

Nightmares, Mindfulness And Lucid Dreaming

Sofia Tzioridou (

sofia.tzioridou@gmail.com)

Philipps University Marburg

Martin Dresler

Radboud University Medical Centre

Kristian Sandberg

Aarhus University

Erik M. Mueller

Philipps University Marburg

Research Article

Keywords: Nightmares, Mindfulness, Lucid dreaming, Meditation

Posted Date: February 25th, 2022

DOI: https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-1303397/v1

License: © (1) This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

Read Full License

Version of Record: A version of this preprint was published at Scientific Reports on September 21st, 2022. See the published version at https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-022-19624-4.

Abstract

A theoretical and empirical association between lucid dreaming and mindfulness, as well as lucid dreaming and nightmares has previously been observed; however, the relationship between nightmares and mindfulness has received surprisingly little attention. Here, we present the findings of two studies exploring the relation of nightmare frequency and distress with two components of mindfulness, termed presence and acceptance, as well as lucid dreaming. Study 1 (N=338) consisted of a low percentage of frequent lucid dreamers whereas Study 2 (N=187) consisted primarily of frequent lucid dreamers that used lucid dream induction training techniques and meditation. Mindful acceptance showed a more robust association with nightmare-related variables in comparison to mindful presence. Meditation expertise inversely related to nightmare frequency and the practice of lucid dreaming induction techniques inversely related to nightmare frequency and distress. Finally, in Study 2 a positive correlation between lucid dreaming frequency and mindfulness was apparent. The present findings support the notion that wakeful mindfulness is associated with the quality of dreams and extend previous research by suggesting a disentangled role of the two facets of mindfulness in dream variation. This association remains open for experimental manipulation, the result of which could have clinical implications.

1. Introduction

Nightmares, defined as very disturbing dreams that awaken the sleeper (according to the International Classification of Sleep Disorders [ICSD-3] of the ¹, are closely associated with stress and ill-being ^{2,3}. On the other side, dispositional mindfulness, a concept inspired by Buddhist traditions, has been found to reduce stress ^{4,5}, improve sleep ⁶ and promote mental well-being ^{7,8}. Dispositional mindfulness has been psychometrically operationalized as unidimensional or consisting of several components, which might divergently relate with well- and ill-being related variables ⁹. Lucid dreaming - i.e. the phenomenon of becoming aware of the current dream state during ongoing sleep - has been associated with alleviated nightmares distress on the one hand ¹⁰, and increased trait mindfulness on the other ¹¹. Even though an indirect link between mindfulness and nightmare frequency and distress can be assumed and some findings support it ¹², more direct relationships have received little attention. Mindfulness can be trained through meditation practices and mindfulness based interventions ^{7,13}, which can easily be integrated into already existing clinical applications targeting nightmare disorders.

In the present paper, we aim to accentuate the role of mindfulness on dream variation by briefly reviewing the clinical aspect of nightmares and therapy approaches, such as lucid dreaming therapy, and proceed to present our findings considering the relations among nightmares, two components of mindfulness, mindful presence and acceptance, and lucid dreams.

1.1. Nightmare disorder and clinical interventions

Healthy individuals occasionally experience nightmares of various content and emotional intensity ^{14,15}. However, nightmare disorder is quite common, with a prevalence of around 4% in the adult population of

the United States ¹. Nightmare disorder is defined based on nightmare frequency, as well as on the distress caused by the dream ¹, which is related to the affective adjustment during wake ¹⁶.

Subsequently, nightmare disorder can result in sleep avoidance and deprivation, mood disturbance, cognitive and social function impairments, thus it can significantly reduce quality of life ^{17–19}. Recurrent nightmares are prominent in post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD) ^{20,21}, anxiety ^{22–24} and depression ^{25–27} and have been associated with increased risk of suicide ^{23,28} even after controlling for other risk factors ^{29,30}. If treated, there is a substantial improvement in sleep quality whereas insomnia symptoms, daytime fatigue and sleepiness are reduced ^{31–33}.

Taking the above into account, a variety of treatment approaches, both pharmacological and behavioral, have been suggested. Some of the behavioral and psychological treatment options include lucid dreaming therapy, image rehearsal therapy (IRT), cognitive behavioral therapy for insomnia (CBT-I), exposure - relaxation and rescripting therapy, hypnosis, progressive deep muscle relaxation, sleep dynamic therapy, systematic desensitization etc. ^{21,34}.

Lucid dreaming therapy has been suggested to be beneficial as a treatment approach, mostly in combination with other behavioral treatments ²¹. If trained, lucid dreaming could reduce nightmares by resignifying the dream scene, meaning reducing the negative emotions, such as fear and threat, which arise during a nightmare, by realizing it is just a dream or even by changing the oneiric storyline ³⁵. It is a trainable technique that could emancipate patients from their nightmares. Some studies suggest that this empowerment about one's dreams can reduce nightmare frequency even without reaching lucidity ³⁶. However, conclusions about the efficacy of lucid dreaming treatment mainly rely on case reports or in some cases non-significant positive outcomes ³⁵ of quite underpowered empirical studies.

1.2. Mindfulness and dreaming

A great variation in consciousness is experienced constantly during the sleep-wake cycle in humans. Non-lucid dreaming is considered to consist only of primary consciousness, meaning perception and emotions, while lacking higher order (secondary) consciousness, like self-reflective awareness, volition and meta-cognition, which is present during wakefulness ^{37,38}. Therefore, in non-lucid dreaming, people do not realize they are dreaming. However, there are experiences in wakefulness, such as mind wandering and automatic behaviors, when higher secondary consciousness seems to be absent ³⁹. In addition, the rare state of lucid dreaming indicates, by definition, the presence of reflective capabilities with higher order aspects of consciousness, such as metacognition ^{40–42} and volition ⁴³.

Mindfulness, an individual disposition that can be enhanced through mindfulness meditation practice 7 and can be described as the ability to direct attention to the present moment and to experience with an open and non-judging attitude 44,45 , is related to metacognition $^{46-48}$ and seems to influence waking states in which secondary consciousness is considered absent $^{49-52}$.

Apart from the conjectural relation described above, lucid dreaming seems to have an empirical association with aspects of trait mindfulness ^{11,53}. Meditation practice has been suggested to promote lucidity in dreams ^{53–56}. In fact, studies report mindfulness in wakefulness to be positively related to lucidity in dreams, but only in participants who are practicing meditation ^{11,53}. In addition, while meditation expertise seems to be related to lucid dream frequency in some ⁵³ but not other ¹¹ studies, an 8-week mindfulness based stress reduction course did not change lucid dreaming frequency ⁵³.

Despite the fact that, as seen above, an association between lucid dreaming and mindfulness, as well as lucid dreaming and nightmares ^{57,58} has been observed, the relationship between nightmares and mindfulness has received surprisingly little attention, especially when considering that mindfulness interventions seem to reduce stress ^{4,5,59,60}, improve sleep ^{6,61-63} and negatively affect sleep disturbances, that arise from stress ⁶⁴.

