Metabolic profiling of neocortical tissue discriminates Alzheimer’s disease from mild cognitive impairment, high pathology controls, and normal controls
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Abstract

Background

Alzheimer’s disease (AD) is the most common cause of dementia, accounting for an estimated 60 to 80% of cases, and is the sixth-leading cause of death in the United States. While considerable advancements have been made in the clinical care of AD, it remains a complicated disorder that can be difficult to identify definitively in its earliest stages. Recently, mass spectrometry (MS)-based metabolomics has shown significant potential for elucidation of disease mechanisms and identification of therapeutic targets as well diagnostic and prognostic markers that may be useful in resolving some of the difficulties affecting clinical AD studies, such as effective stratification.

Methods

In this study, complementary gas chromatography- and liquid chromatography-MS platforms were used to detect and monitor 2,080 metabolites and features in 48 post-mortem tissue samples harvested from the superior frontal gyrus of male and female subjects. Samples were taken from four groups: 12 normal control (NC) patients, 12 cognitively normal subjects characterized as high pathology controls (HPC), 12 subjects with non-specific mild cognitive impairment (MCI), and 12 subjects with AD.

Results

Multivariate statistics informed the construction and cross-validation ($p < 0.01$) of partial least squares-discriminant analysis (PLS-DA) models defined by a 9-metabolite panel of disease markers (lauric acid, stearic acid, myristic acid, palmitic acid, palmitoleic acid, and four unidentified mass spectral features). Receiver operating characteristic analysis showed high predictive accuracy of the resulting PLS-DA models for discrimination of NC (97%), HPC (92%), MCI (~96%), and AD (~96%) groups. Pathway analysis revealed significant disturbances in lysine degradation, fatty acid metabolism, and the degradation of branched-chain amino acids. Network analysis showed significant enrichment of 11 enzymes, predominantly within the mitochondria.

Conclusions

The results expand basic knowledge of the metabolome related to AD and reveal pathways that can be targeted therapeutically. This study also provides a promising basis for the development of larger multi-site projects to validate these candidate markers in readily available biospecimens such as blood to enable the effective screening, rapid diagnosis, accurate surveillance, and therapeutic monitoring of AD.

Background
Alzheimer’s disease (AD) is a neurodegenerative disorder marked primarily by cognitive decline and dementia [1, 2], in addition to the accumulation of extracellular amyloid β (Aβ) plaques and intracellular neurofibrillary tau tangles [3, 4]. AD is the most common cause of adult dementia, accounting for 60 to 80% of cases worldwide [5], and is sixth leading cause of death in the United States [6]. Currently, AD affects more than 5.8 million Americans [5, 7], with prevalence expected to triple by 2050 [8]. In the United States, total payments in 2020 for health care, long-term care, and hospice services are estimated to be $305 billion [6]. Consequently, AD represents a significant threat to human health and exerts a substantial financial and societal impact.

Considerable advancements have been made in the ability to accurately diagnose AD, largely owing to the development of positron emission tomography (PET) scans for detection of plaques and tangles, as well as cerebral spinal fluid (CSF) and plasma tests for AD-associated biomarkers [9]. However, treatment of patients and appropriate evaluation of the outcomes of clinical studies remain complicated by the many unknowns involved in AD. Individuals with mild cognitive impairment (MCI) may be in the early stages of AD or may be affected by an unrelated disease process, confounding studies of early interventional treatments. In addition, some individuals with intermediate levels of AD-associated pathology (plaques and tangles) do not display cognitive deficits [10], indicating at least a partial disconnect between those specific pathologies and cognitive function. It is also common for AD to be found in conjunction with other pathologies such as synucleinopathy, TDP-43, or microinfarcts [11]. Therefore, the ability to more effectively stratify and subgroup individuals would likely produce clearer and more actionable results. Furthermore, provisional diagnosis relies on a combination of mental status testing, neuropsychological tests, interviews with friends and family, laboratory tests, and various brain imaging techniques such as magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), computerized tomography (CT), and PET [12–14]. These conventional diagnostic methods show low specificity against other dementias (70%) and only moderate sensitivity (80%) [15]. Additionally, these criteria are unable to capture early brain pathology that may predate symptoms by as much as 30 years [16]; without timely diagnosis, patients are less likely to access appropriate treatment options that may slow disease progression [17, 18]. Consequently, there is a critical need for highly sensitive and specific markers of AD that may enable early disease detection as well as identification of potential drug targets, improved prognosis, and monitoring of therapeutic response.

