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Abstract

This study investigated leprosy patients with Alzheimer's disease (AD) treated with dapsone (4,4'-diaminodiphenyl sulfone, DDS) as a cytosolic DNA sensor cyclic-GMP-AMP synthase (cGAS)/stimulator of interferon genes (STING) signaling pathway and neuroinflammasome competitor. We searched the Sorokdo National Hospital medical records and the National Health Insurance Service in South Korea with the International Classification of Diseases (ICD)-10 code and Electronic Data Interchange (EDI) from January 2005 to June 2020. Four groups were defined: Treatment (T) 1: DDS prescription (+) AD prevalence (+), T 2: DDS (+) AD nondiagnosed (-), T 3: DDS nonprescription (-) AD (+), T 4: DDS (-) AD (-). The T1:T3 tests demonstrate that the incidence of AD is significantly reduced in the presence of dapsone among AD patients. The T1:T3 tests demonstrate that the incidence of AD is significantly reduced in the presence of dapsone among AD patients. T1 (M = 0.18, SD = 0.074):T2 (M = 0.55, SD = 0.14) and T3 (M = 0.18, SD = 0.074):T4 (M = 0.55, SD = 0.14) explain that dapsone effects on AD can be clearly distinguished according to its presence or absence. The T1:T4 and the T2:T3 test demonstrate a causal relationship in which the presence or absence of dapsone determines the onset of AD. The T1:T3 test proved that the incidence of AD was significantly reduced by dapsone. (The t-value is -23.1, p-value is < .00001, significant at p < .05) The T2:T3 test proved that the prevalence of AD was significantly high without dapsone, and without AD was increased with dapsone. (The t-value is -6.38, p-value is < .00001, significant at p < .05) AD is increased in the absence of dapsone. Our study has demonstrated that dapsone has the potential for the prevention of AD. This study indicates that dapsone is a valid preventive therapeutic for AD. KEYWORD: Neuroinflammasome, Alzheimer's disease, Dapsone

1. Introduction

Alzheimer's disease (AD) is an irreversible, progressive brain disorder that slowly destroys memory, the thinking system, and, eventually, the ability to perform the simplest tasks. It is the most common cause of dementia in older adults. It becomes severe before noticeable symptoms appear and cannot be cured by any medicines and therapies. Neuropsychiatric symptoms (NPS) may be highly variable during AD and are considered core AD features rather than merely risk factors for its development. The prevalence rates for NPS in AD patients are apathy (49%), depression (42%), aggression (40%), anxiety (39%), sleep disorder (39%), irritability (36%), appetite disorder (34%), aberrant motor behavior (32%), delusion (31%), disinhibition (17%), hallucination (16%), and euphoria (7%) 1. Diverse clinical factors reflect the differential involvement of a common core temporoparietofrontal network that is vulnerable to AD progression 2, which is associated with individual genetic influences.

Host-derived oligomerized β-amyloid (Aβ), and tau molecules trigger Nod-like receptor family pyrin domain-containing protein 3 (NLRP3) signaling, and the activation of the chronic NLRP3 inflammasome drives tau pathology, which also causes cognitive decline and AD 3–5. According to Flores et al.'s report, the sequential activation of nucleotide-binding oligomerization domain, leucine-rich repeat and pyrin domain-containing protein 1 (NLRP 1) inflammasome, caspase-1, and caspase-6 are implicated in primary human neuron cultures and AD neurodegeneration. In addition, NLRP1, Caspase-1, or Caspase-6
are implicated in AD-related cognitive impairment, inflammation, and amyloidogenesis in the AD transgenic mouse model.  

Cytosolic DNA sensor cyclic-GMP-AMP synthase (cGAS)/stimulator of interferon genes (STING) signaling is strongly linked to the pathogenesis of CNS diseases, which are highlighted by neuroinflammation-driven disease progression. Innate immune recognition is mediated by a vast array of germline-encoded innate immune receptors, often called pattern recognition receptors and inflammasomes are a distinct class of intracellularly expressed pattern recognition receptors that recognize nucleic acids and mediate proinflammatory responses. The cGAS-STING axis has been identified as a significant nucleic acid recognition pathway, and the cGAS-STING-lysosomal cell death-NLRP3 pathway will ameliorate pathology in inflammatory conditions associated with cytosolic DNA sensing.

