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Abstract

In the past two decades various N-heterocyclic carboxylate coordination compounds have been synthesized with intriguing architectures and potential applications as functional materials. In this regard, two novel calcium coordination compounds \([\text{Ca}(2,6\text{-Hpdc})_2(\text{H}_2\text{O})_2]_1\) and \([\text{Ca}_2(2,3\text{-pdc})_2(\text{H}_2\text{O})_6]_n\) 2 (where 2,6-Hpdc = 2,6-pyridinedicarboxylic acid and 2,3-Hpdc = 2,3-pyridinedicarboxylic acid) were grown at room temperature. The compounds were characterized using elemental analysis, Fourier Transform infrared (FT-IR) spectroscopy, thermogravimetric analysis, powder X-ray diffraction and single crystal X-ray crystallographic analysis. Compounds 1 and 2 crystallize in orthorhombic space group \(Pccn\) and monoclinic space group \(Pc\), respectively. The structures of both compounds are stabilized by a network of hydrogen bonds arising from coordinated water molecules and carboxylate groups. Computational analysis revealed that compound 1 has a large energy gap (9.221 eV) suggesting high excitation energies and chemical hardness making it a better electron acceptor while compound 2 displayed a smaller energy gap (5.156 eV) which is indicative of a softer molecule with better polarizability and reactivity.

Introduction

In recent years, there has been wide development of coordination compounds, composed of metal centres and organic ligands. Their relevance in many fields like organic and inorganic chemistry, biochemistry, material science, electrochemistry and pharmacology with many potential applications, such as catalysis, magnetism, adsorption, luminescence biology applications etc, have to extensive study of these compounds [1, 2]. Furthermore, the need for cheap and less toxic coordination compounds for biological applications has necessitated the use of alkaline earth metals [3, 4]. Specifically, calcium is useful in biological processes such as carbohydrate metabolism, enzyme activation and nucleic acid complexation as it is an essential constituent in living organisms [5]. Other applications of calcium based compounds include sensing [6], catalysis [7] and adsorption of gases [8].

In the same way, ligands with mixed-functionalities such as pyridinecarboxylate, pyrazinecarboxylate, imidazolecarboxylate have been reported to favours the design of diverse structure of coordination compounds with fascinating potential application [9–11]. Furthermore, these ligands are known to possess strong and weak hydrogen bonding (such as O-H···O and C-H····O) and π-π stacking interactions, which influences their biological properties [12–15].

The synthesis of calcium compounds of trimellitate ligand have been reported in the literature [16–19]. Calcium coordination polymer was built up from infinite chains of calcium polyhedra interconnected to each other through the trimellitate ligands [16]. Four three-dimensional (3D) calcium-based coordination compounds were constructed from 2,5-dibromoterephthalic acid. The tuning and formation of different network topologies were explored by the introduction of different N-donor organic species as additional auxiliary ligands to the system, the fluorescence properties of the compounds were also reported [17]. In a report, calcium coordination compounds of three different chlorophenoxyacetic acids (3-Chlorophenol, 4-chloro-2-methylphenol, 2,4,6-trichlorophenol) were synthesized. Differences in the number and position of
the chlorine substituents were reported to lead to diversities in the crystal structures of the three calcium compounds obtained. Furthermore, a steric hindrance effect and the formation of O-H···Cl hydrogen-bond contributed to the enrichment of the structures [18]. A Ca(II) coordination compound of L-malic acid was synthesized and reported to have high thermal stability. In addition, the compound is capable of emitting its own characteristic sensitized luminescence [19]. A group of researchers synthesized and compared the structures of Ca(II), Mg(II) and Ba(II) Coordination Compounds of 1H-tetrazolate-5-acetic acid Ligand. They concluded that the nature of metal ions is pivotal in determining their molecular framework [20].

Despite these existing reports, there are still fewer reports on coordination compounds of alkaline earth metals compared to those of transition metals, this is because their geometries are not predictable as their bonding mode is not governed by ligand field stabilization [21].

Carboxylates of s-block metals have high affinity for oxygen donor e.g water [21]. Many researchers have reported their synthesis is aqueous media, e.g hydrothermal synthesis [22–24]. Herein, we report the synthesis of two calcium coordination compounds 1 and 2 in aqueous medium alongside suitable solvents, using solvothermal and room temperature synthetic reactions respectively. Furthermore, DFT study was employed to gain insight on the electronic properties of the compounds.

