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Abstract

The paper has been conceptualized as it will script a new paradigm for the patient-based healthcare model. Where patients will evolve from mere consumers of resources to an organization as it produces resources as well. The patient will not only be monitored by the state but also be protected, empowered similar to Organization. This very concept will ensure the shift from exploitation to utilization.

Introduction

The development of advanced communication technologies in the 21st century and their rapid adoption by a large section of the population is changing the concept of Healthcare across the world. Smartphones have evolved from being a communication device to a multi-parameter monitor for a doctor, who can get accurate real-time measures of the vital signs of the patient.

Various instant messaging applications like SMS, WhatsApp, and live meeting apps like Zoom, Skype, etc., are now widely adopted by healthcare communities to provide digital health. Overall, the concept of a doctor has broadened from 'flesh and blood to Digital Doctor; supported by medical devices with biosensors for evidence-based diagnosis and treatment.

“Smartphone enabled remote monitoring is a game-changer and helps personalize the approach to cardiac care by enabling patients to be in complete control of their heart health and be involved in their treatment ensuring a better quality of life.” (Dr. Manoj R. Mashru, Interventional Cardiologist, Director of Cardiology Department of Sir H. N. Reliance Foundation Hospital and Research Centre).

1.1 Components & Definition of Digital Health:

The usage of technologies pertaining to digital health has become a demanding trend in the current days because of the presence of salient features of the practice for carrying out routine activities. It also includes the use of Information and Communications Technology (ICT) so that there is addressing of health needs of individuals by using innovative applications. Digital health is associated with “eHealth which is referred to as the use of information and communications technology in support of health and health-related fields.” Mobile health (mHealth) is directly associated with eHealth and is known as its subset. It is termed as “the use of mobile wireless technologies for public health”. In recent times, digital health is recognized as “...a term encompassing eHealth (which includes mHealth), as well as emerging areas, such as the use of advanced computing sciences in ‘big data’, genomics and artificial intelligence”
“The Food and Drug Administration (FDA) states that digital Health broadly includes different categories such as mobile health (mHealth), health information technology (HIT), wearable devices, telehealth and telemedicine, and personalized medicine.”

However, this definition doesn't capture anything regarding the societal impact and cultural transformation; therefore, it lacks completeness in terms of participation of human element; exploring further found the description coined by Mesko and et-all "the cultural transformation of how disruptive technologies that provide digital and objective data accessible to both caregivers and patients leads to an equal -level doctor-patient relationship with shared decision making and democratization of care."

Some definitions combine the two as in "digital health is the convergence of digital technologies health, healthcare living, and society to enhance the efficiency of healthcare delivery." However, these definitions don't mention rapidly evolving healthcare tools, such as genomics and multi-model health data.

To provide a universally accepted definition, “the Healthcare Information and Management Systems Society (HIMSS) has proposed that Digital Health connects and empowers people and populations to manage Health and wellness augmented by accessible and supportive provider teams working within flexible, integrated, interoperable, and digitally-enabled care environments that strategically leverage digital tools, technologies and services to transform care delivery.”

1.2 Organization:

Organization is defined as an entity – such as a company, an institution, or an association comprising one or more people and having a particular purpose commercial unit that involves one or more individuals to achieve a particular goal.” The terminology has been drawn from the Greek vocabulary ‘organon’ that denotes a tool, an organ, or a musical instrument. This description describes an organization with a simple premise, now let’ look at it implicitly through metaphors and images, which has been explicitly defined by Gareth Morgan in his literary work ‘Images of Organization,’ where he used different metaphors to describe organization comprehensively to create valuable insights but at the same time, he is also of the opinion that it can be incomplete, biased and potentially misleading.
To illustrate, he started with a metaphor that “the organization is a machine.” It is related to the creation of in-depth insights for the structuring of the organization and the attainment of previously ascertained goals. However, the used metaphor is not complete because it does not include the human perception or in other words, ignores the human element. Further, when the concept of organization as a machine is undertaken by the managers, it leads to the designing of the organization in the form of machines by using interlocking parts. In this system, each part is supposed to execute the allocated responsibilities so that set objectives’ are achieved. However, if it does not work in the proposed manner, it may lead to unfortunate outcomes.

Another famous metaphor illustrates organizations are like 'organisms.' It deals with developing an understanding and carrying out organizational managing activities by focusing on the "needs" of the human resources and environmental associations.

Here Organizations have been categorized into different species, of which bureaucratic type is just one.

It has been seen that different organisms work in different environments based on their suitability. It helps in acquiring better learning about the way organizations are initiated, progressed, developed, decline, and collapse. It also includes analyzing how the organizations adapt to changes under demanding situations and altering environments. In the context of broader ecology, we can study the relationship between species and evolutionary patterns deeply.

Organizations can be considered as organisms that interact with each other in the subsets which can be distinguished in various ways. The following instance stressed associations amongst diverse variables that impact the functioning of the organization functioning and provide a critical understanding.

----------------------
Insert Figure 2 about here
----------------------

Organizations are also described as brains where information processing, learning, and intelligence form reference-frames as political, cultural, instruments of domination even as "psychic prisons" metaphors in which individuals feel trapped because of their values, thought process, or unconscious mind.

Finally, I like to discuss the understanding of the organization as a center of fluctuation and renovation by focusing on the "logic" social life alterations as my study is also focusing on conversion.

Here four different metaphors have been used to study the change:

1) It signifies organizations to be acting as self-manufacturing units that are responsible for creating their image,

2) extract valuable information by analyzing the study of disorder and complication,

3) organization can be termed an output of circular flows of constructive and unconstructive feedback,

4) explores how the features of the contemporary organization have originated from the dialectical logic in which each incident generates altering outcomes. These inputs aid in acquiring a better understanding of the organization that facilitates managing the change process and shaping an organization's nature at a societal level.

Integrating the insights and joining the dots between the human element and technology, my study looked at the patient as the organization, which I will validate in detail in the following sections.

1.3 Patient to be treated as an Organisation:

After exploring the literature, it has been primarily found that activities around the patients are considered as concepts, for example in the article "Patient advocacy in nursing" by Mohammad Abbasinia, Fazlollah Ahmadi, Anoshirvan Kazemnejad, Valuing, i.e., upholding self-control, empowering patients to take the decision, upholding humanity, patient privacy has been discussed as concepts.

In the article "Unravelling the meaning of patient engagement" by Tracy Higgins et al. I four crucial features of Patient engagements, customization, admittance, loyalty, and the remedial pact is discussed as a concept. Patient involvement is referred to as the aspiration and efficiency of the patient to make a selection or get involved in the care-taking process which he/she is best suited for. The decision that is
taken by the patient includes the active involvement of the other members such as healthcare practitioner or institution so that optimized levels of care is experienced by the patient.

Patient engagement can be regarded as both procedure and behavior that is responsible for shaping the affiliation amid the patient, healthcare practitioner, and the environment in which healthcare services are delivered.

"A Concept analysis of nurse-patient trust" by Liz Bell, Anita Duffy where “Rodger's concept analysis” has been used to describe ‘Trust’ as a concept. (Rodgers's evolutionary concept analysis is termed an efficient approach that can be used to acquire learning about nursing science. A better understanding of Rodgers's evolutionary concept analysis can be acquired by referring to the data collection and evaluation process. These processes help in understanding the concept and its related terms with the help of explained examples and consequences. A major focus is given on understanding the concept of trust that is known to be a vital component in the nurse-patient relationship. However, the major issue with the conception of the nurse-patient relationship is that it is loosely applied in daily discourses because of which its true meaning is not clear. It indicates that patient trust in the nursing profession could not be simply implicated because it is the basic requirement of nursing care.

"Patient acuity: a concept analysis" by Caitlin W. Brennan, Barbara J. Daly

In the literature of health sciences, patient perception is known to be a widely used term. However, most of the time, it is used without knowing its exact meaning. It increases the need to clarify the concept so that there is a delineation of the significance of patient acuity. It includes focusing on the features of patient acuity which are relentlessness, concentration, and the coupling of acuity dimensions with supplementary conception. Based on “Holzemer's Outcomes Model for Health Care Research,” it can be said that features in the patient acuity can be organized in the form of Patient -, provider- or system-oriented. It includes focusing on the sub-categories that are identified in the form of physical analysis, psychosomatic, needs for nursing care, workload, work pressure difficulty, case-mix, patient categorization systems, exigency/triage scales, etc.

1.4 Patients as an organization: Why this Conceptualization Required

Hence, the Patient as a concept was not coming out in any of these articles, whereas the behavioral aspect, engagement, trust, acuity, i.e., attributes, are identified as concepts. Now the question arises, 'Why is Conceptualization required?' it is required because

1. Digital Health will change the paradigm of "patient-centered care."
2. Existing literature suggests still patient-centeredness lacks conceptual clarity.
3. Incoherent outcomes of the efficiency of patient-oriented interferences.

Let’s discuss the existing literature, where Patient clinician interaction has been discussed in the light of Patient-Centred Care to understand better the ramification of patient technology interaction where human beings are replaced or modified by an interface to improve the said model of care.

The article “An Integrative Model of Patient-Centeredness – A Systematic Review and Concept Analysis Isabelle Scholl*, Jo¨ rdis M. Zill, Martin Harter, Jo¨ rgDirmaier.” This article identified 4707 records by using primary as well as secondary investigation methods. From the total collected data, 706 were retained by carrying out screening of the abstracts and titles. As a result, about four hundred seventeen articles were included in which 59% of the articles provided a specific meaning of patient-centeredness. It led to the identification of 15 patient-centeredness dimensions which were related to clinician-patient association and patient having an individual identity. It also included other features related to clinicians, patient involvement in care, and unification of medical and non-medical care. Other attributes such as clinician-patient communication, coordination, patient empowerment, and biopsychosocial perspective, were also given high accreditation. The features like patient information, continuity of care, emotional support, teamwork, and teambuilding aspects are also to be considered. Access to care, emotional support, and patient information are also to be given due importance so that there is a mapping of different levels of care. This study has been done primarily as "Prevailing frameworks of patient-centeredness" which disclose the absence of clarity in the conceptual understanding of the terminology of patient-centered care. "It results in a heterogeneous use of the term, unclear measurement dimensions, inconsistent results regarding the effectiveness of patient-centered interventions, and finally in difficulties in implementing patient-centered care". The present review focuses on identifying varied dimensions related to patient-centeredness as mentioned in the literature and suggests the implementation of an integrative model that is related to patient-centeredness by focusing on these outcomes.

