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Abstract

**Background:** The aim of the present study was to evaluate the possible correlation between oxidative stress and subclinical myocardial damage, assessed with speckle tracking echocardiography (STE), in normal glucose tolerant (NGT) patients with one-hour plasma glucose values \( \geq 155 \text{ mg/dl} \) (NGT\( \geq 155 \)), comparing them to NGT<155 subjects, impaired glucose tolerance (IGT) and type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM) newly diagnosed patients.

**Methods:** We enrolled 100 Caucasian patients (mean age 61.4±10.7). All subjects underwent OGTT. The serum values of oxidative stress markers (8-isoprostane and Nox-2) were assessed with ELISA test. Echocardiographic recordings were performed using an E-95 Pro ultrasound system.

**Results:** We observed significant differences, among the four groups, for fasting plasma glucose (p<0.0001), one-hour postload (p<0.0001), two-hours postload plasma glucose (p<0.0001). As compared with NGT<155, NGT\( \geq 155 \) exhibited significantly worse insulin sensitivity and higher values of hs-CRP. No significant differences were observed between NGT\( \geq 155 \) and IGT patients. There was a significant increase in 8-isoprostane (p<0.0001) and Nox-2 (p<0.0001), from the first to fourth group, indicating an increase in oxidative stress with the worsening of the metabolic status. Serum levels of 8-isoprostane and Nox-2 were significantly increased in NGT\( \geq 155 \) compared to NGT<155 group, but similar to IGT. The Global Longitudinal Strain (GLS), appeared progressively lower proceeding from NGT<155 to T2DM group (p<0.0001). For similar values of left ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF), NGT\( \geq 155 \) exhibited reduced GLS compared to NGT<155 (p=0.001), but similar to IGT patients.

**Conclusions:** Our study demonstrated that NGT\( \geq 155 \) subjects exhibit early functional impairment of myocardial contractile fibres, and these alterations are correlated with increased oxidative stress.

**Background**

In the last decades, many studies suggested that one-hour postload plasma glucose values \( \geq 155 \text{ mg/dl} \) (8.6 mmol/l), during an oral glucose tolerance test (OGTT), detects individuals at increased risk to develop type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM) among those who have normal glucose tolerance (NGT) [1]. Individuals with one-hour post load plasma glucose \( \geq 155 \text{ mg/dl} \) (NGT\( \geq 155 \)) show a worse metabolic and hemodynamic profile, that contributes to increase cardiovascular (CV) risk [2], as compared with individuals with one-hour post load plasma glucose < 155 mg/dl (NGT<155). One-hour post load plasma glucose levels during OGTT are strongly related with subclinical CV organ damage including increased carotid intima-media-thickness (IMT) and reduced estimated glomerular filtration rate (e-GFR) [3]; furthermore, NGT\( \geq 155 \) individuals exhibit higher left ventricular mass (LVM) values and higher prevalence of left ventricular hypertrophy (LVH), similar to impaired glucose tolerance (IGT) subjects and T2DM patients [4].

Notably, also left ventricular (LV) diastolic function is significantly worse in NGT \( \geq 155 \) in comparison with NGT<155 and similar to IGT and T2DM subjects. These observations are clinically relevant and underlines the correlation between early alteration of glucose tolerance and myocardial damage.

To improve clinical prognosis, it is fundamental to recognize early morphological and functional cardiac alterations even before the appearance of clinically evident reduction in LV performance. According with this, in the last two decades myocardial deformation evaluation represents one of the most important innovations in the echocardiographic field because it considers many parameters that provide information about myocardial function beyond standard echocardiographic indices [5].
In particular, speckle tracking echocardiography (STE) is a second-level echocardiographic technique that allows semi-automatic quantification of myocardial global and regional deformation, for systolic and diastolic function, with an early detection of cardiac efficiency impairment [6]. STE allows to track and display myocardial deformation global longitudinal strain (GLS) through its different layers. GLS has a prognostic value for CV events in diabetic patients with no history of CV complications and provides incremental prognostic value to clinical parameters, glycated haemoglobin (HbA1c) and diastolic function values in these patients [7-10]. Of interest, myocardial contractility is characterized by a higher deformation amplitude in the endocardial layer compared with the epicardial one, resulting in an endocardial/epicardial strain ratio (Endo/Epi strain) [11]. A detailed analysis of layer specific mechanical changes in patients with glycol-metabolic disorders could provide a better knowledge of pathophysiological mechanisms underlying LV remodelling and subclinical myocardial damage in prediabetes and diabetic cardiomyopathy (DCM) [10].

In addition, myocardial work (MW) is a new dynamic non-invasive method that takes into account myocardial deformation and blood pressure (BP) values, thus overcoming the load dependency of LV ejection fraction (LVEF) and LV strain [11]. Global MW is a sensitive predictor of the subclinical LV systolic dysfunction in diabetic patients with preserved LVEF [12]. Moreover, a study conducted by Chen et al, demonstrated that hypertensive and diabetic patients had higher global myocardial wasted work (GWW) and lower global myocardial work efficiency (GWE) compared to controls, concluding that GMW may be a sensitive tool for the detection of subclinical changes in cardiac function in cardiometabolic diseases [13].