**Experimental**

**Materials and methods**

All reagents and chemicals were of analytical grade and were used as received without further purification. 2,3-pyridinedicarboxylic acid and 2,6-pyridinedicarboxylic acid were purchased from Sigma Aldrich, Germany. Calcium nitrate tetrahydrate (Ca(NO$_3$)$_2$.4H$_2$O) was supplied by Alfa Aesar, UK. Ethanol (98.8%), N,N-dimethyl formamide (DMF) (99.0%) were obtained from Central Drug House (P) Ltd, New Delhi, India.

**Synthesis of the compounds**

Ca(2,6-Hpdc)$_2$(H$_2$O)$_2$ 1

Compound 1 was prepared by dissolving 2,6-pyridinedicarboxylic acid (2,6-H$_2$pdc) (0.167 g, 1 mmol) and Ca(NO$_3$)$_2$.4H$_2$O (0.118 g, 0.5 mmol) in two separate 50 mL beakers containing 10 mL ethanol and 10 mL distilled water, respectively. The solutions were mixed while stirring gently to obtain a clear colourless solution that was heated in an oven at 100 °C for 4 hours under atmospheric conditions. The resulting clear colourless solution was kept standing undisturbed on the bench. White diamond-shaped crystals were obtained after 27 days. The crystals were separated from the mother liquor by filtration and washed in ethanol-water (2:1 by volume) solution and dried at room temperature for 30 min. Yield: 69 %; Melting
point: 479 °C; C_{14}H_{12}N_{2}O_{10}Ca (408.34 g/mol); Anal. found: C, 41.14, H, 2.76, N, 6.76%; Calc: C, 41.39, H, 2.48, N, 6.89%; IR (cm\(^{-1}\)):3441, 3194, 1707, 1542, 1372, 540, 424.

\[ \text{[Ca}_2(2,3\text{-pdc})_2(H_2O)_6\text{]}_n \\text{2} \]

Compound 2 was prepared by dissolving 2,3-pyridinedicarboxylic acid (2,3-pdc) (0.334 g, 2 mmol) and Ca(NO\(_3\))\(_2\) (0.236 g, 1 mmol) in two separate 50 mL beakers containing 10 mL distilled water and 10 mL DMF, respectively. The solutions were mixed together and stirred for 2 hours at ambient temperature. The resulting clear colourless solution was kept standing undisturbed on the bench. Colourless block-shaped crystals were formed after 22 days, filtered out of the solution and washed in ethanol-water (2:1 by volume) solution and dried at room temperature for 30 min. Yield: 76%; Melting point: 424 °C; C\(_{14}\)H\(_{18}\)N\(_2\)O\(_{14}\)Ca\(_2\) (518.46 g/mol); Anal. found: C, 32.87 (32.43); H, 3.39 (3.47); N, 5.46% (5.41); Calc: C,32.43; H, 3.47; N, 5.41%.; IR; (cm\(^{-1}\)): 3412, 2904, 1562, 1454, 1332, 662, 497.

**Instrumentation and characterization**

The samples were characterized by elemental analysis using an Exeter Analytical CE-440 Elemental Analyser. The infrared spectra were recorded using a Bruker Alpha diamond module FT-IR spectrometer with attenuated total reflectance (ATR) attachment for solid samples. Powder X-ray diffraction (PXRD) patterns were measured on a PANalytical X’Pert PRO diffractometer with a Cu-K\(\alpha\) source (40 kV, 40 mA) with a step size of 0.02° and a 50 s time step. TGA was performed using an SDT-Q600 TA instrument. The samples were heated in air with a heating rate of 10 °C min\(^{-1}\) and the scan was recorded within the temperature range of 30-800 °C. Single crystal X-ray data for 1 were collected at 120 K on an Oxford Diffraction GV1000 diffractometer equipped with an Atlas S2 detector and mirror monochromated microfocus Cu source while 2 was collected at 150 K on Agilent Xcalibur Gemini diffractometer with a Ruby CCD area detector. Olex2 suite was used as a graphic user interface (GUI) and as imaging software [25]. The structures were solved with the ShelXT [26] structure solution program using intrinsic phasing and refined with the ShelXL [27] refinement package using least squares minimisation. All non-hydrogen atoms were refined with anisotropic displacement parameters and images were prepared via Mercury 4.1.0.