Polyglutamine binding protein 1 (PQBP1) triggers an innate immune response by activating the cGAS-STING pathway and is needed for sensing-tau to induce nuclear translocation of nuclear factor κB (NFκB). In addition, PQBP1 shows an intracellular receptor in the cGAS-STING pathway for cDNA of human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) and the transmissible neurodegenerative disease protein tau. Dapsone (4,4′-diaminodiphenyl sulfone, DDS) has been linked to an impact on the regulation of NLRP3, which activates mild cognitive impairment (MCI), AD, and SARS-CoV-2-associated acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS).

When we treated microglia with pathological tau with hyperphosphorylation and aggregation-containing neuronal media, exosomes, or paired-helical filaments from the human tauopathy brain, we observed interleukin-1β (IL-1β) activation, which is dependent on NLRP3, ASC, and caspase-1. Pathological tau burden coexists with elevated IL-1β and ASC in autopsy brains of human tauopathies. Reduced ubiquitin proteasome system activity has been found in patients affected by AD. Its malfunction plays a significant role in Aβ accumulation.

Now we focused on the study analysing the medical records of Sorokdo National Hospital from 2005 to 2020 based on the control group initiated from 1962 to 2005. This study analysed clinical AD prevalence in leprosy patients and inflammasome activity in Sorok Island.

2. Methods

Ethics

The Korea National Institute for Bioethics Policy (KoNIBP) approved this study to manage life-sustaining treatment properly (approval number P01-202007-22-006). The KoNIBP approved the observational study of patients ethically based on FDA guidelines following the World Medical Association Declaration of Helsinki.

Study Design
In the 1990s, a Japanese epidemiological survey of leprosy patients revealed dementia in leprosy patients. McGeer et al. detected the low prevalence of AD. Furthermore, a neuropathological case series of leprosy patients noticed the absence of senile plaques. They showed high abnormal tau deposition in neurons and neural threads despite low levels of Aβ deposition. However, similar studies the following year showed no difference in discovering senile plaques between the patient and control groups. Therefore, we hypothesized that the lack of an effect of antileprosy drugs in preventing AD and Aβ neurotoxicity should be a null hypothesis. A pilot cohort study on dapsone was conducted from 2008 to 2020 and demonstrated recovery from AD to MCI. Therefore, we expanded to leprosy patients taking dapsone continuously for decades on Sorok Island.

Population Demography

HD patients have lived on Sorok Island for a lifetime. According to the request for disclosure of health checkup information from 2005 to 2020 on Oct 27, 2020, a total of 2186 people (1152 males, 1034 females) resided there, and the average age was 83.7 years (median (M) 84, interquartile range (IQR) 76.8 – 91.2, standard deviation (SD) 10.8, 95% confidence interval (CI): 0.45, 83.6 – 84.5).

Eligibility Criteria

According to the Dementia Management Act, all Hansen subjects on Sorok Island are registered and treated at Sorokdo National Hospital. For this study, we analysed all leprosy patients who could study the relationship between AD and dapsone. The cohort consisted of AD patients, dapsone, and anti-Alzheimer’s disease drug (AAD) users in all Hansen subjects according to South Korea’s Official Information Disclosure Act. We searched all medical records of the Sorokdo National Hospital and the National Health Insurance Service (NHIS) in South Korea from 2005 when the Korean government computerized the International Classification of Diseases (ICD) codes and Electronic Data Interchange (EDI). We connected the medical record database of the Sorokdo National Hospital and archived it from January 2005 to June 2020. With the ICD-9 and -10 codes, we then analysed medical data on the correlation between dapsone and AD.

Study Setting

ICD Code of Korean Diseases and Medicines

(1) Mental and behavioural disorders, F00-F09, G30

[F00 code Dementia in Alzheimer’s disease (G30.-+), F01 code Vascular dementia, F02 code Dementia in other diseases classified elsewhere, F03 code Unspecified dementia, F04 code Organic amnesic syndrome, not induced by alcohol and other psychoactive substances, F05 code - Delirium, not induced by alcohol and other psychoactive substances, F06 code - Other mental disorders due to brain damage and dysfunction and to physical disease, F07 code - Personality and behavioural disorders due to brain...
disease, damage and dysfunction, F09 code - Unspecified organic or symptomatic mental disorder, G30
Alzheimer's disease