It is well known that in diabetic patients several pathophysiological factors, in particular metabolic abnormalities, inappropriate activation of the renin–angiotensin–aldosterone system (RAAS) and inflammation, may increase oxidative stress, that represents a common pathophysiological mechanism underlying CV diseases (CVD) and DCM [14]. Oxidative stress results from overproduction and decreased elimination of reactive oxygen species (ROS); the increased ROS production stimulates lipid peroxidation and protein carboxylation bringing to dysfunction of metabolic pathways and cellular components [15]. Nox-2, the catalytic core of NADPH oxidase enzyme, is the most important cellular source of ROS. The reaction of ROS with arachidonic acid on cell membranes conducts to the production of isoprostanes, which in turn induces platelets activation, vasoconstriction and activation of an inflammatory cascade [16,17]. These mechanisms may induce all the structural and functional cardiac changes characterizing DCM, so as myocardial interstitial fibrosis, cardiac stiffening with diastolic dysfunction and, finally, systolic dysfunction with clinically evident heart failure [18-20].

According with this, chronic hyperglycemia and insulin resistance (IR), which also characterize patients with NGT ≥155, by promoting mild chronic inflammation and oxidative stress, could play a predominant role in the association between higher one-hour postload plasma glucose levels and CV damage. In addition, it is conceivable that NGT≥155 patients, characterized by a worse metabolic and inflammatory profile, show a greater oxidative stress than NGT<155 similar to IGT and diabetic subjects.

Therefore, it is a crucial issue to identify a possible association between increased oxidative stress and early myocardial alterations, in order to understand the processes that lead to an increased CV risk also before T2DM appearance.

We designed this study to evaluate a possible correlation between oxidative stress validated markers (8-isoprostane and Nox-2) and myocardial deformation and efficiency parameters, obtained by STE, in NGT≥155 patients, comparing them to NGT<155 subjects, IGT and newly diagnosed T2DM patients.
Methods

Study population

We enrolled 100 newly diagnosed hypertensive Caucasian patients (61 men and 39 women, mean age 61.4±10.7) participating in the Catanzaro Metabolic Risk Factors (CATAMERI) Study [21]. All subjects underwent physical examination and review of their medical history. Causes of secondary hypertension were excluded by appropriate clinical and biochemical tests. Other exclusion criteria were clinical evidence of heart failure or other CV complications, higher plasma levels of N-terminal pro-B-type natriuretic peptide (NT-proBNP), diagnosis of anaemia, history or malignant or chronic respiratory disease, endocrinological pathologies, malabsorption diseases, alcohol, drug or smoking abuse. All subjects underwent anthropometrical evaluation with determination of weight, height and body mass index (BMI).

The ethics committee approved the protocol and informed written consent was obtained from all participants. All of the investigations were performed in accordance with the principles of the Helsinki Declaration.

Blood pressure measurement

Clinical BP measurements were obtained according to current guidelines. Readings of BP were obtained in the left arm of the supine patients, after 5 min of rest, using a standard sphygmomanometer. BP values were the average of three measurements after a 10-minute period of rest in the supine position. This evaluation was repeated on three separate occasions at least 2 weeks apart. Patients with a clinic systolic BP (SBP) >140 mmHg and/or diastolic BP (DBP) >90 mmHg were defined as hypertensive [22]. Pulse pressure (PP) values were obtained as the difference between systolic and diastolic BP measurements.

Laboratory Determination

All laboratory measurements were performed after a fast of at least 12 hours. A 75-g OGTT was performed with 0, 30, 60, 90, and 120 min sampling for plasma glucose and insulin. Glucose tolerance status was defined on the basis of OGTT using the World Health Organization (WHO) criteria. Plasma glucose was measured by the glucose oxidation method (Beckman Glucose Analyzer II; Beckman Instruments, Milan, Italy), and plasma insulin concentration was determined by a chemiluminescence-based assay (Roche Diagnostics).

T2DM was defined according to the American Diabetes Association (ADA) criteria [23].

Insulin sensitivity was evaluated using the Matsuda index (insulin sensitivity index [ISI]), calculated as follows: 10,000/ square root of [fasting glucose (millimoles per liter) X fasting insulin (milliunits per liter)] X [mean glucose3meaninsulin during OGTT]. The Matsuda index is strongly related to euglycemic-hyperinsulinemic clamp, which represents the gold standard test for measuring insulin sensitivity [24].

Triglycerides, total, LDL, and HDL cholesterol concentrations were measured by enzymatic methods (Roche Diagnostics, Mannheim, Germany). Values of estimated glomerular filtration rate (e-GFR) were calculated by using the equation proposed by investigators in the chronic kidney disease epidemiology (CKD-EPI) collaboration [25].

Serum levels of oxidative stress biomarkers

Blood samples, obtained from fasted patients, were taken in tubes with separator gel and centrifuged at 4000 rpm for 15 min to obtain serum samples that were immediately stored at
-80 C.

Quantitative determination of the 8-isoprostane (ELISA kit Cayman Chemical Michigan, USA) and Nox-2 (ELISA kit MyBioSource, California, USA) was performed with commercial ELISA immunoassays according to the manufacturer's instructions. Values of 8-isoprostane were expressed as pg/mL; the lower detection limit of the assay was 0.8 pg/ml. Values of Nox-2 were expressed as nmol/L, the lower detection limit of the assay was 0.25 nmol/L. The coefficient of variation (CV %) was <9%.

