Pathologic Responses to Neoadjuvant Chemoimmunotherapy in Primary Limited-Stage Small Cell Lung Cancer
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Abstract

Background
Immunotherapy has been proved its gigantic influence in extensive-stage small cell lung cancer (ES-SCLC), however, the role of immunotherapy in limited-stage small cell lung cancer (LS-SCLC) is still unknown.

Methods
A retrospective study of 6 patients with LS-SCLC who were treated with neoadjuvant chemoimmunotherapy (durvalumab plus etoposide combined with cisplatin) was performed. Patients were evaluated by the safety, feasibility and pathologic responses of neoadjuvant chemoimmunotherapy.

Results
Neoadjuvant chemoimmunotherapy was associated with few immediate adverse events and did not delay planned surgery. All patients achieved partial pathologic response (pPR) instead of major pathologic response (mPR) or pathologic complete response (PCR). No association was observed between programmed death-ligand 1 (PD-L1) expression in tumor specimens and the pathologic response. However, tumors with high expression of FoxP3 + regulatory T cells (Tregs) had a better pathologic response than tumors with low expression of FoxP3 + Tregs (Pearson's r = 0.7280; P = 0.04).

Conclusions
Neoadjuvant chemoimmunotherapy achieved pPR with few side effects in all resected tumors with LS-SCLC. The FoxP3 + Tregs in tumor microenvironment might play an important role in the chemoimmunotherapy in LS-SCLC.

Background
Small cell lung cancer (SCLC) is a highly malignant tumor, accounts for 10–15% of all lung cancer pathologic types, and is divided into limited-stage (LS-SCLC) and extensive-stage (ES-SCLC) according to the existence of extrathoracic metastasis[1, 2]. In addition to regular radiochemotherapy, the immunotherapies that block the immune inhibition of programmed death 1 (PD-1) protein or programmed death-ligand 1 (PD-L1) has played a huge effect in ES-SCLC[3–5], furthermore, the neoadjuvant chemoimmunotherapy could induce the major pathologic response (mPR) and even pathologic complete response (PCR) in local advanced non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) in various clinical studies[6–8]. Therefore, based on its significant effect in ES-SCLC and local advanced NSCLC, the neoadjuvant
chemoimmunotherapy for LS-SCLC might have the advantage of improving prognosis\cite{3,9}. Durvalumab is a recombinant humanized anti-PD-L1 monoclonal antibody which blocks interactions between PD-1 and its ligands, and previous clinical trials have shown that durvalumab achieved a good effect in ES-SCLC with low side effects\cite{9,10}. Therefore, durvalumab has been approved in China for ES-SCLC by the Chinese Center for Drug Evaluation in 2018, but the role of it in LS-SCLC is still unknown\cite{11,12}. The safety and feasibility of neoadjuvant chemoimmunotherapy in local advanced NSCLC patients had also been proved in several studies\cite{7,13}, however there were no studies reported on neoadjuvant chemoimmunotherapy in LS-SCLC. Herein, we characterized the pathologic features of neoadjuvant chemoimmunotherapy in patients with LS-SCLC, reported the clinical factors that might influence the pathologic response, aimed to provide the basis for the treatment improvement of LS-SCLC.

Methods

Patients selection and data collection

We performed a retrospective study of 6 patients with LS-SCLC in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki at the Department of Lung Cancer, Tianjin Medical University Cancer Hospital. This study was approved by the Tianjin Medical University Cancer Hospital Institutional Review Board, all patients received 2 circles of neoadjuvant chemoimmunotherapy [ie.intravenous durvalumab plus chemotherapy (etoposide combined with cisplatin, EP) every 3 weeks] followed by R0 resections (4-6weeks after the last dose of chemoimmunotherapy) and adjuvant therapy (2 circles of adjuvant chemoimmunotherapy). The safety was evaluated by the severity of adverse effect, the feasibility was evaluated by the time of surgery delay and post-operative recovery. The treatment effects of tumors were evaluated based on the Response Evaluation Criteria in Solid Tumors, version 1.1\cite{14,15}.

