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Abstract

Aim: Our aim in this study was to evaluate the relationship between microsatellite instability (MSI) status and $^{18}$F-FDG PET/CT data in patients with colorectal cancer.

Materials and Methods: Our study included 74 patients who underwent PET/CT in preoperative staging with the diagnosis of colorectal cancer and then underwent surgical resection. In the immunohistochemical examination, nuclear staining was considered positive for all antibodies. Normal colon mucosa and lymphocytes in tissue were used as an internal positive control group. The absence of nuclear staining in tumor cells was considered "loss" in "Mismatch Repair Gen" proteins. MSI status of patients was divided into 3 groups according to the occurrence of MLH1, PMS2, MSH2, MSH6 gene proteins, and also the number of MSI genes. It is defined as a high frequency of microsatellite instability (MSI-H) when two or more of the five markers in the tumor DNA were positive. If only one marker was positive, the tumor is termed as low frequency of MSI (MSI-L). And MSS is determined when all of the five markers were negative.

Results: While MSI was not detected in 56 of the patients (75.7%), MSI was detected in 18 patients (24.3%). 4 patients (5.4%) had MSH-L, while 14 patients (18.9%) had MSH-H. In the analysis made considering all 3 groups, there was no statistically significant relationship between MSI status and SUVmax ($p=0.835$). Liver metastases were present in 11 (36.7%) of 30 patients who were metastatic at the time of diagnosis. Distant metastasis incidence was lower in $^{18}$F-FDG PET/CT in patients with MSI-H ($p=0.010$). In addition, a significant correlation was found between the presence of liver metastases and MSI, and liver metastasis was not observed in any of the 14 patients with MSI-H ($p=0.041$).

Conclusion: Although not statistically significant, SUVmax values were found to be higher in patients with MSI-H. In addition, metastasis rates were found to be lower in patients with microsatellite instability in accordance with the literature data.

Aim

Colorectal cancers are the third most common type of cancer worldwide (1). Colorectal cancer development is thought to occur through 2 different mutational pathways called chromosomal instability or microsatellite instability (2). Microsatellite instability (MSI) is caused by incompatibilities in the gene repair mechanism (Mismatch repair (MMR)) caused by DNA damage as a result of DNA replication errors, some of which lead to the accumulation of somatic changes in nucleotide repeat sequences called microsatellites, some of which occur in gene promoter regions (3). Patients with no unstable markers (MSS) are considered MSI stable. In the presence of microsatellite instability, MSI is grouped as low MSI (MSI-L) (1 marker) and high MSI (MSI-H) (2-5 markers) according to the instability levels of the markers examined (4). Microsatellite instability is genetically evaluated by comparing amplicons replicated by polymerized chain reaction (PCR) from DNA samples obtained from tumor cells with the MSI panel.
(primers targeting microsatellite sites). In addition to this test, DNA mismatch proteins can also be detected in cancerous tissue using an immunohistochemistry panel. Although PCR is the gold standard, DNA mismatch proteins can be detected in cancerous tissue using an immunohistochemistry panel other than PCR (5). The presence of microsatellite instability (MSI) is seen in 90% of hereditary Lynch syndrome colorectal cancer cases and 10-15% of sporadic colorectal cancers (6). The presence of MSI is observed in approximately 5% of patients with metastatic colorectal cancer (6). It is known that the efficacy of immunotherapy is lower in patients with microsatellite stable colorectal cancer (7). In addition, colorectal cancer patients with defective DNA mismatch repair mechanisms (“defective mismatch repair”, dMMR) do not benefit from 5-FU adjuvant therapy, but on the contrary, they suffer from the side effects of the drug. For this reason, it is very important to determine the MSI or MMR status in terms of planning the treatment in patients who will be given adjuvant treatment (8).

Our aim in this study was to evaluate the relationship between MSI (microsatellite instability) status and 18F-FDG PET/CT data in patients with colorectal cancer.

**Materials And Methods**

The study included 74 colorectal cancer patients who underwent PET/CT in preoperative staging and then underwent surgical resection in our hospital between 2018 and 2020. Patients who were operated on during this period but whose MSI status was not evaluated immunohistochemically were not included in the study. All of the patients provided written informed consent before undergoing the procedure, and this study (Number:2020-03/01) was approved by the local ethics committee, which confirmed that the study was in accordance with the ethical guidelines of the Helsinki Declaration.