(2) For symptomatic relief of Alzheimer's disease

First Group: For symptomatic relief of Alzheimer's disease

[donepezil hydrochloride] 148603ATB 148602ATD 148602ATB 148601ATD 148601ATB 643401ATD
643402ATD, [rivastigmine] 224501ACH 224503ACH 224504ACH 224505ACH 224506CPC 224507CPC
224508CPC, [galantamine] 385203ACR 385203ATR 385204ACR 385204ATR 385205ACR 385205ATR, [N-
methyl-D-aspartate (NMDA) receptor antagonist] 190031ALQ 190001ATB 190003ATD 190004ATB
190004ATD

Second Group: For psychologic symptoms of Alzheimer's disease

[haloperidol] 167903ATB 167904ATB 167905ATB 167906ATB 167908ATB 167908ATB 168030BIJ,
[Risperidone] 224201ATB 224201ATD 224202ATB 224202ATD 224203ATB 224204ATB 224205BIJ
224206BIJ, [Quetiapine] 378601ATB 378602ATB 378603ATB 378604ATB 378605ATB 378605ATR
378606ATR 378607ATR 378608ATR 378610ATB, [Olanzapine] 204001ATB 204001ATD
204002ATB 204002ATD 204004ATB 204005ATB, [Aripiprazole] 451501ATB 451501ATD 451502ATB
451502ATD 451503ATB 451504ATB 451505ATB 451506BIJ 451507BIJ, [Oxcarbazepine] 206330ASS
206301ATB 206302ATB 206303ATB, [Fluvoxamine] 162501ATB 162502ATB, [Escitalopram] 474801ATB
474802ATB 474803ATB 474804ATB, [Trazodone] 242901ACH 242901ATB 242902ATB 242903ATR,
[Sertraline] 227001ATB 227002ATB 227003ATB, [Escitalopram] 474801ATB 474802ATB 474803ATB
474804ATB, [Fluoxetine] 161501ACH 161501ATB 161502ACH 161502ATB 161502ATD

Complete Blinded Study

We classified three phases in Sorok Island. First, based on 2011, when the Dementia Management Act
(DMA) was enacted, and second, 2018, when the dementia national responsibility system was
implemented. Therefore, DMA was enacted to diagnose and treat all HD subjects. In particular, HD
patients on Sorok Island were diagnosed and treated without exception. Doctors treated leprosy and
Alzheimer's disease, and HD patients were treated, while no one knew about Dapson's relationship to AD.
In addition, they were all in complete-blinded states. Therefore, we investigated this cohort study with the
randomized controlled trial methodology.

Interventions

The 'War against Dementia' and the First National Dementia Plan were announced in 200823, the DMA
came into effect on Aug 04, 2011, and it was amended on Jun 12, 201824. According to the DMA, the
medical staff of Sorokdo National Hospital started a full investigation in 2010 for the treatment of
dementia for all HD patients on Sorok Island. As a result, AAD was started for patients diagnosed with AD,
and doctors stopped prescribing dapsone for inactive HD patients. They have followed up for dementia. DMA administered dapsone to the trial group.

Outcomes

From 2005 to 2020, significance was evaluated based on a p-value of 0.05 in the DDS (+) subgroup and the DDS (-) subgroup of the AD (+) group and AD (-) group. An effects analysis from 2007 to 2020 was conducted as valid data. (Supplement S2. Supplementary Table 3. AD Prevalence in the Dapsone (+/-) subgroup.)

Outcome Assessment

Effect Size Calculator for T-Test, Pearson's R (Pearson's Correlation Coefficient), One-Way Repeated Measures ANOVA Calculator and Post Hoc Tukey honestly significant difference (HSD) were applied. A significant T-test was performed among the T1: DDS(+) / AD(+), T2: DDS(+) / AD(-), T3: DDS(-) / AD(+), and T4: DDS(-) / AD(-) groups. (Supplement S2 and S3)

Statistical Analysis

We used the software programs Object-Relational DBMS and Google spreadsheet with SPSS. According to the Official Information Disclosure Act in Korea, we also requested and analysed the entire ICD-10 code data of AD and AAD from the NHIS. Leprosy patients with AD were analysed with AAD drugs.