Gross pathologic examination and histologic assessments

All tumor tissues were sectioned, and each tumor slide was assessed. Two pathologists evaluated the average percentage of residual viable tumor cells (RVT), which was determined by the ratio of tumor area to tumor bed area in all slides. Hematoxylin and eosin (HE) stained slides from tumors were assessed histologically based on the immune-related pathologic response criteria (irPRC)\cite{16}, which defined the tumor regression bed as a major feature of pathologic response, specifically accompanied by the fibrosis with neovascularization and the immune cell proliferation. In this system, the tumor bed is defined as the regression bed, the RVT and the necrosis. Tumors were grouped as having a PCR (absence of any viable invasive tumor cells), mPR (\%RVT \leq 10\%), pPR (partial pathologic response, 10\%<\%RVT < 90\%) and pNR (no pathologic response, \%RVT \geq 90\%) according to the \%RVT.

Immunohistochemistry

The primary tumors were made into consecutive slides of 5um thickness, and all tissue sections were deparaffinized, rehydrated, pretreated for antigen retrieval. PD-L1 was analyzed by immunohistochemistry using the Monoclonal Rabbit Anti-Human PD-L1 clone SP263 (Ventana, Roche). Furthermore, the
fluorescence staining was conducted on immune cells with primary antibodies (CD4 [Clone EPR6588, ab133616, abcam, UK], CD8[Clone EPR22483-288, ab245118, abcam, UK], FoxP3[Clone 236A/E7, ab20034, abcam, UK].

**Statistical analysis**

All data were analyzed using SPSS 23.0 (IBM Corporation, New York, USA). The correlation between clinicopathological factors and pathologic response was conducted by the Pearson's correlation coefficient test, all P-values were based on a two-sided hypothesis, and P < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

**Results**

**Safety and feasibility**

Six patients who were diagnosed with resectable local advanced LS-SCLC received neoadjuvant chemoimmunotherapy and R0 resections in our department from April 2020 to April 2021. All patients underwent baseline tumor staging and were clinically staging IIIA-IIIB (resectable IIIB, T3 or T4) preoperatively. The clinicopathological characteristics of all patients were listed in Table 1. Of the patients, the median age was 52.17 ± 7.91 (40–60) years, 66.7% (4/6) were male and long-term smokers. Neoadjuvant durvalumab plus EP didn't induce any severe toxic effects in patients, whereas all patients were discharged from hospital within one week after surgery, without severe surgical complications. The median time between the last administration of chemoimmunotherapy and radical resection was 35.2 (range, 30–44) days, there were no surgical delays occurred. Until December 2021, after a median of 10.8 (range,7–15) months of postoperative follow-up, 83.3% (5/6) of patients were alive. One patient died 7 months after surgery because of severe pneumonia induced by the bacterial infection (patient 1). No patients were diagnosed with any tumor relapses during the follow-up time.
Table 1
Clinicopathological characteristics of all patients

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patients No.</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age/Sex</td>
<td>58/M</td>
<td>45/F</td>
<td>60/M</td>
<td>56/M</td>
<td>40/F</td>
<td>54/M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoking</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clinical stage</td>
<td>IIIA</td>
<td>IIIB</td>
<td>IIIA</td>
<td>IIIB</td>
<td>IIIB</td>
<td>IIIA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pathological stage</td>
<td>IIB</td>
<td>IIIA</td>
<td>IA2</td>
<td>IIIA</td>
<td>IIIA</td>
<td>IIIA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recist status</td>
<td>PR</td>
<td>PR</td>
<td>SD</td>
<td>PR</td>
<td>PR</td>
<td>SD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre-neoadjuvant radiographic size</td>
<td>2.4cm</td>
<td>5.6cm</td>
<td>1.5cm</td>
<td>2.6cm</td>
<td>5.4cm</td>
<td>3.1cm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post-neoadjuvant radiographic size</td>
<td>0.6cm</td>
<td>1.0cm</td>
<td>1.3cm</td>
<td>0.5cm</td>
<td>1.3cm</td>
<td>2.4cm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gross pathologic size</td>
<td>1.5cm</td>
<td>1.0cm</td>
<td>2.0cm</td>
<td>1.0cm</td>
<td>1.8cm</td>
<td>3.0cm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>%RVT in primary tumor</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>58%</td>
<td>72%</td>
<td>54%</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>80%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pathologic response</td>
<td>pPR</td>
<td>pPR</td>
<td>pPR</td>
<td>pPR</td>
<td>pPR</td>
<td>pPR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>%PD-L1 in tumor cells</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>%PD-L1 in immune cells</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type of resection</td>
<td>Single lobectomy</td>
<td>Complex Lobectomy</td>
<td>Single lobectomy</td>
<td>Single lobectomy</td>
<td>Complex Lobectomy</td>
<td>Bilobectomy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surgical approach</td>
<td>OPEN</td>
<td>VATS</td>
<td>OPEN</td>
<td>VATS</td>
<td>OPEN</td>
<td>OPEN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time between neoadjuvant therapy and surgery (days)</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postoperative hospital stay (days)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

OPEN: Open thoracotomy; VATS: Video-assisted thoracic surgery.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patients No.</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Follow-up time (months)</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Survival status</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relapse status</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

OPEN: Open thoracotomy; VATS: Video-assisted thoracic surgery.

