

Diagnostic accuracy of perioperative electromyography in the positioning of pedicle screws in adolescent idiopathic scoliosis treatment: a cross-sectional diagnostic study

Carlos Eduardo Barsotti (✉ cadubarsotti@gmail.com)

Hospital do Servidor Publico Estadual de Sao Paulo <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3964-309X>

Bruno Moreira Gavassi

Hospital do Servidor Publico Estadual de Sao Paulo

Francisco Eugenio Prado

Hospital do Servidor Publico Estadual de Sao Paulo

Bernardo Nogueira Batista

Hospital do Servidor Publico Estadual de Sao Paulo

Raphael de Resende Pratali

Hospital do Servidor Publico Estadual de Sao Paulo

Ana Paula Ribeiro

Universidade de Sao Paulo

Carlos Eduardo Soares de Oliveira

Hospital do Servidor Publico Estadual de Sao Paulo

Ricardo Rodrigues Ferreira



Hospital do Servidor Publico Estadual de Sao Paulo

Research article

Keywords: Scoliosis, Bone Screw, Pedicle Screw, Electromyography, Intraoperative Neurophysiological Monitoring, Computed Tomographic Scan

Posted Date: January 31st, 2020

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.2.22355/v1>

License:   This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License. [Read Full License](#)

Version of Record: A version of this preprint was published on July 20th, 2020. See the published version at <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12891-020-03491-z>.

Abstract

Background: To investigate in the conventional techniques of the pedicle screws using triggered screw electromyography (t-EMG), considering different threshold cutoffs: 10, 15, 20 25 mA, for predicting pedicle screw positioning during surgery of the adolescent with idiopathic scoliosis (AIS).

Methods: Sixteen patients (4 males, 12 females, average age 16.6 years) were included, with an average curve magnitude of 50 degrees and placement of 226 pedicle screws. Each screw was classified as “at risk for nerve injury” (ARNI) or “no risk for nerve injury” (NRNI) using CT and the diagnostic accuracy of EMG considering different threshold cutoffs (10,15, 20 and 25 mA) in the axial and Sagittal planes for predicting screw positions ARNI was investigated.

Results: The EMG exam accuracy, in the axial plane, 90.3% screws were considered NRNI. In the sagittal plane, 81% pedicle screws were considered NRNI. A 1-mA decrease in the EMG threshold was associated with a 12% increase in the odds of the screw position ARNI. In the axial and sagittal planes, the ORs were 1.09 and 1.12, respectively. At every threshold cutoff evaluated, the PPV of EMG for predicting screws ARNI was very low in the different threshold cutoff (10 and 15); the highest PPV was 18% with a threshold cutoff of 25 mA. The PPV was always slightly higher for predicting screws ARNI in the sagittal plane than in the axial plane. In contrast, there was a moderate to high NPV (78%-93%) for every cutoff analyzed.

Conclusions: EMG had a moderate to high accuracy for positive predicting value screws ARNI with increase threshold cutoffs of 20 and 25 mA. In addition, showed to be effective for minimizing false-negative screws ARNI in the different threshold cutoffs of the EMG in adolescent with idiopathic scoliosis (AIS).

Background

The use of pedicle fixation is increasing in spinal arthrodesis and is especially common in the treatment of adolescent idiopathic scoliosis (AIS). First demonstrated in the lumbar segments of the spine [1, 2], the technique has also been proven to be safe when employed at thoracic levels [3–6]. Compared with the use of hooks or hybrid instrumentation (proximal hooks and distal pedicle screws), instrumentation with pedicle screws allows for better correction of spinal deformities in the coronal, sagittal and rotational planes, less correction loss, shorter constructions and improved lung function without increasing neurological complications [7, 8].

Due to peculiar vascular and neurologic anatomical features of the vertebrae and spinal canal, caution is needed during insertion of pedicle screws. For safety reasons, it is of utmost importance to ensure precise insertion and to confirm an intraosseous position [9]. Intraoperative neurophysiologic monitoring during pedicle instrumentation allows the early detection and prevention of neurological complications [10, 11]. Motor evoked potentials, somatosensory evoked potentials, free-running electromyography (EMG) and stimulated EMG can be used as monitoring techniques. Furthermore, stimulated EMG can be applied directly to the inserted screws [12].