3. Results

According to the DMA, the medical staff of Sorokdo National Hospital started the diagnosis and treatment of dementia for all HD patients on Sorok Island. As a result, AAD was started for patients diagnosed with dementia, and doctors stopped prescribing dapsone for inactive HD patients. Thus, the two groups were divided clearly. AD (+) subjects (Sum (S) = 3129, Mean (M) = 195.56, Standard Deviation (SD) = 119.15; 95% Confidence Interval (CI), 191.39-199.74) consisted of DDS (+) (S = 478, M = 29.88, SD = 9.03; 95% CI, 29.06-30.69) and DDS (-) (S = 2651, M = 165.69, SD = 112.31; 95% CI, 161.41-169.96). AD (-) subjects (S = 7017, M = 438.56, SD = 190.18; 95% CI, 434.11-443.01) consisted of DDS (+) (S = 3468, M = 216.75, SD = 76.04; 95% CI, 214.22-219.28) and DDS (-) (S = 3549, M = 221.81, SD = 117.71; 95% CI, 217.94-225.69). (Table 1) (Fig. 1)
Table 1
Incidence of Probable Dementia by Treatment Group from January 2005 to June 2020

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>DDS (+)</th>
<th>DDS (-)</th>
<th>AD (+)</th>
<th>DDS (+)</th>
<th>DDS (-)</th>
<th>AD(-) Total</th>
<th>P-value*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>290</td>
<td>417</td>
<td>707</td>
<td>.3583</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>302</td>
<td>363</td>
<td>665</td>
<td>.1324</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>317</td>
<td>332</td>
<td>649</td>
<td>.0024**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>310</td>
<td>312</td>
<td>622</td>
<td>.00028**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>283</td>
<td>583</td>
<td>&lt;.00001**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>270</td>
<td>286</td>
<td>556</td>
<td>&lt;.00001**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>133</td>
<td>255</td>
<td>268</td>
<td>523</td>
<td>&lt;.00001**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>174</td>
<td>238</td>
<td>241</td>
<td>479</td>
<td>&lt;.00001**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>172</td>
<td>206</td>
<td>195</td>
<td>248</td>
<td>443</td>
<td>&lt;.00001**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>215</td>
<td>172</td>
<td>236</td>
<td>408</td>
<td>&lt;.00001**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>242</td>
<td>268</td>
<td>167</td>
<td>168</td>
<td>335</td>
<td>&lt;.00001**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>255</td>
<td>288</td>
<td>154</td>
<td>149</td>
<td>303</td>
<td>&lt;.00001**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>268</td>
<td>305</td>
<td>143</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>258</td>
<td>&lt;.00001**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>292</td>
<td>337</td>
<td>132</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>219</td>
<td>&lt;.00001**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>334</td>
<td>380</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>154</td>
<td>&lt;.00001**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2020</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>352</td>
<td>384</td>
<td>109</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>&lt;.00001**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sum</td>
<td>478</td>
<td>2651</td>
<td>3468</td>
<td>3549</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*The relation between DDS and Alzheimer's Disease each year was analyzed using the chi-square test. A P-value < 0.05 was considered significant. ** indicates a P-value < 0.05. a DDS prescription (+) group, b non-prescription (-) group, c Alzheimer's Disease (AD) patients, d Standard Deviation (SD), e Confident Interval (CI), f Pearson Correlation Coefficient
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>DDS (+) (^{a})</th>
<th>DDS (-) (^{b})</th>
<th>AD(^{c}) ((+)^{d}) Total</th>
<th>DDS (+)</th>
<th>DDS (-)</th>
<th>AD((-)^{c}) Total</th>
<th>P-value*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>29.88</td>
<td>165.69</td>
<td>216.75</td>
<td>221.81</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SD(^{d})</td>
<td>9.03</td>
<td>112.31</td>
<td>76.04</td>
<td>117.71</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95% CI(^{e})</td>
<td>0.81[29.06-30.69]</td>
<td>4.28[161.41-169.96]</td>
<td>2.53[214.22-219.28]</td>
<td>3.87[217.94-225.69]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chi-square</td>
<td>252.58</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p-value</td>
<td>&lt; 0.00001</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The value of R(^{f})</td>
<td>r(15) = .74, p &lt; .01. moderately correlated</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>r(15) = .92, p &lt; .00001. strongly correlated</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*The relation between DDS and Alzheimer's Disease each year was analyzed using the chi-square test. A P-value < 0.05 was considered significant. ** indicates a P-value < 0.05. \(^{a}\) DDS prescription (+) group, \(^{b}\) non-prescription (-) group, \(^{c}\) Alzheimer's Disease (AD) patients, \(^{d}\) Standard Deviation (SD), \(^{e}\) Confident Interval (CI), \(^{f}\) Pearson Correlation Coefficient