**Echocardiographic measurements**

Comprehensive 2D and Doppler echocardiography were performed using a commercially available ultrasound machine (Vivid E95, GE Healthcare, Horten, Norway) and performed according to the American Society of Echocardiography (ASE) recommendations [26]. Echocardiographic readings were made in random order by the investigator, who had no knowledge of patient's clinical data.

LVM was calculated using the formula proposed by Devereux et al. and corrected for body surface area (BSA), to derive the LVM index (LVMI) [27]. LV end-diastolic and end-systolic volumes and LVEF were calculated using biplane disk-summation algorithm [26], and the indexed by BSA. The values of all parameters were obtained as the average value of three consecutive cardiac cycles.

**2D speckle tracking echocardiography**

A 2D speckle tracking analysis was retrospectively performed using vendor-specific 2D speckle tracking software (EchoPAC PC, version 113.0.5, GE Healthcare, Horten, Norway). Manual tracings of the endocardial border during end-systole in three apical views was performed to evaluate GLS.

The transmural variation of the longitudinal strain across the myocardial wall was calculated under the assumption of linear distribution. The endocardial and epicardial strains were calculated exactly on the endocardial and epicardial region of interest (ROI) borderlines, respectively. Subsequently, the values of transmural (not midwall), endocardial, and epicardial strain were obtained. The ratio of endocardial GLS to epicardial GLS was calculated using the endocardial GLS/Epicardial GLS (Endo/Epi) ratio for the assessment of the strain gradient (8).

Additional indices of myocardial work were also calculated, in particular global constructive work (GCW) (myocardial work performed during LV shortening in systole and LV lengthening during the isovolumic relaxation phase); GWW (myocardial work performed during LV lengthening in systole and LV shortening in isovolumic relaxation phase); and GWE (constructive work divided by the sum of constructive and wasted work) [28].

Constructive work describes the net effect resulting from positive work (shortening) performed during systole and negative work (lengthening) performed during isovolumic relaxation. Wasted work describes the net effect resulting from negative work (lengthening) performed during systole plus positive work (shortening) during isovolumic relaxation. For the current analysis, LV segments with poor tracking or suboptimal image quality were excluded, as were subjects whose echocardiograms provided information on GLS and myocardial work in less than 17 segments. The software further provides a global work index (GWI = total work performed = area of the pressure-strain loop) and the global work efficiency (GWE = GCW/(GCW+GWW)) [28].

**Statistical Methods**
Normally distributed data are reported as mean ± SD, and those not normally distributed as median and interquartile range. Variables having a positively skewed distribution were log transformed (lg10) before the correlational analysis. To test the differences among groups, analysis of variance (ANOVA) for clinical and biological data was performed, followed by the Bonferroni post hoc test for multiple comparisons. Chi-squared test was considered for categorical variables. Correlational analysis was carried out for the whole study population and according to different glucose tolerance groups. A linear correlation analysis was performed to compare GLS, GLS endo/epi ratio and GWE with different covariates including one-hour post load glucose, and oxidative stress biomarkers. Afterwards, variables achieving statistical significance were inserted in a multiple stepwise multivariate linear regression model to determine the independent predictor of GLS, GLS endo/epi ratio and GWE. Data were considered significant at p<0.05. All comparisons were performed using the statistical package SPSS 20.0 for Windows (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA).

Results

Study Population

Among 100 patients evaluated by OGTT 54 showed NGT, 28 IGT and 18 have T2DM. Considering the cut-off point of 155 mg/dl for one-hour post load plasma glucose, NGT subjects were stratified into two groups: 30 with NGT<155 (55.6%), and 24 NGT ≥155 (44.4%).

In table 1, the demographic, clinical and biochemical characteristics of the study population are reported according to different metabolic states. There were no significant differences among groups regarding gender distribution and age. Anthropometric parameters, DBP, PP, heart rate (HR), total, HDL and LDL cholesterol, and haemoglobin (Hb), were also not significantly different. From the first to the fourth group, there was a significant increase of SBP, triglyceride and hs-CRP values as well as a reduction in e-GFR.

Of interest, one-hour post load plasma glucose levels (p<0.0001) and two-hours post load plasma glucose levels (p<0.0001), as well as fasting (p<0.0001), one-hour (p = 0.001) and two-hours (p<0.0001) insulin values during OGTT significantly increased from the first to the fourth group. As expected, there was a worsening of insulin sensitivity accounting for the reduction of MATSUDA/ISI (p<0.0001).

Post-hoc analysis by Bonferroni test confirmed that NGT ≥155 subjects have significantly worse insulin sensitivity as represented by a lower Matsuda index (p<0.0001) and higher values of hs-CRP (p =0.006), in comparison with NGT<155, showing a metabolic and inflammatory profile similar to IGT individuals.

Oxidative stress serum parameters

Figure 1A and 1B show serum levels of oxidative stress biomarkers (8-isorpostane, Nox-2), according to glucose tolerance status. There was a significant increase, from the first to the fourth group, of 8-isoprostanep (p<0.0001), and Nox-2 (p<0.0001) serum levels, indicating a worsening in oxidative stress with the deterioration of metabolic status. In particular, 8-isoprostanep (p<0.0001) and Nox-2 (p<0.0001) levels were significantly higher in the NGT≥155 subjects compared to NGT<155 group, without significant differences with IGT and diabetic patients.