In patients with scoliosis, rotational deformity increases the risk of perforating the pedicle cortical wall, which is not always easy to detect during surgical procedures. Intraoperative assessment of the position of the

screws with conventional radiography or fluoroscopy can help detect misplaced implants, although computed tomography (CT) provides greater accuracy [13]. EMG can provide additional information to establish a link between the implants and neural elements.

However, the diagnostic accuracy of intraoperative EMG to detect pedicle screw malposition is not well understood. The aim of this study, therefore, was to investigate the relationship between EMG thresholds during AIS surgery and the positioning of pedicle screws as evaluated by CT in the postoperative period. The initial hypothesis was that lower EMG thresholds would be observed for screws at higher risk for nerve injury.

Methods

Design, setting, participants and ethics

This was an observational, cross-sectional study involving patients with AIS who underwent surgical treatment in the same hospital and in whom intraoperative EMG measurements were compared with the implant positions evaluated by CT. The Hospital dos Servidores Estaduais institutional review board approved this study, approval number 19134914.2.0000.5463 .

All consecutive patients who underwent surgery performed by the same surgical team in the same institution from March to December 2013 were included in the study. Patients were excluded if they had scoliosis with a known etiology (i.e., not AIS), if they were undergoing revision surgery, or if no postoperative CT was available for review.

All patients were operated by a posterior approach, with insertion of pedicle screws from the same manufacturer (DePuy, Synthes, Raynham, MA, USA) by the "free hand" technique [9] and under intravenous anesthesia [14]. Neurophysiological monitoring was employed in all surgeries using the same technique and device.

Variables and measurements

After pedicle screw insertion, EMG was performed by directly stimulating the implants, using a monopolar electrode (cathode) and a subdermal needle electrode inserted into the paravertebral musculature (anode). Stimulation was performed with a frequency of 3 Hz, a duration of 0.1 ms and an increasing intensity until an EMG response could be observed [12]. The maximum intensity used was 30 milli-amperes (mA). For each screw, the lowest intensity able to generate a measurable response was recorded as the EMG threshold for that screw. If no response was observed, a value of 30 was assigned to that screw.

Within three months of surgery, patients underwent CT to evaluate the implant positioning and classification according to the criteria proposed by Abul-Kasim et al. [15]. This grading system was developed to distinguish between lateral, medial and anterior cortical perforations and foraminal perforation and is based on whether the cortical violation is partial or total rather than the length (mm) of the perforation.

Each individual screw to the corresponding pedicle was assessed and classified in both the medial and sagittal planes as follows: normally placed in the medial plane; medial cortical perforation (MCP) grade 1, partially medialized; MCP grade 2, totally perforating the medial pedicular cortex; lateral cortical perforation

(LCP) grade 1, partially lateralized but anchored in the vertebral body; LCP grade 2, abutting the outer cortex of the vertebral body and not anchored in the vertebral body; normally placed in the sagittal plane; perforating the inferior underlying neural foramen (INF); or perforating the superior underlying neural foramen (SUP). Additionally, screws classified as MCP grade 1 or MCP grade 2 in the axial plane and as perforating the INF or the SUP in the sagittal plane were considered “at risk for nerve injury” (ARNI), as these screws are closer to neural elements. Screws classified as normally placed and screws classified as LCP, although recognized as misplaced, were considered “no risk for nerve injury” (NRNI).

Bias control

The total intravenous technique (TIVA) was used to induce anesthesia in the AIS surgeries, and medications that usually do not interfere with intraoperative neurophysiological monitoring (propofol and remifentanyl) were administered. All AIS corrections included in this study were performed by the same surgical team, using the same techniques for surgery and electromyography evaluation. All CT scans were evaluated by the same observer (BMG).

Statistical analysis

Continuous variables are presented as the mean and standard deviation, and categorical data are presented as absolute and relative frequencies. A descriptive analysis of the positioning of all screws was performed. To evaluate the diagnostic accuracy of EMG for predicting screws ARNI, we excluded all screws inserted above T6, as those pedicles have lower reliability for EMG acquisition [16]. A single patient contributed multiple sampling units (screws) to the analysis, resulting in a hierarchical structure of the generated data, with subjects as the primary sampling units and individual screws as the secondary sampling units. The association between the EMG threshold recorded intraoperatively and postoperative screw status, considering the risk for nerve injury, was investigated using generalized estimating equations (GEEs). Only medial cortical perforation of the screw (MCP grade 1 or MCP grade 2) was considered a positive outcome (ARNI) in the axial plane, while both superior or inferior deviation of the screw were considered positive outcomes in the sagittal plane. These statistical models are similar to logistic regression models but take into account the hierarchical structure of the data.