According to the continuity hypothesis, waking thought processes continue during dreaming and are reflected in dream imagery ⁶⁵, meaning that if someone is experiencing increased anxiety or depression, this could also be evident in their dream imagery ⁶⁶. Studies have found that after only one week of meditation, anxiety and depression scores decrease and dream imagery changes ⁶⁶. Anxiety has also been linked to negative dream affect, whereas peace of mind, described as the inner peace and harmony, is related to positive dream affect ⁶⁷. To our knowledge, two studies have investigated the relation of mindfulness and disturbed dreaming. In one study, mindfulness was found to be inversely related to disturbed dreaming and dream anxiety and to predict less severe dream disturbances after controlling for trait anxiety ¹². In the other study, mindfulness was negatively correlated with nightmare frequency ⁶⁸.

In the study of Simor et al. (2011), a unidimensional mindfulness scale was used. However, a two-component model of mindfulness was proposed by Bishop et al. in an attempt to better define mindfulness conceptually and operationally ⁶⁹. This distinction of the two components, described as Self-regulation of Attention and Orientation to Experience ⁶⁹, seems to be important, as studies have found that the two components do not exert the same impact on well-being and ill-being ⁷⁰. The 14-item Freiburg Mindfulness Inventory (FMI), which is used in the present study, was created as a measure of a unidimensional concept of mindfulness, but it was later suggested it can split to two factors of mindfulness, Presence and Acceptance ⁹. These two factors are in line with the model and descriptions proposed by Bishop et al. (2004). Presence refers to the ability to be fully aware of internal and external experiences, while Acceptance refers to a non-judging, curious and open mindset towards these experiences ^{11,69}. Studies using this particular inventory found that Acceptance is the component that seems to influence depression and anxiety, whereas Presence exerts an impact indirectly by supporting the development of an accepting attitude ⁹.

Presence, as measured with the FMI, was found to associate with lucidity in dreams more robustly than Acceptance ¹¹. As discussed in Stumbrys et. al. (2015), mindful acceptance differs from lucidity; Acceptance refers to a non-judging and accepting attitude toward emotions and experiences with no

intention to change or control them ^{69,71}, while in dream lucidity, the dreamer is usually actively changing the dream environment and takes action to control the dream narrative according to their will ^{11,72}. In line with mindful presence, which by definition represents the increased ability to be aware of internal experiences, in dream lucidity the dreamer has to be aware of the mental event they experience, meaning that they are aware that they are dreaming. In another study, facets comparable to Presence here, were also found to relate with lucidity in dreams, whereas facets closer to Acceptance did not show this relation ⁵³.

Even though a study using the FMI has already found a negative correlation between the total FMI score and nightmares ⁶⁸, the relation of the particular facets has not been previously discussed. As mentioned above, the two components do not seem to affect well- and ill-being the same way ⁷⁰.

Here, we present the findings of two questionnaire studies in order to investigate the relationship among dream variation, more specifically nightmares and lucid dreams, and the two aspects of mindfulness, Presence and Acceptance, as measured with the 14-item FMI ⁷³. In one of the studies, we also investigate both nightmare frequency and distress. Even though the two variables are related, a differentiation of the two seems pivotal, as a variety of factors that do not relate to nightmare frequency, can contribute to and be influenced by nightmare distress ^{16,74-76}

2. Methods

Data were gathered in the context of two separate large-scale studies and analyzed jointly. Data from Study 1 were gathered in the context of EU COST Action CA18106 *The Neural Architecture of Consciousness* as part of a larger dataset from Aarhus University, Denmark. Data from Study 2 were collected based on an online survey conducted by the Psychology department of Marburg University with the intention of investigating meditation techniques and lucid dreaming.

2.1 Participants

For Study 1, 338 healthy participants (201 females) gave informed consent to participate in the experiment. The median age was 24 years (18–49). The study was approved by the local ethics committee, De Videnskabsetiske Komitéer for Region Midtjylland and all methods were performed in accordance with the relevant guidelines and regulations.

For Study 2, 187 (120 females, 60 males, 7 other/NA) healthy participants gave their consent and completed an online survey. The age was measured as a categorical variable, median is 25–34 years old with 25.1%, 43.9% were older than 35 and 31% younger than 24. Participants were screened as to how often they recalled their dreams with the minimum acceptance criterion being three times a week.

The survey was advertised on international sites related to lucid dreaming as well as local survey websites and the university of Marburg recruitment platform. This resulted in a non-representative

sample; participants were experienced with lucid dreaming training and have reported more lucid dreams than the usual population mean as discussed below. This allowed us to perform the analysis on two populations with different lucid dreaming frequency characteristics, experienced lucid dreamers (Study 2) and naive participants (Study 1).

2.2 Materials and procedure

For Study 1, all participants completed the Freiburg Mindfulness Inventory (FMI) with 14 items and a 4 point rating scale ⁷³, a 7-point rating scale assessing their dream recall frequency ⁵⁷ and two 8-point rating scales measuring nightmare and lucid dream frequency ⁷⁷, all in English. They were presented along with other psychological questionnaires in an online questionnaire session with a total duration of around 70 minutes. Participants were instructed to complete the questionnaire session from home and to ensure that it was completed in a quiet, undisturbed setting.

For Study 2, in addition to screening and demographic data, the survey included the two scales on nightmare and lucid dream frequency mentioned above ⁷⁷, the FMI-14 ⁷³, several questions assessing meditation experience, expertise, duration, type and further questions assessing sleep, chronotypes and personality. An item assessing nightmare distress with a five-point scale ⁷⁸ was also included in the questionnaire, but not all participants replied to it (N = 134). The whole survey lasted about 10 minutes in total. The online questionnaire was generated using SoSci Survey ⁸⁰ and was made available to users via www.soscisurvey.de. The survey was conducted in English and was anonymous; however, participants were given the opportunity to enter a lottery in order to win one of three 20 dollar gift certificates, for which an e-mail address was required.

2.3 Data preparation and statistical analysis

For both studies, a Total Mindfulness score was calculated from all 14 items along with a score for Acceptance (8 items) and Presence (6 items) following the division of Kohls et al. (2009).

Dream data were recoded to units of mornings per week for the dream recall scale and units of frequency per month for the nightmare and lucid dream frequency items as indicated by Stumbrys et al. (2015). Meditation expertise was calculated by summing the ratings of three items, meditation session frequency (how often they meditate), meditation experience (for how long they have been meditating for) and meditation session duration.

Next, the data were examined in terms of suitability for linear analyses. As several variables did not fulfil the requirements for parametric testing (ordinal data, normal distribution etc.), correlations were assessed with the Spearman Rho test. In addition, ordinal logistic regression analysis was performed as well as the Mann-Whitney U test.

The Jamovi open statistical platform (Version 1.6.23) was used for statistical analyses ⁷⁹.

