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Abstract

Abstract Background Three-dimensional (3D) stereoscopic vision is crucial to perform any kind of manual tasks. The reduction from real life 3D- to virtual two-dimensional (2D) sight is a major challenge in minimal invasive surgery (MIS). 3D-display technique has shown to reduce operation time, mistakes, and to improve the learning curve. Therefore it seems to optimize surgical performance for novice and experienced surgeons. Inspired by consumer electronics 4K-display technique was recently introduced to MIS. Due to its high resolution and zoom-effect surgeons should benefit from it. Aim of this study is to evaluate if “state-of-the-art” 3D- versus 4K- display techniques could influence surgical performance. Methods A randomized cross-over single-institution single-blinded trial is designed. It compares the primary outcome parameter “surgical performance”, represented by “performance time” and “number of mistakes”, using a passive polarizing 3D- and a 4K-display system (2 arms) to perform different tasks in a minimal invasive/laparoscopic training parkour. Secondary outcome parameters are the mental stress load (NASA task load index) and the learning curve. Unexperienced novices (medical students), non-board certified and board-certified abdominal surgeons participate in the trial (i.e. level of experience, 3 strata). The parkour consists of 7 tasks (novices 5 tasks), which will be repeated 3 times. The 1st run of the parkour will be performed with the randomized display system, the 2nd run with the other one. After each run, the mental stress load is measured. After completion of the parkour, all participants are evaluated by an ophthalmologist for visual acuity and stereoscopic vision with five tests. A sample-size of 36 per stratum is required to detect a standardized effect of 1.0 (including additional 5% for a non-parametric approach) with a power of 80% at two-sided type I error of 5%. Thus, altogether 108 subjects need to be enrolled. Discussion Complex surgical procedures are performed in minimal invasive/laparoscopic technique. This study should provide some evidence to decide which display technique a surgeon could choose to optimize his performance. Trial Registration This trial is registered at clinicaltrials.gov (trial number: NCT 03445429, registered February 7, 2018, http://www.clinicaltrials.gov)

Background

Laparoscopic and minimal invasive operation techniques/surgery (MIS) have become the standard in basic (e.g. cholecystectomy1) as well as in complex surgical procedures (e.g. living donor nephrectomy2). In general, the learning curve is prolonged compared to open surgery3 and even longer for complex operations4. One challenge is the reduction from real life three-dimensional (3D) stereoscopic vision to virtual two-dimensional (2D) sight. 3D-vision is very important to perform any kind of manual tasks5. Therefore optimizing the visualization of the operative field is required especially in MIS. 2D-full-high definition technique was a step to improve vision again. Passive polarizing 3D-display technique reintroduces natural stereoscopic view and orientation to MIS. Leading to shorter operation times it seems to optimize surgical performance compared to standard 2D-imaging in basic procedures6,7. Novices as well as experienced surgeons seem to benefit from the 3D-passive polarizing technique8. The learning curve and performance especially in complex surgical procedures, e.g. vascular preparation during retroperitoneoscopic donor nephrectomy could be optimized and simplified9. The recent EAES consensus statement recommended the use of 3D-vision to reduce operative time7. As a disadvantage of the technique the surgeon must wear glasses and the equipment is expensive. Furthermore a relevant percentage of the population has deficits in binocular and stereoscopic vision, which could induce dizziness and nausea when
using passive polarizing 3D-video technique. This could deteriorate surgical performance at the end. Inspired by consumer electronics, the 4K-display technique has reached medicine. It creates a high resolution image with 4098 x 2160 pixels at large-scale 55" monitor (140cm), resulting in an up to 30-times zoom. Due to these features, it should also optimize surgical performance in MIS and could be an alternative to the passive polarizing 3D-display technique. Data comparing these techniques is scarce. Therefore, both techniques are compared in this randomized cross-over setting. Aim of this study is to evaluate if “state-of-the-art” display techniques could influence surgical performance, represented by the outcome parameters “performance time” and “number of mistakes” in different tasks of a minimal invasive/laparoscopic training parkour.