The diagnostic accuracy of EMG for predicting screw malposition was investigated using a receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve. The curve represents a plot of the sensitivity and specificity at progressive cutoffs of a diagnostic test measured on a continuous scale. Therefore, the area under the curve (AUC) is a measure of the ability of EMG to discriminate between screws ARNI and screws NRNI. Estimates of diagnostic accuracy, including sensitivity (Sn), specificity (Sp), negative predictive value (NPV) and positive predictive value (PPV), were calculated for cutoffs at every 5 mA and are presented with their 95% confidence intervals (95%CI). All statistical analyses were performed using the statistical package STATA→ 14 (StataCorp, TX/EUA). Associations with $p < .05$ (two-sided) were considered significant.

Results

In the study period, 16 patients underwent surgical treatment for AIS and were included in this study. Most (12 or 75%) were female, and the average age was 16.6 years (varying from 11 to 26 years). The patients were

followed-up for at least three months, and no patient had neurological complaints, experienced irradiated pain to dermatomes or exhibited any observed change in the physical exam indicating nerve injury.

Among the 16 patients, a total of 281 pedicles were analyzed for screw position according to the Abul-Kasim et al. [15] criteria, as shown in Table 1. In the axial plane, 195 screws were in the ideal position (69.4%). There was lateral cortical perforation in 41 cases of which 25 (8.9%) were classified as LCP1, and 16 (5.7%), as LCP2. Medial cortical perforation was found in 45 cases (27 [9.6%] were MCP1, and 18 [6.4%] were MCP2). In the sagittal plane, 226 pedicle screws were in the ideal position (80.4%), while 48 (17.1%) violated the inferior foramen (FP1 INF), and 7 (2.5%), the superior foramen (FP1 SUP). Considering axial and sagittal planes together, 59.1% (166/281) of all screws had no cortical perforation (lateral, medial or superior or inferior foramens), representing ideal positions, while 40.9% (115/281) of screws showed at least one degree of cortical perforation (Table 2).

Table 1

Summary of the positions of the pedicle screws in the axial and sagittal planes for each patient and the total pedicles analyzed

Axial plane											
Patient	LCP 2		LCP 1		LCP 0/MCP 0		MCP 1		MCP 2		Total
	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	
1	4	15.4	0,	0.0	17	65.4	3	11.5	2	7.7	26
2	0	0.0	2	10.5	14	73.7	3	15.8	0	0.0	19
3	1	6.7	1	6.7	13	86.7	0	0.0	0	0.0	15
4	0	0.0	1	6.2	9	56.2	3	18.8	3	18.8	16
5	0	0.0	2	9.1	15	68.2	3	13.6	2	9.1	22
6	0	0.0	0	0.0	10	55.6	6	33.3	2	11.1	18
7	1	6.2	2	12.5	10	62.5	1	6.2	2	12.5	16
8	1	5.0	3	15.0	13	65.0	1	5.0	2	10.0	20
9	1	8.3	2	16.7	8	66.7	1	8.3	0	0.0	12
10	2	11.1	1	5.6	12	66.7	2	11.1	1	5.6	18
11	1	5.6	3	16.7	12	66.7	1	5.6	1	5.6	18
12	2	11.1	2	11.1	11	61.1	2	11.1	1	5.6	18
13	0	0.0	2	10.5	14	73.7	1	5.3	2	10.5	19
14	3	16.7	3	16.7	12	66.7	0	0.0	0	0.0	18
15	0	0.0	1	7.1	13	92.9	0	0.0	0	0.0	14
16	0	0.0	0	0.0	12	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	12
Total	16	5.7	25	8.9	195	69.4	27	9.6	18	6.4	281
Sagittal plane											
Patient	FP 1 (inf.)		FP 0		FP 1 (sup.)		Total				
	n	%	n	%	n	%					
1	0	0,0	26	100.0	0	0.0	26				
2	1	5.3	17	89.5	1	5.3	19				
3	4	26.7	11	73.3	0	0.0	15				
4	6	37.5	10	62.5	0	0.0	16				
5	1	4.5	18	81.8	3	13.6	22				

