NR4A3 and CCL20 clusters dominate the dynamic gene network of peripheral CD146+ blood cells in the early stage of acute myocardial infarction in human.
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Short title: CCL20 and NR4A3 lead CD146-mediated AMI pathology.
Abstract

Background: CD146 is a tight junction associated molecule involved in maintaining endothelial barrier and balancing immune-inflammation response in cardiovascular disease. Notably, the peripheral CD146+ cells significantly upsurge under vessel dyshomeostasis like acute myocardial injury (AMI), appearing to be promising therapeutic targets. In this study, in a new view of gene correlation, we aim at deciphering the underlying complex mechanism of CD146+ cells in the development of AMI.

Methods: Transcription dataset GSE 66360 of CD146+ blood cells from clinical subjects were downloaded from NCBI. Pearson networks were constructed and the clustering coefficients were calculated to disclose the differential connectivity genes (DCGs). Analysis of gene connectivity and gene expression was performed to reveal the hub genes and hub genes clusters followed by gene enrichment analysis.

Results and conclusions: Among the total 23520 genes, 27 genes out of 126 differential expression genes are identified as DCGs. Those DCGs normally stay in the peripheral of networks while transfer to the functional central position under AMI situation. Moreover, it is revealed that DCGs spontaneously crowd together into two functional models, CCL20 cluster and NR4A3 cluster, influencing the CD146-mediated signaling pathways during the pathology of AMI for the first time.
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1. Introduction

Cluster of differentiation 146 (CD146) / melanoma cell associated molecule is an essential immunoglobulin-like protein initially discovered in metastatic melanoma (1). It locates at endothelial tight junctions across all vessel beds, mediating physiological and pathological events under vascular dyshomeostasis (2, 3). Pioneering researchers regard CD146 as a historical marker for isolating circulation endothelial cells that sloughed off the inflamed vasculature (4). Over several decades, CD146 has also been discovered in other cell types including mesenchymal stem cells (5), endothelial progenitor cells (6), macrophages (7), T helper 17 cells (8), B lymphocytes (9), T lymphocytes (9, 10), and natural killer cells (9). The CD146+ circulating cells occupy about 2 % of peripheral mononuclear cells in healthy individuals (9) and most notably, this percentage increases in certain conditions associated with vascular dysfunction for instance myocardial infarction, connective tissue diseases, and cancers (6, 11-13). Moreover, CD146 activated T cells have shown an enhanced ability to interact with endothelium in adhesion, rolling, and transmigration, evidenced by human and murine studies (14, 15). Given its multi-function in vessel structure, angiogenesis, and lymphocyte activation and its enabled detection in the bloodstream, CD146 appears to be a potential target for vascular disorders (16-18).

Complex networks are of great interest to researchers in the fields of computational biology and bioinformatics (19-21). It has been gradually extended from initial gene comparison to protein-protein network modeling, to protein-genetic investigation, and up to the disease-
disease association exploration (22). Most of the successful bioinformatics approaches that
identify the initial key genes, however, have based on sole gene expression comparison and
accordingly the top differential expression genes (DEGs) forward to mechanism validation
without paying attention to the gene interaction rearrangement (23, 24). Instead, the hub-
structured network is an important motif that is, to our best knowledge, leading the genome-
wide association characterization in complex networks (25, 26). It generates the structure view
angle to present the innermost gene-gene interaction, thus giving a comprehensive
understanding of underlying mechanisms of disorders.

Acute myocardial injury (AMI) dataset GSE 66360 rests on the performance of CD146+
populations during the AMI early development (12, 27-29). In this paper, we try to decipher
gene reassemble with the correlation network structure parameter analysis (30, 31), and extract
optimal genes collection, the differential connectivity genes (DCGs), and reveal functional
gene clusters which likely leading the pathogenesis of peripheral CD146+ blood cells during
the AMI development in human for the first time.