A growing body of evidence suggests that perturbations in various metabolic pathways play a significant role in AD [11]. Most notably, the mitochondrial cascade hypothesis states that widespread mitochondrial metabolic dysfunction is a strong characteristic of AD and plays a role in the accumulation of Aβ plaques [12]. Furthermore, studies have also shown fatty acids to play an important role in AD pathology, exerting both protective and pathogenic effects [7, 13]. Alterations in glycerophospholipid [14] and phosphatidylcholine metabolism [15] have also been strongly linked to AD in previous studies utilizing metabolomics-based approaches. Metabolomics, the scientific study of metabolic composition and pathways present in biological systems, has facilitated the accurate characterization of various metabolomes for advances in disease classification, drug therapy, and biomarker discovery [16–18]. More specifically, mass spectrometry (MS) is an analytical approach in metabolomics that allows for the
accurate detection and quantification of metabolites in biological samples [19–21]. Various forms of untargeted and targeted MS-based metabolomic assays have been implemented in an attempt to profile biochemical processes in AD pathology. Methods mainly include direct infusion-MS [22], ultrahigh resolution-MS enabled by liquid chromatography (LC)-Orbitrap [23], and gas chromatography (GC)-time-of-flight-MS [24], which were used in many previous studies for therapeutic drug targeting, disease characterization, and potential diagnostic biomarkers.

The current study employs hyphenated MS-based assays that combine both targeted and untargeted metabolomics approaches to detect aqueous metabolites, lipids, fatty acids, and bile acids, in addition to profiling unidentified features. A total of 2,080 metabolites/features were detected in 48 samples of superior frontal gyrus tissue taken from four groups of patients: normal control (NC), high pathology controls (HPC), mild cognitive impairment (MCI), and AD. Multivariate significance testing and model estimation constructed cross-validated partial least squares-discrimination analysis (PLS-DA) models confined to a highly predictive 9-metabolite panel of potential biomarkers capable of distinguishing each clinical group with high sensitivity and specificity. In conjunction with pathway and enrichment analyses, the current study corroborates findings of previous literature and adds to basic knowledge of the metabolome related to neurodegenerative decline as well as the behavioral symptoms of AD-induced dementia; this study offers a large-scale analysis of molecular alterations associated with AD pathogenesis and progression, potentially supporting future drug development and prevention efforts. Importantly, this study provides clinically relevant candidate biomarkers capable of accurate post-mortem classification which may, eventually, prove useful to in vivo diagnosis and disease monitoring.

**Methods**

**Reagents**

Acetonitrile (ACN), methanol (MeOH), ammonium acetate (NH₄OAc), acetic acid (AcOH), and isopropanol (IPA), all LC-MS grade, were purchased from Fisher Scientific (Pittsburgh, PA). Ammonium hydroxide (NH₄OH), methyl tert-butyl ether (MTBE), O-methylhydroxylamine hydrochloride (MeOX), and N-Methyl-N-(tert-butyldimethylsilyl) trifluoroacetamide (MTBSTFA) were bought from Sigma-Aldrich (Saint Louis, MO). High performance LC grade chloroform (CHCl₃) was obtained from VWR (Radnor, PA). Deionized water was provided in-house by a water purification system from EMD Millipore (Billerica, MA). Phosphate buffered saline (PBS) was bought from GE Healthcare Life Sciences (Logan, UT). Standard compounds corresponding to measured aqueous metabolites/features were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich and Fisher Scientific. Lipid standards were purchased from Fisher Scientific, Sigma-Aldrich, and Avanti Polar Lipids (Alabaster, AL).

**Clinical samples**

Frozen tissue from the superior frontal gyrus of male and female subjects was obtained from the Arizona Study of Aging and Neurodegenerative Disorders/Brain and Body Donation Program at the Banner Sun...
Health Research Institute (BSHRI) in Sun City, Arizona [20]. Samples were collected under a previously approved institutional review board (IRB) protocol with broad consent for usage of biospecimens. All research protocols were conducted in accordance with the principles expressed in the Declaration of Helsinki. Subjects were divided into 4 groups by clinical status (n = 12 for all groups) based on assessment of post-mortem brain pathology and cognitive status before death. These groups were: normal control (NC) subjects with criteria not met for AD neuropathology, cognitively normal subjects with intermediate AD pathology characterized as high pathology controls (HPC), subjects with non-specific mild cognitive impairment (MCI) and intermediate AD neuropathology, and subjects with dementia and high neuropathology with criteria met for AD. For definition of groups by AD pathology, the National Institute on Aging-Alzheimer's Association guidelines for the neuropathologic assessment of Alzheimer's disease were used [10]. Relevant clinical characteristics were provided by BSHRI for each subject such as age, sex, APOE genotype, post-mortem interval (PMI), Mini-Mental State Examination (MMSE), and Braak score. Additionally, measures of brain pathology including cerebral amyloid angiopathy (CAA), amyloid plaques and neurofibrillary tangles were taken from either a frontal area of the brain or a compilation of sampling from various brain regions.

**Targeted LC-MS/MS aqueous profiling**

For tissue lysates, 400 mg pieces of frozen superior frontal gyrus were hand homogenized in 400 µL of ice-cold sterile PBS containing a protease/phosphatase inhibitor cocktail (Halt, Thermo Scientific). Three samples for which less tissue was available were homogenized in equal ratios (weight to volume) of the PBS/inhibitor solution. Homogenized samples were sonicated on ice in a biosafety cabinet at a 40% amplitude for a total time of 1 min, with alternating on/off sequences of 15 sec. Samples were then centrifuged for 30 min at 14,000 x g at 4°C. The supernatant and pellets were stored separately at -80°C until analysis.