Axial plane							
6	9	50.0	9	50.0	0	0.0	18
7	4	25.0	12	75.0	0	0.0	16
8	0	0.0	18	90.0	2	10.0	20
9	0	0.0	12	100.0	0	0.0	12
10	5	27.8	13	72.2	0	0.0	18
11	8	44.4	10	55.6	0	0.0	18
12	6	33.3	12	66.7	0	0.0	18
13	2	10.5	17	89.5	0	0.0	19
14	0	0.0	18	100.0	0	0.0	18
15	1	7.1	13	92.9	0	0.0	14
16	1	8.3	10	83.3	1	8.3	12
Total	48	17.1	226	80.4	7	2.5	281

Table 2
Summary of the ideal screw positioning in the sagittal and axial planes and inadequate positioning in any of the planes

Patient	FP 0 and LCP 0/MCP 0		FP or LCP/MCP \neq 0		Total
	n	%	n	%	
1	17	65.4	9	34.6	26
2	13	68.4	6	31.6	19
3	10	66.7	5	33.3	15
4	7	43.8	9	56.2	16
5	14	63.6	8	36.4	22
6	6	33.3	12	66.7	18
7	6	37.5	10	62.5	16
8	13	65.0	7	35.0	20
9	8	66.7	4	33.3	12
10	9	50.0	9	50.0	18
11	8	44.4	10	55.6	18
12	8	44.4	10	55.6	18
13	13	68.4	6	31.6	19
14	12	66.7	6	33.3	18
15	12	85.7	2	14.3	14
16	10	83.3	2	16.7	12
Total	166	59.1	115	40.9	281

Below T6, 226 pedicles were considered in the EMG accuracy study (Table 3). In the axial plane, 204 (90.3%) screws were considered NRNI, of which 136 (60.2%) were ideally positioned and 68 (30.1%) had LCP, and 22 (9.7%) screws were considered ARNI with MCP. In the sagittal plane, 183 (81%) pedicle screws were considered NRNI, while 43 (19%) violated the inferior foramen (FP1 INF) or the superior foramen (FP1 SUP) and were considered ARNI.

Table 3
Pedicle screws considered in the EMG accuracy
diagnostic study

	N	%	
Total	226	100.00	
Axial Plane			
LCP0	36	15.9	NRNI - 204 (90.3%)
LCP1	19	8.4	
LCP2	13	5.8	
MCP0	136	60.2	
MCP1	16	7.1	ARNI - 22 (9.7%)
MCP2	6	2.6	
Sagittal Plane			
FP0	183	81	NRNI - 183 (81%)
FP1 (SUP)	38	16.8	ARNI - 43 (19%)
FP1 (INF)	5	2.2	

We observed a statistically significant association between EMG responses at lower intensities and the positioning of screws associated with risk for nerve injury. Overall, a 1-mA decrease in the EMG threshold was associated with a 12% increase in the odds of the screw position ARNI (OR = 1.12; 95% CI = 1.06–1.18; $p < .001$). In the axial and sagittal planes, the ORs were 1.09 (95% CI = 1.03–1.16; $p = .005$) and 1.12 (95%CI = 1.04–1.2; $p = .004$), respectively.

However, the ROC curves showed that EMG had a poor to moderate ability to discriminate between screws ARNI and NRNI. The AUCs for the axial, sagittal and combined planes were .65 (95% CI = .57-.74), .63 (95% CI = .51-.75) and .65 (95% CI = .55-.75), respectively. Table 4 shows the performance estimates of EMG for predicting screws positioned ARNI in our sample. At every threshold cutoff evaluated, the PPV of EMG for predicting screws ARNI was very low; the highest PPV was 18% with a threshold cutoff of 25 mA. The PPV was always slightly higher for predicting screws ARNI in the sagittal plane than in the axial plane. In contrast, there was a moderate to high NPV (78%-93%) for every cutoff analyzed (Table 4).