Based on the prevalence of AD in patients who have been prescribed and those who have not, dapsone has a preventive effect against AD. AD-diagnosed (+) subjects increased in the DDS (-) group from January 2005 to June 2020. (Fig. 2)

**Outcome Measures with the p-values between treatment groups**

The AD (+/-) group was arranged based on the DDS prescription/nonprescription (+/-) group from 2005 to 2020. The relation between DDS and AD each year was analysed using the chi-square test. The P-value was significant from 2007 to 2020 when the P-value was considered significant at < 0.05. (Table 2)
Table 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>T1: DDS(+) / AD(+) total</th>
<th>T2: DDS(+) / AD(-) total</th>
<th>T3: DDS(-) / AD(+) total</th>
<th>T4: DDS(-) / AD(-) total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>0.3014</td>
<td>0.4884</td>
<td>0.6986</td>
<td>0.5116</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>0.2750</td>
<td>0.4984</td>
<td>0.7250</td>
<td>0.5016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>0.2235</td>
<td>0.5146</td>
<td>0.7765</td>
<td>0.4854</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>0.2336</td>
<td>0.4856</td>
<td>0.7664</td>
<td>0.5144</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>0.2632</td>
<td>0.4876</td>
<td>0.7368</td>
<td>0.5124</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>0.2241</td>
<td>0.4969</td>
<td>0.7759</td>
<td>0.5031</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>0.1650</td>
<td>0.4402</td>
<td>0.8350</td>
<td>0.5598</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>0.1163</td>
<td>0.4216</td>
<td>0.8837</td>
<td>0.5784</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>0.0970</td>
<td>0.4985</td>
<td>0.9030</td>
<td>0.5015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>0.1146</td>
<td>0.5083</td>
<td>0.8854</td>
<td>0.4917</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>0.1213</td>
<td>0.5543</td>
<td>0.8787</td>
<td>0.4457</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>0.1335</td>
<td>0.6027</td>
<td>0.8665</td>
<td>0.3973</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>0.1211</td>
<td>0.7403</td>
<td>0.8789</td>
<td>0.2597</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2020</td>
<td>0.0833</td>
<td>0.9646</td>
<td>0.9167</td>
<td>0.0354</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The f-ratio value is 77.90945. The p-value is < .00001. The result is significant at $p < .05$.

For the variables DDS (+) and DDS (-) in AD (+) patients, we calculated Pearson's r (Pearson's correlation coefficient) to examine whether they were correlated. The value of R is 0.7406. This is a moderate positive correlation, which means there is a tendency for high X variable scores to go with high Y variable scores (and vice versa). The P-value is .001033. The result is significant at $p < .05$. For the variables DDS (+) and DDS (-) in AD (-) patients, we calculated Pearson's r to examine whether they were correlated. The value of R is 0.9233. This is a strong positive correlation, which means that high X variable scores have high Y variable scores (and vice versa). The P-Value is < .00001. The result is significant at $p < .05$.

(Supplement S2. Study of AD group)

The values of f and p were calculated using an ANOVA calculator. Four groups were defined for the T-test: Treatment (T) 1: DDS (+) AD (+), T 2: DDS (+) AD (-), T 3: DDS (-) AD (+), and T 4: DDS (-) AD (-). The f-ratio value is 58.43. The p-value is < .00001 in the one-way repeated measures. The f-ratio value is 77.90, and the p-value is < .00001. The results are all significant at $p < .05$. However, there were caveats to post hoc Tukey's honestly significant difference. The pairwise comparisons (T1:T2, T1:T3, T1:T4, T2:T3,
T2:T4, T3:T4) were applicable except for T2:T4. (Supplement S3. One-Way Repeated Measures ANOVA Calculator)