Prior to LC-MS/MS targeted measurement, frozen tissue supernatant samples were first thawed overnight under 4°C. Afterward, 50 µL of each sample were placed in a 2 mL Eppendorf vial. The initial step for protein precipitation and metabolite extraction was performed by adding 500 µL MeOH and 50 µL internal standard solution (containing 1,810.5 µM $^{13}$C$_3$-lactate and 142 µM $^{13}$C$_5$-glutamic acid). The mixture was then vortexed for 10 s and stored at -20°C for 30 min, followed by centrifugation at 14,000 RPM for 10 min at 4°C. The supernatants (450 µL) were collected into new Eppendorf vials and dried using a CentriVap Concentrator. The dried samples were reconstituted in 150 µL of 40% PBS/60% ACN and centrifuged again at 14,000 RPM at 4°C for 10 min. Afterward, 100 µL of supernatant was collected from each sample into an LC autosampler vial for subsequent analysis. A pooled sample, which was a mixture of all experimental samples, was used as the quality control (QC) sample and injected once every 10 experimental samples.

The targeted LC-MS/MS method used here was modeled after that developed and used in a growing number of studies [25–30]. Briefly, all LC-MS/MS experiments were performed on an Agilent 1290 UPLC-6490 QQQ-MS system. Each supernatant sample was injected twice, 10 µL for analysis using negative
ionization mode and 4 µL for analysis using positive ionization mode. Both chromatographic separations were performed in hydrophilic interaction chromatography mode on a Waters XBridge BEH Amide column (150 × 2.1 mm, 2.5 µm particle size, Waters Corporation, Milford, MA). The flow rate was 0.3 mL/min, auto-sampler temperature was kept at 4 °C, and the column compartment was set to 40 °C. The mobile phase was composed of Solvents A (10 mM NH₄OAc, 10 mM NH₄OH in 95% H₂O/5% ACN) and B (10 mM NH₄OAc, 10 mM NH₄OH in 95% ACN/5% H₂O). After an initial 1 min isocratic elution of 90% B, the percentage of Solvent B decreased to 40% at t = 11 min. The composition of Solvent B was maintained at 40% for 4 min (t = 15 min), after which the percentage of B gradually went back to 90%, to prepare for the next injection. The mass spectrometer was equipped with an electrospray ionization (ESI) source. Targeted data acquisition was performed in multiple-reaction-monitoring (MRM) mode. For targeted data acquisition, we monitored 118 and 160 MRM transitions in negative and positive mode, respectively (278 transitions in total). The whole LC-MS system was controlled by Agilent MassHunter Workstation software. The extracted MRM peaks were integrated using Agilent MassHunter Quantitative Data Analysis software.

**Targeted LC-MS/MS lipidomics**

Tissue samples were thawed under 4 °C. Then, 200 µL 10x diluted PBS and 80 µL of MeOH containing 50 µM PC (17:0, 17:0) and PG (17:0, 17:0) internal standards were added to 20 mg of each thawed sample. A ½ spoonful of stainless-steel micro beads was added to each sample, which was subsequently homogenized for 20 sec. Afterward, 400 µL of MTBE was added to each sample (MTBE:MeOH:H₂O = 10:2:5, v/v/v) and vortexed for 30 sec followed by sonication in ice bath for 20 min. Lastly, samples were centrifuged at 14,000 RPM to separate phases. The upper MTBE layer (300 µL) was extracted, transferred to new 1.5 mL Eppendorf tubes, dried in a Vacufuge Plus Evaporator (Hamburg, Germany), and then reconstituted with 100 µL 1:1 CHCl₃/MeOH. Each sample (80 µL) was then transferred to a LC−MS vial for LC-MS/MS targeted lipidomics analysis, while the remaining 20 µL was pooled to create a QC sample.

For lipidomic profiling, all mass spectrometry experiments were done on an Agilent 1290 LC-6490 QQQ-MS (Santa Clara, CA), and 4 µL was injected for positive ionization, whereas 6 µL was used in negative ion mode injections. Both modes used reverse phase chromatography with a Waters XSelect HSS T3 column (150 × 2.1 mm, 2.5 µm particle size; Waters Corporation, Milford, MA). The flow rate through the column was maintained at 0.3 mL/min. The mobile phase Solvent A was composed of 10 mM NH₄OAc in 60% H₂O/ 40% ACN. Solvent B consisted of 10 mM NH₄OAc in 90% IPA/10% ACN. An isocratic elution was used with 50% Solvent B for 3 min before its percentage was gradually increased to 100% over the next 12 min. Following 10 min of continued 100% Solvent B, at t = 25 min, the percent of B was decreased gradually back to 50% to prepare for the next sample injection. The set of lipids covered in our LC-MS/MS lipidomics assay were the same as those in our previous study, and 357 lipids were selected from various lipid classes including fatty acids, glycerolipids, glycerophospholipids, sphingolipids, etc [31]. Lipid standards were used to test the MRM and retention time (RT) for each individual lipid.

