

# Higher toenail selenium is associated with increased insulin resistance risk in omnivores, but not in vegetarians

**Qiuyun Gu**

Shanghai Jiao Tong University School of Medicine

**Xueying Cui**

Shanghai Jiao Tong University School of Medicine

**Kun Du**

Shanghai Jiaotong University School of Medicine Xinhua Hospital

**Bian Wang**

Shanghai Jiao Tong University School of Medicine

**Wei Cai**

Shanghai Jiaotong University School of Medicine Xinhua Hospital

**Qingya Tang**

Shanghai Jiaotong University School of Medicine Xinhua Hospital

**Xiuhua Shen** (✉ [srachel@126.com](mailto:srachel@126.com))

Shanghai Jiaotong University School of Medicine Xinhua Hospital <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8734-5041>

---

## Research

**Keywords:** Selenium, Toenail, Insulin resistance

**Posted Date:** December 30th, 2019

**DOI:** <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.2.19743/v1>

**License:** © ⓘ This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

[Read Full License](#)

---

**Version of Record:** A version of this preprint was published on August 3rd, 2020. See the published version at <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12986-020-00484-6>.

# Abstract

**Background** The relationship between selenium (Se) and insulin resistance remains unclear. We aim to evaluate the association between toenail Se levels and insulin resistance in China.

**Methods** In this cross-sectional study, 220 vegetarians and 220 age-sex- matched omnivores from Shanghai were included. The toenail Se levels were measured by inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry method. Dietary Se intakes were assessed by the 24-hour dietary recall questionnaire. Blood samples were collected to examine fasting blood glucose and fasting insulin concentrations. Insulin resistance index (HOMA-IR) and insulin secretion index (HOMA-B) were calculated to assess insulin resistance. The multilinear regression models were performed, and the following covariates were included in the models: age, sex, BMI, alcohol consumption, income, and daily dietary intakes (energy, protein, fat, carbohydrate, and fiber).

**Results** Toenail Se levels were not associated with insulin resistance among vegetarians but were positively associated with fasting insulin levels ( $\beta=1.030$ , 95% CI: 0.393, 1.667) and HOMA-IR ( $\beta=0.245$ , 95% CI: 0.098, 0.392) in omnivores. Stratifying the results by Se intake levels in the omnivores revealed a significant association among those with dietary Se intake  $\geq 60$   $\mu\text{g}/\text{d}$ ; insulin level ( $\beta=1.053$ , 95% CI: 0.415, 1.691) and HOMA-IR ( $\beta=13.271$ , 95% CI: 4.433, 22.109) but not in those with  $< 60$   $\mu\text{g}/\text{d}$ ; insulin level ( $\beta=2.146$ , 95% CI: -0.710, 5.002) and HOMA-IR ( $\beta=13.766$ , 95% CI: -46.868, 74.400).

**Conclusions** Higher toenail Se levels were associated with increased insulin resistance risk in Chinese omnivores, but not in vegetarians.

## Background

Type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM) is a global health concern that represents an increasing burden on healthcare systems and economies (1). In 2017, the International Diabetes Federation estimated that there were 451 million people with diabetes worldwide, and these figures were expected to exceed 690 million by 2045 (2). China has the world's largest diabetes epidemic where approximately 11% of the Chinese adult population are affected (3).

Previous studies have suggested that a vegetarian diet may have positive effects on the prevention of T2DM and the improvement of insulin resistance (4–6). One meta-analysis detected an inverse association between adherence to plant-based dietary pattern and the risk of T2DM (relative risk = 0.77, 95% CI: 0.71, 0.84) (4). In China, vegetarians showed a higher insulin sensitivity and lower fasting insulin level in comparison with the matched omnivores (7). The potential mechanisms for the protective effect of vegetarian diet from the development of T2DM may include higher consumption of plant foods rich in antioxidants, vitamins, and minerals in addition to lower consumption of red and processed meat (4).

Selenium (Se) is a key component of glutathione peroxidase, an antioxidant enzyme that prevents cells from being damaged by free radicals and lipid peroxides (8). The association between Se and T2DM is

still contradictory. A recent meta-analysis of experimental and non-experimental studies showed that Se may increase the risk of T2DM across a wide range of exposure levels (9). However, Park et al. combined data from two large U.S. cohort studies: the Nurses' Health Study and the Health Professionals Follow-Up Study and concluded that higher toenail Se levels were associated with lower risk for T2DM (10). Moreover, the association between Se nutritional status and insulin resistance, a hallmark and a pathophysiological factor of T2DM, was inconsistent across studies (11, 12).

Therefore, this study was conducted to evaluate the association between toenail Se levels and insulin resistance among Chinese vegetarians and omnivores. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study to investigate the relationship between Se and insulin resistance among Chinese vegetarians.