Methods/design

A randomized cross-over single-blinded trial is designed. It compares the surgical performance in a laparoscopic/MIS training parkour using a passive polarizing 3D- and 4K-display system. It should be tested, whether the tasks of the training parkour could be performed faster and/or with less mistakes using one of the display systems. Also the influence of the display technique on the learning curve will be evaluated. The trial is performed at single institution (Department of General, Visceral and Cancer Surgery, University Hospital of Cologne). Subjects of the study will be surgeons from the University Hospital of Cologne as well as from primary and secondary hospitals/community clinics in Cologne. After written informed consent (obtained by R.W., R.D., J.B. or T.B.), subjects will be randomized to start the training parkour with the 3D- or the 4K-system. After completion of the parkour with the first display system, the task load is evaluated by the NASA task load index (NASA-TLX). After that, the parkour is performed with the other display system (vice-versa-setting), followed again by NASA-TLX. Three different groups (i.e. strata) of subjects participate in the trial: unexperienced novices to MIS (medical students), non-board certied abdominal surgeons in-training with some experience in MIS and board-certied abdominal surgeons with a high level of experience in MIS. The parkour consists of 7 tasks (novices 5 tasks), which will be repeated 3 times. After completion of the parkour, all participants are examined by an ophthalmologist for stereoscopic vision and exclusion of manifest strabismus with five qualitative and semi-quantitative tests: Lang (I and II)-, Titmus- Bagolini striated glasses test (near and far distance test), TNO-stereo tests (near distance) and cover-/uncover-test (near and far distance). Further, monocular visual acuity is tested (far distance) and anterior segment and central fundus are screened for relevant anomalies. Figure 1 shows the study flowchart. According to the SPIRIT 2013 guidelines a trial schedule (table 1) and a trial checklist (supplemental material) are part of the protocol.

Outcome measures and data collection

Primary outcome measure is the surgical performance measured by the items “time in seconds” and “number of mistakes”. Both items are measured for each task separately and for all tasks together. The mistakes are defined for every task as any deviation from perfect performance (general and special mistakes). Secondary outcome parameters are the scores of the NASA-TLX and the learning curves. Learning curves will be described as performance (time, errors) over repetitions and add of a standard CUSUM.
analysis. Moreover, performance indicators are investigated for possible interaction of replication, technique and sequence (3D after 4K or vice versa).

Baseline characteristics are acquired by a questionnaire. The MIS tasks are recorded as standard 2D-videos. NASA-TLX is performed as pen-and-paper version. Ophthalmological examination is performed and documented on a separate CRF. If data of one subject is completed, it will be transferred to the data trustee, who pseudonymized the data and videos. The videos will be sent back to the investigators for evaluation of primary outcome measure. Each video will be assessed by two evaluation-trained investigators. Inter-rater reliability will be evaluated by contingency table analysis (kappa statistics or intraclass correlation). Large differences, i.e. larger than 1.96*standard deviations, will be reevaluated by two additional raters and described qualitatively. This will be documented on a CRF and retransferred to the data trustee, who will reunite it all. Then the pseudonymized data are sent to the investigators and statistician for final evaluation. Table 1 shows type and time of data collection. At time of publishing the study protocol, the trial is still recruiting.