**Untargeted GC-MS aqueous profiling**
The aqueous bottom layer (180 µL) from the MTBE extraction described above was collected into a new Eppendorf tube for derivatization prior to untargeted metabolic profiling with GC-MS. The collected bottom layer was dried under vacuum at 37°C for 4 h using a CentriVap Concentrator (Labconco, Fort Scott, KS). The residues were first derivatized with 40 µL of 20 mg/mL MeOX solution in pyridine under 60°C for 90 min. Next, 60 µL of MTBSTFA containing d_{27}-mynsrtic acid were added, and the mixture was incubated at 60°C for 30 min. The samples were then vortexed for 30 sec, followed by centrifugation at 14,000 RPM for 10 min. Finally, 70 µL of supernatant were collected from each sample into new glass vials for GC-MS analysis.

GC-MS conditions used here were mainly adopted from previous studies [19, 21]. Briefly, GC-MS experiments were performed on an Agilent 7820A GC-5977B MSD system (Santa Clara, CA) by injecting 1 µL of prepared samples. Helium was used as the carrier gas with a constant flow rate of 1.2 mL/min. The separation of metabolites was achieved using an Agilent HP-5 ms capillary column (30 m x 250 µm x 0.25 µm). The column temperature was maintained at 60 °C for 1 min, increased at a rate of 10 °C/min to 325 °C, and then held at this temperature for 10 min. Mass spectral signals were recorded at an m/z range of 50–600. Data extraction was performed using Agilent MassHunter Profinder software. A batch recursive feature extraction algorithm for small molecules was used, and peaks were filtered so that only peaks with absolute height ≥ 1,000 counts were included. An RT tolerance of 0.10 min was established, and extraction was limited to the largest 1,000 compound groups. Results were filtered if the overall identification score was less than 75.

**Long chain fatty acids (LCFAs)**

Weighed 20 mg samples were added to separate Eppendorf tubes and prepared using the same protocol as that outlined for LC-MS/MS lipidomics. Derivatization was performed using the same protocol as that outlined for GC-MS untargeted profiling. For analysis of LCFAs, 60 µL of supernatant was transferred to a glass vial for GC-MS analysis, while 20 µL was pooled from each sample for QC analysis. GC-MS method was the same as that for GC-MS untargeted profiling.

**Short chain fatty acids (SCFAs)**

Frozen tissue samples were first thawed overnight under 4 °C. Afterward, 20 mg of each sample was homogenized with 5 µL hexanoic acid-3,3,3 (internal standard), 15 µL sodium hydroxide (NaOH [0.5 M]), and 500 µL methanol (MeOH). Following storage at -20 °C for 20 min and centrifugation at 14,000 RPM for 10 min, 450 µL of supernatant were collected and sample pH was adjusted to 10 by adding 30 µL of NaOH:H₂O (1:4, v:v). Samples were then dried, and they were measured using the same protocol as that outlined for GC-MS untargeted profiling.

**Bile acids**

Sample preparation techniques used here are well established and described in the previous literature [32–36]. Briefly, 50 mg of each tissue sample were homogenized with methanol (500 µL) and then vortexed for 10 sec. Samples were stored at −20 °C for 20 min, followed by sonication in an ice bath for
10 min and then centrifugation at 14,000 RPM for 15 min at 4 °C. Supernatants (450 µL) were vacuum
dried and then reconstituted in 100 µL MeOH/H₂O (1:1, v/ v). Each prepared sample (2 µL) was injected
into the LC-MS system (Agilent 1290 UPLC-6490 QQQ-MS) for analysis using negative ionization mode.
The mobile phase was composed of 5 mM NH₄OAc in H₂O with 0.1% AcOH (A) and ACN with 0.1% AcOH
(B). After a 1 min of isocratic elution of 75% Solvent A, the content percentage decreased to 5% A at t =
15 min. The composition of Solvent A was then maintained at 5% for 10 min, followed by an increase to
75% at t = 25 min. The MS parameters were the same as those reported for targeted LC-MS/MS aqueous
profiling, except that 55 bile acids were included in the detection panel. Samples were spiked with
mixtures of standard compounds in order to validate bile acid identities.

Data analysis

Following peak integration, data were normalized by tissue weight and lysate volume as appropriate. The
data were log₁₀-transformed and Pareto scaled prior to model construction. Univariate testing was
performed using SPSS 22.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL). Multivariate statistical analyses were performed
using open-source R software. Pathway and integrating enzyme enrichment analysis were performed and
visualized using MetaboAnalyst v4.0 [37]. All data underlying the findings reported herein are provided as
Separate Excel File S1.

