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Abstract

**Background:** The prediction of implantable Collamer lens (ICL) vaulting is one of the most important parameters for ICL implantation for safety, aqueous humor circulation, and lens transparency.

**Methods:** This was a retrospective study. A RESCAN 700 was used for intraoperative observation of vaulting. Spectral-domain optical coherence tomography was used for imaging.

**Results:** Finally, 51 patients (102 eyes) were included in the study. Compared with the eyes with normal vaulting, those with high vaulting had higher preoperative diopter values (P=0.039), lower preoperative corrected visual acuity (P=0.006), lower preoperative intraocular pressure (P=0.029), higher preoperative anterior chamber depth (P=0.004), lower preoperative crystalline lens rise (P=0.046), higher ICL spherical equivalent (P=0.030), higher intraoperative vaulting (P<0.001), and lower intraocular pressure at 1 month (P=0.045). The multivariable analysis showed that the only factors independently associated with high vaulting at 1 month after surgery was the intraoperative vaulting value (odds ratio=1.005, 95% confidence interval: 1.002-1.007, P<0.001). The intraoperative and 1-month postoperative vaulting values were correlated (R²=0.562).

**Conclusions:** The RESCAN700 system can be used to perform intraoperative optical coherence tomography to predict the vaulting value at 1 month.

Background

Refractive errors of the eye are common conditions and include myopia (worldwide prevalence of 1.45 billion [1]), hyperopia (worldwide prevalence of 30.9% in adults [2]), and astigmatism (worldwide prevalence of 40.4% in adults [2]). Those errors arise when the images are not clearly focused on the retina due to the eyeball length and shape of the cornea. Corrective glasses or contact lenses are the most common methods used to achieve better vision.

Implantable Collamer lens (ICL) is another option for the correction of refractive errors. The Visian ICL™ (STAAR Surgical, Nidau, Switzerland) is a posterior chamber phakic intraocular lens (IOL) [3–5]. The EVO-ICL is based on an artificial hole and achieves acceptable safety [6], and is similar to traditional ICLs in terms of high-order aberrations and contrast sensitivity [7]. Nevertheless, the most challenging parameter in ICL implantation is the accurate prediction of vaulting, and precise and optimal vaulting is the key parameter for successful ICL implantation [8]. An improper vaulting can lead to adverse events such as pupillary block, iris touch, angle-closure glaucoma, anterior lens opacification, and early cataract [9–11]. About 2.6% of implanted ICL have improper vaulting and require exchange [12–16].

Previous methods for determining vaulting involved white-to-white measurement (manually or with imaging systems) or sulcus-to-sulcus measurement using high-frequency ultrasound have been the main methods for vaulting prediction [17, 18]. Later, optical coherence tomography (OCT) was added to refine the prediction [19–21]. Recent OCT systems that are built within the operating microscope now allow for
more precise eye surgeries [22–24]. Only a few studies examined the use of intraoperative OCT for the
determination of ICL vaulting [25]. Of note, a recent multivariable model explains only 34% of the
variability of lens vaulting among individuals [26]. Hence, additional studies are necessary to refine the
prediction of ICL vaulting.

This study aimed to explore the factors associated with the actual vaulting after refractive EVO-ICL
surgery and the correlation between intraoperative vaulting and the actual vaulting at 1 month after
surgery, in order to determine whether OCT device during surgery could provide some clinical help. The
results might help a better prediction of ICL vaulting and avoid the need for early ICL exchange.

Methods

Study design and patients

This was a retrospective study of patients who underwent EVO-ICL surgery at Wuhan Bright Eye Hospital
between October and December 2019. This study was approved by the Ethics Committee of this Hospital.
The written informed consent was obtained from all patients.

The inclusion criteria were: 1) age: 21–45 years; 2) anterior chamber depth (ACD) > 2.8 mm; 3) corneal
endothelial cell density (ECD) > 2000/mm²; and 4) completed EVO-ICL surgery and follow-up in this
hospital. The exclusion criteria were: 1) other eye diseases such as cataracts and glaucoma that caused
visual loss; 2) systemic diseases such as diabetes, autoimmune diseases, or collagen diseases that could
affect postoperative healing; or 3) being unable to measure vaulting due to unclear intraoperative OCT
images.

Preoperative Measurement

The preoperative diopter, corrected visual acuity (CVA), white-to-white distance (WTW), IOP, ACD, anterior
chamber volume (ACV), crystalline lens rise (CLR), axial length, and ECD were recorded. During surgery,
the RESCAN 700 system (Carl Zeiss GmbH, Oberkochen, Germany) was used to measure EVO-ICL
vaulting. Uncorrected visual acuity (UCVA) and best-corrected visual acuity (BCVA) were checked using an
international standard visual acuity chart (converted into logMAR visual acuity).