**Computational Details**

Theoretical studies were performed for 1 and 2. The input files were taken from the CIF obtained from reported X-ray single crystal measurements. The geometries were optimized by minimizing energies with respect to all geometrical parameters without imposing any molecular symmetry constraints. Computational studies were carried out using the Gaussian 09 software package [28]. The calculations were performed by using B3LYP method (standard hybrid density functional method) with a basis set of 6-311++G** (2p, 2d) level [29].
Results And Discussion

Structural description

The crystal data and structure refinement parameters of 1 and 2 are given in Table 1.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Details</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Empirical formula</td>
<td>C(<em>{14})H(</em>{12})CaN(<em>{2})O(</em>{10})</td>
<td>C(<em>{14})H(</em>{18})Ca(<em>{2})N(</em>{2})O(_{14})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Formula weight</td>
<td>631.88</td>
<td>518.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T (K)</td>
<td>120(2)</td>
<td>150(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wavelength (Å)</td>
<td>1.54184</td>
<td>1.54184</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crystal system</td>
<td>Orthorhombic</td>
<td>monoclinic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Space group</td>
<td>Pccn</td>
<td>Pc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crystal size (mm(^3))</td>
<td>0.443 × 0.202 × 0.163</td>
<td>0.22 × 0.16 × 0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a (Å)</td>
<td>9.8403(9)</td>
<td>13.50490(10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b (Å)</td>
<td>12.1668(12)</td>
<td>10.27230(10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c (Å)</td>
<td>12.7110(10)</td>
<td>7.25040(10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>α (°)</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>β (°)</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>104.4030(10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>γ (°)</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V (Å(^3))</td>
<td>1521.8(2)</td>
<td>974.209(18)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(ρ_{\text{calc}}) (g/cm(^3))</td>
<td>1.379</td>
<td>1.767</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(μ/\text{mm}^1)</td>
<td>2.462</td>
<td>5.845</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F(0 0 0)</td>
<td>638.0</td>
<td>536.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2Θ range for data collection (°)</td>
<td>11.566 to 150.262</td>
<td>6.758 to 146.658</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radiation type</td>
<td>Cu Kα</td>
<td>Cu Kα</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Index ranges</td>
<td>-11 (\leq) h (\leq) 12, -15 (\leq) k (\leq) 14, -10 (\leq) l (\leq) 15</td>
<td>-16 (\leq) h (\leq) 16, -12 (\leq) k (\leq) 12, -8 (\leq) l (\leq) 8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data/restraints/parameters</td>
<td>1515/4/132</td>
<td>3625/9/300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Independent reflections</td>
<td>1515 ([R_{\text{int}} = 0.0308, R_{\text{sigma}} = 0.0275])</td>
<td>3625 ([R_{\text{int}} = 0.0236, R_{\text{sigma}} = 0.0185])</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Compound 1 crystallized in the orthorhombic space group *Pccn*. The asymmetric unit comprises of one crystallographically independent Ca(II) ion, one 2,6-H$_2$pdc anion and a coordinated water molecule. The Ca(II) center coordinates to two oxygen atoms (O8) from two 2,6-H$_2$pdc ligands, two oxygen atoms (O11) from two half deprotonated carboxylate groups, two oxygen atoms (O13) from two coordinated water molecules and two nitrogen atoms (N1) from two 2,6-H$_2$pdc ligands resulting in a distorted dodecahedral geometry (Fig. 1a). Selected bond lengths and angles are given in Table S1. The Ca-O$_{\text{carb}}$ distances are in the range 2.4446(15) Å – 2.6891(17) Å and Ca-N is 2.5612 Å which are similar to those observed in reported calcium coordination compounds [17]. The Ca-O$_{\text{water}}$ bond length is 2.3177(16) Å. The O–Ca–O bond angles for Ca1 ranges from 58.40(5)$^\circ$ to 173.79(8)$^\circ$ (Table 1b). The carboxylate group angles O8-C7-O9 and O11-C10-O12 are 126.26(19)$^\circ$ and 125.32$^\circ$ respectively, which is slightly wider than the O=C-O angle of pure dipicolinic acid (123.81(9)$^\circ$) [30], possibly due to distortion by metal ion coordination. Furthermore, the torsional angle between the carboxylate group and the pyridyl ring (C3–C2–C7–O8) is 173.0(3)$^\circ$ and it is indicative of anti-coplanar conformation [31].

