silk Board, Salem, Tamil Nadu. Insects were maintained  
83 under laboratory conditions at  $25\pm 1$  °C and 75 – 85% relative humidity with the photoperiod  
84 of 11:13 D till their hatching. The newly hatched larvae were reared on castor leaves (*Ricinus*  
85 *communis*). Unfed leaves and faecal pellets were removed every day before providing the  
86 next consignment of food with least disturbance to the larvae. The faecal samples were  
87 collected dried separately at 50-60 °C for gravimetric calculation purpose. Care was taken to  
88 separate fine unfed food particles from the faecal pellets. Every 24 hours, dead larvae were  
89 also removed up to third and fourth instars. Occasionally, the worms were transferred to new  
90 plastic trays and covered with wet muslin cloth when the room temperature rose above 30 °C  
91 also by keeping the doors and windows open.

### 92 **Selection of leaf for indoor feeding**

93 The leaves of *R. communis* were collected from Papaiyapuram (latitude 9.67942 and  
94 longitude 77.81028), Tirunelveli District. The branches were cut with a sharp knife with a  
95 sloppy pointed end at the base. The young leaves were washed in clean running water to  
96 remove dust and debris. The leaves were kept in a bucket containing 5 ppm of a chemical  
97 formulation at least one hour before brushing so that the branches remain fresh for at least 16-  
98 24 hours.

99 **Collection and preparation of fly ash particulate materials**

100 Coal fly ash was bought from fly ash bricks shop at Pothy's Nager, Tirunelveli and were  
101 sieved finely with a mesh of size 10 × 10 mm and stored in airtight containers for further use.  
102 100 mg of fly ash powder was taken in a beaker containing 1 litre of distilled water and then  
103 centrifuged at 2000 rpm for 10 min. The supernatant was collected separately and the stored  
104 for further use. The sample was diluted with water and made up to 1.25, 2.5, 5 and 10%  
105 (CFL). To each mixture, 50 µl of 0.05% Twin 80 was added as an adhesive agent and was  
106 centrifuged at 3500 rpm for 15 min. The solution was filtered with filter paper and  
107 supernatant was used as test solution. For control, adhesive agent (50 µl of 0.05% Twin 80) is  
108 mixed with 100 ml of distilled water.

109 **Incorporation of fly ash liquid particulate materials to castor leaves**

110 Leaves of *R. communis* were incised at oblique angle in order to increase the mineral  
111 absorption rate. The leaves were dipped in CFL solution at a concentration of 1.25, 2.5, 5 and  
112 10% respectively and were covered with wet cotton cloth in order to reduce transpiration.  
113 The mineral absorption efficiency of CFL incorporated leaves was analyzed through EDAX.

114 **EDAX analysis**

115 Energy dispersive analysis of trays was used to find out the minerals present in the CFL  
116 incorporated leaves. After 72 hours of incubation the leaves were taken and dried in hot air  
117 oven at 50 °C for 24 hours. Ash obtained with help of muffle furnace (temo-1808) was tested  
118 for the presence of various elements present in FLP treated leaves.

119 *Experimental studies on growth amendments of eri silkworm*

120 Newly moulted third instars larvae were fed with CFL incorporated castor leaves of  
121 concentrations 1.25, 2.5, 5 and 10% respectively. Distilled water treated leaves served as  
122 control. After 24 hours, unfed leaves and faecal pellets were removed and fed with fresh  
123 castor leaves with least disturbance to the larvae. The collected faecal pellets and uneaten

124 leaves were dried separately at 50 - 60 °C for gravimetric calculation. Every 24 hours, dead  
125 larvae were collected up to third and fourth instars. Trays were covered with wet muslin  
126 cloth. Each experiment was replicated 5 times with 10 larvae for each replication. The dried  
127 leaves were weighed using 0.001mg electronic sensitive balance monopan (Dhona 160D)  
128 and recorded in order to find out energy budget parameters. The larvae were periodically  
129 measured to find the growth parameter.

### 130 **Growth parameter analysis**

131 The survival rate of CFL fed larvae was calculated using the formula:

$$132 \quad \text{Survival rate (\%)} = \frac{\text{No. of larvae survived}}{\text{No. of larvae dead}} \times 100$$

133 The pupation rate of CFL fed larvae was calculated using the formula:

$$134 \quad \text{Pupation rate (\%)} = \frac{\text{No. of larvae pupated}}{\text{No. of larvae introduced}} \times 100$$

135

136 At the fifth stage of instar, the silkworm started spinning in a cocoon. The cocoons of various  
137 concentrations were collected, incubated separately and weighed. Completely developed  
138 cocoons from various concentrations were taken and the shell was removed to calculate the  
139 shell weight and the pupal weight (Sakaguchi, 1978).