**Immunohistochemical Analysis:**

Ready-to-use MSH2 (MutS protein homolog), MSH6 (forming MutSa), PMS2 (protein homolog), and MLH1 (MutL protein homologous) primary antibodies and DAB chromogen kit were used for immunohistochemical staining. In the immunohistochemical examination, nuclear staining was considered positive for all antibodies. Normal colon mucosa and lymphocytes in tissue were used as an internal positive control group. The absence of nuclear staining in tumor cells was considered "loss" in "Mismatch Repair Gen" proteins. MSI status of patients was divided into 3 groups according to the occurrence of MLH1, PMS2, MSH2, MSH6 gene proteins, and also the number of MSI genes. It is defined as a high frequency of microsatellite instability (MSI-H) when two or more of the five markers in the tumor DNA were positive. If only one marker was positive, the tumor is termed as low frequency of MSI (MSI-L). And MSS is determined when all of the five markers were negative (9).

**18F-FDG PET/CT Imaging Procedure, Acquisitions, and Analysis:**
**Statistical analysis:**

The obtained data were evaluated with the SPSS 23.0 program (Statistical Package for the Social Sciences, SPSS Inc., Chicago). The normality of the data was examined by the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test. If the data provided the parametric conditions, they were analyzed with the independent sample t-test for two independent groups and the F test (ANOVA) for more than two groups. While using ANOVA for comparisons with more than two groups, Tukey tests were used for those who provided the homogeneity assumption and Tamhane's T2 tests were used for those who did not provide the assumption of homogeneity to determine which group was different from the others. If any or all of the assumptions were not met, the Mann Whitney U test was used for two independent groups and the Kruskal Wallis test was used for more than two independent groups. A Chi-square test was used to evaluate the data obtained by counting. The level of error was taken as 0.05.

**Results**

All of the 74 patients (median age: 63; range: 32-89 years) included in the study, including 23 women (31.1%) and 51 men (68.9%), had adenocarcinoma. The tumor was located in the rectum in 23 patients (31.1%), rectosigmoid in 6 patients (8.1%), and colon in 45 patients (60.8%). Seven patients (9.5%) were Stage I, 16 (21.6%) Stage IIA, 4 (5.4%) Stage IIB, 1 (1.4%) Stage IIIA, 10 (13.5%) Stage IIIB, 6 (8.1%) were Stage IIIC and 30 (40.5%) were Stage IV. The median value of the largest tumor size was 4.25 cm (range: 1-12 cm). The median SUVmax value measured from the primary tumor localization was 14 (range: 6-46).
While there was metastasis in 30 patients (40.5%) at the time of diagnosis, there was no metastasis in 44 patients (59.5%). The tumor was located in the colon in 17 (56.7%) and in the rectosigmoid in 13 (43.3%) of the metastatic patients. Eleven (36.7%) of 30 metastatic patients had liver metastases. Patients with MSI-H had a lower incidence of distant metastases in $^{18}$F-FDG PET/CT ($p=0.010$). There was also a significant relationship between the presence of liver metastases and MSI, and none of the 14 patients with MSI-H had liver metastasis ($p=0.041$) (Table 1).

**Table 1**

The relationship between microsatellite instability (MSI) status and distant metastases and liver metastases. MSS (without MSI), MSI-L (with MSI in 1 gene), MSI-H (with MSI in 2-5 genes).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MSI Status</th>
<th>MSS</th>
<th>MSI-L</th>
<th>MSI-H</th>
<th>p value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Distant metastasis</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Present</td>
<td>26 (86.7)</td>
<td>3 (10)</td>
<td>1 (3.3)</td>
<td>0.010*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Absent</td>
<td>30 (68.2)</td>
<td>1 (2.3)</td>
<td>13 (29.5)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liver metastasis</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Present</td>
<td>9 (81.8)</td>
<td>2 (18.2)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>0.041*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Absent</td>
<td>47 (74.6)</td>
<td>2 (3.2)</td>
<td>14 (22.2)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

MSI was not seen in 56 of the patients (75.7%). MSI was present in 18 patients (24.3%). MSI was low (MSH-L) in 4 patients (5.4%), and high (MSH-H) in 14 patients (18.9%). In the analysis made considering all 3 groups, there was no statistically significant relationship between MSI status and SUVmax ($p=0.835$). The median SUVmax value was found to be 12 (range: 8-28) in patients with MSI-H, 14 (range: 10-29) in patients with MSI-L, and 14.75 (range: 6-46) in patients with MSS.

