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Abstract

Background: SYN1 encodes synapsin I, which is a neuronal phosphoprotein in relation to the membranes of small synaptic vesicles. Pathogenic variants in the gene have been confirmed as genetic causes of neurodevelopmental disorders.

Methods: In our study, we collected clinical information of two male probands with intellectual disability, and performed whole exome sequencing on both patients and their parents. We also reviewed more SYN1 variant cases in previous publications.

Results: Two maternally inherited variants in SYN1 gene (NM_133499:c.C1076A (p.T359K) in proband A and NM_133499:c.C1444T (p. Q482X) in proband B) were found in our patients, which have never been described in detail before. After a literature review, we found that all probands have been reported so far were male, who inherited the significant SYN1 variants from their asymptomatic or mild affected mother. Although the disease encompasses three main clinical presentations: mental deficiency, easily controlled reflex seizure and behavior problems, the phenotypes of family members vary in gender.

Conclusion: Here, we firstly report two familial SYN1-related neurodevelopmental disorders in Asian pediatric patients. Our results supported that gender and phenotype differences should be highly valued in this disorder.

Background

The SYN1 gene is located in chromosome Xp11.23, and it encodes for Synapsin I protein which has been reported to play a crucial role in synaptogenesis, synaptic neurotransmission, axonogenesis, and neuronal development both in vivo and in vitro studies [1]. Pathogenic variants in SYN1 gene have been reported to associate with X-linked epilepsy with variable learning disabilities and behavior disorders (OMIM 300491) [2]. Like other X-linked neurodevelopmental disorders such as Turner-type X-linked syndromic mental retardation (OMIM 309590), the disease's clinical characteristics differ in gender. People most affected are males, whose clinical presentations include variable degrees of intellectual disability/global developmental delay, epilepsy, movement disorder, autistic traits, and behavior problems. Comparatively, female carriers are often asymptomatic or only exhibit mild cognitive impairment or febrile seizures [2]. Until this study, pathogenic SYN1 mutations have been reported from Europe and North America, but none from Asian population.

Here, we report two maternally inherited SYN1 mutations [c.C1076A (NM_133499) and c.C1444T (NM_133499)] detected in two male pediatric patients with intellectual disabilities and epilepsy with/without behavior disorders. This report is accompanied by a comprehensive literature review about the genotypes, phenotypes and possible mechanisms of the condition. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first report on SYN1-related neurodevelopmental disorders from Asian population.

Methods

Subjects

Our probands A and B were from the pedigree A and pedigree B, respectively. The probands were first referred to the Pediatric Department at Xiangya Hospital, Central South University with complaining of intellectual disability. Written informed consents for genetic testing were obtained from the pedigrees. The study was approved by the institutional medical ethics committee of Xiangya hospital, Central South University.

Molecular and Bioinformatic Analysis

The G-banding technique was used to analyze the chromosomal karyotype. Genomic DNAs were collected from whole blood samples by using Phenol/chloroform method. Chromosome microarray (CMA) were used to detect chromosomes' copy number variations in both two cases. Whole-exome sequencing(WES) was performed on both pedigrees and the results were analyzed as described before [3]. Candidate variants were validated by Sanger sequencing. Variant impact predictor software including PolyPhen2 (http://genetics.bwh.harvard.edu/pph2/), PROVEAN (http://provean.jcvi.org/), Mutation Taster (http://www.mutationtaster.org/), and the ACMG guidelines were adopted to analyze the pathogenicity of the variants [4].

Results

Clinical information

Proband A and Pedigree A

The patient was a 6 years and 3 months old boy, who was born in a non-consanguineous family. He was the first child of a mother with histories of obstetric complications including one induced abortion due to side effects of antifungal medication and a spontaneous abortion of unknown cause. In addition, the mother had an early threatened miscarriage during the pregnancy of probands A, but she got a remission after immediate medical intervention. The case was delivered at full-term via caesarian section. The physical examination was unremarkable at birth.