3. Results

In Study 1, participants reported recalling a median of 1 dream per week (range: 0-6.5). They had Mdn = 0.25 (0-18) nightmares and Mdn = 0.083 (0-18) lucid dreams per month. 29.8% of the participants reported having one or more lucid dreams per month, which classifies them as frequent lucid dreamers 81 and 13% reported having used a lucid dreaming training technique. The FMI score mean was 36.5 (SD = 5.98). For comparison, the mean score of FMI for the normal sample in the study of 73 was 37.24 (SD = 5.63).

In Study 2, where participants were positively selected with regard to lucid-dreaming, participants recalled Mdn = 6.5 (3.5-6.5) dreams per week and reported Mdn = 0.25 (0-18) nightmares and Mdn = 2.5 (0-18) lucid dreams per month. 71.1% reported having more than one lucid dream per month and 61.4% of the participants had already tried one or more lucid dreaming techniques, which allowed them to experience lucid dreams more frequently than the general public (21.1% for a representative German sample as reported in Schredl & Erlacher, (2011)). Participants of Study 2 were also engaging in different types of meditation with 77.5% reporting that they have practiced meditation at some point during their lives. The average FMI score was 39 (SD = 7.37).

3.1. Nightmare frequency

3.1.1. Study 1

Spearman correlations show that nightmare frequency was negatively correlated with the total mindfulness score as measured with the FMI, rs(338) = -.193, p < .001. The correlation of the two mindfulness facets separately; Presence: rs(338) = -.094, p = .084, Acceptance rs(338) = -.222, p < .001. Lucid dreaming frequency showed a positive correlation with nightmare frequency rs(338) = .238, p < .001. Age showed no association with the aforementioned variables.

As suggested in the literature, controlling for dream recall is a necessity as the variable is associated with both nightmare and lucid dream frequency and can influence their relationship ⁵⁷. Taking that into account, partial correlations with dream recall as a control variable were calculated (see Table 1).

Table 1.

Spearman rho partial correlations (control variable: dream recall) of Study 1.

| Variable | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | | | |
|--|----------|----------|----------|----------|--------|---|--|--|--|
| 1) Nightmare frequency | - | | | | | | | | |
| 2) FMI Total | 228 | - | | | | | | | |
| | p<.001 | | | | | | | | |
| 3) FMI Presence | 124 | .817 | - | | | | | | |
| | p = .023 | p<.001 | | | | | | | |
| 4) FMI Acceptance | 255 | .926 | .556 | - | | | | | |
| | p<.001 | p<.001 | p < .001 | | | | | | |
| 5) LD frequency | 0.147 | 0.047 | 0.028 | 0.055 | - | | | | |
| | p = .007 | p = .389 | p = .607 | p = .312 | | | | | |
| 6) Age | 069 | .002 | 036 | .041 | .09 | - | | | |
| | p = .21 | p = .971 | p = .51 | p = .456 | p = .1 | | | | |
| Note: FMI = Freiburg Mindfulness Inventory. N = 338. | | | | | | | | | |

In order to evaluate the influence of the two components of mindfulness as assessed by the FMI on Nightmare frequency, we conducted an ordinal logistic regression with the two FMI subscales, Presence and Acceptance, as predictors while controlling for age and dream recall.

There was a main effect of FMI Acceptance on nightmare frequency, β = -.127, SE = .03, χ 2(1, N=338) = 17.86, OR = 0.88, p < .001, but no effect of FMI Presence, β = -4.04e-4, SE = .04, χ 2(1, N=338) = 9.86e-5, OR = 1, p = .992.

Subsequently, we included the variable lucid dream frequency in the model in order to control its effect. There was no effect of lucid dream frequency (β = .043, SE = .028, χ 2(1, N=338) = 2.33, OR = 1.04, p = .127) on nightmare frequency. The coefficients of FMI Acceptance mentioned above remained unchanged.

3.1.2. Study 2

The same analyses was performed for Study 2. The Spearman correlations before controlling for dream recall showed a non-significant negative correlation for nightmare frequency and FMI total rs(187) = -.112, p = .126, as well as the two mindfulness components, FMI Presence rs(187) = -.056, p = .449 and FMI Acceptance rs(187) = -.140, p = .055. FMI Acceptance was negatively correlated with nightmare frequency when controlling for dream recall (see Table 2). No association was observed between nightmare frequency and lucid dream frequency.

Table 2.

| Variable | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | |
|---|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|---------|---|--|
| 1) Nightmare frequency | - | | | | | | | |
| 2) Nightmare distress | .229 | - | | | | | | |
| (N = 134) | p = .008 | | | | | | | |
| 3) FMI Total | 123 | 154 | - | | | | | |
| | P = .094 | p = .077 | | | | | | |
| 4) FMI Presence | 064 | 088 | .869 | - | | | | |
| | p = .389 | p = .313 | <.001 | | | | | |
| 5) FMI Acceptance | 153 | 164 | .929 | .641 | - | | | |
| | p = .037 | p = .059 | p < .001 | <.001 | | | | |
| 6) LD frequency | .024 | 201 | .255 | .229 | .235 | - | | |
| | p = .740 | p = .021 | p < .001 | p = .002 | p = .001 | | | |
| 7) Age | 332 | 095 | .285 | .249 | .250 | .138 | - | |
| | p < .001 | p = .277 | p < .001 | p < .001 | <.001 | p = .06 | | |
| Note: FMI = Freiburg Mindfulness Inventory; LD = Lucid Dreaming. N = 187. | | | | | | | | |

In agreement with study 1, when performing an ordinal regression, there was only a main effect of FMI Acceptance on nightmare frequency when controlling for age (categorical variable) and dream recall, β = -.084, SE = .039, χ^2 (1, N=187) = 4.73, OR = 0.92, p = .03. FMI Presence: β = .098, SE = .056, χ^2 (1, N=187) = 3.07, OR = 1.10, p = .08.

The effect of lucid dream frequency was non-significant, β = .035, SE = .021, χ^2 (1, N=187) = 2.75, OR = 1.03, p = .098 and the effect of FMI Acceptance remained after the addition of lucid dream frequency in the model, β = -.095, SE = .039, χ^2 (1, N=187) = 5.82, OR = 0.91, p = .016.

3.2. Nightmare distress

Nightmare distress was negatively correlated with mindfulness and its components, but only the association with FMI Acceptance was significant, rs(134) = -.182, p = .035. FMI Presence rs(134) = -.099, p = .257 and FMI total rs(134) = -.169, p < .051. Furthermore, a bivariate, rs(134) = -.193, p = .026 and a partial correlation (see Table 2) showed a negative association between nightmare distress and lucid dream frequency.