Echocardiographic parameters according to glucose tolerance

In Table 2, morphological and functional echocardiographic parameters of the study population according to different glycometabolic status are reported. From the first to the fourth group there was a significant increase in
LVMI; diabetic subjects exhibited a worsening in both LVM (p<0.0001) and LVMI (p<0.0001) in comparison with NGT<155, NGT≥155 and IGT. In particular, NGT≥155 patients showed significantly higher values of diastolic interventricular septum (dIVS) (p=0.009), diastolic posterior wall (dPW) (p=0.01) and LVMI (p=0.026) in comparison with NGT<155, but similar to IGT. By contrast, NGT≥155 group compared with T2DM group, exhibited significantly lower LVMI (p=0.009), while no significant differences were detected between the two groups as regards dIVS and dPW. Regarding the left ventricular end-diastolic diameter (LVEDD), there were no statistically significant differences between the four groups.

Regarding left global systolic function values evaluated as EF and stroke volume, significantly differences were not detected among the four groups.

From the first to the fourth group, there was a progressive worsening of left global systolic function evaluated as myocardial deformation and GLS (p<0.0001) (Fig 1C). Furthermore NGT ≥ 155 subjects in comparison with NGT<155 patients, showed a more compromised GLS (p<0.0001), but similar to IGT. In addition, there was a progressive impairment in GLS endo values (p<0.0001) and GLS endo/epi (p<0.0001) proceeding from the NGT<155 group to T2DM group. No differences were highlighted in GLS epi values among the four groups.

Moreover, from the first to the fourth group there was a progressive reduction of GWE (Fig 1D) (p<0.0001) and a progressive increment of GWW (p<0.0001). In detail, NGT≥155 exhibited a worsening of GWE in comparison with NGT≥155 (p=0.027), but similar to IGT and diabetic patients.

In addition, a significant reduction of right systolic function was observed as demonstrated by lower values of TAPSE (p=0.006) in NGT≥155 group compared to NGT<155; this parameter was also decreased in diabetic patients compared to the remaining groups (p<0.0001). Values of left pulmonary systolic pressure (s-PAP) were similar in NGT≥155, IGT and T2DM groups, with significant lower values in NGT<155 (p<0.0001). Moreover, TAPSE/s-PAP ratio significantly decreased in T2DM group compared to NGT<155 (p<0.0001) and NGT≥155 (p=0.025), remaining similar to IGT.

Finally, NGT≥155 had a significantly lower E/A ratio in comparison with NGT<155 (p<0.0001), but similar to IGT and T2DM patients.

Correlation analysis

Correlation analysis was performed to test the correlation between echocardiographic parameters (GLS endo/epi ratio, GLS and GWE) and different covariates (Table 3).

GLS endo/epi ratio was significantly correlated with e-GFR (r=0.280, p=0.002), Matsuda/ISI (r=0.541, p<0.0001), and inversely correlated with one-hour glucose (r= -0.559, p<0.0001), 8-isoprostan (r= -0.537, p<0.0001), hs-CRP (r= -0.276, p=0.003), Nox-2 (r= -0.551, p<0.0001), E/e' (r= -0.494, p<0.0001), LVMI (r= -0.401, p<0.0001). When GLS was considered as a dependent variable, in the whole study population it was significantly correlated with e-GFR (r= -0.184, p=0.034), Matsuda/ISI (r= -0.538, p<0.0001), Hb (r= -0.195, p=0.026), one-hour glucose (r= -0.564, p<0.0001), 8-isoprostan (r= -0.445, p<0.0001), hs-CRP (r=0.370, p=0.005), Nox-2 (r=0.468, p<0.0001), E/e' (r=0.473, p<0.0001), LVMI (r=0.408, p<0.0001).

Subsequently, GWE was considered as a dependent variable and was significantly correlated with e-GFR (r=0.199, p=0.023), Matsuda/ISI (r=0.496, p<0.0001), Hb (r=0.347, p<0.0001), one-hour glucose (r= -0.420, p<0.0001), PP
Finally, variables achieving statistical significance were inserted in a stepwise multivariate linear regression model to determine the independent predictors of GLS endo/epi ratio, GLS and GWE respectively. In the whole study population, one-hour glucose was the major predictor of GLS endo/epi ratio explaining 31.3% of its variation (p<0.0001) and Nox-2 added another 4.1%. Moreover, one-hour glucose was the major predictor of GLS explaining a 31.8% of its variation (p<0.0001) and Matsuda/ISI added another 4.7% (p<0.0001). Considering GWE as dependent variable, Matsuda/ISI was the main predictor justifying 24.6% (p<0.0001) of its variation; Hb added another 7.8% and hs-CRP another 3.4%. When Matsuda/ISI was excluded from the model, hs-CRP resulted the major predictor of GWE variation justifying a 21.6% of its variation (p<0.0001), one-hour glucose added a 7.3% (p<0.0001) and Hb another 3.8% (p<0.0001). The respective models justified a 35.4% for GLS endo/epi ratio, a 36.5% for GLS and 35.8% for GWE variation, respectively.