Interestingly, the O11-H11 group of the half deprotonated carboxylic group forms a strong intermolecular hydrogen bond with adjacent carboxylate group (O11–H11⋯O8 = 2.5732 Å). The coordinated water also forms a network of intermolecular hydrogen bonds and acts as the hydrogen bond donor (O13–H13A⋯O9) and (O13–H13B⋯O9) with D⋯A distances of 2.834 Å and 2.724 Å respectively (Fig. 1b). The selected hydrogen bonding parameters for 1 are shown in Table S2. The crystal packing shows that the molecules are connected by hydrogen bonds from coordinated water molecules which help in stabilizing the structure (Fig. 1b). The entire framework is further stabilized by π⋯π stacking between the centroid of one pyridyl ring (i1) and the centroid of the adjacent pyridyl ring (i2) within a layer (Fig. 1c and Table S3).

Compound 2 belongs to the monoclinic system with space group *Pc*, with a dimeric formula [Ca$_2$(2,3-pdc)$_2$(H$_2$O)$_6$]$_n$. It has two coordination centers [Ca1 and Ca2], inducing a complete deprotonation of H$_2$pdc, which in turn affects the structural functionalities of the carboxylic residues. The asymmetric unit comprises of two Ca(II) ions, two 2,3-H$_2$pdc anions and six coordinated water molecules (Fig. 2). The coordination number of Ca1 in 2 is seven, the polyhedron being pentagonal bipyramid formed by one N, O...
set from one 2,3-H$_2$pdcc molecule, two oxygen atoms from two 2,3-pdc molecules and three oxygen atoms of three water molecules, one of which bridges Ca1 and Ca2. The coordination number of Ca2 is also seven (one N, O set from one 2,3-H$_2$pdcc molecule, one oxygen atom from one 2,3- H$_2$pdcc molecule, and four oxygen atoms of four water molecules (including the bridging water molecule) and exhibits pentagonal bipyramid geometry (Fig. 3). The angle Ca1-O1-Ca2 is 130.05°, which results in the Ca1-Ca2 distance of 4.5741 Å. The O–Ca–O bond angles for Ca1 and Ca2 ranges from 68.99(5)° to 149.00(5)° while the O-Ca-N bond angles are 130.25(6)° similar to what was obtained for some calcium compounds of nitrogen-containing ligands.[20] Distances were found to be 2.6142 Å (Ca–N), 2.3725- 2.4044 Å [Ca-Ocarb] and 2.3706-2.5049 Å [Ca-O (H$_2$O)]. The [Ca-Ocarb] distances are similar to those observed in calcium carboxylate coordination compounds.[17] Selected bond lengths have been listed in Table S1.

There are two modes of coordination of the 2,3-H$_2$pdcc residue. In the first mode around Ca1, one of the carboxylate groups (O101) coordinates monodentately and bridges Ca1 atom, while the other carboxylate group coordinates bidentately, with one of the oxygen atoms (O110) bridging a Ca1 atom and the other (O109) chelating another Ca1 atom with the pyridine nitrogen (N107). In the second mode around Ca2, both carboxylate groups coordinate monodentately, with the coordinating oxygen of one group (O201) bridging a Ca2 atom and the coordinating oxygen of the other group (O210) chelating another Ca2 atom with the pyridine nitrogen (N207). These features were observed in heteronuclear compounds of H$_2$pdcc [9].