When testing the effects of the mindfulness components, only FMI Acceptance significantly predicted nightmare distress, $\beta = -.095$, SE = .044, $\chi 2(1, N = 134) = 4.59$, OR = 0.91, p = .032, while FMI Presence did not, $\beta = .032$, SE = .064, $\chi 2(1, N = 134) = 0.24$, OR = 1.03, p = .624. The overall model was not significant when age was included, so we refrained from interpreting the results, which were similar to the coefficients above. After controlling for lucid dream frequency, FMI Acceptance did not predict nightmare distress, $\beta = -.078$, SE = .045, $\chi 2(1, N = 134) = 3.04$, OR = 0.92, P = .081 and the effect of lucid dream frequency on nightmare distress was not significant, $\beta = -.042$, SE = .024, $\chi 2(1, N = 134) = 3.05$, OR = 0.96, P = .083.

3.3. Lucid dreaming

3.3.1. Study 1

Lucid dream frequency frequency did not correlate with mindfulness and its components in Study 1 with both bivariate and partial correlations producing very weak, non-significant correlations (see Table 1 for partial correlation). An ordinal regression on lucid dream frequency with the two FMI components as predictors while controlling for dream recall and age was conducted. There was no significant effect of the variables on lucid dream frequency. However, exploratory correlations at the item level revealed a negative correlation between nightmare frequency and an item assessing engagement in lucid dreaming techniques after controlling for dream recall, rs(338) = -.143, p = .009 (bivariate correlation: rs(338) = -.103, p = .057).

3.3.2. Study 2

Lucid dreaming was positively correlated with the FMI total score, rs(187) = .265, p < .001, FMI Presence, rs(187) = .238, p = .001 and FMI Acceptance, rs(187) = .246, p < .001 (see Table 2 for partial correlation).

None of the two components of mindfulness significantly predicted lucid dreaming when conducting an ordinal regression as mentioned above.

Similar to study 1, however, the more lucid dream induction techniques participants reported to have used, the less frequent rs(187) = -.267, p < .001 and distressing rs(134) = -.256, p = .003 they reported their nightmares to be. The coefficients did not change substantially when controlled for dream recall.

3.4. Meditation

In study 2, where meditation experience was also examined, a Mann-Whitney test indicated that the participants who have practiced meditation reported significantly lower nightmare frequency (Mdn = 0.25) than the participants who reported that they have never practiced meditation, Mdn = 1, $U(N_{\text{mediation}} = 145$, $N_{\text{no-meditation}} = 42$) = 2050, p = .001, $r_{rb} = 0.33$. There was no difference between the two meditation groups (Yes/No) when it came to nightmare distress.

Meditation was negatively correlated with nightmare frequency, rs(187) = -.322, p < .001, but not nightmare distress, rs(134) = -.052, p = .55.

In order to assess whether the relaxation effect of meditation alone influences the relationship of mindfulness and nightmares, an ordinal logistic regression with an interaction term was calculated with the aim to measure whether meditation expertise moderates the effect of FMI Acceptance on nightmare frequency, as well as nightmare distress while controlling for age and dream recall. There was no effect of the FMI Acceptance on nightmare frequency $\beta = -.033$, SE = .029, $\chi^2(1, N = 187) = 1.36$, OR = 0.97, p = .244 when meditation expertise was included in the model, but no moderation occurred. The effect of meditation expertise on nightmare frequency was significant, $\beta = -.082$, SE = .03, $\chi^2(1, N = 187) = 7.49$, OR = 0.922, p = .006. On the other hand, only FMI Acceptance significantly predicted nightmare distress $\beta = -.072$, SE = .034, $\chi^2(1, N = 134) = 4.4$, OR = 0.93, p = .037 with no effect of meditation expertise $\beta = .002$, SE = .034, $\chi^2(1, N = 134) = 0.003$, OR = 1.02, OR = 0.955.

A partial Spearman correlation (control: dream recall) revealed a positive correlation between nightmare frequency and the last time meditation was performed (rs(133) = .202, p = .020), meaning the longer it has been since the last meditation session, the higher the nightmare frequency. Moreover, A Kruskal-Wallis test showed that the meditation category (focused attention, open monitoring or combined) did not significantly affect nightmare frequency, H(2, N = 140) = 2.12, p = .346. Finally, the number of lucid dreaming techniques used was positively correlated with meditation expertise, (rs(187) = .401, p < .001).

4. Discussion

Mindfulness is negatively associated with nightmare frequency and our results indicate mindful acceptance as the main component related to nightmare frequency, even after we controlled for lucid dreaming frequency. Acceptance, as measured by the FMI, also seems to be associated with nightmare distress. A positive correlation between mindfulness and lucid dream frequency was prominent in Study 2, as found in other studies, but we did not find an association of a particular mindfulness component with lucid dream frequency. The use of lucid dream induction techniques seems to be associated with nightmare frequency and distress, a relation that will be discussed in more detail below.

Meditation experience plays a role, as results showed that participants who reported having practiced meditation at some point in their lives, also reported lower nightmare frequency. However, meditation expertise did not seem to moderate the relationship of nightmare frequency and Acceptance. The specific type of meditation practiced was not found to play a role in relation to nightmare frequency, however, the time passed since the last meditation session is positively related to nightmare frequency. Overall, the present findings support the idea that wakeful mindfulness is associated with the quality of dreams and more specifically that facets of mindfulness might have separate roles in dream variation.

Based on the results of the two studies, nightmare frequency was negatively correlated with mindfulness, more robustly with the facet of Acceptance as measured with the FMI, extending the previous findings on a unidimensional measure of mindfulness and dream disturbances ^{12,68}. In fact, Acceptance explained nightmare frequency in both studies and explained nightmare distress measured in Study 2. It should be noted, that partial correlations between nightmare distress and the mindfulness components depicted in

Table 2 should be cautiously interpreted, if at all, as controlling for dream recall here was kept as a matter of consistency rather than necessity. Overall, FMI Acceptance seems to be associated with nightmare frequency and distress in a more robust manner than FMI Presence, which is in congruence with literature suggesting that mindful acceptance is the main feature of mindfulness that both reduces distress and promotes psychological well-being ^{70,82}. Conversely, previous findings showed that attention monitoring, similar to mindful presence here, hardly predicted ill-being while most benefits on psychological well-being and ill-being depend on mindful acceptance alone ⁷⁰.

In more detail, mindful acceptance alone has been associated with lower stress, depression and anxiety and lower post-traumatic stress symptoms ^{84,85}, all of which have been associated with higher nightmare experiences ^{27,86,87}. It has been suggested that stress is mediating the positive relationship between mindfulness and sleep quality and well-being ⁶⁴, which makes it highly possible that a similar mediation is taking place in the relationship we observed here, between mindfulness and nightmares. It is therefore of high importance that future studies investigate this possibility.

To our knowledge, a relationship between mindful acceptance and nightmares has not been previously described in the literature. This lack of evidence on how mindfulness could potentially benefit the treatment of nightmares might explain why mindfulness training has not yet received a more prominent position as a complementary method of the treatment approaches of nightmare disorder.