**Discussion**

The results of this study are in agreement with previous results obtained by our research group suggesting that one-hour postload glucose \( \geq \) 155 mg/dl is associated with a worse cardio-metabolic risk burden. Several evidences show that one-hour postload glucose values allow to identify subjects at higher risk to develop T2DM and CVD, more efficaciously than one-hour postload glucose levels [2-4,29,30,31]. In the Israel Study of Glucose Intolerance, Obesity and Hypertension over a 33-year period, 945 individuals without diabetes completed an OGTT and were followed for all-cause mortality. Of interest, a one-hour glucose value > 155 mg/dl predicts mortality even when the two-hour level was < 140 mg/dl [30]. Moreover, in the Malmo project for prevention, conducted in 33346 apparently healthy, middle-aged men without diagnosis of diabetes, one-hour postload plasma glucose levels provided better prognostic yield than fasting blood glucose and two-hour post load plasma glucose, in predicting long-term CV morbidity and mortality [32]. Recently, in the Oulu Project Elucidating Risk of Atherosclerosis (OPERA) study, a population-based study consisting of 977 middle-aged subjects who underwent an OGTT, during a median follow-up of 19.8 years, one-hour glucose was a better long-term predictor of CV morbidity and mortality than fasting or two-hour glucose, independently of conventional CV risk factors [31].

The present study confirms that NGT\( \geq \) 155 subjects, in comparison with NGT<155, present a more compromised inflammatory profile, in particular higher hs-CRP levels, similar to that observed in IGT and T2DM subjects. Of interest, our study demonstrated an increased oxidative stress with the worsening of the metabolic profile; in fact one of the novelties of our study is that a statistically significant increase in 8-isoprostane and Nox-2 levels was found in patients NGT\( \geq \) 155 compared to NGT <155, but similar to IGT patients. In literature, there are growing evidences that oxidative stress has a crucial role in the pathological processes observed in prediabetes and T2DM [14,33]. ROS generated by Nox-2, inactivate nitric oxide (NO), which can interact with arachidonic acid with the consequent production of isoprostanes, including 8-isoprostane, favouring cell damage. According with this, it's plausible that increased Nox-2 levels in the NGT\( \geq \) 155 subjects, led to an increase in 8-isoprostane levels; in fact, these patients have higher 8-isoprostane levels than NGT<155 subjects and similar to IGT and diabetic subjects.

It has already been shown that oxidative stress plays an important role in the pathogenesis of CVD in diabetic subjects, by promoting endothelial dysfunction, characterized by reduced bioavailability of NO, with also alterations in the vascular smooth muscle cells and cardiac damage so as LVH [34], but no study has ever evaluated the possible correlation between one-hour post load glucose \( \geq \) 155 mg/dl and early myocardial alterations.
The most clinically relevant information obtained from the present study is that NGT≥155 subjects showed, not only an increase in oxidative stress, but also a worse subclinical morfo-functional cardiac damage, similar to IGT and diabetic subjects, despite the patients being asymptomatic and with preserved LVEF.

Recently multilayer strain analysis of LV mechanics in asymptomatic, hypertensive and diabetic patients revealed lower longitudinal strain in endo/layer and lower circumferential strain in endo and mid layer compared to healthy controls, which is also significantly correlated with HbA1c levels [35].

A study conducted by Bogdanovic et al, showed that, in asymptomatic diabetic patients with preserved LVEF, chronic hyperglycaemia exerted negative effects on the LV myocardium, by reducing GLS and GLS endo/epi ratio and highlighted early abnormalities even in the absence of overt symptoms [36]. According with this, in our study we showed a progressive reduction in GLS and GLS endo/epi ratio in the enrolled population, proceeding from the NGT<155 group to T2DM group, even if all patients were asymptomatic and showed a preserved LVEF.

Moreover, in the stepwise multivariate linear regression model, the major predictors of GLS endo/epi ratio and GLS variability were one-hour glucose and Nox-2. This result can be explained by pathophysiological mechanisms of early histological and functional alterations in DCM. According with this, glucotoxicity, insulin-resistance, oxidative stress and mitochondrial damage represent early steps in CV damage, promoting extracellular matrix turnover and alteration of the contractile properties of myofibrils in the cardiomyocytes, impairment in adenosine triphosphate (ATP) production and myocardial work efficiency [37]. Oxidative stress, DNA damage and degradation, the reduction in mitochondria number and mitochondrial protein synthesis make cardiac mitochondria less able to produce ATP; thus myocardial fibres are less performing and ATP production is used for GWW instead to GWE; a phenomenon also caused by increasing of myocardial fibrosis and reduction of ventricular compliance. An excessive production of ROS has negative effects on cardiac contractility, myocardial work efficiency and global cardiac performance, according with this contractile dysfunction is due to the influence that ROS have on the interruption of the calcium cycle and the harmful effects that they cause on cellular metabolism, ATP production and constructive cardiac work [34].

It is known that myocardial oxygen demand is the amount of oxygen that the heart requires to maintain optimal function and myocardial oxygen supply is the amount of the oxygen provided to heart by the blood [38]. In our study, we demonstrated that haemoglobin was significantly and directly correlated with GWE justifying 3.8% of its variation. Moreover, the interesting data emerged from our analysis was that there was a progressive reduction of GWE, according to glycometabolic status, in the presence of normal haemoglobin range values. These data stress the concept that NGT≥155 patients present reduced myocardial efficiency and early functional alteration of myocardial contractile fibres, before LVEF reduction and clinically evident heart failure.