In this literature, the integrative framework has been suggested with the essence of empowering each stakeholder and enabling them to speak in identical language, which involves medical and non-medical care. Here, the interaction between clinician and patient has been described, and 15 dimensions of patient-centeredness have been found interrelated rather than independent. For instance, the vital features of the clinician play a significant role in influencing the clinician-patient association. It also emphasizes that the involvement of the patient in care is not feasible without making proper use of patient information. It also requires emotional support so that there is developing of good interaction between clinician and patient which forms a major foundation for developing the supportive association.
For example, the fundamental characteristics of the clinician has an influence on the relationship between the clinician and the patient; the involvement of patient in care is not possible without patient information; good clinician-patient communication is required for emotional support, and communication is key to building a supportive relationship.

Hence it throws a fundamental question regarding the role of the patient – still the patient will play the role of a passive event-based entity or with the technology intervention it will get transformed into an active entity to script a “NEW HEALTHCARE MODEL”.

In this article, the discussion is about patient technology relationships hence the aspects of vital features of the clinician, clinician-patient association, clinician-patient interaction will not be considered or could be considered through a technological interface in case of teleconsultation. We have to consider a third element or absence of a clinician, i.e., one of the human actors. Replacement of human actors by non-human actors changes the paradigm that acknowledges patients having an individual identity. It also included other features related to clinicians, patient involvement in care, and unification of medical and non-medical care. Other attributes such as clinician-patient communication, coordination, patient empowerment, and biopsychosocial perspective, were also given high accreditation. The features like patient information, continuity of care, emotional support, teamwork, and team building aspects are also to be considered. Access to care, emotional support, and patient information are also to be given due importance so that there is mapping of different levels of care. Therefore, it gives rise to a fundamental question of the role of the Patient from a mere event-based entity getting transformed into a comprehensive entity playing multiple roles. Hence new conceptualization needs to be explored.

1.5 Patient as an Individual – Can be considered as Organization?

Now the question arises can the Patient be considered as Organization to as they are an individual, let's explore further to find out Why & How patients to be regarded as organizations to achieve an integrated approach of patient-centeredness.

This very idea of developing Patient as an organization got strengthened after going through the book "Medicine as Culture: Illness, Disease, and the Body," by Deborah Lupton, where she mentioned Deleuze and Guattari's work, that body, Health, and identity(Patient) are inseparable and interdependent entities'
which constitute each other in a mutually dependent interchange of practice, meaning, social relations and relations with objects.'

She also discussed the state's role, which executes supervisory action and controlling bodies to determine how persons such as patients implement measures to "self-regulate and regulate their bodily deportment."

Turner (1992) explained "the notion of somatic society, in which the body is a metaphor for social Organization and social anxieties, the principal field of cultural and political activities. The regulation, surveillance, and monitoring of bodies, of the spaces between bodies, are central to somatic society."

Further several authors and anthropologists like Scheper-Hughes have described three bodies within the physical body of the individual or Patient at three separate but overlie theoretical and systematic levels. "The first is the individual body, understood as the lived experience of the body self; how we each view our bodies, distinct from each others' bodies.

The second is the social body, or the symbolic representative uses of the body conceptualizing nature, society, and culture, evident in discourses referring to a 'sick society,' the 'foot of the mountain' or the 'head of state.'

At the third level is the biopolitics of the body, in which the state controls, regulates and surveys the conduct of bodies on the individual and group level to maintain social stability."

Frank (1990) describes that there exists four types of bodies; "1) the medicalized body; 2) the sexual body; 3) the disciplined body; 4) the talking body. The boundaries between these typologies are necessarily fluid. Although the first typology of the body, the medicalized body, is most directly related in its title to the medical management of disease, it is the case that the other types of bodies are also bound up with the medical system."

With the advent of digitization, the third level of biopolitics witnessed the birth of another dimension, i.e., the digital manifestation of the body, i.e., virtual self in the form of data. Hence the surveillance and monitoring by the state got extended to the virtual self and the physical self of the Patient.
The care model is to be centered around patients with enhanced coordination and integration of different care processes and access similar to the Organization. Improved Engagement with the Patient and supporting the emotional, psychological need will ensure a better outcome.

Encouraging self-management by monitoring will ensure better primary and preventive care.

Delivery of Holistic care is limited to communication, measuring, diagnosing, and providing treatment with the AI embedded tools, i.e., combining genomics with digitization will facilitate the active participation of patients as an organization.

Digitization led to the Creation of Virtual Self:

Now let's deep dive to understand the concept of "Patients as an Organization in the healthcare domain," digital Health gave Patients a unique opportunity to create their own "virtual self."

Thus, Patients become an integral part of the digital revolution, the supplier of data, and the digital manifestation of their body, developing the unique concept where genetic mapping will complement physical body mapping. It is going to script a new evolution of treatment far from the conventional doctor-led treatment. Both genetic coding and coding of the physical body will redefine the Healthcare of the connected World.

Here may be a perspective related to the digital archive related to the body. It specifies that when the patient's body is digitized they become activated and play a major role in exercising control over their health conditions. It helps in creating data related to self-health along with sharing the information so that there is access to information that has been obtained from medical testing.

“A new vision of the digital archive of the body: Patient bodies that are digitized and thus able to become engaged and activated, to take control of their health and to create their data on themselves and share these data with others also to access the info produced by medical testing.”
The above diagram taken from DXC Technology will help us to understand better that “Patients as an Organization is capable of generating and accessing relevant data to all stakeholders of healthcare services with the help of the latest digital tools that provide them with the insights they need to support and inform them.

Whether inside or beyond hospital boundaries, must support the patient as an organization with real-time data to enable clinical and nonclinical pathways to be coordinated to deliver high-quality care. Access to relevant information in real-time will help to overcome potential bottlenecks. For example, if the flow of Patients through the care journey is delayed, services such as other appointments, cleaning, transportation, meal ordering, and others are also pushed back. Real-time data will also enable health intervention before problems occur.

Ultimately, all stakeholders benefit from having access to a 360-degree understanding of all relevant data—clinical and nonclinical. Data will need to be made available and understandable through standardization—outside of the silos where it was generated to achieve that goal. The ability to contextualize the data in the right place, at the right time for the right Patient through technologies such as predictive modeling and artificial intelligence will help to support innovative engagement, the Patient journey, and 360-degree understanding."

1.6 Fourth Basic need – Envisage the Change of Paradigm:

Today Health is the fourth basic need apart from food, clothing, and shelter. I was listening to the vice president of Manipal Hospital, and he had rightly said the civil war could happen due to inequalities in providing quality healthcare as it is considered a fundamental right for all. SDG -3 of WHO also considers good Health and wellbeing for all. Here lies the real question: How? If we look at it worldwide, accessibility of healthcare is one of the significant problems in the USA. India, the ratio between doctor to patient, stands 0.7 to 1156, a recent report, one of the lowest in the World.

Knowledge creation, technology adoption may act as a bridge to effect the Organizational change, i.e., improve the lives of the people. The telecommunication revolution and penetration of mobiles ushered new opportunities to reach out to the masses. “Dreaming Big" by Sam Pitroda opened my eyes and instilled hope that Healthcare in digital form can reach the nondescript lives of the people.
Therefore, to improve patients' quality lies in early detection and prevention of diseases, there has to be the involvement of technology (non-human actor) to bridge the gap between doctors and patients.

**Now the non-human actor will limit itself to ensuring the reach, or will there be more significant involvement in the diagnosis?**

**Do non-human actors change the system – Digital doctors replace human doctors or complement human doctors?**

From the above observations, it is amply clear that the event-based healthcare model is clearly at the critical juncture where non-human actors, i.e., technology, will play a vital role. The Foucauldian theory draws attention to the matters relating to biopolitics, governmentality, and monitoring or surveillance of the human body; his work has been discussed and analyzed in the sociocultural context of “medicine and public Health” and supplement of digital Health. It has strong relevance to look critically at the analysis of digital Health. His observations about digital technologies and digital surveillance technologies get connected while examining the community impact when this non-human actor (digital technologies) can be utilized both as a monitoring and diagnostic tool to measure the human body.

The relation between human actors and non-human actors can be judged by embracing digital Health to improve Healthcare by proactive attitude. These discussions recommend exercising monitoring over one’s black box, i.e., the Organization and its peculiarities, which are often better managed by technological means. Common populations are motivated to develop a routine framework through which they could regularly evaluate physiological pointer and thereby, create a proactive system for supervising their body health conditions that were monitored by the healthcare providers earlier. The introduction of technology will digitize the human body. The data they will produce will be the source of the evidence-based treatment plan. It will be an inevitable move in practice is related to mapping and surveying the blood and flesh to make indoor revelations and scrutinize the functionaries of the body with greater detail. It also includes recording and analyzing the info that has been produced by these activities.

Therefore, it will set the trend towards a "Patient-based healthcare model" facilitated by technologies to transform from the process of mechanical medicine to the process of precision medicine. The transformation has involved wearables, mobile connectivity, health info system, imaging, and less haptic(touch); hence the concept of medicine is becoming a resultant of the Digital Revolution + Genomic
Revolution. Therefore, it can be said that the attainment of more information will result in the attainment of better healthcare information that leads to economic efficiencies by improving patient participation.

1.7 Adoption of Digital Health by the Patients:

“The discourses related to digitally engaged patients highlight that there could be obtainment of empowerment by making use of advanced digital technologies. It also helps in carrying out self-care and self-supervision activities. The discourses signify that when control is exercised over the recalcitrant body there is the implementation of better therapy with the help of technological means. Laypeople are relied upon and urged to foster schedules to consistently survey these “physiological markers” and in this manner to foster the sort of ability in observing their bodies that were once the savior of medical services suppliers. The recent spotlight on digitizing the bodies of human beings and the information they generate is the most recent advance in an unyielding shift in clinical practice towards utilizing picturing and observing advances to guide and overview the body of human beings, to look inside it and inspect its capacities more meticulously and to investigate and record the information created by these exercises (Cartwright, 1995; Waldby, 1997). The pattern of patient self-care empowerment worked with advanced innovations is supported by ‘a shift from “mechanical medication to enlightening medication” (Nettleton, 2004). This shift has encompassed a lesser degree dependence on the “haptic” (contact) and the idea of medication as a “workmanship” to an emphasis on producing and utilizing information on the human body, concerning both clinical specialists and of patients. It is accepted that more data fundamentally will prompt better medical services and financial efficacies, both by empowering the commitment of patients and self-obligation regarding their wellbeing and giving medical services benefits the information they need to work on clinical consideration and administration conveyance (see, for instance, critique by Swan, 2012; Topol, 2012; Dentzer, 2013).