Table 4
EMG accuracy as a diagnostic criterion considering different threshold cutoffs

EMG Threshold Cutoff	OVERALL	AXIAL	SAGITAL
10	Sn: 18% (9–30%) Sp: 100% (98–100%) NPV: 78% (72–83%) PPV: 10% (5–17%)	Sn: 14% (3–35%) Sp: 97% (93–99%) NPV: 90% (86–94%) PPV: 3% (1–8%)	Sn: 21% (10–36%) Sp: 99% (97–100%) NPV: 83% (78–88%) PPV: 9% (4–16%)
15	Sn: 38% (25–51%) Sp: 85% (78–90%) NPV: 80% (73–86%) PPV: 15% (10–22%)	Sn: 32% (14–55%) Sp: 80% (74–86%) NPV: 91% (86–95%) PPV: 5% (2–10%)	Sn: 42% (27–58%) Sp: 84% (78–89%) NPV: 86% (80–90%) PPV: 13% (8–20%)
20	Sn: 48% (35–62%) Sp: 72% (65–79%) NPV: 81% (74–87%) PPV: 16% (11–23%)	Sn: 50% (28–72%) Sp: 69% (62–75%) NPV: 93% (87–96%) PPV: 7% (3–11%)	Sn: 49% (33–65%) Sp: 71% (64–77%) NPV: 86% (79–91%) PPV: 13% (8–18%)
25	Sn: 70% (56–81%) Sp: 48% (40–55%) NPV: 83% (74–90%) PPV: 18% (13–23%)	Sn: 68% (45–86%) Sp: 45% (38–52%) NPV: 93% (86–97%) PPV: 7% (4–11%)	Sn: 67% (51–81%) Sp: 46% (39–53%) NPV: 86% (77–92%) PPV: 13% (9–18%)

Discussion

AIS is a complex three-dimensional deformity associated with rotation and structural abnormalities of the vertebrae, making treatment technically challenging. Implants for pedicle fixation have been widely used in surgical treatments of the thoracic and lumbar spine, with better results in arthrodesis rate, correction power and early mobilization of the patient compared with fixation systems that employ hooks or mixed systems [17, 18]. Neurological complications due to incorrect positioning of pedicle screws is rare, comprising 0.9% of cases as described in the literature [19, 20]. In this study, we analyzed the occurrence of pedicle screw misplacement in a specific pathology, AIS. Additionally, we evaluated the accuracy of EMG as a diagnostic tool to predict screws ARNI in AIS surgery.

Pedicle screw misplacement is detected in 3–44% of cases in the literature [20], and in this study, the rate was 40.9%. Screws with LCP are associated with risk of vascular or visceral damage [2, 9, 21, 22]. In the present series, 14.6% of screws had LCP, in line with the literature [2, 21, 22], and no cases were associated with complications. For MCP, misplacement rates of 1.4–14% have been reported in the literature [2, 9, 22], reaching 28% in one series [23]. In the present study, 16% of screws had MCP according to postoperative CT. There are limited data in the literature describing the misplacement of pedicle screws in the sagittal plane with superior or inferior cortical perforation.

Intraoperative neurophysiology evaluation can allow the early detection and correction of possible lesions during spinal surgery. Such techniques include the evaluation of motor evoked potentials, somatosensory evoked potentials and EMG [10, 11]. The role of the EMG stimulus in the early identification of pedicle cortical perforation has been established for lumbar pedicles. Thresholds below 4 or 5 mA are suggestive of perforation [10], while thresholds above 15 mA indicate correct positioning of screws [24]. However, the

correlation between EMG thresholds and screw positioning in thoracic pedicles has not yet been well established.

In evaluating the accuracy of EMG as an intraoperative diagnostic method to detect misplaced screws ARNI, there was a statistically significant association between EMG responses and the positioning of screws associated with risk for nerve injury. A decreased EMG threshold was associated with an increased odds of screw position ARNI among thoracic and lumbar screws. The association between EMG threshold and screw misplacement in thoracic pedicles was recently shown using pulse-train stimulation [25]. The previous study only evaluated the association between EMG stimulation and screw position in the axial plane with MCP, while the present study evaluated both the axial and sagittal planes.