Subjective and objective refractions were performed using a CV-5000 comprehensive refractometer
(Topcon Corporation, Tokyo, Japan). The anterior ocular segment was determined using an SL-115
Classic slit lamp microscope (Carl Zeiss GmbH, Oberkochen, Germany). A Pentacam HR three-
dimensional panoramic analyzer for the anterior segment (Oculus, Wetzlar, Germany) was used to check
corneal morphology, ACV, CLR, and WTW. A CT-800 non-contact tonometer (Topcon Corporation, Tokyo,
Japan) was used to measure IOP. An IOL Master 700 biometer (Carl Zeiss GmbH, Oberkochen, Germany)
was used to measure ACD and axial length. An SP-3000P corneal endothelial cell counter (Topcon
Corporation, Tokyo, Japan) was used to measure corneal ECD. Fundoscopy was performed using a V90C
non-contact slit lamp pre-set lens (Halma plc, Amersham, UK). Intraoperative vaulting was observed by
using a RESCAN700 microscope (Carl Zeiss GmbH, Oberkochen, Germany). SD-OCT was used for scan
imaging, and the ImageJ software was used to measure the ICL vault value. A CIRRUS HD-OCT (Carl Zeiss GmbH, Oberkochen, Germany) was used to measure the distance between the posterior surface of the EVO-ICL and the anterior lens capsule, namely the vault value. All measurements were performed by an ophthalmologist with 9 years of professional experience.

**EVO-ICL Surgery**

All procedures were performed by the same ophthalmologist with 4 years of professional experience. The size of the EVO-ICL was determined based on WTW, ACD, ACV, and CLR. The online system provided by the manufacturer was used to calculate the EVO-ICL diopter (STAAR Surgical Co., Monrovia, CA, USA). At 3 days before surgery, levofloxacin eye drops (Santen Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd., Osaka, Japan) were continuously administrated at 4 times/day. At 30 min before surgery, compound tropicamide eye drops (Santen Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd., Osaka, Japan) were used for mydriasis. Oxybuprocaine hydrochloride eye drops (Santen Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd., Osaka, Japan) were used to perform surface anesthesia. The axis of corneal astigmatism was marked under the slit lamp before surgery. Conventional disinfection and draping were conducted. The conjunctival sac was washed. The main incision was made at the steepest meridian of the cornea. A syringe was used to inject the EVO-ICL into the anterior chamber. An appropriate amount of 15 mg/ml medical sodium hyaluronate gel (Hangzhou Singclean Medical Products Co., Ltd., Hangzhou, China) was injected above the EVO-ICL to maintain the ACD. The four angles of the EVO-ICL were adjusted to the ciliary sulcus behind the iris with the adjustment hook, and the EVO-ICL was adjusted to the marked area and the residual viscoelastic in the anterior chamber. An Icare rebound tonometer (Icare Finland Oy, Vantaa, Finland) was used to measure the IOP, which was controlled at 15–18 mmHg by replenishing and releasing aqueous humor. A RESCAN 700 microscope (Carl Zeiss AG, Oberkochen, Germany) was used to perform the SD-OCT scan imaging. The five-line scanning mode was used, with a scanning depth of 2.0 mm and a scanning length of 2.0 mm. The distance between the posterior surface of EVO-ICL and anterior lens capsule was observed, and the snapshot mode was used to save the screenshot after clearing. After the end of the surgery, tobramycin dexamethasone eye drops (Alcon-Couvreur SA, Puurs, Belgium) were used.

**Intraoperative Measurement Of Vaulting**

A RESCAN 700 (Carl Zeiss AG, Oberkochen, Germany) was used for intraoperative imaging, and SD-OCT was used for scanning imaging. For intraoperative SD-OCT image export, the ImageJ software (version 1.48) was used for processing, and the scanning depth was adjusted to 2.0 mm. The distance between the posterior surface of EVO-ICL and anterior lens capsule was measured. All measurements were conducted three times, and the average values were recorded, namely the intraoperative vaulting values.

**Follow-up**

All patients were followed routinely at 1 month after surgery. The distance between the posterior surface of the EVO-ICL and anterior lens capsule (namely, the vaulting value) was measured using a CIRRUS HD-OCT (Carl Zeiss AG, Oberkochen, Germany). Under the same indoor light, all measurements were
performed by the same ophthalmologist three times, and the average values were recorded. For the vault at 1 month after surgery, 250–750 µm was defined as normal vaulting, < 250 µm as low vaulting, and > 750 µm as high vaulting [27, 28]. At the same time, visual acuity, IOP, and diopter were measured.