1.8 Development of Adoption Model:

While developing the said model, there is a progression of the patient from consumer to the organization as digital health enabling connected health, which gives birth to RECONFIGURABLE ORGANIZATION.

The Reconfigurable organizations are organic, flexible, agile, and reconfigurable. It has two parts:

The stable part consists of adopter of technology and act as a specializer to enable the shift in the healthcare model. It will play the surrogate role as a consumer. The variable part the generalists as the producer of resources, i.e, data which will act as an integrator across the functions like R&D and various healthcare disciplines.
Literature Review And Hypotheses Development

As mentioned in the existing literature,

“RELEVANCE OF THE TECHNOLOGY ACCEPTANCE MODEL (TAM) IN INFORMATION MANAGEMENT RESEARCH: A REVIEW OF SELECTED EMPIRICAL EVIDENCE” by Mustapha Osman Opoku, Francis Enu-Kwesi.

2.1 Perceived Usefulness & Perceived Ease of Use

“Technology Acceptance Model (TAM) is one of the models that have been used extensively in information management research. The TAM was proposed by Davis (1989) to explain the factors that influence the acceptance and use of technology. The model argues that technology usage is influenced by users’ attitude which is also influenced by perceived usefulness and perceived ease of use. The perceived usefulness and perceived ease of use are further influenced by other external factors. Since its introduction, TAM has been reviewed, extended, criticized and examined by many studies about its internal and external consistency”.

2.2 Further in the Research study

“Examining Consumers’ Adoption of Wearable Healthcare Technology: The Role of Health Attributes” Man Lai Cheung, Ka Yin Chau, Michael Huen Sum Lam, Gary Tse, Ka Yan Ho, Stuart W. Flint, David R Broom, Ejoe Kar Ho Tso 8 and Ka Yiu Lee, it has been mentioned

Starting from the TAM, seen value portrays the emotional convictions of a client that the utilization of a specific framework of data innovation would help in upgrading their exhibition. “Seen value“ has been identified as one of the major drivers in foreseeing and explaining the goals of the client in tolerating data innovation. In particular, when clients accept that the gadgets of data innovation are beneficial for their lives, such anticipated and positive outcomes motivate their intention to embrace the “data innovation gadgets.” The significance of “seen value” in data innovation acknowledgment has been confirmed in different contexts, for example, in “web banking, cell phones, computer-generated reality, versatile exergames, portable applications, and advanced innovation.” Applied with respect to digital medical care innovation, when gadgets like “medical services applications, shrewd watches, and sports-advanced innovation items” are seen to help work on buyers' wellbeing. status, such a positive assumption upgrades purchasers' reception goal. Conjectured that apparent value is emphatically connected with the expectation of buyers to take on advanced medical care innovation, supporting our first speculation: Usefulness decidedly affects buyers' reception goal for computerized medical care innovation.
2.3 Online Healthcare Resources:

Online searching for health and medical information and using health-related websites remain very popular pursuits. Google has acknowledged that searches for health information using its search tool are among the most popular (it cites one in 20 searches as being related to this topic) and the company has worked to facilitate user access to such information. In February 2015 Google announced that it had updated its search returns for users in the USA to provide information about the symptoms and treatment of hundreds of diseases and medical conditions upfront. The company worked with a team of doctors to provide this information (Google 2015). By mid-2016 Google had gone even further in attempting to provide information that had been validated by medical experts, partnering with Harvard medical school and Mayo Clinic to ensure that these sources received top billing in health and medical search returns (Husain, 2016).

Organizations such as WHO and the centers for disease control and prevention have social media accounts with a large number of followers and have used these accounts effectively to disseminate health information to the public (Hart et al., 2016). Commercial enterprises also offer websites, social media pages, and discussion forums as part of their promotional and patient education efforts.

The evolution would not have been feasible without rapid advances in wireless communications and network technologies from about 2000. When progression from the global system for mobile communications or group special mobile GSM to the general packet radio service made it possible to send packet data over a network linked to the internet. This, for example, enabled for the first time medical vital science such as electrocardiogram, blood pressure, body temperature, photoplethysmography signals to be sent to a remote server using cellular networks (Istepanian, 1998). This synthesis of mobility and healthcare that forms the basis of m-Health has been quickly adopted by telecommunications and mobile and medical device industries, reflecting in the huge potential markets envisaged. This has already been realized, albeit with mostly consumer-driven rather than clinically driven outcomes. For example, one study estimated the opportunities in the global mobile healthcare market to be worth between $50 and $60 billion (McKinsey, 2014). In 2014, there were more than 60 industry-sponsored and academic conferences and events related to m-health (m-Health Insight, 2014). These activities reflect the global interest and the commercial opportunities in digital -health.

The concept is still evolving in its second decade, and as sensing, computing, and networking technologies become ever more refined, advanced, and accessible, there will be a growing demand for all that digital health has to offer in the future.
2.4 Privacy Protection

Security assurance alludes to how much buyers trust their data would not be abused or imparted to others without their assent. This is viewed as a significant thought for data innovation reception. At the point when clients embrace computerized medical services innovation, their information identifying with their wellbeing status is gathered and saved money on a data set, definitely raising purchasers' security concerns. Exact investigations exhibit the adverse consequence of purchasers' security worries on their aim to acknowledge data innovation items, legitimizing the significance of shielding shoppers' private information from unapproved outpourings. In that capacity, late examinations stress the significance of security assurance in building a positive purchaser disposition towards computerized medical care innovation items. At the end of the day, security insurance forms clients' apparent handiness, legitimizing our fourth speculation: Privacy assurance emphatically affects customers' apparent convenience for advanced medical services innovation. Albeit saw helpfulness has been a thoroughly tried build for foreseeing purchasers' reception aim for data innovation items, it has been recommended that apparent value ought to be consolidated with extra builds to fortify its prescient power for buyers' reception conduct for data innovation. To create a more complete examination for buyer reception conduct for computerized medical care innovation, two extra builds, specifically customer creativity and reference bunch impact were included in the hypothetical model to analyze the forerunners of data innovation reception conduct.

2.5 Influence of Reference Group

“Reference bunch impact alludes to the degree to which shopper dynamic is affected by the view of a reference bunch, which incorporates any significant people that shape buyers' discernments toward the central item, like guardians, companions, or assessment pioneers.” The impact of the reference bunch on the purchaser dynamic cycle is broadly recognized in experimental writing. Preceding dynamic, shoppers might look for data and proposals from reference bunches with dependability, or they may notice the conduct of people in the reference gatherings. Additionally, with regards to data innovation reception, shoppers will more often than not settle on their acknowledgment choices towards data innovation items dependent on the remarks and suggestions from “reference bunches” when the items of data innovation are somewhat new to them.

Employed to the setting of computerized medical services innovation, preceding dynamic, customers look for data and look for the suggestion for the advantages of utilizing advanced medical care innovation gadgets from reference bunches through various channels, like up close and personal correspondence, telephone discussion, or web-based media stages. The reception aim of buyers is moulded by reference
bunch impact because there are vulnerabilities from this sort of somewhat new items. In this manner, we show up at our fifth theory: Reference bunch impact decidedly affects purchasers' reception aim for computerized medical services innovation.

2.6 Consumer Innovativeness

“Consumer inventiveness” refers to the eagerness of buyers to evaluate new data innovation items, being inseparably connected with shoppers' overall convictions about data innovation. People with better ingenuity like the advantages of innovation, accept it is less problematic, and have a higher penchant to embrace and utilize innovation items to achieve their objectives. The higher the inventiveness of a buyer, the higher the penchant to perceive the advantages of innovative items. Applying Roger's hypothesis of the dispersion of developments, experimental writing has affirmed that customer ingenuity emphatically affects shoppers' goal to acknowledge data innovation items. With regards to advanced medical care innovation, experimental investigations likewise set that shoppers with high creativity can deal with vulnerability and have a more noteworthy reception goal. Thusly, it is consistent to contend that customer inventiveness is a critical indicator of reception goal, particularly for innovation items, as computerized medical care innovation gadgets. Accordingly, we show up at our 6th theory: Consumer imaginativeness decidedly affects purchasers' reception goal for advanced medical care innovation. Figure 5 presents our exploration model.

Research Methodology

The examination model in this review, has been tested utilizing a “quantitative exploration strategy” to direct a review. I have utilized estimation things adjusted from different past examinations with minor changes in phrasing to fit the unique situation.

Since the research questions seek to check the impact of “Adoption Intention” and test the hypothesis, a “structural equation modeling (SEM)” was deemed appropriate. The author chooses PLS-SEM for the SEM over other statistical software (i.e., AMOS, SPSS) because Smart-PLS is better for theory building process and when constructs are not well established in the literature (Purwanto et al., 2018). Second, we have a mix of reflective and formative constructs in the model, therefore PLS-SEM was deemed appropriate.
3.1 Development of Measurement Items

A random online survey has been conducted by floating the questionnaire through google docs to test the speculations in the hypothetical model to gather information by accommodation testing of clients of mobiles, devices. Estimation of things embraced from past investigations has been utilized to create the overview survey, where questions were estimated on a “7-point Likert scale:

(1 = strongly disagree, 2 = disagree, 3 = somewhat disagree, 4 = neither agree or disagree, 5 = somewhat agree, 6 = agree, 7 = strongly agree)."

Data Analysis And Results

In this review, we employed incomplete ‘least squares-underlying” condition demonstrating “(PLS-SEM)” for information investigation, utilizing “SmartPLS 3 (SmartPLS GmbH, Domainfactory GmbH, Ismaning, Germany) with the 5000-bootstrap methodology” to survey (1) the estimation (external) model and (2) underlying (internal) model. “PLS-SEM” to do the information investigation in the wake of thinking about its special benefits. “PLS-SEM” is rendered as a fitting method for hypothesis testing and hypothesis affirmation (Bentler et al., 2014), which fits the reason for this review. Moreover, “PLS-SEM” is beneficial when the goal is to additionally develop the contentions of hypothetical models, similar to the case in this review (Hair et al., 2017). Moreover, PLS-SEM is fitting for considers with more modest example sizes, for example, in this review.

