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Abstract

**Purpose:** The global adoption of teleconsultation has been expedited as a result of the COVID-19 pandemic. By allowing remote communication, teleconsultation may help limit the spread of the virus while maintaining the crucial patient-provider relationship. The purpose of this study is to evaluate the value of teleconsultation compared to in-person visits in the management of elective orthopaedic and spinal procedures.

**Methods:** This was a prospective observational cohort study of 853 patients receiving orthopaedic and spinal care at a private outpatient clinic in New Zealand. Patients were randomly divided into two groups: (1) patients receiving telephone consultation remotely; and (2) patients receiving in-person office consultations at the outpatient clinic. All patients received telephone consultations for four weeks during the mandated COVID-19 lockdown, followed by four weeks of telephone or in-person consultation. Patient preference, satisfaction, and duration of visit were recorded. Comparisons of patient preference between groups, visit type, sex, and location were performed using Chi-square tests; similarly, satisfaction scores and visit durations were compared using a general linear model.

**Results:** We report that 91% of patients in the telephone group preferred teleconsultation over in-person office visits during the COVID-19 lockdown (p=0.000). A combined-group analysis shows that 55.3% of all patients preferred teleconsultation compared to 31.2% who preferred in-person office visits (p=0.000). Patients in the telephone group reported significantly higher satisfaction scores (9.95 +/- 0.04, 95% CI [9.87-10.03]) compared to patients in the in-person group (9.53 +/- 0.04, 95% CI [9.45-9.62]; p=0.000). Additionally, in-person consultations were significantly longer in duration compared to telephone consultations, with a mean visit time of 6.70 min +/-0.18, 95% CI [6.32-7.02] compared to 5.10 min +/-0.17, 95% CI [4.73-5.42], respectively (p=0.000).

**Conclusion:** Patients who utilize telephone consultations are more likely to prefer it over traditional, in-person visits in the future. This increased preference, coupled with higher patient satisfaction scores and shorter duration of visits, suggests that teleconsultation has a role in orthopaedic surgery, which may even extend beyond the COVID-19 pandemic.

1. Introduction

With the unexpected arrival of COVID-19, there has been a rapid uptake in the use of digital technology in healthcare, including orthopaedic surgery [1, 2]. By providing a secure platform for remote communication, teleconsultation permits patients and physicians to stay connected despite strict lockdown restrictions. Such technologies limit virus exposure and preserve limited hospital supplies, while maintaining continuity of care[3]. Teleconsultation can be conducted using either asynchronous or synchronous delivery methods [4]. Most teleconsultation delivery systems use an asynchronous “store and forward” approach in which patient information is electronically delivered to physicians, and responses can be generated later. Synchronous methods that allow for real-time delivery of healthcare,
such as through videoconferencing or telephone interviews, are more favorable as they maintain the patient-provider relationship that may otherwise be compromised in a “store and forward” delivery system[5].

A strong patient-provider relationship enhances patient satisfaction and improves compliance, as well as improving overall health outcomes[6]. In a recent systematic review and meta-analysis, we demonstrated that teleconsultation was not inferior to traditional face-to-face office visits in regard to patient and physician preference and satisfaction [7]. In fact, we found that patients who utilized teleconsultation were roughly 1.5 times more likely to prefer it for subsequent appointments over traditional office visits, indicating a role for such technologies post-COVID.

The purpose of the present study is to evaluate the patient perception of telephone consultations compared to traditional, in-person consultations in the management of elective orthopaedic and spinal procedures. We assess patient preference, satisfaction, and duration of consultation, and predict that teleconsultation is comparable to in-person consultation in these regards. Consistent with the literature, we also hypothesize that first-hand exposure to teleconsultation will positively influence a patient’s preference for its use in the future[7].

2. Methods

2.1 Study protocol

A four-level national lockdown alert system was introduced into New Zealand for the COVID-19 outbreak. From a medical perspective, level one permitted normal interactions and consultations. In contrast, level four meant no in person contact for elective care. The New Zealand national lockdown, level four, for COVID 19 was between 25 March 2020 and 27 April 2020. Following this, New Zealand moved down alert levels and entered level one on 8 June. New Zealand remained at alert level until 12 August.