140 The cocoon shell ratio was calculated using the formula:

$$141 \quad \text{Cocoon shell ratio (\%)} = \frac{\text{Shell weight}}{\text{Cocoon weight}} \times 100$$

142 Emerged adults were maintained in plastic containers (500 ml capacity) as pairs. Castor  
143 leaves was provided as an arena for egg laying. Every day, number of eggs layed by a female  
144 was recorded and fecundity was using the given formula:

$$145 \quad \text{Fecundity (\%)} = \frac{\text{No. of eggs laid by treated adults}}{\text{No. of eggs laid by control adults}} \times 100$$

146 Egg hatchability was determined by the eggs laid by the adults from different concentrations  
 147 (1.25, 2.5, 5 and 10%) of CFL treated larvae and compared their hatchability rate with egg  
 148 hatchability ratio of control adults by the using the formula:

$$149 \quad \text{Egg hatchability (\%)} = \frac{\text{No. of eggs hatched in treatment}}{\text{No. of eggs hatched in control}} \times 100$$

### 150 **Relative growth rate**

151 The relative growth rate (RGR) of eri silkworm was calculated at 24, 48, 72, 96 and 120 h  
 152 by using the formula (Işıkber & Copland, 2002):

$$153 \quad \text{RGR} = \frac{\text{Final weight of insect} - \text{Initial weight of insect}}{(\text{Final weight of insect} + \text{Initial weight of insect})/2} \times \text{Days}$$

### 154 **Gravimetry**

155 The fourth and fifth instar larvae were provided with CFL solution (1.25, 2.5, 5.0, 10.0%)  
 156 incorporate leaves as food. Control category was provided with normal leaves wish sprayed  
 157 with tap water. Every day, known quantity of food was provided, and after a day unconsumed  
 158 food, fecal pellet and animal weight was recorded. All weighing were analyzed using  
 159 a monopan electronic balance with an accuracy of 0.001 mg. The accuracy of balance was  
 160 checked for every 20 weighing. The following formulas are used to analyze the different  
 161 parameters.

$$162 \quad \text{Growth (mg)} = \text{Final weight} - \text{Initial weight}$$

$$163 \quad \text{Growth rate (mg)} = \frac{\text{Growth (mg)} \times 100}{\text{Initial wet weight} \times \text{Duration}}$$

$$164 \quad \text{Food consume rate (\%)} = \frac{\text{Dry food consume (mg)}}{\text{wet weight gain (mg)} - \text{Animal weight (mg)}}$$

$$165 \quad \text{Conversion rate (\%)} = \frac{\text{Dry food consume (mg)}}{\text{wet animal weight}} \times 100$$

$$166 \quad \text{Specific growth rate (\%)} = \frac{\text{Inl2} - \text{Inl1}}{\text{Experiment duration (day)}} \times 100$$

167 Where,

168 In-Natural log;

169 I2-Final live weight;

170 I1-Initial live weight

171

172 The food consumption of the fifth instars larvae was calculated by adding daily consumption  
173 /larva. The mean faecal weight/larva was also calculated similarly. The above quantitative  
174 estimation of C was made in terms of joules. Food energy converted into body substance  
175 (P=Growth) was estimated as the difference between the energy content of the test larvae at  
176 the beginning and at the end of fifth instar. Food assimilated (A) was calculated by  
177 subtracting the mean faecal energy from that of food energy consumed (Sakaguchi, 1978).

$$178 \quad \text{Consumption (C)} = P + R + F + U$$

179 Where,

180 C - Food energy consumed; P – Growth; R - Energy released as heat due to metabolism;

181 F+U – Loss of energy through feces and nitrogenous excretory products (Işıkber & Copland,  
182 2002).

$$183 \quad \text{Assimilation(mg)} = c - (f + u)$$

184 Rates of consumption, Assimilation, and production were calculated by dividing the  
185 respective amounts of energy by the product of mid-body weight (g) of the worms and the  
186 duration (days) required for the completion of the fifth instar the rates were expressed in  
187 terms of mg live larva/day. Efficiencies of conversion of ingested matter to body substance  
188 (ECI) and digested matter to body substances (ECD) were calculated relating P to C and A  
189 separately and expressed as percentage (Tasida & Gobena, 2013).

$$190 \quad \text{Conversion rate(\%)} = \frac{\text{Dry animal weight(mg)}}{\text{Wet animal weight(mg)}} \times 100$$

191 **Experimental design amelioration of horticulture crops**



192 The experiments were set on randomized micro-plot design with five replicates. Microplot  
193 was prepared by filling the plot with soil amended with CFL at different treatment  
194 concentration (1.25, 2.5, 5 and 10%). Ten plots of 4.5 × 3.8 cm (Height × width) size saving  
195 margin of 2 cm between the plots were prepared. The plots were filled with control and with  
196 addition of CFL (1.25, 2.5, 5 and 10%). Soil samples were collected from control and CFL  
197 incorporated soils to analyze their physico-chemical properties.