4.1 Structural Equation Model:

The primary Equation model is separated into Structural Model and Measurement model Measurement Model will help to assess the “reliability and validity” of the construct referred to as the outer model. “The structural model” will help to assess the relationship between variables. First, we have to go to the “Measurement model” and then move o “the structural model.”

4.2 Measurement Model:

First assessing the “Reliability and validity” of the model. Evaluating the factors. The maximum Iteration is 300. The factor is checked.

Measures are good enough or not by assessing the “reliability and validity” of the constructs. Following Hair et al. [], I have tried the dependability of the estimation things by actually taking a look at
their “variable loadings, Cronbach's alpha, and composite dependability (CR)” in the estimation model. As detailed in Table 1, the loadings of all things were more noteworthy than 0.782 except for HB (Health Behavior) and critical (i.e., the component loadings of things were gone between 0.782 to 0.936), while Cronbach's alpha (except HB) and the CR of all of the builds were more prominent than 0.784, which is beyond the suggested 0.70 limits [], affirming the unwavering quality of the estimation things and builds as revealed in Table 1. Two sorts of legitimacy 1) Convergent Validity and 2) Discriminant legitimacy I have tried the concurrent legitimacy of the model utilizing the normal difference removed “(AVE),” as all of the “AVE” estimates were bigger than the suggested worth of 0.5, affirming the united legitimacy of the builds. Finally, the “discriminant legitimacy” (separation of the builds) was tried by utilizing the Fornell and Larcker standard, cross stacking, and HTMT. Fornell and Larcker Table-2 “the AVE square roots” were bigger than the comparing relationships, exhibiting “discriminant Legitimacy.”

HTMT ratio should be less than 0.85 in-some cases 0.90 (Henslley), however, there are some problems noticed in constructs hence further the constructs are validated through Factor Loading, Table-3

To see the factors are loading better with their parent constructs or not. The respective items like (F1, F2, F3) are well fitted with their parent construct SFC. Similarly, the construct in question UG comprising items UG1, UG2, and UG3 showing better loading with the parent construct UG than any other constructs which confirm the discriminant validity, Table-4.
4.3 Structural Modelling:

Bootstrapping: It amplifies existing data to samples. Path Significant

I have used SmartPLS 3 with the “5000-bootstrap technique,” to assess the speculations in the hypothetical model. Figure 2 illustrates the after effects of the underlying model. The speculations were tried by looking at the “t-values, p-values,” normalized coefficient “beta values, and the coefficient of assurance (R2 esteem).” Speculation was acknowledged when the t-estimate was bigger than the basic worth (i.e., t >_ 1.96, p <_ 0.05), being hardly acknowledged when the t-estimate was bigger than the basic worth (i.e., t >_ 1.67, p <_ 0.10), utilizing a “two-followed test.” As detailed in Figure 3, seven of the nine speculations are supported by the outcome. Concerning precursors of saw convenience, the effect of apparent usability on saw value was the most grounded (Beta = .704, t=12.812, p < 0.001), trailed by wellbeing conviction (Beta = 0.176, t=3.827 p < 0.05), supporting H3 and H4. In any case, the effect of security insurance on saw value was frail and non-huge (Beta= 0.017, t=1.339 p > 0.05), dismissing H6.

Concerning precursors of reception aim, the effect of Reference bunch impact on reception aim was most grounded and critical

(Beta = 0.529, t=4.949 p < 0.001), followed by perceived usefulness

(_beta = 0.208, t=4.084 p < 0.001) and willingness of consumers to change/ innovativeness (beta_ = 0.212; t=2.123 p < 0.05). Therefore, the hypotheses H1, H5 and H2 are supported.

Besides, the outcomes likewise introduced the aberrant impacts of the “exogenous factors,” including wellbeing conviction, seen convenience, client direction, framework capacity, and security assurance on reception aim. Specifically, the aberrant impacts of wellbeing conviction (_beta = 0.036, t=2.831 p < 0.05), saw convenience (_beta =0.037, t=3.884, p<0.001) and framework capabilities (beta=0.131, t=3.859, p<0.001 on reception expectation were critical, while the aberrant impacts of security assurance and client direction, online assets, security and information assurance on reception aim were non-critical. Table 6 shows the consequences of the “indirect effects on Adoption Intention by the exogenous variables.”
The significance of the “exogenous factors” are tested in the hypothetical model, by utilizing “Cohen's $f^2$ investigations” the impact size of the exogenous factors are tested. As indicated by Cohen, the “$f^2$ values” were evaluated as $f$ Square 0.02 (i.e., $f$ square <= 0.02), 0.15 (i.e., $f$ Square <= 0.15), and 0.35 (i.e., $f$ square <= 0.35), addressing little, medium, and enormous impacts of the exogenous inactive factors, separately. The outcomes show that the impact size of online assets ($f^2 = 0.022$), wellbeing conviction ($f^2 = 0.082$), Perceived Usefulness($f^2 = 0.0$), Customer Willingness to Change/Innovation ($f^2 = 0.046$) were little to medium, while the impact size of reference bunch ($f^2 = 0.434$), were medium to enormous. The outcomes uncover that the “exogenous factors” have impressive impacts on the hypothetical model. Critically, we assess the logical force of the examination model by surveying the “R2 estimates” (see Figure 2). The “R2 estimates” for the apparent convenience, seen handiness and reception expectation were 0.791, 0.649, 0.0684 separately. These features that these exogenous develops clarify 79.1%, 64.9%, 68.4% of the variety in saw simplicity of use, perceived helpfulness, and reception goal, individually. To put it, as the R2 estimates surpass the suggested benchmark of measure (i.e., > 0.10), the outcomes recommend that the exploration model clarifies a significant measure of variety in the “endogenous factors,” following [].

Insert Figure 6 about here

There are minor differences in T-values on the path extract of figure and table 5 representing total effects.


### 4.4 Hypothesis Result

**H1: Perceived usefulness has a positive effect on Adoption Intention-Supported.**

**H2: Customer willingness to change/Innovation positive affects Adoption Intention-Supported.**

**H5: Reference Group Influence has a positive effect on Adoption Intention-Supported**
H3: Health Belief has a positive effect on Perceived Usefulness-Supported

H4: Perceived Ease of Use has a positive effect on Perceived Usefulness-Supported

H6: Privacy Protection & Data Security has a positive effect on Perceived Usefulness-Rejected

H7: online Healthcare Resources has a positive effect on Perceived Ease of Use - Rejected

H8: System Capability has a positive effect on Perceived Ease of Use-Supported

H9: User Guidance has a positive effect on Perceived Ease of Use-Rejected

Insert Figure 7 about here

Insert Figure 8 about here

Insert Figure 9 about here

Insert Figure 10 about here

Insert Figure 11 about here
4.5 Constructs:

Health Belief (Zhang et al., 2017) - HB

I realize that bad living habits will cause harm to my health - HB1

I perceive that, bad living habits will cause harm to my health - HB2

I hope I can change my bad habits and thus minimize damage to health - HB3

Online Health Resources - OR

[Are Online Sources for Identifying Evidence-Based Practices Trustworthy? An Evaluation

David W. Test1, Amy Kemp-Inman1, Karen Diegelmann1,
Sara Beth Hitt1, and Lauren Bethune]

(Marakhimov & Joo, 2017)

To provide quality evidence-based information about what works to improve the lives of people - OR1

To provide “research-based and best-practice” information available to the majority of the people as possible through the power and reach of digital health tools - OR2

The health information provided by the wearable healthcare technology is accurate - OR3

The health information provided by the wearable healthcare technology is trustworthy - OR4

4.6 Privacy Protection & Data Security - PD

(Wilkowska & Ziefle, 2012).

How significant are the accompanying security factors when it comes to utilizing clinical assistive gadgets.

1) The most elevated potential information security in general? PD1

2) The self-assurance of information stockpiling and transfer? PD2
3) The severe information access control? PD3

How significant are the accompanying protection factors when it comes to utilizing clinical assistive gadgets. . .

1) Safeguarding of anonymity?PD4

2) Protection of intimacy?PD5

3) Confidentiality of estimation results? PD6

**Perceived Usefulness** (Calisira & Calisir, 2004)

Interface ease of use, seen the convenience and saw the value

Under SFC dormant Constructs, framework capacity, similarity, and adaptability F have been planned.

**System Capability**

1. Framework is quick SC1
2. Framework is solid SC2
3. Framework is intended for all degrees of clients SC3

**Similarity**

1. The aftereffects of control passage are viable with my assumptions C1
2. The phrasing is natural C2

**Adaptability**

1. It gives adaptable client direction F1
2. I can name presentations and components as indicated by my necessities F2
3. It gives great preparation to various clients F3
Client direction UG

1. Blunder messages are useful UG1
2. It gives CANCEL choice UG2
3. HELP is given UG3

Seen usability PEU

1. I thought that it is not difficult to get the framework to do what I needed it to do-PEU1
2. It was simple for me to become adept at utilizing the framework PEU2
3. I observed the framework simple to utilize PEU3

Seen helpfulness PU

1. Utilizing the framework in my use empowered me to achieve wellbeing related undertaking rapidly PU1
2. Utilizing the framework further developed my inquiry execution in acquiring wellbeing related data PU2
3. Utilizing the framework in my expanded my usefulness towards the wellbeing of the executives PU3
4. Utilizing the framework upgraded my viability to keep up with sound life-PU4

Consumer ability to change/Innovativeness (Agarwal & Prasad, 1997) CWI

I like to explore different avenues regarding new things and items - This has been taken under this however kept as an autonomous thing.

I think a better approach forever and another example of utilization is a sort of progress contrasted and the past - CWI1

By and large, I am among the first in my friend network to utilize another innovative item or administration when they show up - CW2
**Reference Group Influence** (Zhang et al., 2017) - RG

I often take notice of health information related to healthy habits and status released by my friends on Facebook/Instagram/Whatsapp-RG1

Adoption Intention (Kim & Shin, 2015) AI

4. (Zhang et al., 2017)

Healthy habits with the digital healthcare device in the future will be developed by me-AI1

**Discussion**

**5.1 Evolution of Organization from the Theoretical Implications:**

This review gives a few hypothetical ramifications and supplements the current writing in the space of Digital medical services innovation by recognizing and observationally looking at the synergistic impacts of wellbeing-related properties, purchaser ascribes, and social effects on the conduct expected of customers towards advanced medical services innovation.