**Table 1**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Schedule</th>
<th>Enrollment</th>
<th>Laparoscopic Parkour</th>
<th>Laparoscopic Parkour</th>
<th>Orthoptic/Ophthalmologic Examination</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1st Display system</td>
<td>2nd Display system</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assessment No.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time</td>
<td>-28 until Day 1</td>
<td>Day 1</td>
<td>Day 1</td>
<td>Day 2 until 21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In-/exclusion criteria</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Informed consent</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Registration</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Questionnaire</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baseline Characteristics</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Randomization</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surgical Performance</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-time</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-mistakes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NASA-TLX</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orthoptic/ophthalmologic examination</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Each x signifies one study specific event, which is documented for later evaluation. The trial schedule is created according the SPIRIT guideline and figure.10,11

Inclusion Criteria

Subjects fulfilling the following inclusion criteria may be enrolled in the study:

Medical student, surgeons in training, board-certified surgeons

Given written informed consent

Age>18 years

Exclusion criteria

Medical students with any experience in laparoscopic surgery

Experience in the laparoscopic training parkour (all subjects)

Non-correctable vision disorders

Known impaired stereoscopic vision

Manual skill disorders

Randomization and blinding

Randomization of subjects to sequences is based on permuted blocks and stratified by level of experience. It will be performed by the data trustee. After that subjects will perform the laparoscopic parkour, the NASA-TLX and be examined by the ophthalmologist. The performance of the laparoscopic parkour will be video documented as standard 2D-videos. After completion of the study examination all data including the videos will be collected by the data trustee and pseudonymized and stored on a secured data base with routine backup. To guarantee blinding only pseudonymized data is sent back to the investigators for evaluation of the final study data. Therefore, the evaluating investigators are not able to find out whether the 3D- or 4K-display system was used during the laparoscopic training parkour.

Data management

Data evaluation and entry to study data base is double checked and performed by two investigators. Final access to the data base is given to the sponsor, responsible party and authors of the protocol. It will not be provided to any third party.

Interim analysis and stopping guidelines
There is no interim analysis planned. There are no stopping guidelines due to the fact that the trial does not evaluate an U.S.-FDA-regulated drug product nor an U.S. FDA-regulated device product.

Sample Size

Assuming a correlation of 0.5 between measurements per subject a sample size of 36 per stratum is required to detect a standardized effect of 0.5 (including additional 5% for a non-parametric approach) with a power of 80% at a two-sided type I error of 5%. This is a cautious estimate since a considerably larger effect size of 1.0 was reported by Smith et al for the improvement in the median time and for completion of the entire protocol, albeit in a parallel-group setting. Similarly, for the median number of errors, an effect size of 1.95 was observed. Also, preliminary cases supported the sample size calculation. Thus, altogether 108 subjects need to be enrolled. Subjects who drop-out of the study may be replaced.

Statistical analyses

Quantitative variables are summarized by mean ± standard deviation and percentiles (0, 25, 50, 75, and 100), qualitative variables by count and percentage. Outcome measures are evaluated by modelling; specifically (generalized) linear mixed models for repeated measures (MMRM) with main effects modality, stratum and period (type III SS, REML, unstructured covariance matrix). Estimated marginal means and contrasts are derived. Interaction effects, particularly stratum*modality, are explored. Two-sided p-values <0.05 are interpreted to indicate statistical significance. Missing data will substituted by multiple imputations. Subgroup analysis will be performed according to the above mentioned strategies.

Trial organization

The IDOSP trial is an investigator initiated trial without external funding. The trial is sponsored by the university hospital of cologne. The department of general visceral and cancer surgery is responsible for the coordination of the trial.

Ethics

Ethics Committee approval was obtained before the study (Ethikkommission der Medizinischen Fakultät der Universität zu Köln, Number 17-388, date 26th October 2017). Written informed consent will be given by all subjects before study inclusion and randomization. The pseudonymized data management is guaranteed by the data trustee. The study is performed in accordance with German national laws and guidelines, Good Clinical Practice and the Declaration of Helsinki. The study is registered at clinicaltrials.gov (trial number: NCT03445429).

Dissemination policy

Trial results will be published by the authors of this protocol in scientific journals and on clinicaltrials.gov.