### 198 **Seed germination**

199 Seed germination was calculated according to the procedure of Tasida and Gobena (2013).  
200 Seeds of cucumber (local variety) and radish (local variety) were purchased from local  
201 market. The seeds were soaked at different concentrations of CFL (1.25, 2.5, 5 and 10%) and  
202 with control as distilled water. After 24 hours of incubation the seeds were filtered and placed  
203 uniformly in paper roll-towel (filter medium) at room temperature ( $28 \pm 2$  °C) under dark  
204 condition. Six replications were maintained for each treatment. After 7-days, seedlings from  
205 roll towel were carefully removed and measured the length of roots, shoots, hypocotyl,  
206 epicotyl and also the length and width of cotyledon and true leaves. Mean values were  
207 calculated and expressed in cms. Seed germination percentage was calculated using the given  
208 formula:

$$209 \quad \text{Germination}(\%) = \frac{\text{no of seeds germinated}}{\text{total of seeds sown}} \times 100$$

### 210 **Plant sampling and analysis**

211 Ten seeds were manually sown with distilled water and CFL incorporated water (1.25, 2.5,  
212 5 and 10%) for 24 hrs. Later, seeds were transferred into micro-plot filled with control  
213 and CFL incorporated soils. Similar irrigation regimes were provided to each plot to keep  
214 uniform moisture content. At regular intervals of 7 days (7, 14 and 21 days) the seedlings  
215 were uprooted randomly from each control and treated plots. The monoliths were thoroughly  
216 washed after placing them on sieves of 1mm mesh size under the running tap water to remove

217 the soil particles adhering to the root surface. The fresh plant material was used for  
218 morphological studies and the plant samples were stored in refrigerator at 4 °C for further  
219 analysis.

## 220 **Growth and yield parameters**

221 Growth parameters such as root and shoot length, and plant biomass were measured. The  
222 plants were dissected in to root and shoot and oven dried at 80 °C till constant weight was  
223 achieved. The plant parts were then weighed separately and biomass accumulation was  
224 expressed as gram per plant. For total plant biomass dry weights of all the plant parts were  
225 cumulated. Root-shoot ratio, relative water content and vigour index were calculated from the  
226 biomass data using the respective formulae (Hunt, 1982).

$$227 \text{ Root shoot ratio} = \frac{\text{Root length}}{\text{Shoot length}}$$

$$228 \text{ Relative water content} = \frac{\text{Fresh weight shoot} - \text{Dry shoot weight}}{\text{Total weight} - \text{Dry weight}} \times 100$$

$$229 \text{ Vigour index} = SG \times (SL + RL)$$

## 230 **Physico-chemical analysis**

231 The control and the CFL incorporated soil was analyzed for various physicochemical  
232 parameters viz., pH, electrical conductance, available nitrogen, available phosphorous,  
233 available potassium, organic carbon content and nitrogen status based on organic carbon.  
234 The pH and electrical conductance of control soil and CFL incorporated soil was analyzed  
235 and using the soil suspension of 1:5 (w/v) by pH meter (Model: 335, Systronics, Ahmedabad)  
236 and electrical conductivity meter (Model303, Systronics, India) respectively. The amount of  
237 nitrogen present in the soil was estimated using the procedure described by Allison (1955)  
238 and available nitrogen was scaled accordingly as Low = < 280 kg/ha; Medium = 280 – 450  
239 kg/ha and High = > 450 kg/ha. The available phosphorus (P) was quantified by NaHCO<sub>3</sub>  
240 extraction method given by Olsen and Sommers (1982) and available phosphorus was scaled

241 accordingly as Low = < 11 kg/ha; Medium = 11 to 22 kg/ha and High = > 22 kg/ha 2.15.3.  
242 Estimation of Potassium content in the soils was determined by Halevy [18] and was scaled  
243 accordingly as Low = < 118 kg/ha; Medium = 118 to 280 kg/ha; High = > 280 kg/ha.  
244 Organic carbon content of of the soils was determined by Walkley and Black's rapid titration  
245 method (Walkley & Black, 1934) and scaled as Low = < 0.5%; Medium = 0.5 to 0.75%;  
246 High = > 0.75%

## 247 **Statistics**

248 All experiments were conducted in replicates and the data including environmental  
249 parameters i.e temperature, relative humidity and rain fall during the crop seasons were  
250 recorded in Microsoft excel and analyzed by Statistical Analysis System (SAS). Standard  
251 error and significant differences between values were determined using Duncan's multiple  
252 range test ( $p < 0.005$ ) following one-way ANOVA. Graphs and diagrams were represented  
253 with the help of statistical software program SPSS - 20 Version.