**T-Test**

We used the data normalization technique to analyse AD prevalence and the DDS (+)/(-) group from January 2007 to June 2020. T-tests were calculated with the one-way repeated-measures ANOVA calculator. T1 (M = 0.18, SD = 0.074):T2 (M = 0.55, SD = 0.14) in the group taking Dapsone also demonstrated that the group with AD and the group without AD was distinguished. The t-value is -8.73 and the p-value is < .00001. (significant at p < .05) (Supplement Fig. S3)

T3 (M = 0.18, SD = 0.074):T4 (M = 0.55, SD = 0.14) in the group not taking dapsone also demonstrated that the group with AD and without AD was distinguished. The t-value is -8.73 and the p-value is < .00001. (significant at p < 0.05) (Supplement Fig. S4)

The National Dementia Responsibility System of South Korea separated the group taking Dapsone from 2011 to 2020. T1:T2 and T3:T4 explain that a cohort has been created in which its effects on AD can be clearly distinguished according to its presence or absence. (Fig. 3)

The participants with T1 were compared to T3. The T1:T3 test proved that the incidence of AD was significantly reduced by dapsone. The t-value is -23.1, p-value is < .00001. (significant at p < .05) (Supplement Fig. S5)

The participants with T2 were compared to T3. The T2:T3 test proved that the prevalence of AD was significantly high without dapsone, and the proportion of HD patients without AD was increased with dapsone. The t-value is -6.38, p-value is < .00001. (significant at p < .05) (Supplement Fig. S6)

The participants with T1 were compared to T4. This demonstrates that HD patients also develop AD, but the prevalence decreased when taking dapsone. Fewer people do not develop AD without taking dapsone. The t-value is -6.38. The p-value is < .00001. (significant at p < .05) (Supplement Fig. S7) The T1:T4 test and the T2:T3 test demonstrate a causal relationship in which the presence or absence of DDS determines the onset of AD.

The T1:T3 tests demonstrate that the incidence of AD is significantly reduced in the presence of dapsone among AD patients. The T2:T3 test showed that the prevalence of AD is significantly high without dapsone, and the proportion of HD patients without AD is increased with dapsone. AD is increased in the absence of dapsone. Our study has demonstrated that dapsone has the potential to prevent AD.

**Limitations**

The paradoxical limitation of this study is that it was conducted in an isolated island area. Therefore, a large-scale population survey study is required to derive universal research results later, in which study
dapsone should be prescribed as preventive medicine for dementia, and its results must be observed over ten years.

4. Discussion

Sorok Island was established in May 1916 to quarantine leprosy patients. During the colonial period, the notorious expansion project for the Sorok Leprosarium was supposed from 1933 to 1941 because of its supposed competition with the Culion Leprosarium in the American-occupied Philippines. The public health report filed on Jun 04, 1946, succinctly stated that they would increase the capacity of Sorokdo Leper Colony to 8,000 – 9,000 and make it the largest leprosarium in the world. However, it was not easy for those patients to see a doctor. Therefore, they steadily self-administer a prescribed medication. Finally, the missionaries went to Sorok Island to care for leprosy patients. This study is a cohort study with more than 100 years of history.

From 2008, the medical staff of Sorokdo National Hospital at Sorok Island performed standard treatment according to AD symptoms and neuropsychiatric examination results for leprosy patients. They supported the prescription of AADs and discontinued dapsone in patients with inactive leprosy. As a result, it became possible to separate the group taking dapsone from the group not taking dapsone among AD patients for fifteen years. (Fig. 3. T1:T2, T3:T4 test) Thus, the relationship between AD and dapsone became clear. Suppose any studies did not distinguish groups who had been prescribed from the other groups discontinued taking dapsone. In those cases, the effects of dapsone as an inflammasome competitor are mixed in the autopsy findings, similar to previous confusing pathologic reports.

The components of the neurovascular unit harmoniously influence the blood-brain barrier (BBB) properties. The brain capillary phenotypes have differences in a lack of fenestration and low pinocytic activity. They mostly have tight junctions with low permeability between cells. Since most central nervous system (CNS) diseases are caused by inflammation and oxidative stress, the efficiency of drugs acting on the CNS is essential. Dapsone passes through the BBB, and high-dose sulfadiazine results in an effective CSF concentration in humans. The results of many epidemiologic studies and limited clinical evidence suggest that NSAIDs should delay the onset and hinder AD progression. Chronic activation of cGAS by self-DNA leads to severe autoimmune diseases for which no effective treatment is available yet. Activation of cGAS signalling requires its deacetylation, but acetylation inhibits cGAS activation and that the enforced acetylation of cGAS by aspirin robustly suppresses self-DNA-induced autoimmunity. Aspirin has therapeutic potential to treat SLE by acetylating cGAS, and acetylation suppresses cGAS activity. Aspirin inhibits cGAS-mediated interferon production and alleviates DNA-induced autoimmunity in Aicardi-Goutières syndrome patient cells.