Statistical analysis

SPSS 22.0 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA) was used for data processing and statistical analyses. Normally distributed continuous data (according to the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test) were presented as means ± standard deviations and analyzed using Student’s t-test. Non-normally distributed data were presented as medians (ranges) and analyzed using the Mann-Whitney U-test. Categorical data were presented as frequencies (percentage) and analyzed using the chi-square test or Fisher’s exact test. For the multivariable analysis, high vaulting at 1 month after surgery was used as the dependent variable, and the factors with between-group differences (P < 0.05) in the univariable analyses (enter method) were used as the independent variables. Binary logistic regression analysis was performed. Linear correlation analysis was performed regarding the intraoperative and postoperative vaulting. Two-sided (except for the chi-square test) P-values < 0.05 were considered statistically significant.

Results

Characteristics of the patients

A total of 56 patients with 112 eyes were enrolled. Among them, vaulting could not be measured in five patients (10 eyes) by intraoperative OCT. Finally, 51 patients (102 eyes) were included in the study (Fig. 1). There were two (2.0%) eyes with low vaulting postoperatively, and two (2.0%) eyes underwent lens exchange due to high vaulting. Figure 2 presents typical vaulting measurements. Given there were only two patients with low vaulting, this study analyzed patients with normal vaulting and those with high vaulting.

Table 1 presents the characteristics of the patients. Compared with the eyes with normal vaulting, those with high vaulting had higher preoperative diopter values (P = 0.039), lower preoperative CVA (P = 0.006), lower preoperative IOP (P = 0.029), higher preoperative ACD (P = 0.004), lower preoperative CLR (P = 0.046), higher ICL spherical equivalent (SE) (P = 0.030), higher intraoperative vaulting (P < 0.001), and lower IOP at 1 month (P = 0.045).
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>All (n = 100)</th>
<th>Normal vaulting (n = 67)</th>
<th>High vaulting (n = 33)</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sex (male), n (%)</td>
<td>35 (35)</td>
<td>26 (38.8)</td>
<td>9 (27.3)</td>
<td>0.256</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years), median (range)</td>
<td>25.5 (21,40)</td>
<td>26 (21,40)</td>
<td>25 (21,39)</td>
<td>0.680</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preoperative diopter SE (D), median (range)</td>
<td>-8.5 (-18,-2.8)</td>
<td>-8.4 (-18,-2.8)</td>
<td>-8.8 (-18,-5)</td>
<td>0.039</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preoperative CVA (LogMar), median (range)</td>
<td>0 (0,0.5)</td>
<td>0 (0,0.5)</td>
<td>0 (0,0.4)</td>
<td>0.006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preoperative IOP (mmHg), median (range)</td>
<td>18 (13,22)</td>
<td>19 (13,22)</td>
<td>17 (14,21)</td>
<td>0.029</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preoperative ACD (mm), median (range)</td>
<td>3.2 (2.8,3.7)</td>
<td>3.1 (2.8,3.7)</td>
<td>3.4 (2.8,3.7)</td>
<td>0.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preoperative ACV (µL), median (range)</td>
<td>205 (128,562)</td>
<td>204 (131,562)</td>
<td>217 (128,307)</td>
<td>0.172</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preoperative axial length (mm), mean ± SD</td>
<td>26.8 ± 1.3</td>
<td>26.7 ± 1.3</td>
<td>27.1 ± 1.1</td>
<td>0.080</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preoperative corneal ECD, mean ± SD</td>
<td>2914.1 ± 233.7</td>
<td>2910.3 ± 263.2</td>
<td>2922 ± 161.2</td>
<td>0.785</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preoperative WTW (mm), median (range)</td>
<td>11.7 (10.7,12.6)</td>
<td>11.6 (10.7,12.5)</td>
<td>11.8 (10.8,12.6)</td>
<td>0.091</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preoperative CLR (µm), median (range)</td>
<td>210 (0,520)</td>
<td>230 (0,520)</td>
<td>190 (0,390)</td>
<td>0.046</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICL size (mm), n (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.175</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>121</td>
<td>4 (4)</td>
<td>3 (4.5)</td>
<td>1 (3)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>126</td>
<td>38 (38)</td>
<td>30 (44.8)</td>
<td>8 (24.2)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>132</td>
<td>52 (52)</td>
<td>30 (44.8)</td>
<td>22 (66.7)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>137</td>
<td>6 (6)</td>
<td>4 (6)</td>
<td>2 (6.1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICL degree SE (D), median (range)</td>
<td>-9.5 (-18,-3.5)</td>
<td>-9.5 (-18,-3.5)</td>
<td>-10 (-18,-5.5)</td>
<td>0.030</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Spherical equivalent = sphere power plus 1/2 cylinder power