Although advanced medical care innovation gives particular benefits in further developing customers' medical services proficiency, credits identified with wellbeing, security and usability have been disregarded in earlier examinations. This review supplements the existing writing by analyzing the effects of wellbeing conviction, System abilities including similarity and adaptability, client direction, and reference bunch impact on seen value as immediate and roundabout impacts of computerized medical care innovation. The discoveries reveal that well-being, conviction and reference bunch impact are critical forerunners for shoppers' perceived usefulness, and their roundabout impact on purchasers' reception goal is huge.

Surprisingly, we tracked down that the effect of security insurance on saw value is non-huge, proposing that security assurance is certainly not a precursor of saw value for advanced medical services innovation. Among the precursors of Perceived Ease of Use Online, medical care assets and client direction ended up being immaterial while both System abilities (with similarity, adaptability) ended up being the solid critical predecessor of “Perceived Ease of Use.”
“Perceived Ease of Use” ended up being the solid predecessor of “Perceived Usefulness.” Second, the discoveries uncover that apparent helpfulness, customer ingenuity, and reference bunch impact are significant predecessors that emphatically affect shoppers’ reception expectation for advanced medical services innovation.

Reference Group Influence and Perceived helpfulness had the strongest effect on reception intention strongest impact on reception intention greater than shopper readiness to change/Innovativeness affirming the discoveries of past investigations that took on apparent handiness and Reference bunch impact to clarify reception goal with regards to data innovation items and impact of Social Media that purchasers’ reception goal requires apparent convenience, yet in addition factors related to medical care, the reference gathering, and customers' insights. Thus, contrasted and past concentrates on utilizing the TAM to look at the reception goal of computerized medical services innovation, this review proposed the buyers’ course of such acknowledgment. As such, this review uncovers that wellbeing ascribes and innovation utilization, including wellbeing conviction and saw usability, decidedly identify with shoppers' apparent helpfulness, which thusly, adds to purchasers' reception aims.

These implications clearly show the characteristics of open systems developing a design that effectively manages the exchanges of information in the form of data across the boundary through interoperability. Here patients in the form of organization are conceived as consumers of resources (inputs through the adoption of digital health) and resource exporters (outputs i.e., data). To survive and thrive, they are compelled, according to an open systems perspective, to get adapted to control the changing environment. In this context the environmental change will be akin to change in the healthcare model.

To describe in detail, the aspects of open systems let us look towards the interoperability of data- "HIMSS definition of interoperability as being the ability of different information technology systems and software applications to communicate, exchange data, and effectively allow patients and healthcare practitioners to use that information (HIMSS, 2013).

Interoperability has been a challenge due to heterogeneous distributed systems that ought to communicate with one another, exchanging data in different data formats in the other distributed systems. Finally, the need to have Patients and healthcare practitioners access the information from anywhere."
Therefore, we know that there has been a challenge from the definition itself due to different data formats transmitted through heterogeneous data systems. Usually, there are three types of challenges 1) Regulatory, i.e., standards, 2) different data formats, 3) Security.

To alleviate the first challenge, let's find out the initiatives taken at an international level; wellbeing services and legislatures figure different arrangements to additional nation level and cross-line interoperability endeavors.

Initiatives of Different Countries to promote the seamless exchange of Patient's healthcare data: However, to overcome these impediments, various governments are working and coming up with solutions to ensure seamless interoperability of Organizational data. Let's understand interoperability briefly.

The Global Digital Health Partnership (GDHP) partners with 40 countries and its government agencies and World Health Patient to promote digital Health, i.e., Cultural Change initiatives to blur the borders and ensure seamless interoperability of organizational (Patients)data.

In Europe, the EU EHR Exchange Format is working towards the transformation of Patient care by formulating a platform of easy-flowing healthcare data across its member countries, enabling its population to access and exchange healthcare data anywhere within the European Union.

The central infrastructure for the EHR exchange is the eHealth Digital Service Infrastructure. The eHealth Digital Service is ushering an infrastructure of healthcare data continuity across the borders. The initial focus is on allowing the exchange of basic health information, including:

- e-Patient Outline to give admittance to confirmed indispensable wellbeing information of a Patient during a spontaneous consideration experience while abroad,

- e-Prescriptions empower Patients to get comparable medicine treatment while abroad to what they would get in their nation of origin.
Utilizing the X-load Platform, various European Union nations are already sharing e-Patient summaries and e-Prescriptions. Finland and Estonia were the first to take the plunge and implemented the infrastructure to exchange Patient summaries protecting privacy. There are further plans to trade diagnostic tests in both radiology and pathology.

To develop improved primary care, optimal usage of medical devices, and to ensure evidence-based medicines than the mechanical medications by sharing and exchanging different forms of healthcare data, for example, EHR, genomics, registries by maintaining privacy. The European Commission additionally laid out needs for the “2019-2024 EU Digital Strategy, which included making a European Health Data Space.”

The European Interoperability Framework (EIF) provides specific guidance on the formation of interoperable digital public services. To improve interoperability governance, it formulated forty-seven concrete recommendations to ensure cross-patient relationships and establish end-to-end digital service frameworks without compromising existing and new legislation.

Like the EU EHR Exchange Format, Nordic Countries also have an integrated basic level initiative of Patient data exchange within their member countries to ensure seamless treatment of their populations. Its objective is to provide the most sustainable, integrated customized healthcare solutions for all its people by 2030.

In Asia and the South Pacific, numerous Asian nations are additionally embracing advanced wellbeing methodologies to guarantee interoperability. Referring to the case of, “The Ministry of Health and Family Welfare (MoHFW) of India” previously distributed “Electronic Health Record norms and shaped a Center for Health Informatics under the aegis of eHealth Division of “Ministry of Health and Family Welfare” as a feature of their Digital Health Strategy.

New Zealand’s medical care offices, Patients, and people marked a Commitment to” New Zealand Health Interoperability” to diagram the orders to foster the reception of trade foundations and cycles in their wellbeing area.

5.2 FHIR(Fast Healthcare Interoperability Resources): To establish standardization of organizational data:
Open systems thinking conceives seamless interaction with the environment therefore a normal trade standard is needed to open the information put away inside them. Here FHIR plays a crucial role to interact with third-party applications. NIH scientists could then foster outsider applications to remove clinical information from an FHIR API to further develop wellbeing disclosures. “An eBusiness-based Framework for eHealth An eBusiness-based Framework for eHealth Interoperability” in this article authors Kuziemsky, Craig Kuziemsky, Craig E pointed out

On the information and data level, we want to consolidate norms that are available to laypersons. It might incorporate angles, for example, (1) the reception of customer situated phrasings while planning them to clinical codes and (2) the reception of an originally driven worldview of demonstrating data content in a particular and reusable manner, rather than the conventional message-driven worldview.

FHIR Workflow:

There are three main functional blocks or components considered in the solution, as shown numbered in the diagram below:

1. FHIR API Converter to convert historical clinical data to FHIR format
2. AI/ML engine for prediction, diagnosis, and recommendation
3. FHIR Prediction Bundle to persist predictions

Details around each of the functional blocks are given below:

1. FHIR API Convertor Historic health data comes in various formats (JSON, PDF, Excel, Bio market Dataset) from different sources, including existing solutions linked to Social Media and Wearables, HIP, etc. FHIR converter runs as a REST web service and can be deployed in the cloud. It takes the historical health data as input and converts it to FHIR bundles. These bundles can be persisted to an FHIR server such as the FHIR API.

2. AI/ML engine for prediction, diagnosis, and recommendation This component accomplishes the following:-
This component accomplishes the following:-

• Feature Construction: The element development task processes an element vector portrayal for every Patient dependent on the Patient's EHR information in FHIR design. EHR information can be considered to be various occasion successions over the long haul (e.g., a Patient can have numerous findings of hypertension at various dates). A perception window (e.g., one year) is determined to change over such occasion groupings into highlight factors. Then, at that point, all occasions inside the window are totaled into a solitary or little arrangement of qualities. **Feature Selection:** The feature selection component of predictive modeling automatically extracts highlights for hazard forecast dependent on a pre-characterized and extensible substance diagram (i.e., manifestations and hazard order). The extraction is autonomous of infection type or hazard forecast task. The features selected are typically demographics, diagnosis, lab result, symptoms, medications, vitals, etc

• Predictive Modelling: Predictive Modelling Pipeline leveraging multiply supervised, unsupervised and deep learning AI/ML techniques as mentioned in the diagram above to perform the following steps:

• On the entrance level, we want to give components that empower secure and reliable dividing of wellbeing data among customers and suppliers while guaranteeing that protection and precision are saved. Arising advancements, for example, Web 2.0 will be an essential driver of expanded openness.

• Finally, at the framework and responsibility level, we need to devise components that guarantee the nature of purchaser situated eHealth frameworks and the constancy of the administrations they give. Plans for remunerating eHealth parental figures for their administrations should be created.

5.3 Blockchain – To ensure Organizational Data Security.

Sharing and Exchanging healthcare data with security is also an essential aspect in line with interoperability to ensure the progress of Digital Health; therefore, Blockchain can play an indispensable role in preventing a breach of healthcare data, which can save millions of dollars. Cloud has started to play a vital role in terms of data storage; this trend has been observed in Healthcare as well; there has been a gradual shift of “data and services” to the cloud mainly for two reasons 1) convenience as the entire Patient history available at the real-time, 2) savings as it enables healthcare data management at an affordable cost. Interconnecting the different healthcare providers and their Patient Health Record solutions will help them take urgent or proactive action during any eventuality.

Blockchain's relevance in Healthcare: Blockchain is an innovation stage ready to assemble an open and disseminated online data set, consisting of a rundown of information structures (otherwise called blocks) connected (i.e., block-focuses to the ensuing one, thus the name blockchain). These squares are spread across various hubs of the framework and are put away discretely. Each square contains a creation of its
timestamp, the hash of the past block and the exchange information, and in our specific situation, a medical care information of the patient and the medical services supplier data. Hence, Blockchain has been designed to be secure, ensuring decentralized agreement and consistency and insures against cyber attacks that are either intentional or unintentional in nature.

