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Abstract

In this study, it was aimed to determine the possible beneficial effects of evodiamine on liver toxicity induced by experimental cisplatin administration in rats. For this purpose, experimental animals were divided into four groups (n=6). Groups were designed as control, evodiamine (EVO), cisplatin (CIS) and evodiamine+cisplatin (EVO+CIS) groups. All experimental process was applied according to rules of ethical. Rats were sacrificed by high dose anaesthesia. The considering the biochemical results of this study, it can be said that lipid peroxidation level increased and antioxidant enzyme activities decreased in the CIS group comparing to control and only EVO groups. But in EVO+CIS group, antioxidant activities increased and lipid peroxidation decreased. Moreover, immunohistochemically caspase 8 and TNF-α expressions were severe in CIS group, whereas, in EVO+CIS group, these expressions attenuated. According to all our findings, it can be expressed that evodiamine has beneficial effects against hepatotoxicity induced by experimental cisplatin administration.

1. Introduction

Cisplatin is a chemotherapeutic agent with an organic platinum derivative used in the treatment of a wide variety of cancers (Bentli et al. 2013, Cagin et al. 2015). However, this wide use of cisplatin with its anti-tumoral effect causes many undesirable effects such as hepatotoxicity, brain toxicity, ototoxicity and nephrotoxicity (Ekinci-Akdemir et al. 2017, Ekinci Akdemir et al. 2017, Kilic et al. 2018, Sakat et al. 2018). It has been determined that cisplatin leads to hepatotoxicity development at different (low or high) doses (Zicca et al. 2002, Dkhil et al. 2013, Ekinci Akdemir et al. 2017). In various studies, it has been put forward that the mechanism of hepatotoxicity induced by cisplatin can be different factors. Especially oxidative stress caused by free radicals has a significant place among these factors (Palipoch et al. 2014, Cagin et al. 2015).

Evodiamine is a natural product obtained from plants like Tetradium and shown as harmless to human health (Kobayashi et al. 2001). It has been preferred for a long time in traditional Chinese herbal medicine for the therapy of such situations of vomiting, pyrexia and pain. Evodiamine has been reported to have anti-inflammatuar, antiobesity, anti-nociceptive effects, inhibitory and antitumor activity on a variety of cancers such as lung, liver, thyroid by activation of caspase, induction of apoptosis (Chiou et al. 1992, Kobayashi et al. 2001, Takada et al. 2005, Chen et al. 2010, Lin et al. 2016, Qiu et al. 2016).

As a result of our literature review, it could not find any experimental study investigating the effect of evodiamine on the improvement of cisplatin-induced liver damage. In this respect, in this study, we aimed to investigate the possible beneficial effect of evodiamine against hepatotoxicity due to experimental cisplatin administration.

2. Material And Methods

2.1. Ethical Approval
This study was started with the approval of Atatürk University Animal Experiments Local Ethics Committee (26.10.2017/144) and all experimental procedures were made in accordance with relevant guidelines and regulations. All experimental stages of our study were completed in Atatürk University’s Animal Experimental Application and Research Center (ATADEM). Also, biochemical, histopathological and immunohistochemical analyzes were performed in Ataturk University Veterinary Faculty Biochemistry and Pathology Laboratory.

2.2. Animals and Experimental Procedures

In this study, 200-220 g twenty-four Wistar albino rats were used. All animals were kept in appropriate laboratory conditions (12/12 light: night cycle, 55% moisture, 25-degree temperature) and fed with standard pellet feed and tap water. Just before starting the experiment, all animals were weighed and randomly divided into four groups: control, evodiamine (EVO), cisplatin (CIS) and evodiamine+cisplatin (EVO+CIS). No medication was applied to the animals in the control group. Evodiamine (EVO) was administered intraperitoneally at a dose of 40 mg/kg in for a week only to the animals in the evodiamine group. A 10 mg/kg single dose of cisplatin was administered intraperitoneally to the animals in the cisplatin (CIS) group. In the EVO+CIS group, 40 mg/kg of evodiamine and 10 mg/kg of cisplatin were administered intraperitoneally. Furthermore, the doses of cisplatin (Ekinci-Akdemir et al. 2017) and evodiamine (Zhao et al. 2015) used in our study were selected with reference to the doses used in previous studies. On the last day of the experiment, all animals were sacrificed by high dose anaesthesia and then rapidly removed the liver tissue. Hepatic tissues were maintained under appropriate conditions until biochemical, immunohistochemical and histopathological evaluations were made.

2.3. Biochemical Analyses

All biochemical procedures were performed in Biochemistry Laboratory of Veterinary Faculty, Atatürk University. After the tissues were homogenized, superoxide dismutase (Sun et al. 1988), catalase (Aebi 1984), glutathione (Sedlak and Lindsay 1968), glutathione peroxidase (Lawrence and Burk 2012) enzyme activities and malondialdehyde level (Placer et al. 1966) were analyzed by using different methods in supernatants obtained from homogenates.