Lucid dreaming frequency was correlated with mindfulness and its components in Study 2 where the sample was constituted of a high percentage of frequent lucid dreamers, similar to the study of Stumbrys et al. (2015). However, the finding of Stumbrys et al. (2015), where FMI Presence predicted lucid dream frequency in a regression model could not be replicated here. One possible explanation could be the difference between the sample characteristics in respect to meditation experience and expertise. In Study 2 here, 77.5% reported having some meditation experience with a median of 2–4 years of expertise as measured by a categorical variable, whereas in Stumbrys et al (2015) only 22.3% of the sample reported meditation experience with a median of 3 years. It is also worth noting that the two studies also differed in respect to nationality and language characteristics of the sample as the study of Stumbrys et al. (2015) included only German-speaking participants.

Moreover, lucid dreaming frequency was negatively correlated with nightmare distress, which is in line with previous literature ¹⁰. It is also suggested that frequent lucid dreamers tend to encounter less threatening figures in their dreams since they can change the plot of the dream ^{88,89}. However, lucid dreaming frequency showed a positive correlation with nightmare frequency, which has been previously reported ^{57,90}, as nightmares, especially recurrent ones, can trigger lucidity ⁹¹. It is worth noticing that the relation was only apparent in Study 1, in which only 10.9% of the frequent lucid dreamers had actively engaged with lucid dreaming training techniques in comparison to 67.7% of Study 2. Participants with spontaneous lucid dreams might lack the empowering effect introduced by lucid dreaming training techniques that predominantly enlighten on the efficacy of controlling ones dreams. Moreover, the more lucid dreaming induction techniques our participants reported to have used, the less frequent and

distressing they reported their nightmares to be. These findings support the idea, we discussed before; the empowerment someone can gain by the knowledge of potentially controlling their dreams can reduce nightmare frequency and intensity ³⁶. However, since the number of reported lucid dreaming techniques is positively correlated with meditation experience, we cannot exclude a possible additive effect of the two practices.

Meditation experience was associated with nightmare frequency; however we found no link between meditation and nightmare distress. Meditation expertise did not moderate the relationship between mindfulness and the aforementioned variables, but the main effect of FMI Acceptance on nightmare frequency was decreased after meditation was added to the model, whereas it was increased for the nightmare distress variable. This could suggest that the relaxation effects of the meditation practice have a direct effect on nightmare frequency, possibly due to its stress-relieving properties, whereas the effect on nightmare distress might primarily be achieved through meditation practices that promote mindfulness (mindfulness based meditation). This is also supported by the positive relation of the time one abstains from practicing meditation and the increment of nightmare frequency. Moreover, the types of meditation, categorized as focused attention, open monitoring or combined, did not differ on their effect on nightmare frequency; nevertheless, these types of meditation have been found to mainly promote mindfulness ⁹². Future studies should address this question and investigate how the different types of meditative practices affect dream variation and intensity.

Taking the aforementioned findings into account, mindfulness based meditation could potentially be a worthy complementary method in reducing nightmare frequency and distress in both clinical and non-clinical populations. In fact, theories that place nightmare-prone individuals within the differential susceptibility framework support the notion that nightmare sufferers may benefit from emotion regulation strategies, such as mindfulness training, due to the possibility that intense emotions, both positive and negative, may be maladaptive and induce awakenings ⁹³. This, in addition to the potential relationship of mindfulness and lucid dreaming, which, as discussed, is already suggested as complementary treatment for nightmare disorder, may make mindfulness a great aid for the therapeutic process and/or prevention.

While the present findings endorse the idea of different facets of mindfulness having distinct roles when it comes to their relationship to nightmares or, if combined with previous literature, with dream variation in general, our results should only be taken into account through the prism of the following limitations.

The main limitation is the cross-sectional nature of our studies that does not allow causal inferences to be made. Even though the sample characteristic differences of the two studies led to observations about both more experienced lucid dreamers and meditators (Study 2), as well as relatively naive participants (Study 1), these differences do not allow direct comparison of the two populations to be made. Participants in Study 2 were selected based on their dream recall frequency and were recruited mostly from websites related to lucid dreaming, which may diminish the generalizability of the findings.

Experimental studies with naïve participants and behavioral interventions will not only advance our understanding about how mindfulness and its components are related to dream quality, but may also support the implementation of the so far neglected mindfulness-based therapy as a complementary technique to existing nightmare disorder treatments.

Moreover, mindfulness is a complex construct and various questionnaires and studies conceptualize it as both unidimensional and multidimensional. The FMI is an inventory that originally approached mindfulness as a unidimensional construct ⁷³ but a two factor approach is suggested for the shorter 14-item scale ⁹, which was used here. Other mindfulness scales have been developed to measure up to five facets of mindfulness ^{94,95}. Future research should take that into account and investigate how the different suggested mindfulness components interact with dreaming experiences.

5. Conclusions

The findings of the two studies presented here support the notion of a negative association between mindfulness and nightmares and extend previous results by suggesting mindful acceptance as the main component related with nightmare frequency and distress. Furthermore, practicing meditation and/or lucid dreaming induction techniques appears to be inversely related to nightmare frequency and in the latter case also nightmare distress. Longitudinal experimental studies further investigating the aforementioned findings would be meaningful in order to understand the complexity of dispositional mindfulness and its association to dream variation, as well as inaugurate causal relationships, which can contribute to the refinement of prevention and intervention techniques used in the treatment of nightmare disorder.

Declarations

Data availability statement

The datasets generated during and/or analyzed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Acknowledgements

We thank Stephan Weingarten for his contribution in Study 2.

Author contributions

- **S. T.:** Conceptualization, Methodology, Formal analysis, Investigation, Data Curation, Writing Original Draft, Visualization, Supervision, Project administration
- **M. D.:** Conceptualization, Methodology, Writing Review & Editing, Supervision, Project administration, Funding acquisition.

K. S.: Conceptualization, Methodology, Formal analysis, Investigation, Data Curation, Writing – Review & Editing, Supervision, Project administration, Funding acquisition.

E. M. M.: Conceptualization, Methodology, Writing – Review and Editing, Supervision, Project administration.

M.D, K.S. and E.M.M. contributed equally to this work.

Competing interests

We have no conflict of interest to disclose.

Funding

This article is based upon work from COST Action CA18106, supported by COST (European Cooperation in Science and Technology), and a Vidi grant from the Netherlands Organisation for Scientific Research (NWO). The funder had no role in the study design; in the collection, analysis and interpretation of data; in the writing of the report; or in the decision to submit the article for publication.