5.4 Disciplinary as well as surveillance capabilities of Digital Health Technologies.

Explicit expectations of patients are set by them, expecting patients to participate in self-observing practices at specific intervals, for instance, or ensuring to remind them to take medicine on time, or mentioning them to rate and rank their medical services suppliers on an assessment site, or to transfer their encounters of ailment and clinical therapy on persistent help sites. In the talk of the carefully connected with the patient, hence, 'strengthening' turns into a bunch of commitments (Veitch, 2010).

Accordingly, for instance, research on “Dutch heart patients utilizing telemedicine gadgets,” for example, a framework to quantify body weight and pulse of the patients, a cell phone fit for directing and communicating an ECG, and a gadget to analyze heart-cadence anomalies observed that the bodies and home conditions of the patients were focused by the schedules expected of them. They were relied upon to adjust to exact day-by-day timetables of observing their bodies and sending information to their medical care suppliers and to react to messages and markers shipped off them at different occasions every day (Outsworn, 2008, 2009, 2011, 2012).

Patients might estimate these innovations as a method of keeping away from a visit to the specialist when they would prefer not to see them eye to eye and subsequently set up a separation from clinical reconnaissance (Andreassen et al, 2006). On the other hand, they might observe the commitment of self-observation overpowering, driving them to defy their ailment, participate in routine activities they would prefer to stay away from, or manage advanced cooperations that are tedious. A few patients react to the “disciplinary and observation” objectives of self-care and self-checking by opposing or avoiding medical care suppliers' headings and the commitments expected of them. People might have different needs and in this manner, they avoid the utilization of the gadgets given to them in the ways that are usually expected of them. Patients may “play the framework,” explore different avenues regarding their treatments or pull out data from the medical services suppliers if it doesn't adjust to assumptions (Nicolini, 2007).

Patients' protection from the utilization of computerized wellbeing gadgets for self-care is regularly clarified by such factors as ineptitude, apathy, obliviousness, or even technophobia concerning more
seasoned individuals corresponding to utilizing these technologies. However, considerably more youthful individuals who are more knowledgeable about the utilization of advanced advances all the more, by and large, might disdain, challenge, or essentially overlook the undertakings and obligations requested of them by “telemedicine” (Oudshoorn, 2011). Certain individuals like to participate in physical rather than virtual experiences with medical care suppliers, needing what they consider to be more close-to-home cooperation, as well as utilizing telemedical innovations for certain reasons (Mort et al, 2009; Oudshoorn, 2011).

The techno-idealistic beliefs of the advances utilized for these designs are habitually tested in the real life encounters of the patients who make use of them. Mol directed an investigation of “Dutch individuals” suffering from diabetes who were needed to screen the glucose levels of their blood routinely for the day. She takes note of the intricacies and troubles of utilizing self-checking advances and in deciphering the information created: ‘practically speaking everyday care pivots muddled, material, malodorous, wicked, alarming, or dreary exercises that will generally be hard to accomplish (for experts just as patients)’ (2009, pp. 1756–1757). (Mol and Law, 2004; Mol, 2009) proceeds to bring up that endeavors to practice command over the diabetic body, including utilizing checking and self-care gadgets, are ill-fated to fall flat, essentially given the notions and inconsistent nature both of the body and the advances intended to help individuals take control. As she contends, ‘innovation is never entirely subdued. It does not provide control, and it changes alongside different components of everyday practices of care (Mol, 2009, p. 1757).

5.5 Surveillance Tool for Organizational Data-( Bio-Mets)

As the Organization, i.e., the human body is the source of data, therefore, monitoring clients’ qualities identified with cognizant and oblivious changes of human attributes and body boundaries, similar to demeanor, inspiration, temperature, skin conductance, pose balance, mind action, pulse elements, different other crucial boundaries, for surveying clients’ more complicated attributes like feelings and conduct. With the Internet of Things (IoT) innovation, each biometric observing gadget can remotely discuss and send this information. With the advent of remote care technology, home care is gaining prominence; therefore, home care devices are now equipped with technologies that enable clinicians to monitor and check Patients from a remote location with a click of a button.

BioMeT amalgamates both programming and equipment parts for wellbeing applications. Both programming and equipment and their administrative parent businesses from ages included confirmation and approval as a piece of their quality control the board cycle. “IEEE Standard for System,
Software and Hardware Verification and Validation (IEEE 1012-2016) guides the verification and validation of connected devices for both software and hardware.

5.6 Discussion on Paradigm Shift: Consumer to Organization

In the research article “Darwinism and cultural change,” Peter Godfrey-Smith states “Evolutionary models of cultural change have acquired an important role in attempts to explain the course of human evolution, especially our specialization in knowledge-gathering and intelligent control of environments. Different patterns of explanation become relevant at different ‘grains’ of analysis and in contexts associated with different explanatory targets in both biological and cultural change. Existing treatments of the evolutionary approach to culture, both positive and negative, underestimate the importance of these distinctions. Close attention to the grain of analysis motivates distinctions between three possible modes of cultural evolution, each associated with different empirical assumptions and explanatory roles.”

Therefore, considering the evolution of Patient from Consumer to Organization explains the nuances of cultural evolution from individual to an abstract notion the ‘Organization’ as it is hard to see as described in the book “Organization Theory & Design” An International Perspective by Richard L. Draft, Jonathan Murphy, and Hugh Willmott.

Physically, it may be dispersed among multiple locations in different continents has an uncanny similarity with the Patients. Further the definitions used in the book mentioned above are Organizations are 1) Social Entities, 2) Goal coordinated, 3) are planned as purposely organized and coordinated, 4) connected to the outer climate. The vital component of an association isn’t a structure or a bunch of strategies and methodology; An Organization exists where is interaction within and outside the organization.

This evolution is necessary as today Patients are not mere consumers but also producers of resources. They should be credited similar to the organizations which includes 1) Bringing together assets to accomplish wanted objectives and results, 2) Facilitating advancements, 3) Harnessing current data innovations, 4) Adapting to and impacting evolving climate, 5) Creating Value, 6) Accommodating moral difficulties.

Further, as a contributor to R&D and Businesses Patient should be considered similar to the company as the most important organization as described in the book “The Company: A Short History of a
Revolutionary idea” by John Mickletwait and Adrian Wooldridge because-

The organization was the main independent social and legitimate foundation that was inside society yet free of the focal government. The Company is the most effective maker of labor and products that has been known by the world at any point in time. Without an organization to outfit assets and put together exercises, the expense for shoppers for practically any item we realize today is difficult to bear.

Generally, the company has been given a power to give individuals beneficial exercises, character, and the local area just as a check. Following examples will make it clear How this evolution will help the patients being an organization.

Taking into consideration “Google,” which came to prominence first as the supplier of the web's most well-known web index, yet which keeps on adjusting, develop and developing alongside the advancing web. Rather than being unbending assistance, Google is consistently adding mechanical highlights that make an improved proposal by gradual addition. Organizations, for example, “Philips, AES Corporation, Heineken Breweries, and IBM” are associated with vital collusions and organizations with organizations throughout the planet. They are additionally occupied with campaigning state-run administrations and controllers and submitting gigantic totals to initiatives that strengthen the position of the organization' t promotes their brands, or avoids taxes (e.g. through transfer pricing and use of tax havens) with an end goal to impact the climate, contend on a worldwide scale, and consequently guarantee that their stock remaining parts appealing to financial backers. Through these exercises, associations make an incentive for proprietors as they convey products

Conclusion

Considering Patient as an Organization seems to be an unconventional approach; however, business entities are always considered as an entity with flesh and blood where the countless number of human beings is the soul who runs, characterizes the nature of the Organization termed as friendly, tough, with a human face to elucidate the few. Here I personified the Human Being itself as it plays various roles similar to Organization with different stakeholders and demands services aligned to the Organization.
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### Tables