13.5% of our patients had a family history of colorectal cancer. However, no statistically significant correlation was found between family history of colorectal cancer and MSI ($p=0.085$). In addition, there was no significant correlation between MSI status and histopathological findings of the tumor such as vascular invasion, venous invasion, perineural invasion, tumor budding, lymphatic invasion ($p=0.266;0.196;0.063;0.811;0.16$, respectively). No significant relationship was found between MSI and age, gender, and stage ($p=0.065, 0.415, and 0.485$, respectively) (TableXX). However, MSI-H was most commonly present in stage II disease. Of the patients with MSI-H, 2 (14.3%) were Stage I, 6 (42.9%) Stage II, 5 (35.7%) Stage III, and 1 (7.1%) Stage IV.

A significant correlation was found between the largest tumor size and MSI status ($p=0.017$). While the median tumor size was 4 cm in patients with MSS and MSI-L, the median tumor size was 7 cm in patients with MSI-H.

**Discussion**
Colorectal cancers are the 3rd most common cancer type after lung and prostate cancer in men and the second most common cancer type after breast cancer in women (10). Genetic predispositions such as familial adenomatous polyposis syndrome, hereditary non-polyposis colorectal cancer, family history (especially cases younger than 60 years old) are important for the development of colorectal cancer. The prognosis and choice of treatment are determined by the tumor stage at the time of diagnosis. Therefore, imaging plays an important role in staging. CT and MRI are important in preoperative staging (especially T staging). In clinical practice, $^{18}$F-FDG PET/CT is rarely used in the primary diagnosis of colorectal cancers. However, it has high sensitivity and specificity in the evaluation of distant metastases and also for postoperative surveillance (11,12). $^{18}$F-FDG PET/CT provides mainly metabolic information apart from tumor anatomy. Increased FDG uptake is associated with an aggressive tumor pattern and poor prognosis (13). According to the study of Tessem et al. (14) in colorectal cancer tissues, lactate, glycine, taurine, creatine, PC, and most of the metabolites of free choline, GPC, Myo-inositol, and glucose were found to be lower in MSI-H tissue samples compared to MSS. Perhaps it is based on the fact that altered metabolites in cancer tissues are associated with expected metabolic disturbances such as glycolysis, hypoxia, nucleotide biosynthesis, lipid metabolism, inflammation, and steroid metabolism, and perhaps this may explain the different FDG uptake levels in MSS and MSI tissues. However, there is a need for further studies investigating the changes in signal transduction pathways that lead to increased glycolytic activity in MSI tumors.

The relationship with family history in colorectal cancers was noticed many years ago (Lynch syndrome), and then differences in DNA repair genes were detected in these tumors. However, it is known that MSI is associated with sporadic cases as well as familial syndromes in colorectal cancers (15). The mechanism that causes MSI is the loss of proteins responsible for the DNA mismatch repair mechanism. Microsatellite instability is genetically evaluated by comparing amplicons replicated by polymerized chain reaction (PCR) from DNA samples obtained from tumor cells with the MSI panel (primers targeting microsatellite sites). In addition, DNA mismatch repair proteins (MLH1, PMS2, MSH2, and MSH6; IHC panel) can be studied immunohistochemically in the cancerous tissue itself, and positive nuclear staining or loss can be observed in cancer cells (16) (Figure 1,2). The majority of hereditary nonpolyposis colorectal cancer occurs due to MLH1 or MSH2 mutations (2). The NCCN guideline recommends examining the microsatellite instability in CRC patients (17). Detecting MMR deficiency in colorectal cancers is important for prognosis. MSI-H CRC patients have a better prognosis and antitumor immune response than MSS patients (18, 19). Although there are controversial data in the literature, patients with high MSI in non-metastatic colorectal cancers are associated with a lower risk of recurrence and higher survival than those without MSI. According to studies, the prognosis of MSI-H tumors is worse in metastatic patients (20).