References

- 1. American Academy of Sleep Medicine (AASM). *International classification of sleep disorders third edition. ICSD-3* (Darien, IL: AASM, 2014).
- 2. Picchioni, D. *et al.* Nightmares as a coping mechanism for stress. Dreaming **12**, 155–169; 10.1023/A:1020118425588 (2002).
- 3. Spoormaker, V. I., Schredl, M. & van den Bout, J. Nightmares. From anxiety symptom to sleep disorder. Sleep medicine reviews **10**, 19–31; 10.1016/j.smrv.2005.06.001 (2006).
- 4. Chiesa, A. & Serretti, A. Mindfulness-based stress reduction for stress management in healthy people: a review and meta-analysis. Journal of alternative and complementary medicine (New York, N.Y.) **15**, 593–600; 10.1089/acm.2008.0495 (2009).
- 5. Virgili, M. Mindfulness-Based Interventions Reduce Psychological Distress in Working Adults. A Meta-Analysis of Intervention Studies. Mindfulness **6**, 326–337; 10.1007/s12671-013-0264-0 (2015).
- 6. Shallcross, A. J., Visvanathan, P. D., Sperber, S. H. & Duberstein, Z. T. Waking up to the problem of sleep. Can mindfulness help? A review of theory and evidence for the effects of mindfulness for sleep. Current opinion in psychology **28**, 37–41; 10.1016/j.copsyc.2018.10.005 (2019).
- 7. Brown, K. W. & Ryan, R. M. The benefits of being present. Mindfulness and its role in psychological well-being. Journal of personality and social psychology **84**, 822–848; 10.1037/0022-3514.84.4.822 (2003).
- 8. Zollars, I., Poirier, T. I. & Pailden, J. Effects of mindfulness meditation on mindfulness, mental well-being, and perceived stress. Currents in pharmacy teaching & learning **11**, 1022–1028; 10.1016/j.cptl.2019.06.005 (2019).

- 9. Kohls, N., Sauer, S. & Walach, H. Facets of mindfulness Results of an online study investigating the Freiburg mindfulness inventory. Personality and Individual Differences **46**, 224–230; 10.1016/j.paid.2008.10.009 (2009).
- 10. Rak, M., Beitinger, P., Steiger, A., Schredl, M. & Dresler, M. Increased lucid dreaming frequency in narcolepsy. Sleep **38**, 787–792; 10.5665/sleep.4676 (2015).
- 11. Stumbrys, T., Erlacher, D. & Malinowski, P. Meta-Awareness During Day and Night. Imagination, Cognition and Personality **34**, 415–433; 10.1177/0276236615572594 (2015).
- 12. Simor, P., Köteles, F., Sándor, P., Petke, Z. & Bódizs, R. Mindfulness and dream quality. The inverse relationship between mindfulness and negative dream affect. Scandinavian journal of psychology **52**, 369–375; 10.1111/j.1467-9450.2011.00888.x (2011).
- 13. Carmody, J. & Baer, R. A. Relationships between mindfulness practice and levels of mindfulness, medical and psychological symptoms and well-being in a mindfulness-based stress reduction program. Journal of behavioral medicine **31**, 23–33; 10.1007/s10865-007-9130-7 (2008).
- 14. Schredl, M. Nightmare frequency and nightmare topics in a representative German sample. Eur Arch Psychiatry Clin Neurosci **260**, 565–570; 10.1007/s00406-010-0112-3 (2010).
- 15. Schredl, M. & Göritz, A. S. Nightmare Themes. An Online Study of Most Recent Nightmares and Childhood Nightmares. Journal of clinical sleep medicine: JCSM: official publication of the American Academy of Sleep Medicine **14**, 465–471; 10.5664/jcsm.7002 (2018).
- 16. Belicki, K. Nightmare frequency versus nightmare distress. Relations to psychopathology and cognitive style. Journal of abnormal psychology **101**, 592–597; 10.1037/0021-843X.101.3.592 (1992).
- 17. Berry, R. B. & Wagner, M. H. eds. *Sleep medicine pearls* (Elsevier/Saunders, Philadelphia, PA, 2015).
- 18. Levin, R. & Nielsen, T. A. Disturbed dreaming, posttraumatic stress disorder, and affect distress. A review and neurocognitive model. Psychological bulletin **133**, 482–528; 10.1037/0033-2909.133.3.482 (2007).
- 19. Scarpelli, S., Bartolacci, C., D'Atri, A., Gorgoni, M. & Gennaro, L. de. The Functional Role of Dreaming in Emotional Processes. Frontiers in psychology **10**, 459; 10.3389/fpsyg.2019.00459 (2019).
- 20. Aurora, R. N. *et al.* Best practice guide for the treatment of nightmare disorder in adults. Journal of clinical sleep medicine: JCSM: official publication of the American Academy of Sleep Medicine **6**, 389–401 (2010).
- 21. Morgenthaler, T. I. *et al.* Position Paper for the Treatment of Nightmare Disorder in Adults. An American Academy of Sleep Medicine Position Paper. Journal of clinical sleep medicine: JCSM: official publication of the American Academy of Sleep Medicine **14**, 1041–1055; 10.5664/jcsm.7178 (2018).
- 22. Nielsen, T. A. *et al.* Development of disturbing dreams during adolescence and their relation to anxiety symptoms. Sleep **23**, 727–736 (2000).
- 23. Tanskanen, A. et al. Nightmares as predictors of suicide. Sleep 24, 844-847 (2001).

- 24. Zadra, A. & Donderi, D. C. Nightmares and bad dreams. Their prevalence and relationship to well-being. Journal of abnormal psychology **109**, 273–281 (2000).
- 25. Agargun, M. Y. *et al.* Nightmares, suicide attempts, and melancholic features in patients with unipolar major depression. Journal of affective disorders **98**, 267–270; 10.1016/j.jad.2006.08.005 (2007).
- 26. Besiroglu, L., Agargun, M. Y. & Inci, R. Nightmares and terminal insomnia in depressed patients with and without melancholic features. Psychiatry research **133**, 285–287; 10.1016/j.psychres.2004.12.001 (2005).
- 27. Marinova, P. *et al.* Nightmares and suicide. Predicting risk in depression. Psychiatria Danubina **26**, 159–164 (2014).
- 28. Sjöström, N., Hetta, J. & Waern, M. Persistent nightmares are associated with repeat suicide attempt. A prospective study. Psychiatry research **170**, 208–211; 10.1016/j.psychres.2008.09.006 (2009).
- 29. Nadorff, M. R., Nazem, S. & Fiske, A. Insomnia symptoms, nightmares, and suicidal ideation in a college student sample. Sleep **34**, 93–98; 10.1093/sleep/34.1.93 (2011).
- 30. Sandman, N. *et al.* Nightmares as predictors of suicide. An extension study including war veterans. Scientific reports **7**, 44756; 10.1038/srep44756 (2017).
- 31. DeViva, J. C., Zayfert, C. & Mellman, T. A. Factors associated with insomnia among civilians seeking treatment for PTSD. An exploratory study. Behavioral sleep medicine **2**, 162–176; 10.1207/s15402010bsm0203_5 (2004).
- 32. Rousseau, P. F. *et al.* Sleep parameters improvement in PTSD soldiers after symptoms remission. Scientific reports **11**, 8873; 10.1038/s41598-021-88337-x (2021).
- 33. Zayfert, C. & DeViva, J. C. Residual insomnia following cognitive behavioral therapy for PTSD. Journal of traumatic stress **17**, 69–73; 10.1023/B:JOTS.0000014679.31799.e7 (2004).
- 34. Gieselmann, A. *et al.* Aetiology and treatment of nightmare disorder. State of the art and future perspectives. Journal of sleep research **28**, e12820; 10.1111/jsr.12820 (2019).
- 35. Macêdo, T. C. F. de, Ferreira, G. H., Almondes, K. M. de, Kirov, R. & Mota-Rolim, S. A. My Dream, My Rules. Can Lucid Dreaming Treat Nightmares? Frontiers in psychology **10**, 2618; 10.3389/fpsyg.2019.02618 (2019).
- 36. Spoormaker, V. I. & van den Bout, J. Lucid dreaming treatment for nightmares. A pilot study. Psychotherapy and psychosomatics **75**, 389–394; 10.1159/000095446 (2006).
- 37. Dresler, M. *et al. Neural correlates of consciousness insights from sleep imaging. Available at* https:// ([in Conference proceedings] Eighth Göttingen Meeting of the German Neuroscience Society, 2009).
- 38. Hobson, J. A. REM sleep and dreaming. Towards a theory of protoconsciousness. Nature reviews. Neuroscience **10**, 803–813; 10.1038/nrn2716 (2009).
- 39. Schooler, J. W. Re-representing consciousness. Dissociations between experience and meta-consciousness. Trends in Cognitive Sciences **6**, 339–344; 10.1016/S1364-6613(02)01949-6 (2002).