#### Table 1. Construct Reliability and Validity

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Cronbach’s Alpha</th>
<th>rho_A</th>
<th>Composite Reliability</th>
<th>Average Variance Extracted (AVE)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AI</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>1.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CWI</td>
<td>0.782</td>
<td>0.786</td>
<td>0.874</td>
<td>0.700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HB</td>
<td>0.589</td>
<td>0.600</td>
<td>0.784</td>
<td>0.548</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OR</td>
<td>0.834</td>
<td>0.841</td>
<td>0.889</td>
<td>0.668</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PD</td>
<td>0.918</td>
<td>0.922</td>
<td>0.936</td>
<td>0.710</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PEU</td>
<td>0.889</td>
<td>0.890</td>
<td>0.931</td>
<td>0.819</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PU</td>
<td>0.914</td>
<td>0.919</td>
<td>0.939</td>
<td>0.794</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RG</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>1.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SFC</td>
<td>0.936</td>
<td>0.937</td>
<td>0.947</td>
<td>0.693</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UG</td>
<td>0.885</td>
<td>0.886</td>
<td>0.929</td>
<td>0.814</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Table 2. Fornell-Larcker Criterion
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>AI</th>
<th>CWI</th>
<th>HB</th>
<th>OR</th>
<th>PD</th>
<th>PEU</th>
<th>PU</th>
<th>RG</th>
<th>SFC</th>
<th>UG</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AI</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CWI</td>
<td>0.729</td>
<td>0.836</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HB</td>
<td>0.409</td>
<td>0.302</td>
<td>0.740</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OR</td>
<td>0.514</td>
<td>0.569</td>
<td>0.333</td>
<td>0.817</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PD</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.307</td>
<td>0.212</td>
<td>0.334</td>
<td>0.843</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PEU</td>
<td>0.568</td>
<td>0.683</td>
<td>0.257</td>
<td>0.546</td>
<td>0.421</td>
<td>0.905</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PU</td>
<td>0.582</td>
<td>0.677</td>
<td>0.374</td>
<td>0.625</td>
<td>0.420</td>
<td>0.780</td>
<td>0.891</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RG</td>
<td>0.771</td>
<td>0.712</td>
<td>0.371</td>
<td>0.461</td>
<td>0.249</td>
<td>0.552</td>
<td>0.438</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SFC</td>
<td>0.568</td>
<td>0.688</td>
<td>0.265</td>
<td>0.669</td>
<td>0.378</td>
<td>0.887</td>
<td>0.817</td>
<td>0.526</td>
<td>0.832</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UG</td>
<td>0.506</td>
<td>0.609</td>
<td>0.264</td>
<td>0.634</td>
<td>0.372</td>
<td>0.740</td>
<td>0.830</td>
<td>0.420</td>
<td>0.827</td>
<td>0.902</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 3.** Heterotrait-Monotrait Ratio (HTMT)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>AI</th>
<th>CWI</th>
<th>HB</th>
<th>OR</th>
<th>PD</th>
<th>PEU</th>
<th>PU</th>
<th>RG</th>
<th>SFC</th>
<th>UG</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AI</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CWI</td>
<td>0.825</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HB</td>
<td>0.541</td>
<td>0.449</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OR</td>
<td>0.570</td>
<td>0.716</td>
<td>0.493</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PD</td>
<td>0.284</td>
<td>0.363</td>
<td>0.282</td>
<td>0.368</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PEU</td>
<td>0.601</td>
<td>0.815</td>
<td>0.339</td>
<td>0.632</td>
<td>0.465</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PU</td>
<td>0.602</td>
<td>0.791</td>
<td>0.502</td>
<td>0.718</td>
<td>0.453</td>
<td>0.860</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RG</td>
<td>0.771</td>
<td>0.806</td>
<td>0.493</td>
<td>0.512</td>
<td>0.260</td>
<td>0.584</td>
<td>0.453</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SFC</td>
<td>0.587</td>
<td>0.804</td>
<td>0.351</td>
<td>0.757</td>
<td>0.407</td>
<td>0.970</td>
<td>0.882</td>
<td>0.544</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UG</td>
<td>0.537</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.357</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.405</td>
<td>0.833</td>
<td>0.925</td>
<td>0.445</td>
<td>0.907</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 4.** Cross Loadings
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>AI</th>
<th>CWI</th>
<th>HB</th>
<th>OR</th>
<th>PD</th>
<th>PEU</th>
<th>PU</th>
<th>RG</th>
<th>SFC</th>
<th>UG</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AI</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>0.729</td>
<td>0.409</td>
<td>0.514</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.568</td>
<td>0.582</td>
<td>0.771</td>
<td>0.568</td>
<td>0.506</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C1</td>
<td>0.496</td>
<td>0.611</td>
<td>0.267</td>
<td>0.605</td>
<td>0.386</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.696</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.867</td>
<td>0.681</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C2</td>
<td>0.509</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.165</td>
<td>0.525</td>
<td>0.268</td>
<td>0.802</td>
<td>0.668</td>
<td>0.479</td>
<td>0.858</td>
<td>0.642</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CWI1</td>
<td>0.618</td>
<td>0.890</td>
<td>0.221</td>
<td>0.506</td>
<td>0.364</td>
<td>0.619</td>
<td>0.655</td>
<td>0.555</td>
<td>0.625</td>
<td>0.574</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CWI2</td>
<td>0.578</td>
<td>0.753</td>
<td>0.275</td>
<td>0.499</td>
<td>0.091</td>
<td>0.467</td>
<td>0.430</td>
<td>0.583</td>
<td>0.551</td>
<td>0.466</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F1</td>
<td>0.463</td>
<td>0.545</td>
<td>0.189</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.359</td>
<td>0.765</td>
<td>0.749</td>
<td>0.358</td>
<td>0.825</td>
<td>0.794</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F2</td>
<td>0.516</td>
<td>0.597</td>
<td>0.288</td>
<td>0.605</td>
<td>0.332</td>
<td>0.746</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.440</td>
<td>0.839</td>
<td>0.777</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F3</td>
<td>0.464</td>
<td>0.565</td>
<td>0.186</td>
<td>0.587</td>
<td>0.243</td>
<td>0.728</td>
<td>0.709</td>
<td>0.480</td>
<td>0.831</td>
<td>0.820</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HB1</td>
<td>0.348</td>
<td>0.200</td>
<td>0.722</td>
<td>0.194</td>
<td>0.129</td>
<td>0.105</td>
<td>0.251</td>
<td>0.268</td>
<td>0.122</td>
<td>0.121</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HB2</td>
<td>0.267</td>
<td>0.215</td>
<td>0.795</td>
<td>0.237</td>
<td>0.181</td>
<td>0.283</td>
<td>0.319</td>
<td>0.222</td>
<td>0.251</td>
<td>0.240</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HB3</td>
<td>0.307</td>
<td>0.260</td>
<td>0.700</td>
<td>0.312</td>
<td>0.156</td>
<td>0.159</td>
<td>0.254</td>
<td>0.352</td>
<td>0.203</td>
<td>0.214</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I like to experiment with new things and products</td>
<td>0.631</td>
<td>0.860</td>
<td>0.262</td>
<td>0.425</td>
<td>0.303</td>
<td>0.619</td>
<td>0.603</td>
<td>0.646</td>
<td>0.550</td>
<td>0.485</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OR1</td>
<td>0.480</td>
<td>0.521</td>
<td>0.427</td>
<td>0.764</td>
<td>0.248</td>
<td>0.390</td>
<td>0.500</td>
<td>0.442</td>
<td>0.512</td>
<td>0.469</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OR2</td>
<td>0.468</td>
<td>0.521</td>
<td>0.331</td>
<td>0.778</td>
<td>0.215</td>
<td>0.435</td>
<td>0.513</td>
<td>0.425</td>
<td>0.519</td>
<td>0.507</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OR3</td>
<td>0.386</td>
<td>0.449</td>
<td>0.176</td>
<td>0.870</td>
<td>0.278</td>
<td>0.471</td>
<td>0.499</td>
<td>0.342</td>
<td>0.594</td>
<td>0.526</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OR4</td>
<td>0.367</td>
<td>0.389</td>
<td>0.189</td>
<td>0.852</td>
<td>0.342</td>
<td>0.481</td>
<td>0.532</td>
<td>0.317</td>
<td>0.561</td>
<td>0.566</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PD1</td>
<td>0.219</td>
<td>0.219</td>
<td>0.235</td>
<td>0.369</td>
<td>0.842</td>
<td>0.362</td>
<td>0.397</td>
<td>0.164</td>
<td>0.322</td>
<td>0.335</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PD2</td>
<td>0.202</td>
<td>0.204</td>
<td>0.156</td>
<td>0.355</td>
<td>0.790</td>
<td>0.297</td>
<td>0.385</td>
<td>0.210</td>
<td>0.319</td>
<td>0.366</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PD3</td>
<td>0.252</td>
<td>0.284</td>
<td>0.213</td>
<td>0.303</td>
<td>0.880</td>
<td>0.354</td>
<td>0.369</td>
<td>0.258</td>
<td>0.294</td>
<td>0.313</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PD4</td>
<td>0.213</td>
<td>0.301</td>
<td>0.206</td>
<td>0.170</td>
<td>0.841</td>
<td>0.336</td>
<td>0.285</td>
<td>0.203</td>
<td>0.283</td>
<td>0.225</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PD5</td>
<td>0.215</td>
<td>0.278</td>
<td>0.149</td>
<td>0.228</td>
<td>0.872</td>
<td>0.394</td>
<td>0.331</td>
<td>0.194</td>
<td>0.337</td>
<td>0.271</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PD6</td>
<td>0.277</td>
<td>0.284</td>
<td>0.104</td>
<td>0.211</td>
<td>0.828</td>
<td>0.387</td>
<td>0.329</td>
<td>0.232</td>
<td>0.352</td>
<td>0.342</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PEU1</td>
<td>0.510</td>
<td>0.642</td>
<td>0.272</td>
<td>0.534</td>
<td>0.384</td>
<td>0.908</td>
<td>0.705</td>
<td>0.495</td>
<td>0.834</td>
<td>0.675</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PEU2</td>
<td>0.444</td>
<td>0.542</td>
<td>0.158</td>
<td>0.487</td>
<td>0.342</td>
<td>0.893</td>
<td>0.657</td>
<td>0.443</td>
<td>0.784</td>
<td>0.648</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PEU3</td>
<td>PU1</td>
<td>PU2</td>
<td>PU3</td>
<td>PU4</td>
<td>RG</td>
<td>SC1</td>
<td>SC2</td>
<td>SC3</td>
<td>UG1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PEU3</td>
<td>0.583</td>
<td>0.666</td>
<td>0.262</td>
<td>0.460</td>
<td>0.415</td>
<td>0.913</td>
<td>0.754</td>
<td>0.557</td>
<td>0.789</td>
<td>0.684</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PU1</td>
<td>0.406</td>
<td>0.498</td>
<td>0.272</td>
<td>0.579</td>
<td>0.444</td>
<td>0.602</td>
<td>0.866</td>
<td>0.295</td>
<td>0.693</td>
<td>0.761</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PU2</td>
<td>0.480</td>
<td>0.578</td>
<td>0.348</td>
<td>0.535</td>
<td>0.309</td>
<td>0.690</td>
<td>0.908</td>
<td>0.381</td>
<td>0.767</td>
<td>0.794</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PU3</td>
<td>0.602</td>
<td>0.640</td>
<td>0.360</td>
<td>0.585</td>
<td>0.411</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.917</td>
<td>0.430</td>
<td>0.720</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PU4</td>
<td>0.564</td>
<td>0.678</td>
<td>0.345</td>
<td>0.530</td>
<td>0.338</td>
<td>0.746</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.439</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.683</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RG</td>
<td>0.771</td>
<td>0.712</td>
<td>0.371</td>
<td>0.461</td>
<td>0.249</td>
<td>0.552</td>
<td>0.438</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>0.526</td>
<td>0.420</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SC1</td>
<td>0.494</td>
<td>0.599</td>
<td>0.286</td>
<td>0.544</td>
<td>0.356</td>
<td>0.696</td>
<td>0.592</td>
<td>0.493</td>
<td>0.814</td>
<td>0.606</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SC2</td>
<td>0.430</td>
<td>0.520</td>
<td>0.201</td>
<td>0.525</td>
<td>0.370</td>
<td>0.712</td>
<td>0.606</td>
<td>0.443</td>
<td>0.834</td>
<td>0.610</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SC3</td>
<td>0.408</td>
<td>0.462</td>
<td>0.190</td>
<td>0.492</td>
<td>0.206</td>
<td>0.712</td>
<td>0.640</td>
<td>0.336</td>
<td>0.787</td>
<td>0.568</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UG1</td>
<td>0.504</td>
<td>0.619</td>
<td>0.216</td>
<td>0.565</td>
<td>0.304</td>
<td>0.676</td>
<td>0.728</td>
<td>0.437</td>
<td>0.741</td>
<td>0.887</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UG2</td>
<td>0.416</td>
<td>0.493</td>
<td>0.203</td>
<td>0.554</td>
<td>0.297</td>
<td>0.641</td>
<td>0.719</td>
<td>0.328</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.908</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UG3</td>
<td>0.447</td>
<td>0.532</td>
<td>0.292</td>
<td>0.596</td>
<td>0.402</td>
<td>0.683</td>
<td>0.797</td>
<td>0.368</td>
<td>0.761</td>
<td>0.911</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Figure 6 represents good correlations between latent variables with significant T and P values.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Relation</th>
<th>Original Sample (O)</th>
<th>Sample Mean</th>
<th>Standard Deviation</th>
<th>T Statistics (IO/STDEV)</th>
<th>P Values</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CWI -&gt; AI</td>
<td>0.212</td>
<td>0.212</td>
<td>0.100</td>
<td>2.123</td>
<td>0.034</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HB -&gt; AI</td>
<td>0.037</td>
<td>0.037</td>
<td>0.013</td>
<td>2.831</td>
<td>0.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HB -&gt; PU</td>
<td>0.177</td>
<td>0.178</td>
<td>0.046</td>
<td>3.827</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OR -&gt; AI</td>
<td>-0.014</td>
<td>-0.013</td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td>1.497</td>
<td>0.135</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OR -&gt; PEU</td>
<td>-0.093</td>
<td>-0.093</td>
<td>0.056</td>
<td>1.667</td>
<td>0.096</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OR -&gt; PU</td>
<td>-0.065</td>
<td>-0.065</td>
<td>0.039</td>
<td>1.681</td>
<td>0.093</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PD -&gt; AI</td>
<td>0.018</td>
<td>0.019</td>
<td>0.013</td>
<td>1.339</td>
<td>0.181</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PD -&gt; PU</td>
<td>0.087</td>
<td>0.091</td>
<td>0.057</td>
<td>1.528</td>
<td>0.127</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PEU -&gt; AI</td>
<td>0.145</td>
<td>0.144</td>
<td>0.037</td>
<td>3.884</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PEU -&gt; PU</td>
<td>0.700</td>
<td>0.700</td>
<td>0.055</td>
<td>12.812</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PU -&gt; AI</td>
<td>0.207</td>
<td>0.206</td>
<td>0.051</td>
<td>4.084</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RG -&gt; AI</td>
<td>0.530</td>
<td>0.536</td>
<td>0.107</td>
<td>4.949</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SFC -&gt; AI</td>
<td>0.132</td>
<td>0.131</td>
<td>0.034</td>
<td>3.859</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SFC -&gt; PEU</td>
<td>0.913</td>
<td>0.915</td>
<td>0.080</td>
<td>11.364</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SFC -&gt; PU</td>
<td>0.639</td>
<td>0.640</td>
<td>0.074</td>
<td>8.626</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UG -&gt; AI</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td>0.014</td>
<td>0.452</td>
<td>0.652</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UG -&gt; PEU</td>
<td>0.044</td>
<td>0.045</td>
<td>0.091</td>
<td>0.485</td>
<td>0.628</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UG -&gt; PU</td>
<td>0.031</td>
<td>0.032</td>
<td>0.064</td>
<td>0.484</td>
<td>0.628</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 7**