2.4. Histopathologic and Immunohistochemical Evaluations

Hepatic tissue samples received for histopathological assessment were fixed in 10% formalin solution during 48 hours. Tissue samples were embedded in the resulting paraffin blocks using standard tissue follow-up procedures. Cross sections were taken from each block with a thickness of 4 µm. Samples arranged for histopathological assessment were stained with hematoxylin-eosin (HE) and examined using light microscopy (Leica DM 1000, Germany). The sections were appraised by scoring according to their immunity positivity as no (-), mild (+), moderate (++) and severe (+++).

For immunoperoxidase examination, all sections were dehydrated by passing through xylol and alcohol series and washed into distilled water for 5 min. The sections were exposed to microwave oven 4 times
for 5 minutes in an antigen retrieval (citrate buffer, pH 6.1) solution to prevent masking of the antigen in
the core, then removed from the microwave oven and allowed to cool to room temperature for 30
minutes. At the end of this duration, sections were washed with distilled water, dried around the sections
and drawn with special glass pencil. Endogenous peroxidase was inactivated keeping in 3% hydrogen
peroxide for 10 min by washing with phosphate buffered solution (PBS, pH 7.2) for 5 min. After washing
in PBS for 5-10 min, it was incubated for 5 min with Protein Block compatible with all primer and
secondary antibody to prevent nonspecific ground staining. To expose the antigen in the tissues, the
antigen was allowed to cool with the retrieval solution in a microwave oven for 2 x 5 min at 500 watts.
Tissues were incubated with caspase 8 and Tnf-α as an apoptotic marker (Catalog no: SC5263, SC52746
Santa Cruz, USA), for 60 min at 37 ° C. It was followed as the immunohistochemistry kit procedure
(ABCamHRP / DAB Detection IHC kit). 3-3 (Diaminobenzidine (DAB) was used as the chromogen. The
staining was performed with hematoxylin. The immunohistochemical and histopathological methods
used in this study were performed similarly according to the method used in previous studies (Ekinci-
Akdemir et al. 2018, Ekinci Akdemir et al. 2019, Ekinci Akdemir et al. 2019). The sections were evaluated
as no (-), mild (+), moderate (++) and severe (+++) according to their immunity positivity.

2.5. Statistical Analyses

Kruskal-Wallis and Mann-Whitney U tests were used for the analysis of differences between groups of
obtained semiquantitatively data. Furthermore, all the biochemical data obtained in our study were
analyzed by applying One-Way ANOVA and Tukey HSD tests. All statistical data were introduced as
Mean±SEM with minimum and maximum values. Statistical significance level was adopted as p <0.05.

3. Results

3.1. Biochemical Results

When the malondialdehyde level and antioxidant enzyme activities of the hepatic tissues are evaluated, it
can be seen that it causes serious damage on the liver tissue causing lipid peroxidation and an excessive
amount of free radical formation in the tissue in cisplatin induction according to control group. In
addition, it was observed in the CIS group that the antioxidant system was insufficient in free radical
scavenging of liver tissue due to excessive free radical formation. However, in the EVO+CIS group, the
amount of malondialdehyde decreased compared to the CIS group, but the antioxidant enzyme activity
increased (see Table 1).

3.2. Histopathologic Results

The histological appearance of liver tissues of the rats in the control group was normal (Figure 1-A). In
liver tissues of the cisplatin group, severe hydropic degeneration and coagulation necrosis was detected
in hepatocytes in the acinar region, while severe hyperemia in vessels and sinusoids was detected (Figure
1-B). In the evodiamine group, the liver tissues of rats were found to have normal histological structure
(Figure 1-C). In the EVO+CIS group, a mild hydropic degeneration was observed in hepatic tissues,
hepatocytes in the acinar region and necrotic hepatocytes were not observed (Figure 1-D). Histopathological findings are summarized in Table 2.

3.3. Immunohistochemical Results

In the control and evodiamine groups, liver tissue caspase 8 and Tnf-α expression were found to be negative (Figure 2,3-A,C). In the immunohistochemical examination of liver tissues of the cisplatin group, it was revealed severe cytoplasmic caspase 8 expressions in hepatocytes (Figure 2-B). Severe Tnf-α expressions were observed in the wall of the sinusoids and in the wall of the vessels (Figure 3-B). In the EVO+CIS group, immunohistochemically, slight expression of caspase 8 was detected in hepatocytes (Figure 2-D). A slight Tnf-α expression was observed around the sinusoid and vein (Figure 3-D). Immunohistochemical findings are summarized in Table 2.