3D- and 4K-Display system
As commercially available passive polarizing 3D-laparoscopic system the Einstein Vision® 2.0, 30° camera, 10 mm, 3D full high-definition monitor 32”, Aesculap AG, Tuttlingen, Germany is used. As commercially available 4K-system the Visera 4K UltraHighDefinition, 30° camera, 10 mm, 4K big screen monitor 55”, Olympus Medical system, Olympus Europa SE & Co. KG, Hamburg, Germany is used. The position of the complete laparoscopic training parkour, the camera position in the laparoscopic training system, and the distance from study subject to the screens are standardized. All positions are marked with signs on the training system or on the floor in the operating theater.

**Laparoscopic training parkour**

The laparoscopic training parkour consists of the training simulator (eoSim, eoSurgical Ltd, Edinburgh, UK) wherein the tasks are performed. EoSIM is compatible to FLS. Construct validity for the system was shown before 13. Integrated in the training simulator is a video camera system connected to a standard tablet computer. It documents the tasks for evaluation in the 2D-video standard. The training simulator is connected to the 3D- or 4K-display system via the main camera and monitor. The complete setup of the parkour is shown in picture 1 and 2. To minimize the potential bias of two simultaneous participants at a training parkour described by Kowalewski et al 14, participants start “time delayed”. The display systems are placed 1.5 meter away from each other. The working direction is turned by 45°, so that the participants are looking in different directions. Additionally, there are always two of the investigators in the operation theater, who observe the participants and prevent “copying”. 7 different tasks (novices 5) with increasing difficulty are performed by the subjects. Each task is performed 3 times in a row. Then the next task follows. The tasks are called “rope pass”, “paper cut”, “pegboard transfer”, “needle threading”, “needle recapping”, “circle cutting” and “knot tying” (Figure 4). Table 2 briefly describes the tasks.

Please place Figure 2 here

Please place Figure 3 here

Please place Figure 4 here

**Table 2**

**Description of the task - performed during the laparoscopic training parkour - 3D- vs. 4K-display system**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tools</th>
<th>Description of task</th>
<th>Special mistakes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Task</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rope pass</td>
<td><strong>30cm long silicon tube, marked every 3cm, mark width 3 mm</strong></td>
<td>grasping the non-marked area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rope should be given from one hand to the other, only grasping at the marks</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paper cut</td>
<td><strong>8cm long paper ruler, mark width 1 mm</strong></td>
<td>Cut through the paper or in non-marked area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Make a 0.5cm long cut every 1cm on the ruler</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pegboard transfer</td>
<td><strong>Pegboard with 8 triangles, each placed on bars</strong></td>
<td>placing the triangles wrong</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>mid-air transfer of triangles from left to right, back and forth</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Needle threading</td>
<td><strong>Needle on a pin cushion, surgical thread</strong></td>
<td>Thread slipped from the eye of the needle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Needle should be hold in midair, thread should be laced through the eye of the needle</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Needle recapping</td>
<td><strong>18-gauge cannula and cap</strong></td>
<td>Cannula touches cap on the outside</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cannula should be recapped in mid-air</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Circle cutting*</td>
<td><strong>Gauze with a 5cm diameter two-lined circle, distance between the lines 5mm</strong></td>
<td>cut out of the marked area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Circle should be cut out of the gauze between the lines</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Knot tying*</td>
<td><strong>Surgical thread, vessel dummy with opening</strong></td>
<td>Slipping of thread</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>one stitch suture with intracorporeal knot should be performed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*not performed by the novice group

Before starting, the participants are shown video, how to perform the tasks of the laparoscopic training parkour. Also, a handout describing all tasks is passed to them. After that no further explanations by the investigators will be made. Color coded standard laparoscopic instruments are used (grasper, Overholt clamp, scissors, needle holders). Each task starts with the first touch of the used tool and ends with drop-down to the bottom of the laparoscopic trainer box. Performance time is measured between these positions. Mistakes are documented as any deviance from perfect performance. There are general and special mistakes for each task. General mistakes are dropping of the main objects of the tasks (e.g. the rope, paper, needle or thread on the floor of the box trainer), regrasping of the used objects and touching parts of the box trainer. A special mistake e.g. in “rope passing” is grasping the non-marked area, for “paper cut” a too long cut through the paper and “for knot” tying a slipping of the prepared loop. In addition the task will be rated according to the Global Operative Assessment of Laparoscopic Skills (GOALS)15.