2. Materials and methods

2.1 Data

The GSE66360 (12) gene transcription profile data of human AMI in the NCBI database was
selected as the primary interest. Clinical subjects including fifty healthy individuals and forty-
nine AMI patient subjects were recruited in the original investment by the Topol group. To
gather the data, CD146+ cells were obtained by CD146-based magnetic immunoisolation from the subjects’ blood samples. RNA samples were isolated from the CD146+ cells and processed microarray by Affymetrix human U133 Plus 2.0 array. In this study, two cohorts were formed, a discovery cohort, consisting of twenty-two healthy subjects (control group) and twenty-one AMI patients (AMI group), which were used for the discovery of genes and appropriate testing methods, along with a validation cohort, consisting of twenty-eight healthy subjects and twenty-eight AMI patients, which were used for the validation of the genes and methods discovered in the other cohort. No data was excluded from the original databases used during this study.

2.2 Study design

Firstly, using the hypothesis test, we distinguished DEGs based on the gene expression profile in the discovery cohort and then verified in the validation cohort. Secondly, the gene networks of DEGs were constructed based on the Pearson coefficients, followed by the network separation assessment. Thirdly, the clustering coefficient, which is a parameter indicating gene connectivity, was calculated for each DEG under each gene network (32). Accordingly, genes with a clustering coefficient that represent a consistent increase in the AMI group among different cohorts were labeled as DCGs. Finally, two-dimensional analysis of gene connectivity and expression was employed, for identifying the hub gene clusters, alongside performing the gene enrichment analysis (Figure 1).

2.3 Identify DEGs
A total of 23520 genes were screened in each sample. The hypothesis test was used to screen the DEGs between control and AMI group (33). The method primarily gave weight for the distribution shape of the expression spectrum. If the distribution shape was different between the two groups, then the gene expression was different and the significance level was $\alpha_1$. If not, a normal distribution test (significance level $\alpha_2$) and homogeneity test of variance (significance level $\alpha_3$) would be carried out. t-test or welch's t-test (significance level $\alpha_4$) was used for normal distribution; Rank sum test (significance level $\alpha_4$) was used for abnormal distribution with a similar distribution of expression spectrum (33). We defined $\alpha_1 = 0.00001$, $\alpha_2=0.00002$, $\alpha_3 = 0.00001$, and $\alpha_4= 0.00001$ as the significant level of the hypothesis test.

### 2.4 Clustering coefficient

A local clustering coefficient was introduced to measure the compactness, or the connectivity of genes within a suspected cluster, of a complete array formed by the adjacent nodes within a network (34). To clarify, assume that a node $i$ in a network was connected to $k_i$ nodes. The $k_i$ nodes were called neighbors of node $i$. The ratio of the actual number $E_i$ of edges and the total number $k_i(k_i-1)/2$ of possible edges between $k_i$ nodes were defined as the clustering coefficient, $C_i$, of node $i$, that is, $C_i = 2E_i/(k_i(k_i-1))$.

### 2.5 Pearson network construction and assessment

Pearson correlation networks of DEGs were constructed according to the absolute value of Pearson coefficients. Two genes were considered correlated if the absolute value of the Pearson
coefficient was greater than the threshold \( x \ (0 < x < 1) \), then a line could be drawn between the
two genes. In the cases when genes were not correlated, there would be no link in the network
and thus no line could be drawn. Gene clusters were determined by examining the clustering
coefficients, and those with a non-zero value could be labeled clusters. Gene clusters represent
a functional module as a whole with varying degrees of connectivity; while a degree describes
the number of genes connected to one another. The average clustering coefficients of DEGs
were calculated to evaluate the overall separation of the control and AMI networks. The method
was implemented in R i386 3.6.2.

Natural biological networks are scale-free networks and the degree distributions follow the
power-law exponential distribution index range \( 2 \sim 3 \) (35, 36). We indicated the gene networks
under threshold 0.5 and 0.7 since the power-law indexes of degree distribution in discovery
cohort were in the range of \( 2 \sim 3 \) and presented the corresponding networks in validation cohort
in this study (Supplement Table 1).

2.6 Identify DCGs

In the analysis of network connection parameters, the greater the difference between the control
and AMI group, the higher the correlation with AMI. The following is describing our unique
identifying method. Assume that the average clustering coefficient of the control group and
AMI group could be separated at the threshold \([0.1, 0.9]\). First, the clustering coefficient of
each gene in the Pearson correlation networks of the control group and AMI group, under the
threshold \( 0.1 \sim 0.9 \), were calculated with step length 0.1. Secondly, the average clustering
coefficient of each gene cross threshold 0.4 ~ 0.8 was calculated to compare changes in connectivity between the two groups within the validation and discovery cohorts. Finally, if clustering coefficient differences in discovery cohort and validation cohorts were consistently greater than 0.1 between the AMI group and the control group, the genes were identified as candidates for DCGs.