## 254 **Results**

### 255 **EDAX analysis of coal fly ash**

256 The EDAX analysis of control leaves (Fig.1) revealed the presence of five minerals such  
257 as oxygen, magnesium, silica, potassium and calcium with 17.63, 8.86, 13.40, 3.84 and  
258 28.34% respectively (Table 1). But CFL treated castor leaves at a lower concentration of  
259 1.25% (Fig. 2) showed presence for seven minerals such as oxygen (20.12%), magnesium  
260 (2.4%), silica (6.85%), chlorine (1.38%), potassium (25.14%), calcium (11.12%) and sulphur  
261 (1.07%). However, maximum percentage of minerals was observed in castor leaves which  
262 were treated with CFL at 10% concentration (Fig. 3) indicating that fly ash incorporated  
263 castor leaves have increased amount of minerals when compared to control leaves.

### 264 **Growth amendments of eri silkworm**

265 The relative growth rate of silkworm was increased when larvae was treated with fly ash  
266 5% concentration (84 mg). CFL at lower concentrations of 1.25 and 2.5% showed lower  
267 relative growth rate of 63.26 and 68.84 mg respectively. In addition, CFL at the higher  
268 concentration of 10% also affected the relative growth rate with 59.8 mg. Control larvae  
269 showed lower relative growth rate (51.32 mg) and was significant ( $F_{4,10}=17.74$ ;  $P\leq 0.05$ )  
270 when compared to all the treatment concentration of CFL (1.25, 2.5, 5 and 10%) (Fig. 4).

271 The CFL solutions with different concentrations (0.25, 0.5, 5 and 10%) were tested for  
272 its pupation efficacy against third instar larvae of eri silkworm. The pupation rate was higher  
273 at the treatment concentration of 5% followed by 10% with the rate of 91.35% and 87.03%  
274 respectively (Fig. 5). In contrast, lower pupation rate was observed in control (83.03%) and it  
275 was significantly different with all other treatment concentration (0.25% ( $F_{4,95}=1.67$ ;  
276  $P\leq 0.05$ ), 0.5% ( $F_{4,95}=1.67$ ;  $P\leq 0.033$ ) and 10% ( $F_{4,95}=1.67$ ;  $P\leq 0.05$ )) of fly ash except at  
277 1.25% ( $F_{4,95}=1.67$ ;  $P\leq 0.999$ ).

278 Cocoon weight was slightly lower in control (2.03 mg) and it showed significant different  
279 with CFL treatments concentration of 1.25% ( $F_{4,95}=7.95$ ;  $P\leq 0.05$ ), 2.5% ( $F_{4,95}=7.95$ ;  
280  $P\leq 0.011$ ), 5% ( $F_{4,95}=7.95$ ;  $P\leq 0.012$ ) and 10% ( $F_{4,95}=7.95$ ;  $P\leq 0.151$ ). Proportionally, highest  
281 cocoon weight was observed in 5% (3.33 mg) followed by 10% (2.95 mg), 2.5% (2.81 mg)  
282 and 1.25% (2.58 mg).

283 Likewise, pupal weight was lower in control (1.75 mg) and was increased in pupal weight  
284 in CFL treatment at the concentration of 2.5% with 2.39 mg. CFL at the treatment  
285 concentration of 1.2%, 5% and 10% also increased the pupal weight with 2.71, 2.33 and 2.20  
286 mg respectively. However, all the treatment concentrations were significantly different with  
287 control ( $F_{4,95}=9.27$ ;  $P\leq 0.05$ ). In contrast, CFL at the treatment concentration of 5% was  
288 insignificantly different with 2.5% ( $F_{4,95}=9.27$ ;  $P > 0.05$ ) and 10% ( $F_{4,95}=9.27$ ;  $P > 0.05$ ).

289 CFL at the treatment concentration of 5% displays highest shell weight of 0.56 mg followed  
290 by 0.45mg, 0.44m and 0.37 mg with the treatment concentration of 1.25, 2.5 and 10%  
291 respectively. But control showed significantly ( $F_{4,95}=6.401$ ;  $P\leq 0.05$ ) lower shell weight of  
292 0.33 mg.

293 Similar to shell weight, cocoon shell ratio also exhibited CFL at the concentration of 5%  
294 increased the cocoon shell ratio with 19.07% compared to control (16.32%) (Table 2). In  
295 contrast, cocoon shell ratio was decreased to 15.75%, 15%, 04% and 14.51% for 2.5%, 10%  
296 and 1.25% concentrations respectively. All the treatment concentrations are significantly  
297 different with control ( $F_{4,95}=4.72$ ;  $P\leq 0.05$ ).