### Features of pathologic response in primary tumors

The RVT differed in various cases. The %RVT rised from 25% (patient 1) to 80% (patient 6), with a median percentage of (53 ± 22)%. Although there were no PCR or mPR occurred in primary tumors, all achieved pPR in postoperative tumor specimens, infiltrating lymphocytes and macrophages were also widely distributed in tumors’ microenvironments (Fig. 1). Although the radiographic tumor sizes before neoadjuvant chemimmunotherapy had no relationship with %RVT in resected tumors(Fig. 2A), tumors with radiographic partial response (PR) had a better pathologic response (%RVT: 42 ± 17%) than tumors with radiographic stable disease (SD) (%RVT: 76 ± 6%) (P = 0.006) (Fig. 3). Both PD-L1-positive and PD-L1-negative tumors achieved pPR, there were no associations between PD-L1 expression and %RVT (Pearson's test; P>0.05) (Fig. 2B, Fig. 2C).

### Fluorescence staining of immune cells

The fluorescence staining was performed to explore the variations of immune cells (CD4 + T cells, CD8 + T cells and FoxP3 + Tregs) after neoadjuvant chemimmunotherapy, the ratios of these immune cells to all cells in tumor microenvironments were analyzed (Fig. 4). The ratios of CD4 + T cells and CD8 + T cells had no relationships with %RVT (P > 0.05), whereas the ratio of FoxP3 + Tregs was associated with %RVT (P = 0.04). The tumor with a higher ratio of FoxP3 + Tregs tended to have a lower %RVT after neoadjuvant chemimmunotherapy (Fig. 5).

### Discussion

SCLC is almost the highest malignant type of lung cancer, and it’s not sensitive to conventional treatment such as the chemoradiotherapy[17, 18]. Single chemoradiotherapy had a low effectiveness in ES-SCLC, whereas the combination of immunotherapy significantly improved the survival rate in ES-SCLC[17, 19–21]. Radical surgery plus adjuvant chemotherapy was the routine treatment for LS-SCLC, but the long-term prognosis was still unsatisfactory[19, 22, 23]. Nowadays the immunotherapy combined with chemotherapy provided the synergistic effect in local-advanced NSCLC[6], and thus providing a basis for the application of immunotherapy in LS-SCLC.
As reported before, neoadjuvant chemotherapy promoted the earlier elimination of micrometastatic diseases, reduced the surgery risks and improved the tolerability with the therapy in NSCLC\(^8,24\). In this study, the neoadjuvant durvalumab plus chemotherapy (EP) in patients with staging IIIA–IIIB LS-SCLC resulted few adverse events, and didn’t delay the anticipated surgery. Only 1 patient encountered severe pneumonia induced by the bacteria infection 7 months after surgery, which had no direct relationship with the chemoimmunotherapy. The evaluation of pathologic response ratio after neoadjuvant therapy allowed the early estimation on curative efficacy, and potentially predicts disease-free (DFS) and overall survival (OS)\(^25\). Clinical trials had reported that neoadjuvant chemoimmunotherapy achieved a mPR in 46–83% and a PCR in 38–56% of patients with NSCLC\(^6,13\), but the chemoimmunotherapy could hardly achieve mPR in LS-SCLC as shown in our study. However, all patients had lesser than 90% RVT in tumor bed and achieved pPR, consistent with the phenomena of immunologic activation and tumor necrosis. No tumor relapses occurred during the follow-up period. The prognosis statistics and the efficacy of neoadjuvant chemoimmunotherapy for LS-SCLC needs to be further assessed in the future.