## Methods

### Population

In this cross-sectional study, vegetarians were recruited from Shanghai, China through vegetarian restaurants, vegetarian social activities and online advertisements during the period between March 2016 and May 2016. To be eligible, participants had to 1) be  $\geq 18$  years; 2) reside in Shanghai  $\geq 6$  months; 3) follow a vegetarian diet for  $\geq 1$  year; 4) understand the questionnaire. Those with history of severe nutritional malabsorption and those with history of pregnancy or breastfeeding within the preceding 12 months were excluded. Omnivores were recruited by the friends and relatives of the included vegetarians and were matched respectively for age ( $\pm 1$  year) and gender. This study was approved by the Institutional Review Board of the Shanghai Jiao Tong University School of Medicine, and all participants provided written informed consent.

### Anthropometric, clinical and dietary assessment

Using a questionnaire, all subjects were questioned about their personal and socio-demographic characteristics including age, gender, income, alcohol consumption, smoking, physical activity, sedentary time, sleep duration, vegetarian pattern (vegan or lacto-ovo-vegetarian), and vegetarian duration. Vegetarians were defined as people who followed a vegetarian diet at all daily meals for at least 1 year; otherwise, they were defined as omnivores. Vegans were defined as those who did not consume any sort of foods of animal origin, while those who consumed meat, eggs, dairy products or fish were defined as "lacto-ovo-vegetarians".

Participants' height, body weight, waist circumference, and hip circumference were obtained from the physical examination by trained dietitians in accordance with the standardized protocol. Body mass index (BMI) was calculated as weight (kilograms) divided by height (meters) squared. Waist-to-hip ratio was calculated as the measured waist circumference divided by hip circumference.

A 24-hour diet recall questionnaire was used to assess their daily consumption of different nutrients. Daily nutrient intakes were calculated from the 24-hour dietary recall data using Nutrition Calculator v2.5

software, which was developed by the Institute of Nutrition and Food Safety of the Chinese Centre for Disease Control and Prevention and Beijing B-win Technology Co. Ltd.

## Sample collection and biochemical analyses

Participants were asked to provide toenail clippings from all ten toes and return them to the researchers. The concentrations of toenail Se were measured according to inductively coupled plasma-mass spectrometry from the National Standard for Food Safety Determination of Multi Elements in Food (GB 5009.268-2016). Toenail samples of a total of 220 vegetarians and 220 matched omnivores who met the inclusion criteria were included in this study. Peripheral venous blood samples were collected after 12 hours of fasting. Fasting blood glucose (FG) and fasting insulin (FI) concentrations were tested by the Clinical Laboratory Center of Shanghai Xinhua Hospital.

## Insulin resistance indices

Insulin resistance and *B*-cell function were evaluated by the homeostasis model assessment (HOMA) method (13), in which FI (mU/L) and FG (mmol/L) were used

$HOMA-IR = FI \text{ (mU/L)} \times FG \text{ (mmol/L)} / 22.5$  (11, 14),  $HOMA-B \text{ (\%)} = 20 \times FI \text{ (mU/L)} / [FG \text{ (mmol/L)} - 3.5]$  (11, 14). Insulin resistance was defined as  $HOMA-IR > 2.60$  (13, 15).

## Statistical analysis

All statistical analyses were performed with the use of Stata software version 14.0 (StataCorp, College Station, TX, USA), and two-sided P values  $< 0.05$  were considered as statistically significant. The continuous variables were shown as mean  $\pm$  standard deviation (SD), while the categorical variables were expressed as number and percentage (%). To compare the differences between vegetarian group and omnivore group, the paired Student's t-test study for continuous variables and paired chi-square test for categorical variables were used. Covariance analysis was used to test the differences in glucose metabolism and insulin resistance between the vegan group and the lacto-ovo-vegetarian group after controlling the covariates, including age, sex, BMI, alcohol consumption, income, and daily dietary intakes (energy, protein, fat, carbohydrate, and fiber). Then multilinear regression models were used to investigate the association between nail concentrations of Se and insulin resistance after adjusting for all major confounders.

## Results

The characteristics of the participants are shown in Table 1. In total, 220 vegetarians and 220 matched omnivores met the inclusion criteria. The mean age of vegetarians and omnivores were  $35.96 \pm 8.73$  years and  $35.23 \pm 8.93$  years, respectively. In the vegetarian group, 76 of the vegetarians were vegans, 144 were lacto-ovo vegetarians, and they had adhered to a vegetarian diet for  $5.61 \pm 5.04$  years. Of them, 180 (81.8%) were female and 40 (18.2%) were male. Vegetarians had a lower BMI, waist-to-hip ratio values, alcohol consumption, daily intakes of energy, protein, and fat, but higher-income, physical