298 As shown in the figure 6, fecundity rate was higher in 5% concentration with 529.2 eggs  
299 followed by 2.5% with 487.43%, while, lowest fecundity rate was observed in control  
300 (434.23 eggs). CFL at the highest concentration of 10% concentration displays fecundity rate  
301 of 457.3 eggs. These results revealed the highest concentration of CFL was not efficient to  
302 increase the fecundity rate of eri silkworm. All the CFL treatment concentrations were  
303 significantly different (1.25% ( $F_{4,9}=77$ ;  $P\leq 0.096$ ), 2.5% ( $F_{4,9}=77$ ;  $P\leq 0.001$ ), 5% ( $F_{4,9}=77$ ;  
304  $P\leq 0.023$ ) and 10% ( $F_{4,9}=77$ ;  $P\leq 0.035$ )) with control.

305 The adults emerged from the CFL treated concentration showed increase in egg  
306 hatchability ratio compared to the control (83.68%). The CFL treated adult exhibit increased  
307 egg hatchability rate of 94.32%, 92.85%, 88.17% and 84.16% in the CFL treated  
308 concentration of 5%, 10%, 2.5% and 1.25% respectively (Fig. 7). CFL at the treatment  
309 concentration of 0.5% and 10% are significantly different with control ( $F_{4,9}=10.91$ ;  $P\leq 0.05$ )  
310 except 1.25% ( $F_{4,9}=10.91$ ;  $P\leq 0.995$ ) and 2.5% ( $F_{4,9}=10.91$ ;  $P\leq 0.671$ ). Additionally, CFL at  
311 the treatment concentration of 5% was not significant with 10% concentration ( $F_{4,9}=10.91$ ;  
312  $P\leq 0.97$ ).

313 **Amelioration of horticulture crops**

314 Seedlings of cucumber in control showed germination percentage of 84.36. But  
315 germination rate in CFL treated seedlings were significantly higher with 90.18%, 92.02%,  
316 94.3% and 88.05% with the treatment concentrations of 1.25%, 2.5%, 5% and 10%  
317 respectively. All the treatment concentrations were significantly different with control  
318 ( $F_{4,9}=66.98$ ;  $P\leq 0.05$ ). Parallel to cucumber, the seedling percentage of CFL treated radish  
319 seedlings significantly influenced the seed germination percentage with 85.02%, 90.08%,  
320 94.02% and 91.03% with the treatment concentration of 1.25%, 2.5%, 5% and 10%  
321 respectively (Table 3). In contrast, control seedlings showed lower germination rate of  
322 78.06% and it was significantly different ( $F_{4,10}=27.89$ ;  $P\leq 0.05$ ) when compared with other  
323 treatment concentrations of fly ash (1.25, 2.5, 5 and 10%).

324 CFL significantly altered the relative water content of cucumber seedlings. Control  
325 seedlings show lower relative water content with 53.48% and it was significantly different  
326 ( $F_{4,19}=41.21$ ;  $P\leq 0.05$ ) when compared to CFL treated concentration (1.25%, 2.5%, 5% and  
327 10%). In contrast, CFL treated (1.25, 2.5, 5 and 10%) cucumber seedlings showed higher  
328 water holding capacity with the range of 72.30%, 84.30%, 86.30%, and 93.4% respectively  
329 (Table 3). Similar to cucumber, relative water content of radish also increased with 70.6%,  
330 83.4%, 85.8% and 96% with the CFL respective treatment concentration of 1.25%, 2.5%, 5%  
331 and 10%. However, control seedlings showed lower relative water content with 59.04% and  
332 displayed significant difference ( $F_{4,20}=23.46$ ;  $P\leq 0.05$ ) when compared to CFL treated  
333 concentration (1.25%, 2.5%, 5% and 10%). In addition, CFL at the treatment concentration of  
334 2.5% insignificant ( $F_{4,20}=23.46$ ;  $P\leq 0.977$ ) with 5% concentration.

335 Cucumber root-shoot ratio also demonstrated that increasing concentration of CFL  
336 increases the root-shoot ratio when compared to control (58.48%) (Table 3). Root-shoot was  
337 higher at 10% concentration with 87.66% followed by 5% (80.0%), 2.5% (73.66%) and  
338 1.25% (64.33%). All the treatment concentrations were significantly different ( $F_{4,10}=23.46$ ;

339  $P \leq 0.977$ ) over control. Moreover, radish seedlings also exhibited higher root to shoot ratio in  
340 FLP treatment concentrations. Radish seedlings of control showed lower root to shoot ratio of  
341 73.40% and in contrast higher root shoot ratio were observed at 10% (95.12%), 5% (87.8%),  
342 2.5% (86.72%) and 1.25% (80%) respectively. Control was significantly different  
343 ( $F_{4,43}=13.35$ ;  $P \leq 0.05$ ) with other treatment concentration (1.25%, 2.5%, 5% and 10%)  
344 conversely, CFL at 2.5% concentration was not significant with 5% concentration  
345 ( $F_{4,43}=13.35$ ;  $P \leq 0.930$ ).