4. Discussion

The liver is an organ with complex functions that it has many physiological functions. While many drugs are metabolized by the liver, liver tissue is direct and/or indirectly affected in many clinical conditions or diseases (Su et al. 2018). There are many studies showing that antineoplastic drugs or chemotherapeutic agents cause direct toxicity on the liver (Ekinci Akdemir et al. 2017, Ekinci-Akdemir et al. 2018). Although there is no scientific data clearly demonstrating the cause of cisplatin-induced liver toxicity, most of the researchers focus on the theory of free radical formation and oxidative stress in cisplatin-induced liver toxicity (Cagin et al. 2015, Ekinci Akdemir et al. 2017, Ekinci-Akdemir et al. 2018). The liver is a vital detoxication organ in the organism and is primarily affected by the toxic effects of drugs (Maes et al. 2016). Liver toxicity, high doses of cisplatin or application of low recurrence doses, probably due to cumulative accumulation in liver tissue is reported to be shaped (Cvitkovic 1998, Fenoglio et al. 2005, Iraz et al. 2006, Pratibha et al. 2006). Cisplatin causes disruption of energy metabolism, lipid peroxidation and oxidative damage by altering ATP and glutathione concentration through mitochondria in the liver (Martins et al. 2008). Production and cleaning of reactive oxygen species (ROS) in cells under normal physiological conditions are regulated by endogenous defence systems such as catalase (CAT), superoxide dismutase (SOD) and glutathione (GSH). In the case of oxidative stress, the ROS level increases and the cellular structures such as protein, lipid and DNA are damaged (Ekinci Akdemir and Tanyeli 2019). ROS has an important function in the pathogenesis of cisplatin-induced damage (Dasari and Tchounwou 2014, Ekinci Akdemir et al. 2017, Katanic et al. 2017). Because of the cisplatin can be bound with cellular proteins, it leaves the cell vulnerable via also inhibit enzymes such as CAT and SOD, which can protect the cells against oxidative damage by affecting the structure of antioxidant enzymes (Almaghrabi 2015, Katanic et al. 2017). Made in this regard studies have shown that oxidative stress parameters (CAT, SOD, GSH and TBARS) in the liver and various tissues vary significantly in cisplatin-treated experimental animals and as a result, cisplatin treatment effects as unfavourable the organs (Ekinci-Akdemir et al. 2017, Ekinci Akdemir et al. 2017, Katanic et al. 2017). Also, it has been revealed that cisplatin treatment significantly decreased antioxidant enzymes and GSH levels in the liver and different tissues, however, TBARS/MDA level and lipid peroxidation increased significantly (Ekinci-Akdemir et al.
2017, Ekinci Akdemir et al. 2017, Katanic et al. 2017). When the results of oxidative stress parameters (MDA, GSH, GPx, SOD and CAT) of this study were evaluated, as the similar to the results of previous studies, it was seen that MDA level was increased and antioxidant enzyme activities decreased significantly due to cisplatin. However, as can be seen in our findings, treatment with eugenol decreased level of the oxidative damage caused by cisplatin.

Apoptosis can be initiated by a variety of factors, including toxic substances, chemotherapeutic treatments, ionizing radiation, and oxidants. ROS formation and oxidative damage play an important role in the initiation of apoptosis. Apoptosis can also be induced by oxidative stress due to damage to both the mitochondria, the plasma membrane and the core material. ROS, oxidative damage and apoptosis have been reported to have strong relationships with each other (Kiess and Gallaher 1998, Qu et al. 2001). The caspase family is primarily or indirectly responsible for all stages of apoptotic pathways in living cells. Hence, activities of the caspase enzymes and procaspases are the most prominent actors of apoptotic cell death (Thornberry 1997, Julien and Wells 2017). Cell death proteases, known as caspases, are integral components of different types of apoptotic programs (Salvesen and Dixit 1997). Caspases have widely existed in inactive proenzyme form in cells. Once activated, they activate other procaspases, which allow starting a protease cascade. This proteolytic cascade, in which a caspase can activate the other caspase, increases the apoptotic signalling pathway and thus leads to rapid cell death. Caspase 8 plays an important role in the initiation of apoptosis (Cohen 1997, Rai et al. 2005). In previous studies, it has been demonstrated that the level of TNF-α from proinflammatory cytokines is increased in the organ toxicity induced by cisplatin (Abdelrahman et al. 2019). Caspase 8 and TNF-α expressions were significantly exacerbated by cisplatin induction. In contrast, the expressions of caspase and cytokine were decreased by evodiamine treatment.