To test the reliability of the proposed candidate genes across different datasets, we expanded our method to a combination cohort, which included all subjects in both discovery and validation cohorts. Increasing the number of subjects, but also introducing some variation in the data due to the less categorized subject population. The overall network between the control and the AMI groups were still separable through threshold 0.4 ~ 0.8 (data not shown). While having 27 out of 39 candidate genes still showing clustering coefficient differences were greater than 0.1 in this combination cohort were define as DCGs.

2.7 Gene set enrichment

Gene set enrichment was performed by the STRING server. Biological process, Reactome pathways and protein-protein association networks were generated for CCL20 cluster, NR4A3 cluster and DCGs.

2.8 Graphs

Heatmaps of DEGs and DCGs were generated by using heatmap.2 function in the gplots package. Networks were computed by using igraph::graph.data.frame function. Layout
algorithm of layout.kamada.kawai was used for visualizing the overall DEGs networks and the connections for individual genes. Layout algorithm of layout.circle was used to visualize the gene connections within DEGs and DCGs in circle view. Cytoscape network function was used to generate the clustered DCGs networks.

3. Results

3.1 DEGs identification

In our initial analysis, 126 out of 23520 genes are significantly altered in the AMI group compare to the control group in discovery cohort, defined as DEGs, with the majority (79 of 126) demonstrate an up-regulation feature (Figure 2A). And those genes show a similar expression pattern in the validation cohort (Figure 2B).

3.2 Assessment of DEGs’ networks

The overall gene networks of DEGs in the control group and the AMI group are distinctly independent through a large range of thresholds in discovery cohort and validation cohort (Figure 3A). The networks in discovery cohort are separable through threshold 0.1 ~ 0.9 and in validation cohort are separable through 0.1 ~ 0.8. The average separable widths of the discovery cohort and validation cohort are 0.218 and 0.0518, respectively. The validation cohort shows a narrower split range possibly attributed to the variations between two cohorts, for instance, the differential sample size, age, and co-morbid disorders.
In addition, the gene connections within DEGs’ networks in the AMI group are more complex than those in the control group in both cohorts (Figure 3C). In discovery cohort, the number of gene clusters within AMI network gradually decreases from 125 to 67, when threshold increases from 0.4 to 0.8, while it more sharply decreases from 123 to 17 in the control group (Figure 3B). Similarly, the clusters decline with a lower slope in the AMI group compared to the control group in validation cohort (Figure 3B).

The data described above suggest that gene networks of DEGs are largely and consistently disturbed by AMI stimulation as is seen in two independent cohorts, verifying our findings. Thus, DEGs and DEGs-networks are mathematically reliable and hereafter can be set as the foundation for in-depth gene interaction data mining.

3.3 DCGs identification and connectivity analysis

Beyond DEGs, we identified 27 genes as DCGs whose clustering coefficients difference is greater than 0.1 in the discovery cohort, the validation cohort, and the extended combination cohort (Figure 4A, Supplement Table 2). The sub-networks of DCGs present obvious tighter connections in the AMI group compare to the control group, in both discovery and validation cohorts (Figure 4C). When threshold increased from 0.4 to 0.8, the average degree of DCGs progressively decreases from 16.0 to 4.30 in the AMI group, while it decreases from 7.93 to 0 in the control group in discovery cohort (Figure 4D). Similarly, in validation cohort, this number decreases from 19.9 to 1.41 in the AMI group while from 3.26 to 0 in the control group.
Besides clustering coefficients, gene expression of those DCGs is showing a steady increase in the AMI group in discovery and validation cohorts (Figure 4B). Therefore, we propose that the networks’ differential of all DEGs largely attributes to the connection changes within DCGs. As visualized in kamada-kawai layout, the DCGs randomly participate in the DEGs’ network and connect to a few genes under a normal steady state. However, they appear to interact with more functional genes and shift into central positions after AMI in both discovery and validation cohorts (Figure 5).