- 40. Filevich, E., Dresler, M., Brick, T. R. & Kühn, S. Metacognitive mechanisms underlying lucid dreaming. The Journal of neuroscience: the official journal of the Society for Neuroscience **35**, 1082–1088; 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.3342-14.2015 (2015).
- 41. Hobson, A. & Voss, U. A mind to go out of. Reflections on primary and secondary consciousness. Consciousness and Cognition **20**, 993–997; 10.1016/j.concog.2010.09.018 (2011).
- 42. Kahan, T. L. in *Dreams. A Reader on Religious, Cultural, and Psychological Dimensions of Dreaming*, edited by K. Bulkeley (Palgrave Macmillan US, New York, s.l., 2001), pp. 333–360.
- 43. Dresler, M. *et al.* Volitional components of consciousness vary across wakefulness, dreaming and lucid dreaming. Frontiers in psychology **4**, 987; 10.3389/fpsyg.2013.00987 (2014).
- 44. Baer, R. A. Mindfulness training as a clinical intervention. A conceptual and empirical review. Clinical Psychology: Science and Practice **10**, 125–143; 10.1093/clipsy.bpg015 (2003).
- 45. Marlatt, G. A. & Kristeller, J. L. in *Integrating spirituality into treatment: Resources for practitioners*, edited by W. R. Miller (American Psychological Association, Washington, 1999), pp. 67-84.
- 46. Hussain, D. Meta-cognition in mindfulness. A conceptual analysis (PsychOpen GOLD, 2015).
- 47. Jankowski, T. & Holas, P. Metacognitive model of mindfulness. Consciousness and Cognition **28**, 64–80; 10.1016/j.concog.2014.06.005 (2014).
- 48. Solem, S., Thunes, S. S., Hjemdal, O., Hagen, R. & Wells, A. A Metacognitive Perspective on Mindfulness. An Empirical Investigation. BMC Psychol **3**, 1–10; 10.1186/s40359-015-0081-4 (2015).
- 49. Bennike, I. H., Wieghorst, A. & Kirk, U. Online-based Mindfulness Training Reduces Behavioral Markers of Mind Wandering. J Cogn Enhanc 1, 172–181; 10.1007/s41465-017-0020-9 (2017).
- 50. Kang, Y., Gruber, J. & Gray, J. R. Mindfulness and De-Automatization. Emotion Review **5**, 192–201; 10.1177/1754073912451629 (2012).
- 51. Mrazek, M. D., Smallwood, J. & Schooler, J. W. Mindfulness and mind-wandering. Finding convergence through opposing constructs. Emotion **12**, 442–448; 10.1037/a0026678 (2012).
- 52. Rahl, H. A., Lindsay, E. K., Pacilio, L. E., Brown, K. W. & Creswell, J. D. Brief mindfulness meditation training reduces mind wandering. The critical role of acceptance. Emotion **17**, 224–230; 10.1037/emo0000250 (2017).
- 53. Baird, B., Riedner, B. A., Boly, M., Davidson, R. J. & Tononi, G. Increased lucid dream frequency in long-term meditators but not following MBSR training. Psychology of consciousness (Washington, D.C.) 6, 40–54; 10.1037/cns0000176 (2019).
- 54. Gackenbach, J., Cranson, R. & Alexander, C. Lucid Dreaming, Witnessing Dreaming, and the Transcendental Meditation Technique. A Developmental Relationship. *1* **5** (1986).
- 55. Hunt, H. T. & Ogilvie, R. D. in *Conscious Mind, Sleeping Brain. Perspectives on Lucid Dreaming*, edited by J. Gackenbach & S. LaBerge (Springer New York, Boston, MA, 1988), pp. 389-417.
- 56. Sparrow, G. S., Thurston, M. & Carlson, R. Dream reliving and meditation as a way to enhance reflectiveness and constructive engagement in dreams. International Journal of Dream Research, 84–93; 10.11588/ijodr.2013.2.10151 (2013).