However, the results of long-term clinical trials were negative. NSAIDs affect the periphery of the inflammatory reaction. Thus, dapsone appears to have more significant anti-inflammatory effects than NSAIDs. Dapsone can regulate the production of hypochlorous acid, which is associated with
myeloperoxidase, a kind of reductase enzyme. It reduces inflammatory reactions. DDS may regulate NLRP3 inflammasome activators and a common signaling pathway\textsuperscript{34}. According to a report on the neuroinflammasome treatments and AAD side effects, neuroinflammasomes without dapsone were exacerbated for two years from MCI to AD, and then dapsone has recovered AD to MCI from Nov 28, 2018, to Jan 18, 2019. Dapsone played through a competitive therapeutic mechanism to counter the progression of MCI to AD\textsuperscript{13,14}. This study explains that dapsone acts as an anticatalysis on the neuroinflammasome and ubiquitination stochastically by the flow of electrons\textsuperscript{15,34} (Fig. 4).

Lee et al. reported the observed preventive treatment effects, functioning as an inflammasome competitor for pandemic viral inflammasomes. A total of 2186 people (1152 males, 1034 females) and the average age was 83.7 years (M 84, IQR 76.8~91.2, SD 10.8, 95% CI: 0.45, 83.6~84.5) from 2005 to 2020 on Oct 27, 2020. They compared leprosy patients with viral respiratory diseases (VRDs) after prescribing dapsone to standard treatment from 2005 to 2020. The 3022 VRD participants who received the dapsone intervention (M = 201, SD = 34) compared to the 3961 VRD participants in the control group (M = 264, SD = 84) demonstrated significantly better peak flow scores, t(28) = -2.7, p = .01\textsuperscript{35}. Dapsone acts like PQBP1 in the cGAS-STING pathway for cDNA of HIV and the transmissible neurodegenerative disease protein tau\textsuperscript{9}.

Kanwar et al. administered standard treatment plus dapsone for coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS) patients in the intensive care unit (ICU) from Dec 21 to Dec 29 2020. The case-control study in ICU is symmetrical (22/22). The mortality rates at the ARDS onset stage were 0 (5.9)% and 40%, respectively. The 17 participants (M = 1.7, SD = 2.63) received the dapsone intervention compared to the 20 participants (M = 2.8, SD = 3.79) in the control group at the ARDS onset stage. The chi-square statistic is 5.81. (p-value is 0.016, significant at p < 0.05). Dapsone can compete with the ubiquitination cascade. The identical mechanism can potentially ubiquitinate cysteine thiolys and hydroxyls on serines, threonines, leucines, and tyrosines\textsuperscript{34,36}. Dapsone noncovalently binds/interacts with the minor groove of DNA so that it might inhibit STING gene expression directly.

Thus, continuous preventive anticatalysis treatments are needed to treat AD over ten years. The appropriate observation period for dementia seems to be more than ten years regarding our study results. This study overcomes the diverse limitations of previous five-year-observational studies. A more large-scale preceding cohort study is also necessary for the elderly\textsuperscript{37}.

### 5. Conclusion

This study indicates that dapsone is a valid preventive therapeutic for exacerbated AD.
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**Figures**
Figure 1