3.4 Two-dimensional analysis of gene connectivity and gene expression

Since the power-law indexes of degree distribution in the discovery are in the range of natural network, we regard the discovery cohort as a more precise dataset and it is selected for the following analysis. The average clustering coefficient and gene expression of DCGs are plotted into a scatterplot for the two-dimensional analysis (Figure 6A). NR4A3 and CCL20 present high levels of clustering coefficient and gene expression changes, defined as $CC^\text{high} \times \text{GeExp}^\text{high}$. SOCS3, FOSL2, PLIN2 are the genes that were found to have high clustering coefficient changes (fold change > 2) with low expression change, defined as $CC^\text{high} \times \text{GeExp}^\text{low}$ genes; while IL1R2, NLRP3, ANXA3 and AC079305.10 are the genes that were found to have high expression changes (fold change > 0.4) with low clustering coefficient changes, defined as $CC^\text{low} \times \text{GeExp}^\text{high}$ genes. All information on these genes is shown in Table 1. Subgraphs of NR4A3, CCL20, and other DCGs provide pieces of evidence that support their increased gene connectivity after AMI in the discovery cohort (Figure 6C, Supplement Figure 1A and 1B).
3.5 NR4A3 and CCL20 clusters identification

Zooming into the subgraphs of individual genes, we reveal that DCGs stay “non-activated” in the control group (Figure 7A). Interestingly, they appear to be spontaneously gathering together as two separate clusters after AMI stimulation (Figure 7B, Supplement Figure 1A and 1B). CCL20 connects with SKIL, MMP9, ITPRIP, ANXA3, GLUL, CXCL16, IL1R2, TMCC3, NLRP3, PYGL, RNF144B, BCL6, LILRB2, CLEC4E, FCER1G, and AC079305.10, identify as the CCL20 cluster. NR4A3 connects with NR4A2, FOSL2, CDKN1A, SOCS3, GABARAPL1, ITPRIP, SYTL3, PELI1, MAP3K8, and PLIN2, identify as the NR4A3 cluster. While there are overlapping genes between clusters, ITPRIP, SKIL and MAPK38 are the intermediate genes that connect both clusters according to their subgraphs (Supplement Figure 1B). The CC_{high}GeExp_{high} gene, NR4A3 or CCL20, serve as leading-like hub gene in each cluster. The clustering coefficient fold changes of NR4A3 and CCL20 are 15.7 and 10.2, respectively; and the gene expression fold changes are 0.379 and 0.422, respectively.

3.6 Gene enrichment

Biological process analysis shows that DCGs are involving in response to organic substrates, positive regulation of leukocyte activation, immune response, immune system process, response to cytokine and regulation of cytokine production. The CCL20 cluster is essential to the immune response, immune system process, and regulation of localization while the NR4A3 cluster is essential to cellular response to corticotropin-releasing hormone stimulus, positive regulation of leukocyte activation, and regulation of apoptotic process (Table 2).
Reactome pathway analysis revealed that DCGs are related to the immune system with regards to tasks such as signaling by interleukins, namely interleukin-1, interleukin-4 and interleukin-13 signaling, the innate immune system and the dectin-2 family. The CCL20 cluster is essential to immune system, innate immune system, the dectin-2 family, and neutrophil degranulation while the NR4A3 cluster is essential to RNA Polymerase II Transcription, Generic Transcription Pathway, and MyD88 cascade initiated on plasma membrane (Table 2).

4. Discussion

CD146 is a junction-associated adhesion molecule that participates in immune and inflammatory pathological processes in the initiation and development of vascular diseases (2). CD146 activated leukocytes are recruited to the inflamed endothelial to induce the expression of chemokines and cytokines and, in doing so, progressively destroys the blood vessel barrier. Our study found that following AMI stimulation, in CD146+ human blood cells, 126 out of total 23,520 genes show significant differential expression (P<0.0001) and among those, 27 genes show consistent connectivity changes and serve as DCGs. Unlike DEGs, DCGs are able to not only aggregate gene expression but also encompass gene connectivity properties, internally coupling into functional gene clusters—NR4A3 cluster and CCL20 cluster, orchestrating the gene networks’ entire dynamics in CD146 associated AMI pathophysiology development. Meanwhile, NR4A3 and CCL20 are revealed as hub genes since they experienced both connectivity and expression experienced significant changes after AMI stimuli. Furthermore, gene enrichment analysis shows that the DCGs are involved in inflammation-
immune response, with \textit{CCL20} being principal to the immune response and regulation of localization; while, the \textit{NR4A3} cluster is principal to leukocyte activation, apoptotic process, and cellular response to corticotropin-releasing hormone stimulus; such findings align with the well-known hypothesis that CD146 mediated inflammation plays an important role in the pathogenesis of AMI.