346 CFL treated cucumber seedlings showed an increase in vigour index of 606.33, 683.93,  
347 687.50 and 648.67 with the treatment concentration of 1.25%, 2.5%, 5% and 10%  
348 respectively. However, control seedlings showed significantly ( $F_{4,9}=2.13$ ;  $P \leq 0.05$ ) lower  
349 in vigour index of 585.67. CFL at the treatment concentration of 0.5% was not significantly  
350 different with 2.5% ( $F_{4,9}=2.13$ ;  $P \leq 0.915$ ) and 10% ( $F_{4,9}=2.13$ ;  $P \leq 0.912$ ) for cucumber  
351 seedlings. Similarly, vigour index of control radish seedling exhibited lower index value of  
352 526.67 but CFL treated seedlings showed increase in vigour index of 604.62, 633.73, 758.15  
353 and 636.57 with the treatment of 1.25%, 2.5%, 5% and 10% respectively (Table 3). All the  
354 treatment concentrations 1.25% ( $F_{4,9}=9.76$ ;  $P \leq 0.295$ ), 2.5% ( $F_{4,9}=9.76$ ;  $P \leq 0.099$ ), 5%  
355 ( $F_{4,9}=9.76$ ;  $P \leq 0.008$ ) and 10% ( $F_{4,9}=9.76$ ;  $P \leq 0.002$ ) were significantly different with control  
356 cucumber seedlings.

### 357 **Physico-chemical analysis of soil**

358 The pH of control soil and CFL was very alkaline. In addition, an electric conductivity of  
359 control soil was normal  $0.34 \text{ dS m}^{-1}$  but CFL shows  $1.50 \text{ dS m}^{-1}$ . In addition, available  
360 nitrogen was low in all the treatments with 168 (5%), 165 (Control), 140 (5%) and 48 (1.25)  
361 kg/ha. Similar to nitrogen level, organic carbon also lower in all the treatments of control (4.5  
362 g/kg), CFL (3 g/kg), 1.25% (3 g/kg) and 5% (4.5 g/kg). Further, available phosphorous was  
363 higher in 5% concentration with 42 kg/ha followed by CFL (40 kg/ha), 1.25% (39 kg/ha) and

364 control (38 kg/ha). Additionally, available potassium was higher in CFL and 5%  
365 concentration with 561 and 306 kg/ha respectively. But medium potassium level was  
366 observed in 1.25% and control with 236 and 141 kg/ha respectively (Table 4).

### 367 **Discussion**

368 Eri silkworm rearing is considered as a secondary crop and being a meagre source of  
369 additional income comparable with profit of mulberry silkworm (Sakthivel & Qudri, 2013) .  
370 Eri silkworm culture is a farmhouse activity which guarantees profitable domestic  
371 employment with 55% woman contribution [19]. Dietary efficiency of the food plants from  
372 which the silkworm derives their nutritional requirement depends upon their chemical present  
373 in their feed.

374 Currently, A great deal is known concerning the qualitative nutritional requirement of  
375 insect. However, the quantitative aspects of insect nutrition have received less attention and  
376 there have been only few studies on the rate of food intake and efficiency of food utilization.  
377 Our studies clearly demonstrate that CFL influenced the pupal weight, cocoon shell ratio,  
378 fecundity, egg hatchability of the development of silkworm. Fly ash disposal and  
379 management are the great challenges to scientist, and now they found some basic mineral  
380 nutrients present in CFL, which are necessary for the growth of insects and plants. Our study  
381 proved that CFL (1.25%) incorporated leaves have the following minerals which are as  
382 follows: Oxygen (20.12%), Magnesium (2.4%), Silica (6.85%), Chlorine (1.38%), Potassium  
383 (25.14%), Calcium (11.12%) and Sulphur (1.07%). Our findings also displayed that CFL  
384 incorporated castor leaf increased the larval growth of eri silkworm. Similar to our findings,  
385 Devaiah *et al.* (1985), reported that the larval, pupal and shell ratio percentage were superior  
386 when the larvae were fed with tapioca up to second instar and subsequently on castor.



387 The pupal weight, cocoon shell ratio and fecundity rate are some of the indicative factor of  
388 the growth of the eri silkworm. In the present study it was found that pupal weight, cocoon  
389 shell ratio, fecundity, egg hatchability and relative growth rate of larvae increase when  
390 treated with CFL incorporated castor leaves. It is was also found that the performance  
391 of eri silkworm was better on CFL treated at 5% concentration. From the present study it can  
392 be inferred that, rearing of eri silkworm in castor leaf incorporated with 5% CFL is best in  
393 terms of larval growth and production of silk. Further studies on parameters on silk fibre will  
394 be helpful for confirmation of silk quality. The change in of the soil characteristics could be  
395 due to addition of fly ash since their incorporation in soil reduces the bulk density of soil  
396 thus helping in better pegging and pod formation and thus increasing the crop yield (Mittra et  
397 al., 2005).