In addition to the biochemical results, in the previous studies done on cisplatin or other chemotherapeutic drugs-induced liver tissue toxicity in experimental animals, it is revealed the pathological tissue damage characterized by degenerated hepatocytes and significant changes in liver morphology including obstructions, vacuolization in sinusoidal, dilatation of the vessels, severe activation of Kupffer cells and etc (Iseri et al. 2007, Omar et al. 2016, Ekinci-Akdemir et al. 2018). In view of the histopathological findings presented in our study as accordance with the studies in the literature, it was observed that a significant degeneration in hepatocytes such as necrosis, dilatation and hyperemia. However, evodiamine treatment was found to decrease pathological tissue damage. When the findings of the oxidative and antioxidant parameters, histopathological and immunohistochemical parameters were examined, it was evaluated that the evodiamine has a beneficial effect on liver tissue damage induced by cisplatin.
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Tables

Table 1: Mean±SEM and Minimum-Maximum values of the Malondialdehyde (MDA), Glutathione (GSH) levels, Glutathione Peroxidase (GPx), Superoxide Dismutase (SOD) and Catalase (CAT) activities of the control, cisplatin, evodiamine and EVO+CIS groups in liver tissues.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Control Group</th>
<th>Evodiamine (EVO) group</th>
<th>Cisplatin (CIS) group</th>
<th>EVO+CIS group</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Malondialdehyde (MDA)</td>
<td>22,65±0,54*</td>
<td>22,86±0,90#</td>
<td>30,42±0,89*,#¶</td>
<td>27,49±0,63*,#¶</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(nmol g⁻¹ tissue)</td>
<td>(22,65±0,54)</td>
<td>(20,22-26,11)</td>
<td>(30,33-36,51)</td>
<td>(25,34-29,77)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glutathione (GSH)</td>
<td>3,21±0,06*</td>
<td>3,43±0,05#</td>
<td>1,74±0,04*,#¶</td>
<td>2,65±0,05*,#¶</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(nmol g⁻¹ tissue)</td>
<td>(3,01-3,45)</td>
<td>(3,28-3,64)</td>
<td>(1,59-1,88)</td>
<td>(2,42-2,88)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glutathione Peroxidase (GPx)</td>
<td>14,54±0,58*</td>
<td>14,83±0,45#</td>
<td>8,57±0,20*,#¶</td>
<td>11,80±0,33*,#¶</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(U g⁻¹ protein)</td>
<td>(12,84-16,43)</td>
<td>(13,03-16,33)</td>
<td>(7,98-9,13)</td>
<td>(10,65-13,02)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Superoxide Dismutase (SOD)</td>
<td>22,94±0,61*</td>
<td>23,29±1,00#</td>
<td>15,71±0,41*,#¶</td>
<td>19,28±0,37*,#¶</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(U g⁻¹ protein)</td>
<td>(20,88-25,04)</td>
<td>(20,43-26,01)</td>
<td>(14,59-17,02)</td>
<td>(17,84-20,11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Catalase (CAT) (Catal g⁻¹ protein)</td>
<td>9,75±0,32*</td>
<td>10,11±0,58#</td>
<td>5,31±0,23*,#¶</td>
<td>7,66±0,22*,#¶</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(8,79-11,01)</td>
<td>(8,43-12,01)</td>
<td>(4,56-6,18)</td>
<td>(6,95-8,53)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*: Statistical significant is seen as p<0.05. There is a statistical relationship between the groups where the same symbols.

Table 2: Histopathological and immunohistochemical scoring of the control, cisplatin (CIS), evodiamine (EVO) and evodiamine + cisplatin (EVO+CIS) groups in liver tissues.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Control group</th>
<th>Cisplatin (CIS) group</th>
<th>Evodiamine (EVO) group</th>
<th>EVO+CIS group</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Degeneration in hepatocytes</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Necrosis in hepatocytes</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hyperaemia in the vessels</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The expression of Caspase 8</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The expression of Tnf-α</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figures**

**Figure 1**
Liver tissue, control group, normal histological appearance (A), cisplatin group, necrosis in hepatocytes (arrows), degeneration (arrowheads) (B), evodiamine group, normal histological appearance (C), EVO+CIS group, degeneration in hepatocytes (arrowheads) (D), H&E, Bar: 20µm.

**Figure 2**
Expression of liver tissue, control group, negative Caspase 8 (A), cisplatin group, severe expression of caspase 8 in hepatocytes (arrowheads) (B), evodiamine group, negative expression of Caspase 8 (C), EVO+CIS group, hepatocytes slight expression of caspase 8 expression (arrowheads) (D), IHC-P, Bar: 20 µm.
Figure 3

Liver tissue, control group, negative Tnf-α expression (A), cisplatin group, severe Tnf-α expression level in the sinusoidal intervals and perivascular field (arrowheads) (B), evodiamine group, negative Tnf-α expression (C), EVO+CIS group, mild level Tnf-α expressions in the sinusoidal field (arrows) (D), IHC-P, Bar: 20 µm.
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