The network structural parameter analysis method is applied to weave the gene-gene correlation network. We identify DCGs which present steadily elevated connectivity under AMI conditions, in both the discovery and validation cohorts, further confirming the upregulation seen in the combination cohort (Supplement Table 2). As expected, the gene expression of DCGs was increased after AMI, but was not distinguishable from DEGs solely by expression signature (data not shown). \textit{NR4A3} and \textit{CCL20} as highlight hub genes were also defined as AMI biomarkers after pre-filtering the co-morbidity relevant genes by the original Topol group (12). \textit{SOCS3} tends to be the only “shared” AMI biomarker candidate revealed by other groups in which the same GSE66360 dataset is included as one of their study objects (27, 28). Recognizing the \textit{CCL20}, \textit{NR4A3}, and \textit{SOCS3} as top DCGs substantiate previous outputs and in turn, the validity of our method is enhanced. Therefore, we recommend the gene connectivity analysis, along with gene expression signature, to be used as a powerful and unbiased way for researchers to rank the importance of candidate DEGs.

\textit{NR4A3} belongs to the \textit{NR4A} orphan nuclear receptor family (with \textit{NR4A2} and \textit{NR4A1}), playing a protective role in AMI development. The JM Penninger group reports that \textit{NR4A3} is the
highest-ranking gene in circulating human endothelial cells under atherosclerosis (37).

Transcription analysis of human left ventricular myocardium shows that NR4A3 up-regulated during ischemia and reperfusion in normal and chronic ischemic myocardium (38). Similarly, NR4A3 is found to be elevated 10-days post left anterior descending artery ligation ischemia surgery in mice (39). Overexpression of NR4A3 significantly reduces infarct size, preventing deterioration of left ventricular function and repression of neutrophil infiltration in the heart of mice after coronary artery ligation, relate to the activation of JAK2/STAT3 and the inhibition of STAT3 dependent NF-κB signaling pathways (40). Additionally, it has to point out that the NR4A subgroup including NR4A3 is an immediate early response gene induced by diverse physiological, i.e., mechanical agitation, calcium, and inflammation cytokines (41). This reinforces our data that, in the very early-stage AMI, NR4A3 has a significant 16-fold clustering coefficient climb and 42% gene expression increase. Yet the nuclear factor NR4A3 implications in CD146+ related myocardial disorders remain a mystery.

CCL20, a C-C motif chemokine, is a chemoattractant for recruiting leukocytes to sites of injury and inflammation (Figure 6B). CCL20 secretion is induced by pro-inflammatory chemokines and cytokines, such as CXCL12, IL17, IL1β, IL6, and is in part related to JAK/STAT pathway signaling in multiple cells (42-44). IL6 and soluble IL6 receptor stimulate STAT3 binding to the CCL20 promotor and IL17 stimulate the phosphorylated NF-κB binding to the CCL20 promoter in murine astrocytes, facilitate the neuroinflammation within central nervous system (42). In addition, the co-expression of CCL20 receptor CCR6 and CD146 is a marker of effector
memory Th17 cells, which mediate migration and is thought to be essential for inflammation in human psoriasis (8). Moreover, it is reported that CCL20 level elevated in clinical patients’ serum with ischemic myocardial infarction (45, 46). In vitro study shows that CCL20 expression increase in CD146+ human mesenchymal stromal cells at the early pro-inflammatory phase in fracture healing (47). Thus, we hypothesis that CCL20 binding its receptor CCR6 is what drives the CD146-mediated vessel inflammation progress in early AMI phase.