The distance between Ca atom and the chelating oxygen atom (O109) is slightly longer than that of the bridging oxygen atom (O110), indicating the stronger bond of the latter. The plane of the carboxylic group of 2,3-H$_2$pdcc ligand (C204–C203–C202–O201) is anti-coplanar with that of the aromatic ring, with a torsional angle of 103.08°. Apart from these interatomic distances between the centre metal and other heteroatoms, the crystal packing of compound 2 reveals there are O–H···O hydrogen bonding interactions between the coordinated water molecules and the carboxylate of the 2,3-H$_2$pdcc molecules with donor-acceptor (D···A) distance in the range of 1.990-2.911 Å (Fig. 4 and Table S4). In addition to the O–H···O interactions, there exists C–H···O intermolecular interactions when viewed along the crystallographic c axis. These interactions connect two adjacent 2,3-H$_2$pdcc molecules involving the hydrogen atom from one 2,3-H$_2$pdcc ring and oxygen atom of the carboxylate of the neighbouring 2,3-H$_2$pdcc molecule (Fig. 5). The D···A distance is 3.458 Å.

Compound 2 possesses a 3D framework due to an extended system of hydrogen bonds involving carboxylates and coordinated water molecules as well as the perpendicular π···π interactions between the 2,3-pdc layers (Fig. 6 and Table S5). The 3D framework is shown in Fig. 7.

**FTIR Studies**

The comparison of the FTIR spectra of the free ligands and compounds 1 and 2 are shown in Fig. S1 and S2. For 1, the characteristic $\nu$(C=O) and $\nu$(C-O) absorption band of the parent ligand were observed at 1583 and 1305 cm$^{-1}$. The absorption bands are shifted to higher and lower frequencies in the spectrum
of 1, 1707 cm\(^{-1}\) and 1291 cm\(^{-1}\) for ν(C=O) and ν(C-O) respectively indicating chelation of Ca(II) ion to the ligand. The asymmetric and symmetric vibrational bands are observed at 1543 and 1372 cm\(^{-1}\). The calculated value ∆ν(COO\(^{-}\)) is 171 cm\(^{-1}\), confirming a multidentate coordination mode of the 2,6-H\(_2\)pdc ligand. However, the ν(C-N) found in the ligand at 1130 cm\(^{-1}\) is shifted to 1146 cm\(^{-1}\) in the spectrum of 1 due to coordination to the metal \[32\]. The broad band at 2571 cm\(^{-1}\) is assigned to O-H of carboxylic acid and is as a result of partial deprotonation of the ligand. The ν(O-H) for water is observed as a broad band at 3441 cm\(^{-1}\).

The FT-IR spectrum of 2 shows significant changes in absorption frequencies that occur at coordination sites, notably is the -OH band which appears around 3100 cm\(^{-1}\) in the spectrum of 2,3-H\(_2\)pdc but disappeared in that of compound 2 due to the coordination of the deprotonated -OH group to Ca (II) metal. The IR spectra shows useful information related to the carboxylate bands from the metal coordination compounds. 2,3-H\(_2\)pdc exhibits the typical ν(C=O) stretching mode in the 1700–1730 cm\(^{-1}\) range \[33\] absent in the spectrum of 2, with new bands appearing at 1650 cm\(^{-1}\) and 1332 cm\(^{-1}\) for the ν\(_{\text{asymmetric}}\)(COO\(^{-}\)) and ν\(_{\text{symmetric}}\)(COO\(^{-}\)) respectively, validating the monodentate coordination of the carboxylate group. The vibrational frequency at 1580 cm\(^{-1}\) is ascribed to ν(C=N). This is shifted in the spectrum to 1562 cm\(^{-1}\) in compound 2. The presence of coordinated water molecules is shown in the appearance of a new broad characteristic peak of O-H of water molecules around 3400 cm\(^{-1}\). New bands observed at 497 cm\(^{-1}\) and 662 cm\(^{-1}\) are those of Ca-O and Ca-N respectively. The bands of ν(C–H) vibration modes also appear in this range 3087 cm\(^{-1}\)–2904 cm\(^{-1}\) and partially overlap with the bands of water molecules.

**PXRD analysis**

The powder X-ray diffraction (PXRD) data of compounds 1 and 2 were collected to ascertain their phase purity. The PXRD patterns of the as-synthesized samples were plotted against the simulated patterns as shown in Fig. S3 and S4. There was excellent agreement between the simulated and the experimental patterns which indicates high bulk purity of the as-synthesized phases.