SE: spherical equivalent; CVA: corrected visual acuity; IOP: intraocular pressure; ACD: Anterior chamber depth; ACV: anterior chamber volume; ECD: Endothelial cell density; WTW: White-to-white distance; CLR: crystalline lens rise.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>All (n = 100)</th>
<th>Normal vaulting (n = 67)</th>
<th>High vaulting (n = 33)</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Intraoperative vaulting (µm), mean ± SD</td>
<td>770.7 ± 323.5</td>
<td>657.2 ± 279.3</td>
<td>1001.2 ± 284.8</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vaulting at 1 month after surgery (µm), median (range)</td>
<td>634 (252,1650)</td>
<td>560 (252,730)</td>
<td>910 (760,1650)</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visual acuity at 1 month after surgery (LogMar), median (range)</td>
<td>-0.1 (-0.2,0.4)</td>
<td>-0.1 (-0.2,0.4)</td>
<td>-0.1 (-0.2,0.2)</td>
<td>0.284</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diopter SE at 1 month after surgery (D), median (range)</td>
<td>0.3 (-1.5,1.3)</td>
<td>0.3 (-1.5,1.3)</td>
<td>0.3 (-0.3,1)</td>
<td>0.127</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IOP (mmHg) at 1 month after surgery, median (range)</td>
<td>18 (13,23)</td>
<td>18 (13,23)</td>
<td>17 (14,21)</td>
<td>0.045</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Spherical equivalent = sphere power plus 1/2 cylinder power

SE: spherical equivalent; CVA: corrected visual acuity; IOP: intraocular pressure; ACD: Anterior chamber depth; ACV: anterior chamber volume; ECD: Endothelial cell density; WTW: White-to-white distance; CLR: crystalline lens rise.

**Multivariable Analysis**

Based on the univariable analyses, preoperative diopter, preoperative CVA, preoperative IOP, preoperative ACD, preoperative CLR, ICL degree SE, and intraoperative vaulting were entered in the multivariable analysis (Table 2). The multivariable analysis showed that the only factors independently associated with high vaulting at 1 month after surgery was the intraoperative vaulting value (Table 2).
Table 2
Independent influencing factors of high vaulting at 1 month after the operation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>Univariable analysis</th>
<th>Multivariable analysis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>OR</td>
<td>95%CI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex (male)</td>
<td>0.591</td>
<td>0.238,1.469</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>0.976</td>
<td>0.889,1.071</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preoperative diopter SE (D)</td>
<td>0.846</td>
<td>0.73,0.982</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preoperative CVA (LogMar)</td>
<td>210.273</td>
<td>1.444,30626.133</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preoperative IOP (mmHg)</td>
<td>0.825</td>
<td>0.683,0.998</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preoperative ACD (mm)</td>
<td>12.695</td>
<td>1.999,80.604</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preoperative ACV (µL)</td>
<td>1.002</td>
<td>0.993,1.011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preoperative axial length (mm)</td>
<td>1.362</td>
<td>0.96,1.933</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preoperative corneal ECD</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>0.998,1.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preoperative WTW (mm)</td>
<td>2.050</td>
<td>0.741,5.674</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preoperative CLR (µm)</td>
<td>0.996</td>
<td>0.992,0.999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICL size (mm)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Ref</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>121</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>126</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>132</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>137</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.837</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICL degree SE (D)</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intraoperative vault (µm)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

OR: odds ratio; CI: confidence interval; SE: spherical equivalent; CVA: corrected visual acuity; IOP: intraocular pressure; ACD: Anterior chamber depth; ACV: anterior chamber volume; ECD: Endothelial cell density; WTW: White-to-white distance; CLR: crystalline lens rise.

Correlation Analysis
The results of the linear correlation analysis of intraoperative and postoperative vaulting are shown in Fig. 3. The correlation was significant (R² = 0.562).

Discussion

The prediction of ICL vaulting is one of the most important parameters for ICL implantation. This study aimed to explore the factors associated with actual vaulting after EVO-ICL implantation and the correlation between intraoperative and 1-month vaulting using OCT. The results strongly suggest that the RESCAN700 system can be used to perform intraoperative OCT to predict the vaulting value at 1 month. Taking into account the correlation between intraoperative and 1-month vaulting, optimization interventions can be carried out in time to obtain better results when abnormal intraoperative vaulting was observed.