Results

Clinical characteristics

A total of 48 subjects were included in this study: NC (n = 12), HPC (n = 12), MCI (n = 12), and AD (n = 12).
Table 1 shows the clinical information of subjects, while Table 2 shows the neuropathological
characteristics of study subjects. Between AD patients and controls, subjects were age- and sex-matched
such that no statistically significant difference was observed between groups (p > 0.05). Principal
component analysis (PCA) conducted with all reliably detected metabolites between all groups was
performed, and 95% confidence intervals were evaluated for potential outliers. The initial PCA revealed
one outlier (sample 13, HPC subject), and this sample was therefore removed from subsequent analyses.
Table 1
Clinical information of study subjects.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Non-Demented Normal Controls (n = 12)</th>
<th>High Pathology Controls (n = 12)</th>
<th>Mild Cognitive Impairment (n = 12)</th>
<th>Alzheimer's Disease (n = 12)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age in years, mean (SD)</td>
<td>79.7 (12.2)</td>
<td>90.3 (5.1)</td>
<td>88.1 (8.6)</td>
<td>81.3 (8.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex of subjects (male/female)</td>
<td>7/5</td>
<td>6/6</td>
<td>8/4</td>
<td>7/5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post-mortem interval mean, hours (SD)</td>
<td>2.93 (1.0)</td>
<td>2.84 (0.9)</td>
<td>3.06 (0.8)</td>
<td>3.2 (0.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mini-mental state examination score mean (SD)</td>
<td>28.8 (0.8)*</td>
<td>27.6 (1.4)</td>
<td>26.0 (3.4)**</td>
<td>10.3 (7.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APOE alleles: number of subjects with each genotype 2/3, 2/4, 3/3, 3/4</td>
<td>0,0,8,4</td>
<td>2,0,7,3</td>
<td>0,1,9,2</td>
<td>0,0,6,6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Scores not available for two subjects.

**Score not available for one subject.

Table 2
Neuropathological characteristics of study subjects.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Non-Demented Normal Controls (n = 12)</th>
<th>High Pathology Controls (n = 12)</th>
<th>Mild Cognitive Impairment (n = 12)</th>
<th>Alzheimer's Disease (n = 12)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Frontal plaque and tangle scores (number of subjects scoring none, sparse, moderate, or frequent)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plaque score (based on CERAD)</td>
<td>10,2,0,0</td>
<td>0,0,0,12</td>
<td>1,1,1,9</td>
<td>0,0,0,12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tangle score</td>
<td>11,0,0,0†</td>
<td>9,3,0,0</td>
<td>5,6,1,0</td>
<td>0,2,1,9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Braak staging (number of subjects stage 0 to stage VI)</td>
<td>0,5,3,4,0,0</td>
<td>0,0,1,2,9,0</td>
<td>0,0,1,1,9,1,0</td>
<td>0,0,0,0,6,6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

†Score not available for one subject.

Case (MCI, AD) vs control (NC, HPC)

To assess broad differences in metabolic profiles, groups were collapsed among case (MCI and AD) and control (NC and HPC). Initial t-testing between case and control revealed two highly significant and predictive metabolites with \( p < 0.001 \) and univariate area under curve (AUC) > 0.90: lauric acid and myristic acid. Box plots of these metabolites are given in Supporting Information **Figure S1**. In addition, a partial least squares-discriminant analysis (PLS-DA) model was constructed using levels of lauric and
myristic acid, and receiver operating characteristic (ROC) analysis was conducted using model-implied values to assess performance. As shown in Fig. 1, the resulting PLS-DA scores plot showed appreciable separation between collapsed case and control groups, and ROC analysis by 100-fold leave-one-out cross validation (LOOCV) showed an overall accuracy of 95%, more than either metabolite individually.

**NC/HPC vs other groups**

To analyze differences among groups individually, we first compared metabolic profiles of NC vs HPC/MCI/AD, and HPC vs NC/MCI/AD. Multivariate analysis of variance (MANOVA) testing and associated post-hoc comparisons were performed. As outlined in Table 3, four metabolites (lauric acid, myristic acid, stearic acid, palmitic acid) had false discovery rate (FDR) \( q < 0.05 \) and were mostly predictive of NC and HPC groups. Normalized box plots of these metabolites between all groups are shown in Fig. 2. A PLS-DA model was constructed using levels of these four significant metabolites, and internal validation was performed using a 100-iteration permutation test. More than 98% of total variance between the four study groups was explained by the first two components, and permutation testing revealed the model to be statistically sound. To assess the predictive performance of this unified biomarker panel, the resulting PLS-DA model was subjected to ROC analysis with 100-fold LOOCV. ROC curves for each comparison are provided in Supporting Information Figure S2. Evaluation of model accuracy showed high classification performance for discrimination of NC samples (96.6%, Figure S2A) and HPC samples (91.7%, Figure S2B).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Metabolite</th>
<th>( F )-value</th>
<th>( p )-value</th>
<th>FDR ( q )</th>
<th>Post-hoc tests</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lauric acid</td>
<td>23.360</td>
<td>3.98E-9</td>
<td>8.29E-6</td>
<td>HPC - AD; NC - AD; HPC - MCI; NC - HPC; NC - MCI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Myristic acid</td>
<td>16.727</td>
<td>3.92E-7</td>
<td>2.49E-4</td>
<td>HPC - AD; NC - AD; HPC - MCI; HPC - NC; NC - MCI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stearic acid</td>
<td>9.911</td>
<td>4.34E-5</td>
<td>0.036</td>
<td>HPC - AD; NC - AD; HPC - MCI; HPC - NC; NC - MCI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Palmitic acid</td>
<td>9.133</td>
<td>8.56E-5</td>
<td>0.036</td>
<td>HPC - AD; HPC - MCI; HPC - NC; NC - MCI</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**MCI vs other groups**