We also studied the dynamic changes in response to neoadjuvant chemoimmunotherapy including changes in tumor size, carcinoembryonic antigen (CEA) and neuron specific enolase (NSE). Of these 6 patients, 4 achieved radiographic PR, 2 were radiographic SD, tumors with PR had a better pathologic response than tumors with SD (\(P = 0.006\)), which indicated that the pathologic regression after chemoimmunotherapy was consistent with the radiographic changes. The PD-L1 expression levels in tumor cells were extremely low in all patients, and the PD-L1 expression had no significant relationship with the pathologic response (%RVT), indicating that PD-L1 expression might not be a good predictor for pathologic response in LS-SCLC.

As reported in previous research, the therapeutic effect of immunotherapy was closely related to the tumor’s immune microenvironment, the immune checkpoint inhibitors could hardly come into play if the immune cells in the state of extreme deficiency \(^{26,27}\). Our study revealed that the ratios of CD4 + and CD8 + T cells had no significant relationships with the pathologic response, whereas the FoxP3 + Tregs could influence the pathologic response. Tumors with higher expression of FoxP3 + Tregs presented a lower %RVT, indicating that the FoxP3 + Tregs increased the pathologic response and play a key role in the immunotherapy in LS-SCLC.

The study still had some drawbacks. Firstly, it was a small sample size, the statistical data might be influenced. Secondly, only a short postoperative follow-up period was conducted due to the time limitation, the prognosis of all patients needed to be evaluated in the future. However, this study has preliminarily confirmed the the safety and feasibility of radical surgery after neoadjuvant durvalumab plus chemotherapy (EP) in IIIA–IIIB LS-SCLC for the first time, and also confirmed that tumors with radiographic PR presented a better pathologic response to neoadjuvant chemoimmunotherapy, which will be of great value in screening out the patients who were not suitable for surgery in the future. It is necessary to continue long-term studies to evaluate whether or not the pPR could translate into prolonged DFS or OS and the relationship between the %RVT with the prognosis.
Conclusion

In summary, this study found that neoadjuvant chemoimmunotherapy achieved pPR with few side effects in all resected tumors with LS-SCLC. More significantly, we confirmed that the high expression of FoxP3 + Tregs in tumor microenvironment was associated with the lower %RVT in primary tumors, indicating that the FoxP3 + Tregs might play an important role in the chemoimmunotherapy in LS-SCLC. These findings will help surgeons to recognize patients who are sensitive to the neoadjuvant chemoimmunotherapy, therefore develop a personalized treatment plan for resectable LS-SCLC in the future.
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Figure 1

Representative pathologic responses to neoadjuvant anti-PD-L1 in primary tumor specimens of LS-SCLC (pPR). The black circles and stars indicated the residual viable invasive tumor cells in one of the tumor bed of Patient 4 (A,B) and Patient 5 (C,D). The black arrow indicated the lymphocytes infiltrating around the tumor cells in Patient 4 (B) and Patient 5(D). The red stars indicated necrotic tumor cells associated with fibrotic tissue repair. The Original magnifications: A,C×4; B,D×10.
Figure 2

Correlation of pathologic response with pre-neoadjuvant radiographic tumor size (A) and the PD-L1 expressions of primary tumor (B,C). Each dot indicates one patient.
Figure 3

Patterns of radiologic and pathologic response to neoadjuvant chemoimmunotherapy. Left column: Patient 4 (PR); Right column: Patient 6 (SD). A,C presented the chest CT imaging of Patient 4 before and after the administration of neoadjuvant chemoimmunotherapy. E indicated the representative sections of tumor specimens after HE staining. This patient had 54% of RVT in the resected specimen. B,D presented the chest CT imaging of Patient 6 before and after the administration of neoadjuvant
chemoimmunotherapy. F indicated the representative sections of tumor specimens after HE staining. This patient had 80% of RVT in the resected specimen. The black star indicated the RVT.

Figure 4

Correlation of immune cells with the %RVT. A. The ratio of CD4+ T cells had no statistical relationship with %RVT ($P=0.0866$). B. The ratio of CD8+ T cells had no statistical relationship with %RVT ($P=0.0537$). C. The ratio of FoxP3+ Tregs had statistical relationship with %RVT ($P=0.0419$).
Figure 5

Immune proofing of immune cells to neoadjuvant chemoimmunotheapy in resected primary tumors. A. The fluorescence of CD4+ T cells (orange fluorescence). B. The fluorescence of CD8+ T cells (green fluorescence). C. The fluorescence of FoxP3+ Tregs (pink fluorescence).