**3D- and 4K-Display system**

The commercially available 3D imaging system Einstein Vision® 2.0, 30° camera, 10 mm, 3D Full HD monitor 32” (82cm), Aesculap AG, Tuttingen, Germany and the commercially available 4K-system Visera 4K UHD, 30° camera, 10 mm, 4K big screen monitor 55” (140cm), Olympus Medical system, Olympus Europa SE & Co. KG, Hamburg, Germany are used. The position of the complete laparoscopic training parkour, the
camera position in the laparoscopic training system, and the distance from study subject to the screens are
standardized.

Discussion

More and more complex surgical procedures are performed in minimally invasive/laparoscopic technique. Optimal visualization of the surgical field is one of the key aspects in this context: the better a surgeon can see, the more subtle preparation of damageable tissue (e.g. small vessel, liver parenchyma) becomes possible. State-of-the-art display technique supports this progress. This study compares in a randomized controlled setting, the use of 3D- vs. 4K-display technique and its influence on surgical performance. The hypothesis is that one of both techniques could facilitate minimally invasive surgery. This should result in a shorter operating/performance time and a minimized mistake rate. Finally, this would lead to a better outcome for the patient. Depending on the different factors (e.g. structured teaching programs, talent of the surgeon, kind of operation, equipment), 30-100 procedures could be necessary to adopt a complex minimal invasive operation.\textsuperscript{3,4,9} It seems possible, that an optimal display system could also optimize this teaching and learning process. It could help novice surgeons to improve faster during their training, especially in times of highly specialized surgical centers, external quality control and benchmarking with demanding low complication rates. Experienced surgeons, who have learned over the years to deal with reduced standard 2D-vision in MIS, could also benefit from optimal display technique. Reducing the task load by optimal intraoperative vision could help to perform long lasting minimal invasive procedures. In terms of working conditions (e.g. retirement at the age of 67 as a surgeon in Germany), optimized intraoperative vision in MIS seems to become an important aspect in the future. Using an in-vitro setting in the study many aspects could be evaluated easier and less biased compared to a clinical trial. In times of offensive marketing and economical influenced decision making in medicine, the authors hope with this investigator initiated trial to improve evidence in this field of minimal invasive surgery and help to choose optimal equipment for the future operation theater.

Trial status

This protocol represents the trial protocol version 1.0, first posted on the 7th of February 2018. The recruitment began at the 28th February 2018 and will be completed approximately at the 01th May 2019.

List Of Abbreviations

Case report form CRF

Three-dimensional 3D

Two-dimensional 2D

4K-resolution 4K

Minimal invasive surgery MIS
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**Figures**
Figure 1

Study Flowchart - 3D- vs. 4K-Display System - Influence of "State-of-the-art"-Display Technique on Surgical Performance in Minimal Invasive Surgery. NBC non-board certified, BC board certified

Figure 2

Set-Up - Laparoscopic training parkour Laparoscopic training simulator in combination with the 4K- (A) and the 3D-Display system (B)
Figure 3

**Set-up of the laparoscopic training parkour in the operation theater** Laparoscopic training parkour in the operation theater (A, B). Using the passive polarizing 3D-Display system the participating surgeons have to wear special glasses (B).

Figure 4
Tasks of the laparoscopic training parkour Rope pass (A), paper cut (B), pegboard transfer (C), needle threading (D), needle recapping (E), circle cutting (F) and knot tying (G). Figures 2, 3 and 4 are figures of the corresponding other and not taken from another source.
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