In an effort to increase model performance for discrimination of the MCI subgroup (see Supporting Information Figure S2C), other groups were compared sequentially for identification of significant/predictive metabolites and model construction. Comparison of MCI and NC groups revealed lauric acid to be both highly significant \( (p < 0.001) \) and predictive \( (AUC = 0.993) \) (Supporting Information Figure S3). Comparison of MCI and HPC groups revealed four metabolites (myristic acid, palmitic acid, stearic acid, palmitoleic acid) to have \( AUC > 0.90 \) and FDR \( q < 0.05 \) (Fig. 3), while comparison of MCI and AD groups revealed four unidentified features (from untargeted GC-MS) with \( AUC > 0.80 \) and \( q < 0.05 \) (Fig. 3). Candidate metabolites for the classification of MCI and HPC samples were used to construct an
independent PLS-DA model, while candidate markers for MCI discrimination from AD samples were ported to construct a separate PLS-DA model. ROC analysis showed a predictive accuracy of 96.6% for the identification of MCI samples from high pathology controls (Fig. 4A). Meanwhile, ROC analysis of the PLS-DA model constructed using levels of four unidentified features with an average AUC ~ 0.811 showed an appreciable improvement in accuracy relative to each univariate AUC; classification accuracy of the PLS-DA model exhibited an AUC = 0.917 for discrimination of MCI and AD groups (Fig. 4B).

**AD vs other groups**

Relevant groups were also compared to AD samples for enhanced identification of disease. Univariate ROC analysis and independent samples t-testing of NC and AD samples showed lauric acid to be highly significant ($p < 0.001$) and predictive (AUC > 0.99) (see Supporting Information Figure S4). For classification of AD samples from high pathology controls, t-testing revealed the unified biomarker panel in Fig. 2 as being significantly altered between groups ($p < 0.01$) and to have high predictive potential (AUC > 0.90). Direct comparisons between AD and HPC groups for these metabolites are visualized as box plots in Supporting Information Figure S5. For discrimination of these groups, an additional PLS-DA model was constructed using the aforementioned candidate markers, and ROC analysis revealed high predictive accuracy (94.8%) for discrimination of AD and HPC samples (Fig. 5).

**Correlation analysis of candidate markers and clinical/neuropathological characteristics**

To assess relevant associations between the set of candidate markers and measures of brain pathology and disease progression, a correlation analysis was performed, and measures of association strength and significance were evaluated. A visualization of association strength between correlation variables is given in Fig. 6. Full details regarding magnitude of association ($r$) and significance of association ($p$) can be found in Supporting Information Table S1. In total, 4 associations had $r > 0.5$ or $r < -0.5$ and $p < 0.05$. Lauric acid showed strong, significant associations with frontal plaque ($r = -0.598$, $p < 0.001$), total plaque ($r = -0.579$, $p < 0.001$), total tangle ($r = -0.507$, $p < 0.001$), and Braak score ($r = -0.539$, $p < 0.001$). Furthermore, correlation analysis between age, sex, APOE allele, and all 2,080 reliably detected metabolites/features was also performed; no association was observed to be both strongly correlated ($r = -0.5$–0.5) and statistically significant ($p > 0.05$).

**Pathway and enrichment analyses of metabolic data**

Subjects were grouped as case (MCI and AD) and control (NC and HPC) for analysis of significantly impacted pathways in response to Alzheimer’s progression. Pathway analysis was conducted using KEGG database searches and metabolite intensities (Fig. 7). Three pathways were observed to have large impact coefficients (> 0.5): (1) linoleic acid metabolism, (2) alanine, aspartate and glutamate metabolism, and (3) arginine and proline metabolism. Importantly, three pathways were found to be significantly
affected ($p < 0.05$) as a result of increased AD pathogenesis. Namely, those were lysine degradation, fatty acid metabolism, and valine, leucine, and isoleucine degradation.

Subjects were dichotomously grouped as case/control, and enrichment analysis was conducted using a library containing 912 metabolic sets that are predicted to be changed in the case of dysfunctional enzymes using a genome-scale network model of human metabolism (Fig. 8). Eleven enzymes were found to be significantly enriched ($p < 0.05$). Notably, seven of those were mitochondrial enzymes indicated against the background of mitochondrial pathways. Full results of the enzyme enrichment analysis are displayed in Supporting Information Table S2.

**Discussion**

For the last two decades, significant innovations in MS-based metabolic profiling and analysis of disease-related alterations have been made and, in doing so, these efforts have borne highly sensitive and valuable diagnostic information [38–40]. In the current study, we explored a combination of targeted and untargeted metabolic profiling in addition to advanced multivariate statistical analysis for the discovery of sensitive and specific metabolite biomarkers for rapid AD classification post-mortem. To capture the diversity of metabolites involved in AD pathobiology, we have used this particular method to detect 2,080 metabolites of the superior frontal gyrus from many biologically relevant metabolic pathways. Our multi-step biomarker selection, model construction, and cross validation have demonstrated the robust diagnostic power of this metabolic profiling method in this study of 48 NC, HPC, MCI and AD subjects. Additionally, we have applied complementary LC/GC-MS approaches for enhanced monitoring of the metabolome related to AD and, cumulatively, our results show clinically relevant disturbances in energy metabolism and substrate utilization.