The lack of progressive deterioration of endocardial strain may be explained by the relatively small sample size. We would like to emphasize that there was a consistent trend of LV layer-specific strain reduction from the endocardium to the epicardium, which is in agreement with previous studies that used layer-specific strain, demonstrating a myocardial gradient of strain, with a decreasing strain value from the endocardial to the epicardial layer in hypertensive and diabetic patients [39].

**Conclusions**

In conclusion, the present study confirms the predictive role of one-hour post load plasma glucose values on metabolic and CV risk, highlighting the importance to perform OGTT, taking into account not only two-hours but also
one-hour post load glucose levels to improve the stratification of CV risk.

The novelty of our study is the demonstration of early functional alterations of myocardial contractile fibres in NGT ≥ 155 subjects, even before the reduction of LVEF, moreover the impairment in myocardial performance was strongly correlated with increased oxidative stress. Therefore, it is important to reconsider the concept that NGT patients are at low CV risk. The data obtained from our study not only confirm that NGT ≥ 155 subjects have metabolic and inflammatory profile similar to IGT and diabetic patients, but also that they present cellular and subclinical organ damage as evidenced by increased levels of oxidative stress, and reduction of global and multilayer strain and myocardial work efficiency.

These data could play a central role in ongoing research on the association between early glycometabolic alterations and CV outcome.
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Tables

**Table 1.** Anthropometric, hemodynamic, and biochemical characteristics of the study population according to glucose tolerance status.

| NGT= Normal Glucose Tolerance; IGT= Impaired Glucose Tolerance; T2DM= Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus; BMI= Body Mass Index; SBP= Systolic Blood Pressure; DBP= Diastolic Blood Pressure; PP= Pulse Pressure; HR=Heart Rate; FPG= Fasting Plasma Glucose; HDL= high density lipoprotein; LDL= low density lipoprotein; hs-CRP= high-sensitivity C-reactive protein; e-GFR= estimated glomerular filtration rate; Hb= haemoglobin. |

Data are mean ± SD

* c2 test

Overall difference among groups (ANOVA)

**Table 2.** Morphofunctional echocardiographic parameters of the study population according to glucose tolerance

| LVDD= Left Ventricular diastolic diameter; dIVSd= diastolic interventricular septum, dPW= diastolic posterior wall; LVM= Left Ventricular Mass; LVMi= Left ventricular Mass Index; LVEF= Left Ventricular Ejection Fraction; GLS= Global longitudinal strain; GLS endo= GLS Endocardial; GLS epi= GLS epicardial; GLS endo/epi ratio= GLS Endocardial/Epicardial strain ratio; GWI= Global work index; GCW= Global Constructive Work; GWW= Global myocardial wasted work; GWE= Global myocardial work efficiency, TAPSE= Tricuspid annular plane systolic excursion; s-PAP= systolic pulmonary arterial pressure; LAVI= left atrial volume index; |

Data are mean ± SD

Log-transformed (ln) variables

Overall difference among groups (ANOVA)

**Table 3.** Linear regression analysis between GLS endo/epi ratio, GLS, GWE and different covariates in the whole study population.