This was a prospective observational cohort study of 853 patients (10–94 years old) evaluated at a private outpatient clinic in New Zealand for orthopaedic and spinal procedures. The first cohort were teleconsultations during the four weeks of level four lockdown (25 March to 27 April) and included 364 patients. The comparator group were in-person consultation during the first four weeks of level one (8 June − 6 July) and included 487 patients. Consultations were conducted by two Orthopaedic surgeons (DK and MW). All patients were reviewed within five days of referral for new patients and a designated two-week or six-week appointment post-operatively for post-operative patients. Follow-up patients were reviewed either at six weeks or after an investigation was obtained. No change in this schedule occurred between the two groups.

Consultation durations were recorded from the phone call duration or the time of entry to exit of the consultation room. Patients were contacted, either by telephone or email, by the physician assistant within two weeks of their consultation to rate their visit satisfaction and preference for either teleconsultation or in-person. Evaluations were rated on a scale of 0–10, with 0 being the worst
experience and 10 being the best experience possible. All patients in the teleconsultation group were followed up in-person within three months of their teleconsultation to ensure that the diagnosis and management was deemed appropriate by the treating clinician.

Informed patient consent was obtained from each patient. Ethical approval was sought but deemed unnecessary as this was part of a clinic audit.

2.3 Outcomes

The primary outcome measures in this study were patient preference, satisfaction, and duration of consultation.

2.4 Statistical Analysis

The percentages of participant preference for in-person, phone, or no preference were compared between in-person and phone consults using Chi-square tests. Similarly, comparisons of preferences between sex, consultation-type, and location were compared using Chi-square tests. The scores assigned to each consultation (1–10) and the durations of the consultations were compared between in-person and telephone consults, gender, consultation-type and location using a general linear model incorporating all factors in a single model for each outcome measure. Least square means derived from these analyses with 95% confidence intervals are used to summarize these analyses. A two-tailed p-value < 0.05 is taken to indicate statistical significance and all analyses were undertaken using SPSS V25.0

3. Results

Fourteen out of 364 total patients (3.8%) in the teleconsultation group did not attend their telephone appointment during the four-week level 4 lockdown; these same patients did not respond to the follow-up questionnaire assessing patient preference and satisfaction. Eighteen out of 487 total patients in the in-person group (3.5%) did not attend their office visit during the first four weeks of level 1 lockdown, and 32 patients (6.6%) did not respond to the follow-up questionnaire. Therefore, patient preference and satisfaction were reported in 807 patients, with the exception of preference by location; 32 patients reported mixed abode and were excluded from this measure.

There were two cases for which the surgeons felt the telephone consult was inappropriate: two for initial consultations of coccydynia due to the sensitive location of the pain and uncomfortable conversation that would best be served in-person. In addition, during the teleconsultations, two patients were incorrectly diagnosed as L5 radiculopathy, which, when reviewed in person, were clearly greater trochanteric pain syndrome, both of which resolved with a trochanteric bursal steroid injection. Two patients in the teleconsultation group showed up in-person and were counted

3.1 Patient Preference
Figure 1 shows the overall patient preference for consultation in both the in-person and telephone group during the COVID-19 lockdown. The vast majority of patients in the telephone group (91%) preferred teleconsultation over in-person office visits compared to 51.8% of patients in the in-person group who preferred in-person office visits. When looking at the combined groups, 55.3% of patients preferred teleconsultation over in-person visits compared to 31.2% who preferred in-person office visits (Table 1; \( p = 0.000 \)). Among the patients who preferred teleconsultation over in-person office visits, most were being evaluated post-operatively (87.5%), followed by check-ups (48.5%) and initial consults (47.8%), respectively (Fig. 2). In contrast, those who preferred in-person office visits were mostly being evaluated for initial consults (38.2%), followed by check-ups (34.5%) and post-op visits (9.7%), respectively. No preference for either teleconsultation or in-person visits was reported in 13.5% of total patients.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Consult Preference (n = 807)</th>
<th>In Person (%)</th>
<th>No preference (%)</th>
<th>Phone (%)</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CHK</td>
<td>In Person</td>
<td>130 (49.1)</td>
<td>70 (26.4)</td>
<td>65 (24.5)</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Phone</td>
<td>12 (8.2)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>135 (91.8)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>142 (34.5)</td>
<td>70 (17)</td>
<td>200 (48.5)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IC</td>
<td>In person</td>
<td>74 (56.9)</td>
<td>35 (26.9)</td>
<td>21 (16.2)</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Phone</td>
<td>22 (18.2)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>99 (81.8)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>96 (38.2)</td>
<td>35 (13.9)</td>
<td>120 (47.8)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PO</td>
<td>In person</td>
<td>13 (54.2)</td>
<td>4 (16.7)</td>
<td>7 (29.2)</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Phone</td>
<td>1 (.8)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>119 (99.2)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>14 (9.7)</td>
<td>4 (2.8)</td>
<td>126 (87.5)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>In person</td>
<td>217 (51.8)</td>
<td>109 (26)</td>
<td>93 (22.2)</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Phone</td>
<td>35 (9)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>353 (91)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>252 (31.2)</td>
<td>109 (13.5)</td>
<td>446 (55.3)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