398 Many research studies have reported that fly ash powder increased the yield of crops such  
399 as: wheat (*Triticum aestivum*), alfalfa (*Medicago sativa*), barley (*Hordeum vulgare*),  
400 bermudagrass (*Cynodon dactylon*), Sabai grass (*Eulaiopsis binata*), mung (*Vigna*  
401 *unguiculata*) and white clover (*Trifolium repens*) (Usmani & Kumar, 2017). Similarly our  
402 results also exhibited showed increase in root and shoot growth of cucumber and radish with  
403 CFL treated seedlings. Growth parameters such as growth indices, relative growth rate, water  
404 holding capacity of a plant which is a measure of efficiency in plants showed variable  
405 response when incorporated with fly ash. The present research displays that CFL at 10%  
406 concentration increases the seed germination percentage (94.02%), root-shoot ratio (87.66%),  
407 relative water content (95.5%) and vigours index (647.67) of cucumber. Similar results were  
408 also observed in FLP treated radish seedlings which is in line with other studies as reported  
409 earlier (Singh & Agrawal, 2010).

## 410 **Conclusion**

411 Fly ash particulate liquid (CFL) incorporated leaves showed increase in mineral rate and thus  
412 enhances the growth of silkworm. CFL doesn't cause mortality to silkworm, however, CFL  
413 enhance the pupal weight, cocoon weight, shell weight, cocoon shell ratio and relative growth  
414 rate when compared to control. On the other hand, CFL enhanced the seed germination  
415 percentage of cucumber and radish. Moreover, CFL increased the length of root,  
416 hypocotyledon, epicotyledon and branches of root. CFL amended soil enhanced the soil  
417 properties and thus increased the root shoot ratio, relative water content and vigour's index of  
418 both cucumber and radish. The research suggests that CFL has a potential to enhance the  
419 seedling production of both cucumber and radish and displayed some significant  
420 results. Thus our research has made an attempt to improve the efficient removal of fly ash  
421 from environment and minimize the environmental pollution.

#### 422 **Conflict**

423 All authors have no conflict

#### 424 **Contribution of the authors**

425 All authors equally contributed to this manuscript.

#### 426 **Acknowledgement**

427 Authors are grateful to The Management People of St. Xavier's College, Palayamkottai for  
428 the laboratory faculties and encouragements to carry out this research.

#### 429 **References**

430 Adriano, D.C., Page A.L., Elseewi A.A., Chang A.C., & Straughan I. (1980). Utilization and  
431 Disposal of Flyash and Other Coal Residues in Terrestrial Ecosystems: A Review.  
432 *Journal of Environmental Quality*. 9(3), 333-44.  
433 [doi:10.2134/jeq1980.00472425000900030001x](https://doi.org/10.2134/jeq1980.00472425000900030001x)

434 Allison, F.E. (1955). The enigma of soil nitrogen balance sheets. In: Advances in Agronomy:  
435 Elsevier, PP 213-50. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0065-2113\(08\)60339-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0065-2113(08)60339-9)

436 Devaiah, M.C., Rajashekhargouda, R., Suhas, Y., & Govindan, R. (1985). Growth and silk  
437 production in *Samia cynthia ricini* Boisduval as fed on four different host plants.  
438 *Indian Journal of Sericulture*, 24(1):33-5. <http://www.sidalc.net/cgi-bin/wxis.exe/>

439 Dwivedi, S., Tripathi, R.D., Srivastava, S., Mishra, S., Shukla, M.K., & Tiwari, K.K. (2007).  
440 Growth performance and biochemical responses of three rice (*Oryza sativa* L.)  
441 cultivars grown in fly-ash amended soil. *Chemosphere* 67(1), 140-51.  
442 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2006.09.012>

443 Halevy, J. (1977). Estimation of available potassium for cotton by soil analysis. *Plant Soil* 47,  
444 363–373 doi:10.1007/BF00011495

445 Hunt, R. (1982). Plant growth curves. The functional approach to plant growth analysis:  
446 Edward Arnold Ltd. <https://www.cabdirect.org/cabdirect/abstract/19840319829>

447 Işıkber, A.A., & Copland, M.J.W. (2002). Effects of various aphid foods on *Cycloneda*  
448 *sanguinea*. *Entomol Exp Appl.* 102(1), 93-7.  
449 <https://pdfs.semanticscholar.org/aaef/291513ce1ce7f83bd68e61147b4ec1605414.pdf>