eGFR= estimated glomerular filtration rate; PP= Pulse Pressure; hs-CRP= high-sensitivity C-reactive protein; HR= Heart
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>All (n=100)</th>
<th>NGT&lt;155 (n=30)</th>
<th>NGT*155 (n=24)</th>
<th>IGT (n=28)</th>
<th>T2DM (ND) (n=18)</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gender, m/f</td>
<td>61/39</td>
<td>13/17</td>
<td>15/9</td>
<td>21/7</td>
<td>12/6</td>
<td>0.080*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age, years</td>
<td>61.4±10.7</td>
<td>58.0±12.2</td>
<td>65.0±8.0</td>
<td>61.6±11.2</td>
<td>62.2±9.4</td>
<td>0.120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI, Kg/m²</td>
<td>30.5±5.0</td>
<td>29.6±5.7</td>
<td>31.6±4.6</td>
<td>31.1±5.1</td>
<td>29.7±4.0</td>
<td>0.402</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SBP, mmHg</td>
<td>134.4±11.4</td>
<td>130±13.0</td>
<td>134.6±10.0</td>
<td>135.3±9.0</td>
<td>139.8±12.0</td>
<td>0.031</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DBP, mmHg</td>
<td>79.9±9.6</td>
<td>79.0±8.8</td>
<td>81.2±10.9</td>
<td>79.1±9.8</td>
<td>80.8±9.1</td>
<td>0.766</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PP, mmHg</td>
<td>54.7±12.2</td>
<td>51.1±13.1</td>
<td>53.4±13.2</td>
<td>56.2±11.1</td>
<td>60.4±8.8</td>
<td>0.061</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HR, bpm</td>
<td>69.9±6.2</td>
<td>69.2±5.3</td>
<td>68.6±5.9</td>
<td>69.6±5.6</td>
<td>73.5±7.8</td>
<td>0.054</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FPG, mg/dl</td>
<td>99.2±17.0</td>
<td>88.1±11.7</td>
<td>95.9±18.7</td>
<td>101.5±12.5</td>
<td>118.4±9.8</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-h glucose, mg/dl</td>
<td>180.7±47.7</td>
<td>127.1±24.1</td>
<td>183.9±31.7</td>
<td>197.9±21.5</td>
<td>238.8±31.0</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-h glucose, mg/dl</td>
<td>154.6±48.3</td>
<td>115.9±29.6</td>
<td>125.0±27.6</td>
<td>174.7±14.6</td>
<td>227.4±23.5</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fasting insulin, mU/ml</td>
<td>15.5±6.0</td>
<td>11.2±3.4</td>
<td>14.4±4.3</td>
<td>18.7±5.9</td>
<td>19.2±6.6</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1h-insulin, mU/ml</td>
<td>100.6±50.8</td>
<td>79.6±38.4</td>
<td>119±31.1</td>
<td>113.8±66.3</td>
<td>90.4±50.7</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2h-insulin, mU/ml</td>
<td>98.1±42.0</td>
<td>76.8±24.0</td>
<td>80.9±18.5</td>
<td>119.7±48.1</td>
<td>122.8±52.2</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Matsuda/ISI</td>
<td>50.6±20.9</td>
<td>73±15.3</td>
<td>49.5±11.2</td>
<td>38.0±13.4</td>
<td>34.6±16.5</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total cholesterol, mg/dl</td>
<td>179.2±39.0</td>
<td>189.7±40.0</td>
<td>178.8±35.3</td>
<td>169.9±34.5</td>
<td>176.5±47.0</td>
<td>0.280</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triglyceride, mg/dl</td>
<td>138.1±61.1</td>
<td>115.8±31.0</td>
<td>123.0±54.1</td>
<td>132.5±71.4</td>
<td>203.9±46.7</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDL, mg/dl</td>
<td>47.9±12.1</td>
<td>50.5±12.4</td>
<td>50.3±11.9</td>
<td>45.5±10.1</td>
<td>44.3±13.5</td>
<td>0.170</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDL, mg/dl</td>
<td>118±36.7</td>
<td>124.9±41.5</td>
<td>118.4±33.5</td>
<td>111.4±29.0</td>
<td>116.2±43.5</td>
<td>0.576</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hs-CRP, mg/L</td>
<td>3.2±2.4</td>
<td>1.5±1.1</td>
<td>3.4±1.9</td>
<td>4.2±3.0</td>
<td>4.3±1.7</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e-GFR, ml/min/1.73 m²</td>
<td>103.5±25.7</td>
<td>119.6±28.0</td>
<td>102.3±20.0</td>
<td>93.2±21.3</td>
<td>94.4±23.1</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hb, g/dl</td>
<td>14.0±1.5</td>
<td>14.0±1.3</td>
<td>14.0±1.6</td>
<td>13.4±1.6</td>
<td>13.4±1.8</td>
<td>0.464</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Rate; LVMI= Left Ventricular Mass Index; Hb= haemoglobin; GLS= Global Longitudinal Strain; GLS endo/epi ratio= GLS Endocardial/Epicardial strain ratio; GWE= Global myocardial work efficiency;