The first noticeable clinical characteristic of this case was a profound global developmental delay which was noted since his infancy. His gross and fine motor skills were acquired and improved slowly: neck muscle control was achieved at the age of 15 months, sit with support at the age of 4 years, walk dependently, and inflexible finger movements at the last follow up (6 years and 3 months). Besides, he had a cognitive impairment and difficulties in language acquisition. At the last follow up, he only could understand some simple terms such as his nickname or some simple instructions, but could not speak a word. There has
been no aggressive behavior noticed in proband A so far. Moreover, his daily life needed to be fully taken care of by others. Complex febrile seizures were observed in the course: one episode occurred at the age of 1 year and the second one at the age of 2 years. His electroencephalogram (EEG) and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) of the brain done at 4 years old age were normal. Meanwhile, the development quotients for adaptability, gross motor movements, fine motor movements, language, and individual–social interaction on the Gesell developmental scales were 36, 41, 30, 43, and 50, respectively. Other laboratory examinations including the routine blood biochemical tests, metabolic analyses yielded negative. He had a medical history of bilateral esotropia.

This case had a healthy younger brother whose age was two years old at the last follow up (Fig. 1a). His mother had no neurological signs. Noticeably, epileptic seizures were also observed in his biological father's childhood, which began with febrile seizures, then evolved to afebrile attacks. But his symptoms disappeared in adulthood. There was no other family history of neurological disorders to disclose.

**Proband B and Pedigree B**

An 8.5-year-old boy presenting with intellectual disability, epilepsy, abnormal social behavior, and ametropia came to our center's clinic. The patient was born as a third child of non-consanguineous healthy parents after uneventful pregnancy and delivery. A distant uncle of the proband was diagnosed with intellectual disability, and his older female cousin was noticed to have a mood disorder.

The first remarkable seizure was observed when aged 7 years. The manifestations of the seizures included the sudden loss of consciousness, rigidity of the limbs, and lips cyanosis, which lasted for minutes. Besides, he had post-ictal fatigue, and experienced 1-3 seizure episodes per year. His EEG revealed occasional sharp-waves were observed in bilateral frontal areas during sleep, but absent during the wake time. Brain MRI did not reveal significant structural abnormalities. His seizure was easily controlled by taking Levetiracetam, but he still lagged behind his peers in intelligence development (he had poor performance in school and could not communicate with others). His intelligence quotient score at 8.5-year-old was 50.9. He also exhibited bad tempers, social problems, attention deficit, and hyperactivity. Nevertheless, the behavior assessments, including Conners’ Comprehensive Behavior Rating Scales (CBRS), Kiddie-SADS DSM-5 Screen Interview (K-SADS-PL), and Autism Behavior Checklist (ABC) were negative. In addition, the proband was diagnosed with ametropia. Metabolic etiology, immunological etiology, and infectious etiology were excluded after the diagnostic workup.

The proband had two healthy elder sisters, 18 and 14 years old, respectively. They both performed well in school (Fig. 1b). His parents had low levels of education but worked, and thus they can support their family well.

**Genetic findings**

The karyotype and copy number variation results in probands A and B showed no abnormalities in their chromosomes. Maternal inherited SYN1 mutations were identified in both probands through the WES.

In the pedigree A, a missense variant in SYN1 exon 9: c.C1076A, p.T359K (NM_133499) was present in a hemizygous state in proband A and a heterozygous state in his mother, grandmother, and great-grandmother as an obligate carrier, but it was absent in his younger brother, father, and grandfather (Fig. 1a & Fig. 1c). The variant T359K has been reported as a variant of uncertain significance in ClinVar database records (accession VCV000589101.2), associated with a "history of neurodevelopmental disorder" [5]. However, no more detail clinical information of the patient was described in the database. The substitution of lysine for threonine acid at position 359 within domain C of SYN1 protein is considered “possibly damaging” (score 0.886) with PolyPhen2 (http://genetics.bwh.harvard.edu/pph2/), “deleterious” (score -2.565) with PROVEAN (http://provean.jcvi.org/) and “disease-causing” (score 78) with MutationTaster (http://www.mutationtaster.org/).

In the pedigree B, a truncation variant in SYN1 exon 12: c.C1444T, p.Q482X (NM_133499) was identified, which was also present in a hemizygous state in proband B, and a heterozygous state in his mother but it was absent in his father (Fig. 1d). The variant validation of other family members was not done for financial reasons (Fig. 1b). The variant has never been reported before and cannot be found in dbSNP143, the 1000 Genomes Project database, and the Clinvar database. The nonsense mutation Q482X lies in the domain D of the protein and is identified as “disease-causing” (score 6) with MutationTaster (http://www.mutationtaster.org/).

Bioinformatic analysis showed that sites T359 and Q482 are highly conserved residues among different species (Fig. 1e). Both variants were classified as “Pathogenic,” according to the ACMG guidelines.