The metabolite profiling approach presented in this study determined 5 fatty acids capable of discriminating AD patients from NC and HPC samples with an average AUC of 97%. Recent metabolomics studies have also shown perturbations in fatty acid metabolism across differing Alzheimer pathologies. It was found that the dysregulation of sphingolipids and glycerophospholipids, long-chain fatty acids, and unsaturated fatty acids have been associated with AD [7, 24, 41]. Similarly, significant disturbances in fatty acid metabolism were also observed in the current study ($p = 0.008$). Medium-chain fatty acids like lauric acid, which is found in high levels in coconut oil, have been proposed as possible nutritional therapies for the treatment of cognitive decline [42–44], and a significant difference in lauric acid was also observed in the AD and NC groups in this study. The markedly reduced levels of these fatty acids observed in our AD subjects could be linked to the impaired glucose metabolism that is well-documented in AD patients [45–47]. Declines in the levels of the identified fatty acids in conjunction with decreased glucose metabolism might suggest that β-oxidation of fatty acids, which is generally low in the brain, is being upregulated to support the energy needs of the brain in AD patients. Supplementation of the fatty acids that can be rapidly metabolized might help support the energy needs of the brain, potentially ameliorating symptoms. This might account for the data cited in the above referenced reports which suggest that the addition of lauric acid to the diet (via coconut oil), may improve some symptoms.
in AD patients. Lauric acid is known to cross the blood-brain barrier [48], and dietary lauric acid might therefore be accessible as an energy source for the brain [49]. The results of the current study warrant further investigation of the therapeutic potential of lauric acid for the treatment and prevention of AD.

Previous studies have shown evidence for brain glucose dysregulation in AD as characterized by higher brain tissue glucose concentration, reduced glycolytic flux, and lower GLUT3 expression as a function of increasing AD pathogenesis [45, 50, 51]. Interestingly, the literature has shown involvement of the Warburg effect in non-tumor disease processes [52] and, in the context of AD, loss of brain aerobic glycolysis as a function of normal human aging is associated with increased tau deposition in preclinical AD [53, 54]. In addition, previous results have shown impaired hypothalamic insulin signaling to be associated with elevated BCAA levels in a mouse model of AD [55], while defects in BCAA metabolism have in-turn been shown to drive primary AD neuropathology [55]. A prospective cohort study of over 22,000 participants found significant associations between circulating BCAAs and risk of incident dementia and AD [56]. It has been shown that defects in BCAA metabolism, and subsequent accumulation, can lead to the phosphorylation of tau proteins and the incidence of AD [57]. Other studies have found post-translational modifications to the stabilizing tau proteins, which were induced by lysine residues. It has been proposed that these modifications may play an integral role in the pathobiology of tau protein [58]. Our pathway analysis also revealed similar results with a significant degradation of lysine (p = 0.007) and BCAAs (p = 0.025), potentially signifying the underlying pathophysiology of AD.

Given the recent failure of numerous billion-dollar clinical trials targeting traditionally hypothesized AD mechanisms such as reduced acetylcholine, Aβ plaques/neurofibrillary tangles, and tau protein [59], our enzyme and pathway enrichment results further corroborate previous evidence of widespread mitochondrial dysfunction concomitant with Aβ pathology and AD progression [59–61], providing compelling evidence for mitochondrial bioenergetics as a novel therapeutic target for preventing/slowing the onset/progression of AD.

Overall, our findings led to an integrated hypothesis describing the pathophysiology of AD in Fig. 9 and are conceptualized with respect to the widespread mitochondrial dysfunction observed in our results. As can be seen, with increased AD pathogenicity, significant metabolic reprogramming is observed. Specifically, a decrease in aerobic glycolysis is followed by a shift toward degradation of BCAA for energy production, mostly associated with HPC and MCI subgroups. With even greater disease progression, further metabolic reprogramming is observed; fatty acids are progressively utilized for generation of ATP via increased β-oxidation activity and generation of FADH₂ and NADH for oxidative phosphorylation in the electron transport chain. Preference for fatty acid substrates was most pronounced in the MCI and AD subgroups.

Additionally, we evaluated levels of 4 unidentified features with p < 0.05 and FC > 2, which informed the construction of independent PLS-DA models for enhanced classification of AD from MCI samples. The combination of these 4 features had a diagnostic sensitivity and specificity of 84.1% and 86.3%, respectively (AUC = 0.917). Although accurate tests for AD pathology with high severity are currently available (i.e., PET amyloid and tau, CSF amyloid and tau, plasma tau), diagnostic tests useful for
intermediate (MCI) and low (HPC) pathology levels are still lacking. In this study, diagnosis of HPC and MCI subgroups was achieved with more than 90% overall AUC. Realization of these findings in plasma or CSF may inform clinical trial selection and study stratification, enable mass screening, and indicate viable therapeutic targets.