450 Ito T. (1978). Silkworm nutrition. In: The Silkworm: an Important Laboratory Tool (1978)  
451 121-57. <http://agris.fao.org/agris-search/search.do?recordID=XF2015006218>

452 Kedir, S., Eman, G., & Waktole, S. (2014) Rearing Performance of Eri-Silkworm (*Samia*  
453 *cynthia ricini* Boisduval) (Lepidoptera: Saturniidae) Fed with Different Castor  
454 (*Ricinus communis* L.) Genotypes. *Journal of Entomology*, 11, 25-33. DOI:  
455 [10.3923/je.2014.25.33](https://doi.org/10.3923/je.2014.25.33)

456 Krishnaswami S. (1978). New technology of silkworm rearing. III. In; 1978; Central  
457 Sericultural Research Training Institute Mysore, 1-24 PP. [http://agris.fao.org/agris-](http://agris.fao.org/agris-search/search.do?recordID=US201301385729)  
458 [search/search.do?recordID=US201301385729](http://agris.fao.org/agris-search/search.do?recordID=US201301385729)

459 Legay, J.M. (1958). Recent advances in silkworm nutrition. *Journal of Entomology and*  
460 *Zoology*, 3(1), (1958) 75-86. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.en.03.010158.000451>

461 Mitra, B.N., Karmakar, S., Swain, D.K., & Ghosh, B.C. (2005). Flyash - a potential source  
462 of soil amendment and a component of integrated plant nutrient supply system. *Fuel*  
463 84(11), 1447-51. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fuel.2004.10.019>

464 Narayanaswamy, P. (2010). Prospect of use of fly-ash as a dust insecticide and a carrier in  
465 pesticide formulation. In: Proceedings of the National Seminar Cum Business Meet  
466 on Use of Fly-ash in Agriculture, New Delhi. 50-7 PP.

467 Olsen, S.R., Sommers, L.E., & Page, AL. (1982). Methods of soil analysis. Part 2. Chemical  
468 and microbiological properties of Phosphorus. *ASA Monograph* 9, 403-30.  
469 doi:10.2134/agronmonogr9.2.c22

470 Pradhan, B.C., & Bral, M. (2016). Effect of Nalco Flyash Based Herbal Pesticides Against  
471 Pests of Cucurbits. *International Journal of Res. Eng. Appl. Sci.* 6(1) 15-26.  
472 <https://www.cabdirect.org/cabdirect/abstract/20123270636>

473 Rajashekhargouda, R.P., Sunita, K., & Ganga, A. (2009). Performance of eri silkworm,  
474 *Samia cynthia ricini* Boisid on few food plants. *Karnataka Journal of Agriculture*  
475 *Sciences*, 22(1), 220-221.  
476 <http://14.139.155.167/test5/index.php/kjas/article/viewFile/1504/1495>

477 Roy, W.R., Griffin, R.A., Dickerson, D.R., & Schuller, R.M. (1984). Illinois basin coal  
478 flyashes. 1. Chemical characterization and solubility. *Environ Science and*  
479 *Technology*, 18(10), 734-9. <https://doi.org/10.1021/es00128a003>

480 Sakaguchi, B. (1978). Post embryonic development of the silkworm. In *The Silkworm: an*  
481 *Important Laboratory Tool*. In: Tazima Y, ed. Tokyo: Kodansha Ltd; 36-7.  
482 <http://agris.fao.org/agris-search/search.do?recordID=XF2015006218>

- 483 Sakthivel N, & Qudri SMH. (2013). Effect of fortification of senescent tapioca leaves on  
484 economic traits of eri silkworm. *Indian Journal of Sericulture* 52(1), (2013) 44-7.  
485 <https://www.cabdirect.org/cabdirect/abstract/20133264419>
- 486 Tasida, J., & Gobena, T. (2013) Evaluation of chemical, botanical and cultural managements  
487 of termites control. *Journal of World Applied Sciences*, 22(4), 583-8.  
488 <http://doi=10.1.1.388.5862>
- 489 Theis, T.L., & Gardner, K.H. (1990). Environmental assessment of ash disposal. *Critical*  
490 *reviews in Environment . Science and Technology*, 20(1), 21-42.  
491 <https://doi.org/10.1080/10643389009388388>
- 492 Usmani, Z., & Kumar, V. (2017) The Implications of Flyash Remediation through  
493 Vermicomposting: A Review. *Nature Environment & Polution Technology* 16(2),  
494 363. [http://www.neptjournal.com/upload-images/NL-60-5-\(3\)B-3395com.pdf](http://www.neptjournal.com/upload-images/NL-60-5-(3)B-3395com.pdf)
- 495 Walkley, A. & Black. I. A. (1934). An examination of Degtjareff method for determining  
496 soil organic matter and a proposed modification of the chromic acid titration method.  
497 *Soil Sciences*, 37: 29-37