The RESCAN 700 is the latest generation of operating microscopes integrating the LUEMRA microscope platform and OCT. It can be used to perform real-time observations of OCT images during surgery. Currently, studies reported the use of the RESCAN 700 system in vitreoretinal surgery, corneal transplantation, and cataract surgery [29–33]. Only one recent study used the RESCAN 700 to examine the vaulting after ICL implantation [25]. The accuracy of real-time intraoperative measurement of vaulting is critical to operation success. Indeed, the implantation of an ICL with the correct vaulting from the start will avoid complications (mechanical contact with the lens, pupillary block, iris touch, angle-closure glaucoma, anterior lens opacification, and early cataract [9–11]) and the need for reoperation and lens exchange [34]. This will save healthcare resources and money.

The traditional methods to determine vaulting based on WTW and ACD lead to about 20% of the patients being outside the accepted vaulting range [35, 36]. The STS can also be used, but the relationship between the WTW and STS is affected by the degree of myopia [37–42]. OCT is a valuable tool for predicting vaulting [19–21, 25]. In the present study, two eyes had too high vaulting, and two eyes had too low vaulting, leading to 4% of the eyes being outside the appropriate vaulting range. In addition, a 90-µm was observed between the intraoperative and the 1-month values, similar to the 100-µm difference observed by Torbey et al. [25]. This difference is likely due to the surgery itself, the use of irrigation, intraoperative adjustment in IOP, and the use of drugs to dilate the pupil, while the OCT at 1-month was measured on a physiological pupil. Indeed, vaulting is affected by pupil size [43]. Despite this difference, the intraoperative and 1-month vaulting values were highly correlated, as supported by Torbey et al. [25]. On the other hand, a study showed only a 7-µm difference between the intraoperative and 3-month vaulting values [44]. The wide-angle OCT image acquisition is associated with image distortion and could be a source of bias. In addition, this previous study [44] did not mention if a miotic agent was used before measurement.

Because of this difference in vaulting, it is difficult to determine whether an ICL should be exchanged when observing limit values. Nevertheless, as suggested by Torbey et al. [25], the ICL should be exchanged within the same operative session in the presence of extreme vaulting values, improving
safety and patient satisfaction. Trancon et al. [26] elaborated a multivariable model that could predict vaulting and explain 34% of its variance; lens diameter, horizontal anterior chamber angle distance, CLR, ICL spherical equivalent, and patient age were independently associated with vaulting. In the present study, only the intraoperative vaulting was associated with the value at 1 month. This discrepancy could be due to the number of eyes, different OCT systems, and different drugs used for the eyes. Two eyes had ICL with too high vaulting and the ICL had to be exchanged in order to prevent short- and long-term complications like pupillary block, iris touch, angle-closure glaucoma, anterior lens opacification, and early cataract [9–11]. The rate of 2% reported here is within the numbers reported by the literature [12–16]. The two eyes with low vaulting were not reoperated, but closer follow-up was performed. The low vaulting observed in two eyes might be due to the smaller pupil diameters, as shown by a previous study [45].

This study has limitations. The study was performed at a single hospital, and the number of included eyes was small. Because of the retrospective nature of the study, only the routine follow-up at 1 month was available, and the changes in vaulting over time could not be examined. Future studies should include more patients and should be prospectively conducted in order to include more follow-up time points and longer follow-up.

**Conclusion**

The RESCAN700 system can be used to perform intraoperative OCT to predict the vaulting value at 1 month. Therefore, the vaulting observed during surgery is probably predictive of the actual value that will be achieved, allowing optimization interventions to be carried out over abnormal intraoperative vaulting in time to obtain better results.

**List Of Abbreviations**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ACD</td>
<td>anterior chamber depth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACV</td>
<td>anterior chamber volume</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AS-OCT</td>
<td>anterior segment optical coherence tomography</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BCVA</td>
<td>best-corrected visual acuity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CLR</td>
<td>crystalline lens rise</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CVA</td>
<td>corrected visual acuity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ECD</td>
<td>endothelial cell density</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICL</td>
<td>implantable Collamer lens</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IOL</td>
<td>intraocular lens</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OCT</td>
<td>optical coherence tomography</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SE</td>
<td>spherical equivalent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UCVA</td>
<td>uncorrected visual acuity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WTW</td>
<td>white-to-white distance</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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**Figures**
Figure 3

Linear correlation between intraoperative vaulting and the vaulting at 1 month after surgery. X-axis: intraoperative vaulting. Y-axis: vaulting at 1 month after surgery.