- 57. Schredl, M. & Erlacher, D. Lucid dreaming frequency and personality. Personality and Individual Differences **37**, 1463–1473; 10.1016/j.paid.2004.02.003 (2004).
- 58. Spadafora, A. & Hunt, H. T. The multiplicity of dreams. Cognitive-affective correlates of lucid, archetypal, and nightmare dreaming. Perceptual and motor skills **71**, 627–644; 10.2466/pms.1990.71.2.627 (1990).
- 59. Sedlmeier, P. *et al.* The psychological effects of meditation. A meta-analysis. Psychological bulletin **138**, 1139–1171; 10.1037/a0028168 (2012).
- 60. Sedlmeier, P., Loße, C. & Quasten, L. C. Psychological Effects of Meditation for Healthy Practitioners. An Update. Mindfulness **9**, 371–387; 10.1007/s12671-017-0780-4 (2018).
- 61. Brisbon, N. M. & Lachman, M. E. Dispositional Mindfulness and Memory Problems. The Role of Perceived Stress and Sleep Quality. Mindfulness **8**, 379–386; 10.1007/s12671-016-0607-8 (2017).
- 62. Gong, H. *et al.* Mindfulness meditation for insomnia. A meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. Journal of psychosomatic research **89**, 1–6; 10.1016/j.jpsychores.2016.07.016 (2016).
- 63. Pattanashetty, R. *et al.* Practitioners of vipassana meditation exhibit enhanced slow wave sleep and REM sleep states across different age groups. Sleep Biol. Rhythms **8**, 34–41; 10.1111/j.1479-8425.2009.00416.x (2010).
- 64. Simione, L., Raffone, A. & Mirolli, M. Stress as the Missing Link Between Mindfulness, Sleep Quality, and Well-being. A Cross-sectional Study. Mindfulness **11**, 439–451; 10.1007/s12671-019-01255-y (2020).
- 65. Domhoff, G. W. Finding Meaning in Dreams (Springer US, Boston, MA, 1996).
- 66. Miller, N. J., DeCicco, T. L., Dale, A. L. & Murkar, A. Assessing the effects of meditation on dream imagery, depression and anxiety. International Journal of Dream Research **8**, 99–104; 10.11588/ijodr.2015.2.18702 (2015).
- 67. Sikka, P., Pesonen, H. & Revonsuo, A. Peace of mind and anxiety in the waking state are related to the affective content of dreams. Scientific reports **8**, 12762; 10.1038/s41598-018-30721-1 (2018).
- 68. Schredl, M., Stumbrys, T. & Erlacher, D. Dream recall, nightmare frequency, and spirituality. Dreaming **26**, 1–9; 10.1037/drm0000015 (2016).
- 69. Bishop, S. R. *et al.* Mindfulness. A proposed operational definition. Clinical Psychology: Science and Practice **11**, 230–241; 10.1093/clipsy.bph077 (2004).
- 70. Simione, L., Raffone, A. & Mirolli, M. Acceptance, and Not Its Interaction with Attention Monitoring, Increases Psychological Well-Being. Testing the Monitor and Acceptance Theory of Mindfulness. Mindfulness **12**, 1398–1411; 10.1007/s12671-021-01607-7 (2021).
- 71. Malinowski, P. Mindfulness as psychological dimension. Concepts and applications. The Irish Journal of Psychology **29**, 155–166; 10.1080/03033910.2008.10446281 (2008).
- 72. Stumbrys, T., Erlacher, D., Johnson, M. & Schredl, M. The phenomenology of lucid dreaming. An online survey. The American journal of psychology **127**, 191–204; 10.5406/amerjpsyc.127.2.0191 (2014).

- 73. Walach, H., Buchheld, N., Buttenmüller, V., Kleinknecht, N. & Schmidt, S. Measuring mindfulness—the Freiburg Mindfulness Inventory (FMI). Personality and Individual Differences **40**, 1543–1555; 10.1016/j.paid.2005.11.025 (2006).
- 74. Belicki, K. The relationship of nightmare frequency to nightmare suffering with implications for treatment and research. Dreaming **2**, 143–148; 10.1037/h0094355 (1992).
- 75. Levin, R., Fireman, G., Spendlove, S. & Pope, A. The relative contribution of affect load and affect distress as predictors of disturbed dreaming. Behavioral sleep medicine **9**; 10.1080/15402002.2011.583905 (2011).
- 76. Schredl, M. & Goeritz, A. S. *Nightmare frequency and nightmare distress. Socio-demographic and personality factors* (2019).
- 77. Stumbrys, T., Erlacher, D. & Schredl, M. Reliability and stability of lucid dream and nightmare frequency scales; 10.11588/IJODR.2013.2.11137 (2013).
- 78. Schredl, M., Berres, S., Klingauf, A., Schellhaas, S. & Göritz, A. S. The mannheim dream questionnaire (MADRE). Retest reliability, age and gender effects. International Journal of Dream Research (2014).
- 79. The jamovi project. jamovi (2021). Version 1.6.23. Available at https://www.jamovi.org
- 80. Leiner, D. J. SoSci Survey (2019). Version 3.1.06. Available at https://www.soscisurvey.de
- 81. Snyder, T. J. & Gackenbach, J. in *Conscious Mind, Sleeping Brain. Perspectives on Lucid Dreaming*, edited by J. Gackenbach & S. LaBerge (Springer New York, Boston, MA, 1988), pp. 221–259.
- 82. Cardaciotto, L., Herbert, J. D., Forman, E. M., Moitra, E. & Farrow, V. The assessment of present-moment awareness and acceptance. The Philadelphia Mindfulness Scale. Assessment **15**, 204–223; 10.1177/1073191107311467 (2008).
- 83. Cash, M. & Whittingham, K. What Facets of Mindfulness Contribute to Psychological Well-being and Depressive, Anxious, and Stress-related Symptomatology? *Mindfulness* **1**, 177–182; 10.1007/s12671-010-0023-4 (2010).
- 84. Vujanovic, A. A., Youngwirth, N. E., Johnson, K. A. & Zvolensky, M. J. Mindfulness-based acceptance and posttraumatic stress symptoms among trauma-exposed adults without axis I psychopathology. Journal of anxiety disorders **23**, 297–303; 10.1016/j.janxdis.2008.08.005 (2009).
- 85. Wahbeh, H., Lu, M. & Oken, B. Mindful awareness and non-judging in relation to posttraumatic stress disorder symptoms. Mindfulness **2**, 219–227; 10.1007/s12671-011-0064-3 (2011).
- 86. Nielsen, T. & Levin, R. Nightmares. A new neurocognitive model. Sleep medicine reviews **11**, 295–310; 10.1016/j.smrv.2007.03.004 (2007).
- 87. Schredl, M. Effects of state and trait factors on nightmare frequency. Eur Arch Psychiatry Clin Neurosci **253**, 241–247; 10.1007/s00406-003-0438-1 (2003).
- 88. Stumbrys, T. & Erlacher, D. Inner ghosts. Encounters with threatening dream characters in lucid dreams. Dreaming **27**, 40–48; 10.1037/drm0000043 (2017).
- 89. Stumbrys, T. & Erlacher, D. Mindfulness and Lucid Dream Frequency Predicts the Ability to Control Lucid Dreams. Imagination, Cognition and Personality **36**, 229–239; 10.1177/0276236616683388

(2017).

- 90. Hess, G., Schredl, M. & Goritz, A. S. Lucid Dreaming Frequency and the Big Five Personality Factors. Imagination, Cognition and Personality **36**, 240–253; 10.1177/0276236616648653 (2017).
- 91. Galvin, F. The boundary characteristics of lucid dreamers. Psychiatric journal of the University of Ottawa: Revue de psychiatrie de l'Universite d'Ottawa **15**, 73–78 (1990).
- 92. Cebolla, A. *et al.* Exploring relations among mindfulness facets and various meditation practices. Do they work in different ways? Do they work in different ways? Consciousness and Cognition **49**, 172–180; 10.1016/j.concog.2017.01.012 (2017).
- 93. Carr, M. & Nielsen, T. A novel Differential Susceptibility framework for the study of nightmares. Evidence for trait sensory processing sensitivity. Clinical psychology review **58**, 86–96; 10.1016/j.cpr.2017.10.002 (2017).
- 94. Baer, R. A. *et al.* Construct validity of the five facet mindfulness questionnaire in meditating and nonmeditating samples. Assessment **15**, 329–342; 10.1177/1073191107313003 (2008).
- 95. Baer, R. A., Smith, G. T. & Allen, K. B. Assessment of mindfulness by self-report. The Kentucky inventory of mindfulness skills. Assessment **11**, 191–206; 10.1177/1073191104268029 (2004).