Despite the association found between EMG and the position of screws, the ability of EMG to intraoperatively discriminate between screws ARNI and NRNI was poor to moderate. NPV and PPV are the most meaningful measures of diagnostic accuracy in terms of making clinical decisions based on a test result. NPV expresses the probability of not having the condition under study given a negative test outcome, and PPV expresses the probability of having the condition given a positive test outcome. EMG showed a very low PPV (< 18%) at every threshold cutoff evaluated, meaning that less than 1 out of 5 screws that test positive (achieving an electrical response at a threshold lower than the cutoff) would actually be positioned ARNI.

Considering the risk for neurological deficit or stenosis of the spinal canal if a screw breaches the medial wall during thoracic pedicle screw instrumentation in AIS surgery, the consequences of a false-negative result of a diagnostic test for screw malposition can be severe [25]. Therefore, it is imperative that the diagnostic test detect true positives and minimize false negatives, as represented by a high NPV. The present study revealed a moderate to high NPV of EMG as diagnostic test for every cutoff analyzed, and thus, EMG may be considered an accurate test to minimize false-negative screws ARNI.

The main limitation of the present study is that despite the aim to analyze the ability of EMG to intraoperatively predict screws ARNI, the sample was composed exclusively of patients with no nerve injury, spinal cord injury, or nerve root injury. Some false-positive and false-negative cases were found among the EMG responses of the diagnostic test, but these cases did not result in any clinical consequence. Therefore, it is not possible to assume that EMG is not an accurate tool for predicting screws ARNI. Furthermore, we consider intraoperative neurophysiologic monitoring, particularly motor evoked potentials, as extremely important during thoracic screw insertion for the early detection and prevention of severe neurological complications.

Conclusions

Despite the association found between intraoperative EMG monitoring and the position of pedicle screws in patients with AIS, EMG exhibited poor accuracy as a diagnostic test for predicting screws ARNI. However, EMG was shown to be effective for minimizing false-negative screws ARNI.

List Of Abbreviations

EMG - Electromyography

AIS - Adolescent idiopathic scoliosis

CT – Computer Tomography

ARNI - At risk for nerve injury

NRNI - No risk for nerve injury

ROC - Receiver operating characteristic

MCP - medial cortical perforation

LCP - Lateral cortical perforation

INF - Inferior underlying neural foramen

SUP - Superior underlying neural foramen

TIVA - Total intravenous technique (TIVA)

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate

All procedures performed in studies involving human participants were in accordance with the ethical standards of the institutional and/or national research committee and with the 1964 Helsinki declaration and its later amendments or comparable ethical standards.

Consent for publication

Not Applicable

Availability of data and material

Not Applicable

Competing interests

All Authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Funding

This study did not receive grants from funding agencies.

Authors' contributions

CEGB – Writing + design

BMG – Writing

BNB – Statistics

FEP – Colecting Data

CEO – Colecting Data + writing + design

RRP – Writing + design

RRF – Neuro and ENMG writing and Design

References

1. Brown CA, Lenke LG, Bridwell KH, Geideman WM, Hasan SA, Blanke K. Complications of pediatric thoracolumbar and lumbar pedicle screws. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)*. 1998;23:1566-71.
2. Hamill CL, Lenke LG, Bridwell KH, Chapman MP, Blanke K, Baldus C. The use of pedicle screw fixation to improve correction in the lumbar spine of patients with idiopathic scoliosis. Is it warranted? *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)*. 1996;21:1241-9.
3. Suk SI, Lee CK, Kim WJ, Chung YJ, Park YB. Segmental pedicle screw fixation in the treatment of thoracic idiopathic scoliosis. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)*. 1995;20:1399-405.
4. Liljenqvist UR, Halm HF, Link TM. Pedicle screw instrumentation of the thoracic spine in idiopathic scoliosis. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)*. 1997;22:2239-45.
5. Halm H, Niemeyer T, Link T, Liljenqvist U. Segmental pedicle screw instrumentation in idiopathic thoracolumbar and lumbar scoliosis. *Eur Spine J*. 2000;9:191-7.
6. Suk SI, Kim WJ, Lee SM, Kim JH, Chung ER. Thoracic pedicle screw fixation in spinal deformities: are they really safe? *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)*. 2001;26:2049-57.
7. Kim YJ, Lenke LG, Cho SK, Bridwell KH, Sides B, Blanke K. Comparative analysis of pedicle screw versus hook instrumentation in posterior spinal fusion of adolescent idiopathic scoliosis. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)*. 2004;29:2040-8.
8. Kim YJ, Lenke LG, Kim J, Bridwell KH, Cho SK, Cheh G, et al. Comparative analysis of pedicle screw versus hybrid instrumentation in posterior spinal fusion of adolescent idiopathic scoliosis. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)*. 2006;31:291-8.
9. Kim YJ, Lenke LG, Bridwell KH, Cho YS, Riew KD. Free hand pedicle screw placement in the thoracic spine: is it safe? *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)*. 2004;29:333-42.
10. Holland NR. Intraoperative electromyography during thoracolumbar spinal surgery. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)*. 1998;23:1915-22.
11. Nuwer MR, Dawson EG, Carlson LG, Kanim LE, Sherman JE. Somatosensory evoked potential spinal cord monitoring reduces neurologic deficits after scoliosis surgery: results of a large multicenter survey. *Electroencephalogr Clin Neurophysiol*. 1995;96:6-11.
12. Cavali PTM. Transpedicular stabilization with freehand technique on the thoracic spine. In: Vieweg U, Grochulla F, editors. *Manual of spine surgery*. New York: Springer; 2012. p. 235-41.