**Thermal Analysis**

The TGA curves of compounds 1 and 2 are presented in Fig. 8. TGA curve of 1 shows a mass loss between 213°C to 292°C (56.10% found (calc. 50.49%)) assigned to the loss of two water molecules and a molecule of 2,6-H\(_2\)pdc ligand. The large difference between the experimental and calculated values may suggest the presence of excess surface water. A steady mass loss was further observed up to 474°C (22.90% found (calc. 19.55%)) after which the framework collapsed leaving behind a residue of CaO. Compound 2 shows three thermal mass loss stages. The six molecules of water are lost in the first step, and this amounts to 21% (20.8%) of the total weight. The two 2,3- H\(_2\)pdc residues of weight 54% were lost in two indistinct steps, first between 400-530°C and the second between 530-725°C. CaO residue was left till 800°C. The melting points were found to range between 248-250 °C and 186-188°C for 2,6- H\(_2\)pdc and
2,3- H$_2$Pdc ligands respectively. The results of the thermal analysis show that the compounds are more thermally stable than some metal coordination compounds of pyridinedicarboxylate [9, 12]. However, the thermal stability of beyond 400 °C was reported for some calcium coordination compounds [19, 34].

**DFT Studies**

**Optimized Molecular Structure**

The full geometry of 1 and 2 in Pccn and Pn symmetry was optimized (Fig. S5a & S5b), and the optimized crystal structures were very similar to those obtained by X-ray diffraction. The calculated bond parameters are presented in Table S2. 1 presents an underestimation of Ca-O (H$_2$O) bond lengths (~2.424 Å) compared to 2 (~2.543 Å) and this is due to the varying electron donation groups influenced by intramolecular interaction (H-bonding) within 2.

**HOMO-LUMO energy gap**

The HOMO–LUMO gap describes the stability of molecules, and it predicts reactivity between species by providing the electrical transport properties as well as electron carrier and mobility in molecules [35–37]. The calculated HOMO and LUMO energies of 1 and 2 are summarized in Table 3. As shown in Fig. 9, the charge density distribution of 1 illustrates that the HOMO density is mainly located around the 2,6-pyridinedicarboxylic acid (2,6-H$_2$Pdc) ligand, especially their nitrogen atom/imine and carboxylic groups, there are some indication that calcium atom may partly contribute to HOMO (Fig. 9). The LUMO density is localized on around the 2,6-pyridinedicarboxylic acid (2,6-H$_2$Pdc) ligand. With 2, the HOMO density is mainly located around the 2,6-pyridinedicarboxylic acid (2,6-H$_2$Pdc) ligand and the LUMO density is centred on the 2,6-pyridinedicarboxylic acid (2,6-H$_2$Pdc) ligand (around nitrogen and oxygen atoms) and the calcium atom (Fig. 10). Compound 2 displayed the smallest energy gap (5.156 eV) which is indicative of the softest molecule with better polarizability. Similarly, the lowest LUMO energy (E$_{LUMO}$ = 1.874 eV), which means that it can be the best acceptor of electrons [38]. 1 is characterized with an energy gap ($\Delta E_{gap}$ = 9.221 eV) and this may indicate a molecule with high excitation energy, good stability and a high chemical hardness (Table 2). The HOMO–LUMO orbitals in 1 and 2 are presented in Fig. 9 and 10, respectively.

The ionization potential (I), electron affinity (A), chemical potential (µ), electronegativity (χ), global hardness (η), global softness (S) and global electrophilicity (ω) values were calculated using the HOMO and LUMO energy values [39]. The HOMO energy (E$_{HOMO}$) is related to ionization potential (I) by Koopman’s theorem and LUMO energy (E$_{LUMO}$) is related to electron affinity (A) [40] by the following relations:

Ionization potential (I) = -E$_{HOMO}$ \[ \text{(1)} \]

Electron affinity (A) = -E$_{LUMO}$ \[ \text{(2)} \]
Absolute electronegativity ($\chi$) is related to average value of HOMO and LUMO energies defined by Mulliken [41].