**Discussion**

The SYN1 gene encodes Synapsin-I and is essential for proper synaptic function in the human brain [6]. Since Garcia CC et al. firstly connected SYN1 mutation with neurodevelopmental disorder in 2004, 16 causative variants including ten missense mutations, five nonsense mutations, and one splicing site mutation in the gene have been identified (containing this study) [1,2,7–16]. These variants are clustered in B linker domain (A51G, S79W), actin-binding and synaptic-vesicle binding C-domain (W126X, W126R, c.S27+1G>A, S212I, G240R, V266M, W356X, T359K, R420G) and proline-rich D-domain (R422X, Q482X, A550T, Q555X, T567A) of the encoded protein as indicated (Fig. 2). There is no reported variant situated in the other two domains (A and E) until now (including this report). We assumed that defects in the middle motifs of Synapsin I are inclined to lead to neurodevelopmental disorders in human after birth. Domain A is highly conserved across all synapsins and species [6], and domain E plays a critical role in Synapsin I functions, including the formation of synaptic vesicle (SV) reserve pool, regulation of the kinetics of exocytosis, and SV cycling [6,17]. Thus, we speculate that the defects in domain A and E might be extremely deleterious for the intrauterine development of human beings. Notably, all reported pathogenic variants in the literature plus ours were confirmed as inheriting from the maternal side.
To better understand the clinical characteristics of SYN1-related disorder, we conducted a literature review of all reported pedigrees from the Pubmed and Embase on English articles. Clinical information of 7 pedigrees with the disorder from 7 studies was collected in the report (Table 1) [2,9–14], and other cases were excluded for lack of detail descriptions [1,7,8,15,16]. Overall, we noticed that females are less susceptible than males due to X-chromosome random inactivation (XCI), however, few female carriers presented with mild intellectual disability and febrile seizures [8,14]. Besides, the phenotypic differences occurred not only in different pedigrees but also in the same pedigree. Unfortunately, we cannot draw a conclusion whether there is any difference among races as the sample size was too small. But some similar clinical features were found among these patients.

### Table 1

Clinical and genetic characteristics of patients with SYN1-related disorders in literature and the study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Country (ethnic)</strong></td>
<td>England (unknown)</td>
<td>Canada (French-Canadian)</td>
<td>America (Latino)</td>
<td>Italy (unknown)</td>
<td>Italy (unknown)</td>
<td>America (unknown)</td>
<td>Spain (unknown)</td>
<td>China (Han)</td>
<td>China (Han)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Basic information</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sex of probands</strong></td>
<td>Male (assumed)</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>Male</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Affected family members</strong></td>
<td>Male (10)</td>
<td>Male (10); Female (2)</td>
<td>Male (8); Female (2)</td>
<td>Male (2)</td>
<td>Male (3)</td>
<td>Male (2)</td>
<td>Male (1)</td>
<td>Male ( )</td>
<td>Male ( )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Carrier family members</strong></td>
<td>Female (9)</td>
<td>Female (8)</td>
<td>Female (1)</td>
<td>Female (1)</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Female (5)</td>
<td>Female (3)</td>
<td>Female ( )</td>
<td>Female ( )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Diagnosis</strong></td>
<td>Variable epilepsy, learning disabilities, and aggressive behavior</td>
<td>X-linked epilepsy with reflex-related-bathing seizures</td>
<td>Focal epilepsy and reflex-related-bathing seizures, autism, and intellectual disability</td>
<td>Non-syndromic intellectual disability</td>
<td>Hot water-sensitive epilepsy</td>
<td>Autism and progressive intellectual disability without epilepsy</td>
<td>Intellectual disability and paranoid schizophrenia</td>
<td>Intellectual disability and complex febrile seizures</td>
<td>Epilepsy: behavior disorder and learning disabilities</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 1 continued