**Strengths and limitations**

A major strength of the study lies in the well-characterized BSHRI cohort [20] with measures of cognitive status and neuropathological examination at death. Furthermore, inclusion of traditionally understudied HPC and MCI groups allowed for the metabolic characterization of asymptomatic individuals with AD-consistent pathology and non-AD individuals with cognitive decline, respectively. Cumulatively, our panel of candidate markers shows potential for classification of individuals with early brain pathology and other dementias, facilitating enhanced post-mortem diagnosis. Furthermore, if validated in readily available biospecimens with minimally invasive sample collection, this novel panel of candidate markers may enable early disease diagnosis and enhanced treatment options. Additionally, we applied six distinct metabolomics assays encompassing complementary GC and LC techniques to ensure maximal coverage of the brain metabolome and were able to monitor more than 2,000 metabolites and features. Given the known benefit of complementary MS platforms for elucidation of AD pathology [18, 62, 63], our large-scale multi-platform metabolomics approach utilizing both targeted and untargeted profiling enables comprehensive pathway and enzyme analysis, a key strength of this study to previous literature.

The main limitation of this study is the relatively small sample size. Moreover, our samples were taken cross-sectionally and therefore cannot infer longitudinal changes in metabolite information over time. Also, samples were only taken from a single brain region; inferences to other AD-associated brain structures are unknown. Nevertheless, conventional power was achieved for all biomarker analyses ($\beta < 0.2$), and models were internally validated ($p < 0.01$). Our results warrant further investigation in a larger sample with serial cognitive assessments taken during life as well as tissue samples collected from distinct brain regions both resistant and vulnerable to AD pathology in order to monitor possible differential changes between tissue types.

**Conclusions**

This study is part of a growing body of literature in which MS-based metabolomics methods have been utilized for disease biomarker discovery and accurate classification [28–30, 37]. We performed comparisons of brain tissue metabolites from AD patients, MCI samples, as well as high pathology and normal controls using both targeted LC-MS/MS metabolic profiling and an untargeted GC-MS approach [64]. Our results demonstrate significant alterations in a variety of the metabolites, mainly fatty acids, which are characteristic of different groups. Furthermore, we evaluated the performance of 4 unidentified metabolic features and, through multivariate model construction, achieved an overall classification performance of > 90% for comparison of AD and MCI patients, which has the potential to fulfill critical clinical needs [65]. Application of bioinformatic methods expanded basic knowledge of the metabolome
related to AD and showed decreased glycolytic function with increased degradation of BCAAs and β-oxidation of fatty acids associated with increased AD pathogenicity. Results of our fold change analysis, significance testing, and pathway analysis indicate metabolites and pathways previously shown to be crucial in immune response inhibition [66] and increased AD severity [67]. Likewise, the metabolites and associated metabolic pathways and enzymes identified in this study may inform the development of new therapeutic treatments for AD. In addition, this study provides a strong basis for larger multi-site projects to validate our findings across different population groups and further advances the development of improved clinical care for AD patients.
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Figures

Figure 1

PLS-DA and ROC analysis of case (MCI and AD) and control (NC and HPC) constructed using levels of lauric acid and myristic acid: (A) Scores plot of PLS-DA model ($R^2_X = 0.593$, $R^2_Y = 0.814$, $R^2_Q = 0.701$) and (B) ROC analysis of model-implied values showing AUC = 0.95.
Figure 2

Box plots of four metabolites found to be significant (Bonferroni p < 0.05) by MANOVA testing. Data were log10-transformed and Pareto scaled prior to plotting.
Figure 3

Top row: Box plots of myristic acid, palmitic acid, stearic acid, and palmitoleic acid with high predictive accuracy (AUC > 0.90) and significance (FDR q < 0.05) in univariate ROC analysis and t-testing between HPC and MCI groups. Bottom row: Box plots of four unidentified features with good predictive accuracy (AUC > 0.80) and significance (FDR q < 0.05) in univariate ROC analysis and t-testing between MCI and AD groups.
Figure 4

ROC analysis of classification performance by 100-fold LOOCV: (A) The PLS-DA model constructed using levels of myristic acid, palmitic acid, stearic acid, and palmitoleic acid for classification of MCI and HPC samples (AUC = 0.966), and (B) The PLS-DA model constructed using levels of four significant (p < 0.05) unidentified features for classification of MCI and AD samples (AUC = 0.917).

Figure 5
(A) PLS-DA model constructed using levels of myristic acid, lauric acid, palmitic acid, and stearic acid for classification of AD and HPC groups, (B) ROC analysis of PLS-DA model by 100-fold LOOCV showing AUC = 0.948.

Figure 6

Correlation coefficients among the panel of candidate markers and clinical characteristics
Figure 7

Metabolome view of pathway analysis conducted using levels of all reliably detected metabolites showing significantly altered pathways (p < 0.05) and those with high impact (> 0.50).
Figure 8

Network view of enzyme enrichment analysis conducted between case (MCI and AD) and control (NC and HPC). Enrichment ratios were significantly different for eleven enzymes between groups (p < 0.05).
Figure 9

Conceptual schema articulating observed changes in substrate utilization and energy production as a function of increasing AD pathogenesis. Results show reduced aerobic glycolysis and increased degradation of BCAA associated with HPC and MCI groups, while a preference for fatty acid substrates is seen in MCI and AD groups.
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