Participant Flow of Clinical Cohort Study in the Sorokdo National Hospital
The Dementia Management Act (DMA) had a significant influence on the diagnosis and treatment of dementia. I. DMA increased the diagnosis of patients with MCI or AD by 3.26 times. In addition, anti-Alzheimer’s disease drug (AAD) prescription increased 4.65-fold in South Korea from 2010 to June 2019. Thus, through rapid diagnosis and prescription changes over ten years, it is possible to monitor how AAD affects dementia. II. The number of AD-diagnosed (+) HD patients shows an increasing tendency, and the number of AD-nondiagnosed (-) HD patients shows a decreasing tendency. Over 15 years, the number of AD patients increased from 37 to 380. Therefore, the suspension of dapsone by DMA itself needs to be considered an AD trial. III. We classified three phases in Sorok Island. First, based on 2010, when DMA was enacted, and second, 2018, when the dementia national responsibility system was implemented. Therefore, diagnosis and treatment for dementia by DMA were performed. Finally, we decided to analyse this cohort as a type of randomized controlled trial. IV. There is an AD prevalence graph from 2005 to 2020 between the DDS prescription (+) group; HD patients take DDS and the DDS non-prescription (-) group; HD patients do not take DDS. This graph shows a clear relationship between significantly fewer HD patients developing AD in the trial group who regularly took DDS.

Figure 2

A Cohort Clinical Study for Effect of Standard Control on Dementia and Dapsone.
Figure 3

T-test results for Alzheimer's disease prevalence and DDS prescription rates

We used the data normalization technique to analyse Alzheimer's disease (AD) prevalence and the DDS prescription (+)/nonprescription (-) group from January 2007 to June 2020 as T1: DDS(+)/AD(+), T2: DDS(+)/AD (-), T3: DDS(-)/AD (+), and T4: DDS(-)/AD(-).

The participants with T1 (M = 0.1766, SD = 0.074) were compared to those with T2 (M = 0.5501, SD = 0.1421). T1:T2 in the group taking Dapsone also demonstrated that the group with AD and the group without AD were distinguished.

We compared T3 (M = 0.1766, SD = 0.074) and T4 (M = 0.5501, SD = 0.1421). T3:T4 in the group not taking Dapsone also demonstrated that the group with AD and without AD was distinguished.
The participants with T1 (M = 0.1766, SD = 0.074) were compared to those with T3 (M = 0.1766, SD = 0.074). The T1:T3 test proved that the incidence of AD was significantly reduced by dapsone.

The participants with T2 disease (M = 0.5501, SD = 0.1421) were compared to those with T3 disease (M = 0.1766, SD = 0.074). The T2:T3 test proved that the prevalence of AD is significantly high without dapsone, and the proportion of HD patients without AD is increased with dapsone.

The participants with T1 (M = 0.1766, SD = 0.074) were compared to T4 (M = 0.5501, SD = 0.1421). The T1:T4 test demonstrated that the trend was similar for patients with DDS (+)/AD (+) and DDS (-)/AD (-). This demonstrates that HD patients also develop AD but at a significantly lower rate when taking dapsone. Fewer people who do not develop AD without taking dapsone suggest that there is a clear distinction between the group taking dapsone and the group not taking dapsone.

Figure 4
Anticatalysis schematic diagram: blocking of the neuroinflammasome by Dapsone\textsuperscript{34}.

Dapsone is a myeloperoxidase inhibitor. Myeloperoxidase is a kind of oxidoreductase that catalyzes the chemical reaction of the following response: $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2+\text{Cl}^-=\text{H}_2\text{O}+\text{OCl}^-$. Dapsone binds to myeloperoxidase and regulates the production of hypochlorite, thereby reducing the inflammatory response of cells. Dapsone inhibits radical one-electron oxidation by oxidative competition. The methionine (Met) residue at position 35 in the $\text{A}\beta$ C-terminal domain is critical for neurotoxicity, aggregation, and free radical formation initiated by the peptide\textsuperscript{38}. The bicarbonate/carbon dioxide pair cannot stimulate one-electron oxidation mediated by a radical carbonate anion (CO$_3^\bullet^-$), which efficiently oxidizes the thioether sulfur of the Met residue to sulfoxide. Instead, CO$_3^\bullet^-$ causes the one-electron oxidation of methionine residue to sulfur radical cation (MetS$^\bullet^+$)\textsuperscript{39}. Dapsone has nucleophilic properties. Nucleophilic properties of dapsone compete with NLRP3. ORF8b activates NLRP3 through direct interaction of the leucine-rich repeat domain of NLRP3. Nucleophilic properties of DDS compete with NLRP3. DDS binds to the AT-rich region of the minor groove of DNA. The nucleophilic properties of dapsone also compete with those of ubiquitin. Dapsone can compete with the ubiquitination cascade. Cysteine thiols and hydroxyls on serines, threonines, leucines, and tyrosines could also potentially be ubiquitinated by an identical mechanism.
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