Mean, STD DEV, T-values, P-values-Total Effects
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Original Sample (O)</th>
<th>Sample Mean (M)</th>
<th>Standard Deviation</th>
<th>T Statistics (O/STDEV)</th>
<th>P Values</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CWI -&gt; AI</td>
<td>0.037</td>
<td>0.037</td>
<td>0.013</td>
<td>2.831</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HB -&gt; AI</td>
<td>-0.014</td>
<td>-0.013</td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td>1.497</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OR -&gt; PU</td>
<td>0.018</td>
<td>0.019</td>
<td>0.013</td>
<td>1.339</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PEU -&gt; AI</td>
<td>0.145</td>
<td>0.144</td>
<td>0.037</td>
<td>3.884</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PEU -&gt; PU</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 8**

**Indirect Effects**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AI</th>
<th>CWI</th>
<th>HB</th>
<th>OR</th>
<th>PD</th>
<th>PEU</th>
<th>PU</th>
<th>RG</th>
<th>UG</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AI</td>
<td>0.046</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CWI</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.082</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HB</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.022</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OR</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.017</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PD</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.108</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PEU</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PU</td>
<td>0.073</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RG</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.434</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SFC</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.118</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UG</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 9**

**f Square**
### Figure 10

Latent Variables Correlations

### Figure 11

Quality Criteria - R Square

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Original Sample (O)</th>
<th>Sample Mean (M)</th>
<th>Standard Deviation</th>
<th>T Statistics</th>
<th>P Values</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CWI -&gt; AI</td>
<td>0.729</td>
<td>0.736</td>
<td>0.035</td>
<td>20.915</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HB -&gt; AI</td>
<td>0.809</td>
<td>0.810</td>
<td>0.072</td>
<td>5.711</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HB -&gt; CWI</td>
<td>0.302</td>
<td>0.307</td>
<td>0.070</td>
<td>4.287</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OR -&gt; AI</td>
<td>0.514</td>
<td>0.510</td>
<td>0.060</td>
<td>8.635</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OR -&gt; CWI</td>
<td>0.569</td>
<td>0.568</td>
<td>0.058</td>
<td>9.816</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OR -&gt; HB</td>
<td>0.333</td>
<td>0.333</td>
<td>0.085</td>
<td>5.104</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PD -&gt; AI</td>
<td>0.273</td>
<td>0.279</td>
<td>0.094</td>
<td>2.897</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PD -&gt; CWI</td>
<td>0.307</td>
<td>0.316</td>
<td>0.083</td>
<td>3.708</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PD -&gt; HB</td>
<td>0.212</td>
<td>0.218</td>
<td>0.087</td>
<td>2.598</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PD -&gt; OR</td>
<td>0.334</td>
<td>0.343</td>
<td>0.072</td>
<td>4.624</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PEU -&gt; AI</td>
<td>0.568</td>
<td>0.572</td>
<td>0.057</td>
<td>9.221</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PEU -&gt; CWI</td>
<td>0.683</td>
<td>0.686</td>
<td>0.046</td>
<td>14.838</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PEU -&gt; HB</td>
<td>0.257</td>
<td>0.259</td>
<td>0.087</td>
<td>2.970</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PEU -&gt; OR</td>
<td>0.546</td>
<td>0.552</td>
<td>0.052</td>
<td>10.550</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PEU -&gt; PD</td>
<td>0.421</td>
<td>0.429</td>
<td>0.076</td>
<td>6.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PU -&gt; AI</td>
<td>0.583</td>
<td>0.589</td>
<td>0.059</td>
<td>9.964</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PU -&gt; CWI</td>
<td>0.679</td>
<td>0.686</td>
<td>0.068</td>
<td>10.272</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PU -&gt; HB</td>
<td>0.235</td>
<td>0.239</td>
<td>0.087</td>
<td>5.570</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PU -&gt; OR</td>
<td>0.624</td>
<td>0.627</td>
<td>0.049</td>
<td>12.664</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PU -&gt; PD</td>
<td>0.419</td>
<td>0.429</td>
<td>0.070</td>
<td>5.975</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PU -&gt; PEU</td>
<td>0.702</td>
<td>0.704</td>
<td>0.036</td>
<td>21.770</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RG -&gt; AI</td>
<td>0.771</td>
<td>0.778</td>
<td>0.053</td>
<td>14.526</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RG -&gt; CWI</td>
<td>0.712</td>
<td>0.713</td>
<td>0.044</td>
<td>16.349</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RG -&gt; HB</td>
<td>0.371</td>
<td>0.370</td>
<td>0.082</td>
<td>4.517</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RG -&gt; OR</td>
<td>0.461</td>
<td>0.463</td>
<td>0.056</td>
<td>7.954</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RG -&gt; PD</td>
<td>0.249</td>
<td>0.253</td>
<td>0.033</td>
<td>2.672</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RG -&gt; PEU</td>
<td>0.552</td>
<td>0.554</td>
<td>0.054</td>
<td>8.669</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RG -&gt; PU</td>
<td>0.439</td>
<td>0.446</td>
<td>0.075</td>
<td>5.836</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SFC -&gt; AI</td>
<td>0.568</td>
<td>0.572</td>
<td>0.055</td>
<td>10.467</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SFC -&gt; CWI</td>
<td>0.688</td>
<td>0.690</td>
<td>0.052</td>
<td>13.339</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SFC -&gt; HB</td>
<td>0.265</td>
<td>0.270</td>
<td>0.081</td>
<td>3.289</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SFC -&gt; OR</td>
<td>0.669</td>
<td>0.672</td>
<td>0.041</td>
<td>16.156</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SFC -&gt; PD</td>
<td>0.378</td>
<td>0.388</td>
<td>0.070</td>
<td>5.402</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SFC -&gt; PEU</td>
<td>0.887</td>
<td>0.899</td>
<td>0.018</td>
<td>48.370</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SFC -&gt; PU</td>
<td>0.818</td>
<td>0.819</td>
<td>0.029</td>
<td>27.917</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SFC -&gt; RG</td>
<td>0.526</td>
<td>0.531</td>
<td>0.065</td>
<td>8.116</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UG -&gt; AI</td>
<td>0.506</td>
<td>0.509</td>
<td>0.075</td>
<td>6.780</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UG -&gt; CWI</td>
<td>0.609</td>
<td>0.609</td>
<td>0.085</td>
<td>7.133</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UG -&gt; HB</td>
<td>0.264</td>
<td>0.270</td>
<td>0.077</td>
<td>3.429</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UG -&gt; OR</td>
<td>0.634</td>
<td>0.634</td>
<td>0.046</td>
<td>13.916</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UG -&gt; PD</td>
<td>0.372</td>
<td>0.381</td>
<td>0.071</td>
<td>5.236</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UG -&gt; PEU</td>
<td>0.740</td>
<td>0.742</td>
<td>0.035</td>
<td>20.850</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UG -&gt; PU</td>
<td>0.830</td>
<td>0.829</td>
<td>0.027</td>
<td>30.186</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UG -&gt; RG</td>
<td>0.420</td>
<td>0.423</td>
<td>0.079</td>
<td>5.342</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UG -&gt; SFC</td>
<td>0.827</td>
<td>0.826</td>
<td>0.023</td>
<td>36.129</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 12

Base Data

Figure 13

Figure legend not provided with this version.
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