When analyzed by sex, both males and females reported a stronger preference for teleconsultation over in-person office visits (Fig. 3). Out of all the patients evaluated, 54.5% of males and 56.1% of females chose teleconsultation over in-person visits for future visits (Mendeley supplemental Table a; \( p = 0.000 \)). In fact, roughly 90% of both males and females in the telephone group indicated that they would prefer teleconsultation for subsequent visits.
When analyzed by location, teleconsultation was preferred over in-person office visits in both city and rural community dwellers (Fig. 4). Out of the all patients evaluated, 58.9% of patients living in the city preferred teleconsultation compared to 47.6% of patients living in a rural community (Mendeley supplemental Table b; p = 0.000). A striking 97.4% of city dwellers in the telephone group preferred teleconsultation over in-person office visits compared to 81.3% of patients living in a rural community.

3.2 Patient Satisfaction

Overall, there was a significantly higher satisfaction rating among the telephone group (9.95 +/- 0.04, 95% CI [9.87-10.03]; p = 0.000) compared to the in-person group (9.53 +/- 0.04, 95% CI [9.45–9.62]; p = 0.000) (Table 2). A significant difference between the different types of visits was observed, with check-up patients reporting the highest satisfaction (9.82 +/- 0.04 95% CI [9.74–9.89]), followed by post-op (9.78 +/- 0.07, 95% CI [9.65–9.92]), and initial consultations (9.62 +/- 0.05, 95% CI [9.53–9.72]; p = 0.006). No significant difference was detected between groups in regard to sex or location.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 2</th>
<th>Patient satisfaction (0–10 with 10 being the most satisfied) according to sex, location, consultation, and visit type; F: female, M: male, City: &lt; 30 minutes travel, Rural: &gt;30 minutes travel, CHK: check-up, IC: initial consult, PO: post-op</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sex</strong></td>
<td><strong>Mean (SE)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>9.73 (0.04)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M</td>
<td>9.75 (0.04)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Location</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City</td>
<td>9.71 (0.04)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rural</td>
<td>9.77 (0.04)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Consultation</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In Person</td>
<td>9.53 (0.04)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phone</td>
<td>9.95 (0.04)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Type</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHK</td>
<td>9.82 (0.04)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IC</td>
<td>9.62 (0.05)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PO</td>
<td>9.78 (0.07)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 3  
Duration of visit (minutes) according to sex, location, consultation, and visit type; F: female, M: male, City: < 30 minutes travel, Rural: >30 minutes travel, CHK: check-up, IC: initial consult, PO: post-op

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Mean (SE)</th>
<th>95% Confidence Interval</th>
<th>Lower Bound</th>
<th>Upper Bound</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>5.80 (0.18)</td>
<td>5.46</td>
<td>6.15</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M</td>
<td>5.94 (0.17)</td>
<td>5.62</td>
<td>6.27</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Location</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City</td>
<td>5.94 (0.16)</td>
<td>5.62</td>
<td>6.26</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rural</td>
<td>5.80 (0.18)</td>
<td>5.46</td>
<td>6.15</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In Person</td>
<td>6.70 (0.18)</td>
<td>6.32</td>
<td>7.02</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phone</td>
<td>5.10 (0.17)</td>
<td>4.73</td>
<td>5.42</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHK</td>
<td>5.10 (0.16)</td>
<td>4.73</td>
<td>5.37</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IC</td>
<td>8.50 (0.20)</td>
<td>8.07</td>
<td>8.87</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PO</td>
<td>4.10 (0.29)</td>
<td>3.54</td>
<td>4.67</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 3.3 Duration of Consultation

In-person consultations were significantly longer in duration compared to telephone consultations, with a mean visit time of 6.70 min +/-0.18, 95% CI [6.32–7.02] and 5.10 min +/-0.17, 95% CI [4.73–5.42]) respectively (p = 0.000). Initial consultations took the longest to conduct (8.50 min +/-0.20, 95% CI [8.067–8.87], followed by check-up (5.0 min +/-0.16, 95% CI [4.73–5.37]) and post-op visits (4.10 min +/-0.29, 95% CI [3.54–4.67]; p = 0.000). No significant difference in consultation duration was observed in regard to sex or location.