In terms of functional models, DCGs are self-organized into two clusters, the NR4A3 and CCL20 clusters, with 18 genes and 12 genes in each cluster, respectively. All genes directly link to its hub gene and partly link to adjacent genes as shown in Figure 7. Protein-protein connection analyzed by STRING database produced a structure that is greatly similar to our network pattern in which CCL20 connects with CXCL16, IL1R2, MMP9, NLRP3, BCL6 LILRB2, PELI1, CLEC4E, FCER1G, and NR4A3 connect with NR4A2, FOSL2, RNF144B, CDKN1A, SOCS3 (Supplement Figure 2). A few of gene-gene correlations within clusters are stated in inflammatory diseases. MMP9 activation correlates with CCL20 expression in astrocytes via Notch-1/Akt/NF-κB pathway promoting leukocyte migration cross blood-brain barrier (48). NR4A2 and NR4A3 as orphan nuclear receptors mediate neutrophil number and survival in chronic inflammatory signals multiple hematologic disorders (49-51). FOSL2 acts as an activating protein-1 transcription factor promoting hematopoietic progenitor cell to
macrophage and neutrophils in a SOCS3 dependent manner is reported (52). Nevertheless, most of the cluster functions are rarely reported in AMI pathogenesis.

Taken together, we reveal that NR4A3 and CCL20 clusters are novel functional modules in CD146+ cells-mediated immuno-inflammatory balance, triggering increased susceptibility to vascular deterioration and accelerating myocardial injury. NR4A3 and CCL20 as hub genes largely impact the early AMI development and can be promising targets for clinical diagnosis. In-depth studies are necessary for understanding the mechanisms of peripheral CD146+ cells in cardiovascular disease.
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Figure Legends

Figure 1. Flow chart for study design. DEGs, differential expression genes; DCGs, differential connectivity genes.

Figure 2. Gene expression profiles of DEGs. 126 genes show significant differential expressions between the AMI and the control groups in the discovery cohort (A) and validation cohort (B), thus define as DEGs. DEGs, differential expression genes.

Figure 3. Assessment of DEGs’ networks. Networks in the control and AMI groups are independent and separable according to the average clustering coefficients of DEGs (A). Number of clusters within DEGs’ networks progressively decline when thresholds increase from 0.1 to 0.9 (B). The AMI group has a lower decline slope. The gene networks of DEGs in the AMI group has more complex connection compare to that in the control group (C). Networks are present under threshold 0.5 and 0.7. Darker line represents connections under threshold 0.7; lighter line represents connections under threshold 0.5. DEGs, differential expression genes.
Figure 4. Identification of DCGs. Genes that clustering coefficient increased over 0.1 in the AMI group, in discovery cohort and validation cohort, are revealed as DCGs (A). Gene expression profile of DCGs shows stable increase in AMI group in two cohorts (B). The connection among DCGs in the AMI group are denser (C) and the average degrees of DCGs in AMI group are higher (D) compare to the control group in two cohorts. Networks are presented under threshold 0.5 and 0.7. Darker line represents connections under threshold 0.7; lighter line represents connections under threshold 0.5. Degree are presented as mean ± SEM. DCGs, differential connectivity genes.

Figure 5. Visualization of DCGs in DEGs’ networks. The networks of DEGs in discovery cohort (A) and in the validation cohort (B) indicate that the DCGs participate in distinctive ways in the control group and in the AMI group. DCGs switch to central functional position of networks and participate in more intricate connections under AMI situation. Yellow nodes indicate the DCGs. Red gene names indicate the hub genes. DEGs, differential expression genes; DCGs, differential connectivity genes.

Figure 6. Analysis of gene connection and expression of DCGs in discovery cohort. The analysis of clustering coefficient and gene expression revealed CCL20 and NR4A3 as hub genes (A). The CCL20 is a chemoattractant while NR4A3 is a nuclear factor receptor (B). Subgraphs of CCL20 and NR4A3 substantiate their important roles in AMI development (C). Networks are presented under threshold 0.5 and 0.7. Darker line represents connections under threshold 0.7; lighter line represents connections under threshold 0.5. DCGs, differential connectivity genes; CC, clustering coefficient; GeExp, gene expression.
Figure 7. **CCL20** cluster and **NR4A3** cluster formation in early-stage AMI. **CCL20** and **NR4A3** stay in the peripheral position of DCGs’ network under normal state (A). However, they shift to the primary position of DCGs’ network dominating two functional clusters under AMI stimulation (B). DCGs, differential connectivity genes.