13. Kim YJ, Lenke LG, Cheh G, Riew KD. Evaluation of pedicle screw placement in the deformed spine using intraoperative plain radiographs: a comparison with computerized tomography. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)*. 2005;30:2084-8.
14. Taniguchi M, Nadstawek J, Pechstein U, Schramm J. Total intravenous anesthesia for improvement of intraoperative monitoring of somatosensory evoked potentials during aneurysm surgery. *Neurosurgery*. 1992;31:891-7.
15. Abul-Kasim K, Strombeck A, Ohlin A, Maly P, Sundgren PC. Reliability of low-radiation dose CT in the assessment of screw placement after posterior scoliosis surgery, evaluated with a new grading system. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)*. 2009;34:941-8.
16. Raynor BL, Lenke LG, Kim Y, Hanson DS, Wilson-Holden TJ, Bridwell KH, et al. Can triggered electromyograph thresholds predict safe thoracic pedicle screw placement? *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)*. 2002;27:2030-5.
17. Bess RS, Lenke LG, Bridwell KH, Cheh G, Mandel S, Sides B. Comparison of thoracic pedicle screw to hook instrumentation for the treatment of adult spinal deformity. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)*. 2007;32:555-61.
18. Liljenqvist U, Lepsien U, Hackenberg L, Niemeyer T, Halm H. Comparative analysis of pedicle screw and hook instrumentation in posterior correction and fusion of idiopathic thoracic scoliosis. *Eur Spine J*. 2002;11:336-43.
19. Schwarzenbach O, Berlemann U, Jost B, Visarius H, Arm E, Langlotz F, et al. Accuracy of computer-assisted pedicle screw placement. An *in vivo* computed tomography analysis. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)*. 1997;22:452-8.
20. Esses SI, Sachs BL, Dreyzin V. Complications associated with the technique of pedicle screw fixation. A selected survey of ABS members. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)*. 1993;18:2231-8.
21. Kim Y, Lenke L. Thoracic pedicle screw placement: free-hand technique. *Neurol India*. 2005;53:512-9.
22. Amiot LP, Lang K, Putzier M, Zippel H, Labelle H. Comparative results between conventional and computer-assisted pedicle screw installation in the thoracic, lumbar, and sacral spine. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)*. 2000;25:606-14.
23. Farber GL, Place HM, Mazur RA, Jones DE, Damiano TR. Accuracy of pedicle screw placement in lumbar fusions by plain radiographs and computed tomography. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)*. 1995;20:1494-9.
24. Glassman SD, Dimar JR, Puno RM, Johnson JR, Shields CB, Linden RD. A prospective analysis of intraoperative electromyographic monitoring of pedicle screw placement with computed tomographic scan confirmation. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)*. 1995;20:1375-9.
25. Calancie B, Donohue ML, Harris CB, Canute GW, Singla A, Wilcoxon KG, et al. Neuromonitoring with pulse-train stimulation for implantation of thoracic pedicle screws: a blinded and randomized clinical study. Part 1. Methods and alarm criteria. *J Neurosurg Spine*. 2014;20:675-91.