### 4. Discussion

A strong patient-physician relationship is the foundation of orthopaedic clinical care that has been correlated with better medical adherence and positive health outcomes [6]. However, the abrupt onset of the COVID-19 pandemic has threatened this fundamental relationship by limiting in-person consultations and impeding communication between patients and physicians. Teleconsultation offers a potential solution by providing a platform in which patients and physicians can establish and maintain
communication in order to better manage elective orthopaedic and spinal conditions[2, 8]. Despite the advantages of teleconsultation, one of the biggest threats to its implementation is patient satisfaction and willingness to adopt such new technologies[9, 10]. Previous studies suggest that patient preference and satisfaction are key indicators of how effective teleconsultation modalities will be in clinical practice [9].

In this study we compare the patient perception of teleconsultation with that of traditional, in-person consultations in the management of elective orthopaedic and spinal procedures during the COVID-19 lockdown. We found that patients receiving telephone consultation had a significantly higher preference for teleconsultation than those receiving in-person visits, regardless of the type of visit (i.e. check-up, initial, post-op). A closer look reveals the greatest preference for teleconsultation is among patients presenting post-operatively, followed by those undergoing check-up visits and initial consultations, respectively. This is consistent with the literature showing that patients are more likely to prefer teleconsultation for follow-up appointments, as opposed to primary encounters, given the nature of the visits[11]. Initial consultations tend to be more thorough, with the focus being on building rapport between patient and physician[12]. As a result, patients may be more resistant to disclose personal information via telephone if a strong patient-physician relationship has not already been established. This is in contrast to post-op or check-up visits in which a strong relationship has most likely been achieved at prior visits, making teleconsultation a suitable method of care. Interestingly, we found that patients living in the city (< 30 minutes of travel time) were more likely to prefer teleconsultation compared to patients living in rural communities (> 30 minutes of travel time). One might assume that patients living in rural communities would have a stronger preference for teleconsultation given the health disparities typically seen in rural communities [13]; however, the busier lifestyles and time demands of city dwellers may explain the desire for remote consultations.

In terms of patient satisfaction, statistically higher levels were achieved in the telephone consultation group compared to the in-person group, across all visit types. Such findings may be indirectly linked to the significantly shorter duration of visits observed among the teleconsultation group. Not surprisingly, initial consultations took the longest to conduct, followed by check-ups and post-op visits, respectively. Although not assessed in this study, the higher patient satisfaction observed in the teleconsultation group may also be attributed to a reduction in travel time[14], cost reduction[15], and improved access to care[15].

While these results show strong evidence in favor of teleconsultation, there are a few limitations of this study. Firstly, telephone consultations were performed during a mandated lockdown when people were required to reside at home without the option for in-person reviews. Secondly, this study was conducted at a single outpatient clinic in New Zealand. Additionally, patient preference and satisfaction ratings were assessed through self-reported measures, with 78 patients lost to follow up.

Despite such limitations, our results suggest that teleconsultation may have real therapeutic value in the management of orthopaedic and spinal conditions. From a patient perspective, teleconsultation does not
appear to be any less than traditional, in-person office visits in regard to preference and overall satisfaction. While this study was conducted during the mandated COVID-19 lockdown, it should be noted that teleconsultation has been on the rise across healthcare fields internationally for the past decade. Therefore, our findings further support the use of teleconsultation, even beyond the COVID-19 pandemic.

5. Conclusions

We report increased preference for teleconsultation, greater patient satisfaction, and shorter duration of visits in patients who underwent telephone consultations during the COVID-19 lockdown. At the very least, our study shows that teleconsultation is equivocal to traditional, in-person office visits, from a patient perspective.
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Overall preference for consultation in in-person and telephone group
Figure 2

Preference for consultation by visit type; CHK: check-up, IC: initial consult, PO: post-op

Figure 3
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