**Figures**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>All (n=100)</th>
<th>NGT&lt;155 (n=30)</th>
<th>NGT³155 (n=24)</th>
<th>IGT (n=28)</th>
<th>T2DM (ND) (n=18)</th>
<th>p*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LVDD, cm</td>
<td>5.1±0.4</td>
<td>5.1±0.4</td>
<td>5.1±0.4</td>
<td>5.2±0.3</td>
<td>5.2±0.4</td>
<td>0.347</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>diVS, cm</td>
<td>1.22±0.1</td>
<td>1.1±0.1</td>
<td>1.2±0.1</td>
<td>1.2±0.1</td>
<td>1.3±0.1</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dPW, cm</td>
<td>1.0±0.1</td>
<td>0.9±0.1</td>
<td>1.0±0.1</td>
<td>1.0±0.1</td>
<td>1.0±0.1</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LVM, g</td>
<td>198.5±37.2</td>
<td>172.7±22.1</td>
<td>193.7±30.3</td>
<td>209.8±32.4</td>
<td>230.2±42.6</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LVMI, g/m²</td>
<td>109±19.7</td>
<td>94.2±10.8</td>
<td>107.3±17.6</td>
<td>116.8±15.7</td>
<td>124±22.7</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stroke volume, ml</td>
<td>78.9±16.4</td>
<td>77.6±12.3</td>
<td>74.5±16.0</td>
<td>82±16.4</td>
<td>81.5±22.2</td>
<td>0.325</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LVEF%</td>
<td>61.1±4.2</td>
<td>62.7±4.4</td>
<td>61±4.7</td>
<td>60.3±3.3</td>
<td>60±3.5</td>
<td>0.052</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GLS%</td>
<td>-19.4±2.4</td>
<td>-21.1±1.8</td>
<td>-19.1±2.2</td>
<td>-18±1.4</td>
<td>-18.1±2.1</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GLSendo%</td>
<td>-21.6±2.9</td>
<td>-24.0±1.5</td>
<td>-21.7±2.2</td>
<td>-20.1±2.3</td>
<td>-19.7±3.7</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GLSepi %</td>
<td>-16.1±2</td>
<td>-16.1±1.3</td>
<td>-16.5±2</td>
<td>-15.5±2</td>
<td>-16.3±2.8</td>
<td>0.340</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GLS endo/epi ratio</td>
<td>1.3±0.2</td>
<td>1.5±0.1</td>
<td>1.3±0.2</td>
<td>1.3±0.1</td>
<td>1.2±0.2</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GWI, mmHg %</td>
<td>2045.7±370.5</td>
<td>2096.6±491.4</td>
<td>1954.6±285.5</td>
<td>2068.4±311.9</td>
<td>2047.4±325.0</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GCW, mmHg %</td>
<td>2114.2±407.1</td>
<td>2457.5±419.8</td>
<td>2074.4±220.2</td>
<td>1944.9±350.2</td>
<td>1858.4±279.2</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GWW, mmHg%</td>
<td>93±46.7</td>
<td>55.6±25.6</td>
<td>100.3±36.6</td>
<td>106.3±44.7</td>
<td>125.3±51.3</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GWE, mmHg %</td>
<td>95.5 (93-97.75)*</td>
<td>98.0±0.3</td>
<td>94.5±0.6</td>
<td>94.0±0.7</td>
<td>93.0±1.2</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TAPSE, mm</td>
<td>21.6±3.0</td>
<td>24.0±2.8</td>
<td>21.5±2.5</td>
<td>20.9±2.3</td>
<td>19.2±1.9</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>s-PAP, mmHg</td>
<td>31.9±6.8</td>
<td>26.4±5.7</td>
<td>33.1±6.5</td>
<td>33.9±5.4</td>
<td>36.3±5.4</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TAPSE/s-PAP, mm/mmHg</td>
<td>0.7±0.2</td>
<td>0.9±0.2</td>
<td>0.7±0.2</td>
<td>0.6±0.1</td>
<td>0.5±0.1</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E, m/sec</td>
<td>0.7±0.2</td>
<td>0.9±0.2</td>
<td>0.7±0.2</td>
<td>0.6±0.1</td>
<td>0.7±0.2</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A, m/sec</td>
<td>0.8±0.2</td>
<td>0.8±0.2</td>
<td>0.8±0.1</td>
<td>0.8±0.2</td>
<td>1.0±0.2</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E/A</td>
<td>0.9±0.3</td>
<td>1.2±0.3</td>
<td>0.9±0.3</td>
<td>0.8±0.1</td>
<td>0.7±0.1</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E/e'</td>
<td>12.9±3.4</td>
<td>9.3±2.0</td>
<td>13.2±1.5</td>
<td>14±2.2</td>
<td>16.2±3.2</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LAVI, ml/mq</td>
<td>33.9±5.0</td>
<td>30.4±4.5</td>
<td>34.2±3.5</td>
<td>34.5±4.9</td>
<td>38.7±3.1</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>GLS endo/epi ratio</td>
<td>GLS</td>
<td>GWE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>r/p</td>
<td>r/p</td>
<td>r/p</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e-GFR, ml/min/1.73 m²</td>
<td>0.280 / 0.002</td>
<td>-0.184 / 0.034</td>
<td>0.199 / 0.023</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Matsuda/ISI</td>
<td>0.541 / &lt;0.0001</td>
<td>-0.538 / &lt;0.0001</td>
<td>0.496 / &lt;0.0001</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hb, g/dl</td>
<td>0.029 / 0.388</td>
<td>-0.195 / 0.026</td>
<td>0.347 / 0.001</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-h glucose, mg/dl</td>
<td>-0.559 / &lt;0.0001</td>
<td>0.564 / &lt;0.0001</td>
<td>-0.420 / &lt;0.0001</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PP, mmHg</td>
<td>-0.086 / 0.198</td>
<td>0.160 / 0.056</td>
<td>-0.237 / 0.009</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8-isoprostane, pg/ml</td>
<td>-0.537 / &lt;0.0001</td>
<td>0.445 / &lt;0.0001</td>
<td>-0.279 / 0.002</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hs-CRP, mg/L</td>
<td>-0.276 / 0.003</td>
<td>0.370 / &lt;0.0001</td>
<td>-0.465 / &lt;0.0001</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nox-2, nmol/L</td>
<td>-0.551 / &lt;0.0001</td>
<td>0.468 / &lt;0.0001</td>
<td>-0.336 / &lt;0.0001</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HR, bpm</td>
<td>-0.069 / 0.249</td>
<td>0.140 / 0.082</td>
<td>-0.086 / 0.198</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E/e'</td>
<td>-0.494 / &lt;0.0001</td>
<td>0.473 / &lt;0.0001</td>
<td>-0.359 / &lt;0.0001</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LVMI, g/m²</td>
<td>-0.401 / &lt;0.0001</td>
<td>0.408 / &lt;0.0001</td>
<td>-0.302 / 0.001</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 1
Oxidative stress and left ventricular performance in the four study groups. Serum levels of 8-isoprostane (pg/ml) (Figure 1A) and Nox-2 (nmol/L) (Figure 1B) in the four groups according to glucose tolerance status. Global longitudinal strain (%) (Figure 1C) and Global myocardial work values (mmHg%) (Figure 1D) according to different groups of glucose tolerance. *p<0.0001 (Anova test); **p<0.0001 vs NGT<155, *° p=0.016 vs NGT<155; # p=0.001 vs NGT<155 (Bonferroni post hoc test).