Electronegativity $\chi = \frac{I + A}{2}$ \hspace{1cm} (3)

The softness ($S$) is reciprocal of the hardness ($\eta$) [42]

Global hardness, $\eta = \frac{I - A}{2}$ \hspace{1cm} (4)

Global softness, $S = \frac{1}{\eta}$ \hspace{1cm} (5)

Parr et al. defined global electrophilicity index ($\omega$) [43]

Electrophilicity index $\omega = \frac{\mu^2}{2\eta}$ \hspace{1cm} (6)

where $\mu$ is the chemical potential takes the average value of ionization potential ($I$) and electron affinity ($A$) [44].

Chemical potential $\mu = -\left(\frac{I + A}{2}\right)$ \hspace{1cm} (7)
Table 2
Quantum chemical descriptors of 1 and 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$E_{\text{HOMO}}$ (eV)</td>
<td>-7.213</td>
<td>-3.282</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$E_{\text{LUMO}}$ (eV)</td>
<td>2.008</td>
<td>1.874</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Delta E_{\text{gap}}$ (eV)</td>
<td>9.221</td>
<td>5.156</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$I$ (eV)</td>
<td>7.213</td>
<td>3.282</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$A$ (eV)</td>
<td>-2.008</td>
<td>-1.874</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\mu$ (eV)</td>
<td>-2.603</td>
<td>-0.704</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\chi$ (eV)</td>
<td>2.603</td>
<td>0.704</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\overline{\chi}$ (eV)</td>
<td>4.611</td>
<td>2.578</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$S$ (eV)</td>
<td>0.217</td>
<td>0.388</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\omega$ (eV)</td>
<td>0.734</td>
<td>0.096</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The lowest value of the potential ionization ($I = 3.282$ eV) for 2 confirm that it is the better electron donor [45]. Chemical hardness and softness value of 1 ($\eta = 4.611$ eV, $S=0.217$ eV) is greater (lesser) than that of 2, respectively. Thus, it shows a molecule which is less reactive nature. 1 possesses higher electronegativity value ($\chi = 2.603$ eV) than 2, hence making it a better electron acceptor. The value of $\omega$ for 1 ($\omega = 0.734$ eV) indicates that it is the stronger electrophiles.

**Conclusions**

Two calcium coordination compounds of dipicolinate and quinolinate ligands were successfully synthesized. Structural characterization revealed that the Ca(II) ion in 1 interacts with adjacent carboxylate groups via strong intermolecular hydrogen bonds. On the other hand, 2 revealed two calcium centers, Ca1 and Ca2. For the two compounds, the torsional angles between the carboxylate group and the aromatic ring showed that they exhibit anti-coplanar conformation. Optimized molecular structures by DFT simulations are comparable to the crystal structure geometry obtained by X-ray diffraction. Further, theoretical calculations of the HOMO-LUMO energies confirmed that compound 1 is a better electron acceptor with less reactivity while compound 2 with smaller energy gap is more reactive.
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Figures

(a) ORTEP diagram of 1 with thermal ellipsoids drawn at 50% probability level (b) packing arrangement showing O–H···O hydrogen bonding intermolecular interactions in 1 (red) along a axis and (c) crystal packing of 1 showing the π···π interaction when viewed along the crystallographic c-axis (purple).

Figure 1
Figure 2

The asymmetric unit of compound 2.

Figure 3

ORTEP diagram of 2 with thermal ellipsoids drawn at 50% probability level.

Figure 4

Crystal packing of 2 showing O–H···O hydrogen bonding interactions when viewed along \(c\)-axis.
Figure 5

Crystal packing of 2 showing C–H···O hydrogen bonding interactions when viewed along c-axis.

Figure 6

Crystal packing of 2 showing π···π interactions (purple) when viewed along b-axis.

Figure 7

Perspective showing 3D framework of 2 with O–H···O (red), C–H···O (blue) and π···π (purple) intermolecular interactions.

Figure 8

TGA curves of 1 and 2

Figure 9

Frontier molecular orbitals ((A) HOMO and (B) LUMO) for the optimized structure of 1 (Green, Blue, yellow and grey colours represent calcium, nitrogen, and carbon atoms respectively).

Figure 10

Frontier molecular orbitals ((A) HOMO and (B) LUMO) for the optimized structure of 2. (Green, Blue, yellow and grey colours represent calcium, nitrogen, and carbon atoms respectively).
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