In our study, MSI-H was most frequently present in stage II disease. Of the patients with MSI-H, 2 (14.3%) were Stage I, 6 (42.9%) Stage II, 5 (35.7%) Stage III, and 1 (7.1%) Stage IV. According to the study of Mohan et al. (21), the rate of metastasis was found to be lower in Stage I / II CRC patients. In our study,
none of the 6 patients with stage I and 21 patients with stage II had distant metastases. Among patients with MSI-H, only 1 patient had distant metastases at the time of diagnosis (Table 1).

It is known that MSI-H colorectal cancers are mostly located in the proximal colon. According to a study, in 2/3 of HNPCC patients and more than 90% of patients with MSI-H sporadic colorectal cancer, the lesion is detected proximal to the splenic flexure (22). In our study, our patients with MSI-H were located in the proximal colon (Table 2), consistent with these literature data.

### Table 2

Relationship between MSI (microsatellite instability) status and stage, gender and age. MSS (without MSI), MSI-L (with MSI in 1 gene), MSI-H (with MSI in 2-5 genes).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MSI Status</th>
<th>MSS n (%)</th>
<th>MSI-L n (%)</th>
<th>MSI-H n (%)</th>
<th>p value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Stage</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I (n=6)</td>
<td>4 (66.7)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2 (33.3)</td>
<td>0.485</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II (n=21)</td>
<td>15 (71.4)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6 (28.6)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III (n=17)</td>
<td>11 (64.7)</td>
<td>1 (5.9)</td>
<td>5 (29.4)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV (n=30)</td>
<td>26 (86.7)</td>
<td>3 (10)</td>
<td>1 (3.3)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Localisation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.02*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colon</td>
<td>30 (66.7)</td>
<td>1 (2.2)</td>
<td>14 (31.1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rectosigmoid colon</td>
<td>26 (89.7)</td>
<td>3 (10.3)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.226</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>20 (87)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3 (13)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>36 (70.6)</td>
<td>4 (7.8)</td>
<td>11 (21.6)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.415</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt; 70</td>
<td>38 (79.2)</td>
<td>3 (86.3)</td>
<td>7 (14.6)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥ 70</td>
<td>18 (69.2)</td>
<td>1 (3.8)</td>
<td>7 (26.9)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median Tumor size</td>
<td>4 cm</td>
<td>4 cm</td>
<td>7 cm</td>
<td>0.010*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

According to our study, the tumor size was found to be larger in patients with MSI-H.

In a meta-analysis by Wang et al. (23) in which they estimated the cumulative risk of colorectal cancer in heterozygous mutation carriers by age and sex, they estimated the cumulative risk of colorectal cancer by age and sex in heterozygous mutation carriers. According to this study, At age 70 years, for male and female carriers, respectively, risks for MLH1 were 43.9% and 37.3%, for MSH2 were 53.9% and 38.6%, and for MSH6 were 12.0% and 12.3%. In the study of Rigau et al. (24), although it was reported that patients
with MLH1 gene loss were older and patients with MSH2 gene loss were younger, no significant relationship was found between MSI and age in our study.

The biggest limitation of our study was that it was retrospective, with heterogeneous patient groups and a limited number of patients. In the future, there is a need for studies involving more patients and to be conducted with different institutions.

As a result, in our study, SUVmax values were found to be higher in patients with MSI-H, although it was not statistically significant. In addition, in accordance with the literature data, the rate of metastasis was found to be lower in patients with MSI. Although a positive and significant relationship was reported between MSI and SUVmax values in gastric cancers in our literature review (25), we think that the results of our study are valuable since no study was found that evaluated MSI and PET/CT data in colorectal cancers.

**Conclusion**

Metastasis rates were found to be lower in patients with MSI. Although SUVmax values were high in patients with MSI-H, there was no statistical significance between these two. However, new studies with a larger number of patients are needed.
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**Figures**

Figure 1
No loss of nuclear expression was observed in any of the MMR proteins in the immunohistochemical analysis. (A: MSH2;X100) (B: MSH6;X100) (C: MLH1;X100) (D: PMS2;X100)

Figure 2

Immunohistochemical analysis showed no loss of nuclear expression with MSH2 and MSH6 in tumor cells, whereas nuclear expression loss was present with MLH1 and PMS2. (A: MSH2;X100) (B: MSH6;X100) (C: MLH1;X100) (D: PMS2;X100)