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Clinical features</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Degree of intellectual disability</strong></td>
<td>Normal or mild</td>
<td>Normal or mild</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Moderate to severe</td>
<td>Normal or mild</td>
<td>ID from early childhood mental regression</td>
<td>Mild</td>
<td>Profound</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Presence of epilepsy</strong></td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Onset age of seizures</strong></td>
<td>6-27y</td>
<td>1y8m - 50y</td>
<td>Early childhood</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>8y</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1y</td>
<td>7y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Seizure semiology</strong></td>
<td>Tonic-clonic, reflex, and partial and/or complex partial seizures</td>
<td>Spontaneous complex partial seizures and reflex seizures triggered by bathing</td>
<td>Focal seizures and reflex seizures triggered by bathing</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Hot water sensitive seizures at the beginning, subsequently followed by nonreflex seizures</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Tonic-clonic seizures triggered by fever</td>
<td>Tonic-clonic seizures</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Seizure frequency</strong></td>
<td>Episodic</td>
<td>Episodic</td>
<td>1-2 times per month</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Only 2 times</td>
<td>Episodic</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Seizure control or not</th>
<th>Abnormal behavior</th>
<th>EEG findings</th>
<th>Brian MRI imaging</th>
<th>Other findings</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Garcia CC, et al. 2004</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td></td>
<td>Normal or mild cognitive impairments</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>Macrocephaly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nguyen DK, et al. 2015</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td></td>
<td>Rhythmic theta activity over temporal head regions</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sarsi D, et al. 2017*</td>
<td>Intractable to AEDs, seizures reduce about 50% by VNS.</td>
<td></td>
<td>Spikes on the left temporal region</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guarnieri FC, et al. 2017</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td>Bilateral rhythmic theta activity over the frontocentral and vertex regions</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>Marked generalized frontal atrophy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peron A, et al. 2018</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>Sphincter dysfunction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Darvish H, et al. 2020</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>Bilateral esotropia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ibarluzea N, et al. 2020</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>Ametropia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pedigree A (this study)</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pedigree B (this study)</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*The pedigree in the study has a maternal family history of epilepsy but lacks familial genetic results due to financial reasons.

AEDs: anti-epileptic drugs; EEG: electroencephalography; ID: intellectual disability; MRI: magnetic resonance imaging; m: month; N: no; Y: yes; y: year; VNS: vagal nerve stimulator

Firstly, cases with **SYN1**-related disorders presented with variable degrees of intellectual disabilities. Normal or mild cognitive impairments were reported in 5/9 pedigrees, moderate to severe global developmental delay in 3/9 pedigrees, and mental regression in 1/9 pedigree (Table 1). Cases in 6/9 pedigrees were diagnosed with epilepsy, and the reflex seizure was one remarkable clinical manifestation of the disease. Noteworthy, bathing or showering was the trigger of their epileptic seizures [9,13]. Our cases had no history of reflex seizure, however, proband A was diagnosed as complex febrile seizures. Most of the cases presented with mild form of seizures which responded well to antiepileptic drugs. Behavioral problems such as aggression, hyperactivity, and autistic traits were the third typical clinical characteristic of the disorder. Proband B in the study had apparent aggressive behavior and attention-deficit even though the behavioral assessment scales turned out to be negative. He also presented with learning disabilities, which is similar to previously reported cases [2,13]. Patients with the above three significant clinical symptoms should alert physicians to the possibility of **SYN1**-related neurodevelopmental disorder. Detailed family history inquiry would also be helpful in the diagnosis.

We also noticed that both of our cases had eye problems including ametropia and strabismus, which has not been mentioned in other studies. According to the Human protein atlas database, the **SYN1** RNA has low expression in the human eye, nevertheless, there is no further evidence to support the involvement of **SYN1** protein in eye development [18]. Therefore, we assume that eye disorder might be a new clue for clinicians to identify the condition, however, it needs more data.

Several functional analyses have been done in recent studies to elucidate the possible mechanisms involved in **SYN1**-related disorder [1,12,14]. Compared to human **SYN1** wildtype, expression of human **SYN1** mutants such as S79W, A550T, T567A in synapsin-I (SynI) knockout (KO) mouse neurons failed to rescue aberrant size and trafficking of synaptic vesicle pools and led to defective nerve terminal function [1,14]. R420Q variant expression in human primary hippocampal neurons significantly reduced neurite outgrowth and development [12]. These findings implicate that **SYN1** mutations detected in neurodevelopmental disorders lead to loss-of-function of Synapsin-I protein in the brain network, suggesting that patients with the disease might benefit from the augmentation of the protein function.

### Conclusions
In conclusion, this is the first study about SYT1-related neurodevelopmental disorder in the Asian population, which expands the genetic spectrum of the disease. Remarkably, all reported significant SYT1 variants were maternally inherited, and located in middle domains of the gene. Gender differences and phenotype variances should be considered in the disorder. Besides mental deficiency, reflex seizures and behavior problems, eye disorders might be helpful to identify the condition. Combining with previous studies, loss-of-function of Synapsin-I protein is the possible mechanism in patients with the disorder.
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