activity, and daily intakes of fiber compared with omnivores (all  $P < 0.05$ ). In addition, the level of FG, FI, HOMA-IR and dietary Se intake were significantly lower in vegetarians than in omnivores (all  $P < 0.05$ ) while the number of participants under recommended nutrient intake Se level were higher in vegetarians than in omnivores ( $P < 0.05$ ). The proportion of participants with insulin resistance was also lower in vegetarians than in omnivores (1.36% versus 5.45%;  $P < 0.05$ ). The mean concentration of Se in toenails of vegetarians was  $0.53 \pm 0.16 \mu\text{g/g}$ , which was significantly lower than that of omnivores ( $0.69 \pm 0.53 \mu\text{g/g}$ ,  $P < 0.05$ ). There was also a significant difference in toenail Se between the vegan group ( $0.46 \pm 0.11 \mu\text{g/g}$ ) and the lacto-ovo-vegetarian group ( $0.56 \pm 0.17 \mu\text{g/g}$ ).

Table 1  
Characteristics of the study population

	<b>Vegan (n = 76)</b>	<b>Lacto-ovo-vegetarian (n = 144)</b>	<b>Vegetarian (n = 220)</b>	<b>Omnivore (n = 220)</b>
Age (y)	36.84 ± 8.51	35.49 ± 8.85	35.96 ± 8.73	35.23 ± 8.93
Female, %	77.63	84.03	81.82	81.82
Vegetarian duration (y)	5.79 ± 4.46	5.52 ± 5.33	5.61 ± 5.04	-
BMI(kg/m <sup>2</sup> )	20.72 ± 2.27	21.17 ± 2.67	21.02 ± 2.54*	22.53 ± 3.48
Waist-to-hip ratio	0.81 ± 0.05	0.81 ± 0.05	0.81 ± 0.05*	0.84 ± 0.05
No alcohol, %	98.68	93.75	95.45*	81.36
No smoking, %	86.84	90.97	89.55	90.45
Physical activity (min/wk)	151.97 ± 181.98	93.16 ± 108.48	113.48 ± 140.75*	82.86 ± 114.39
Income (Yuan/month), %				
< 3000	17.11	19.44	18.64*	25.45
3000 ~ 5000	22.37	17.36	19.09*	20
5000 ~ 8000	18.42	29.17	25.45*	24.10
> 8000	42.10	34.03	36.82*	30.45
Energy (Kcal/d)	1536.32 ± 541.52	1496.98 ± 503.67	1510.57 ± 516.17*	1792.88 ± 583.46
Protein (g/d)	48.50 ± 23.94	44.48 ± 18.92	45.87 ± 20.83*	71.52 ± 31.64
Fat (g/d)	39.49 ± 21.22	43.02 ± 21.31	41.80 ± 21.30*	68.14 ± 34.66
Carbohydrate (g/d)	237.96 ± 90.39	227.55 ± 84.11	231.14 ± 86.26	217.14 ± 75.72
Fiber (g/d)	19.36 ± 11.89	13.63 ± 7.56**	15.61 ± 9.65*	12.04 ± 7.12

\* Statistical significance when comparing vegetarian and omnivore groups.

\*\*Statistical significance when comparing vegan and lacto-ovo-vegetarian groups.

(1)Data were assessed with covariance controlling for age, sex, BMI, alcohol consumption, income, and daily dietary intakes (energy, protein, fat, carbohydrate, and fiber)

Abbreviations: BMI, body mass index; FG, fasting blood glucose; FI, fasting insulin; HOMA-IR, homeostasis model assessment of insulin resistance; HOMA-β, homeostasis model assessment of β cell function.

	Vegan (n = 76)	Lacto-ovo-vegetarian (n = 144)	Vegetarian (n = 220)	Omnivore (n = 220)
FG (mmol/L)	4.58 ± 0.29	4.66 ± 0.80	4.63 ± 0.67*	4.82 ± 0.40
FI(mU/L)	4.76 ± 2.67	4.96 ± 2.08	4.89 ± 2.30*	6.03 ± 3.11
HOMA-IR	0.98 ± 0.56	1.05 ± 0.60	1.03 ± 0.59*(1)	1.30 ± 0.71
HOMA-β (%)	91.26 ± 58.47	94.85 ± 42.97	93.61 ± 48.78	97.86 ± 62.42
Insulin resistance (%)	1.32	1.39	1.36%*	5.45
Dietary Se intake(μg/d)	25.28 ± 23.89	25.83 ± 15.03	25.64 ± 18.52*	55.14 ± 37.51
Toenail Se (μg/g)	0.46 ± 0.11	0.56 ± 0.17**	0.53 ± 0.16*(1)	0.69 ± 0.53
* Statistical significance when comparing vegetarian and omnivore groups.				
**Statistical significance when comparing vegan and lacto-ovo-vegetarian groups.				
(1)Data were assessed with covariance controlling for age, sex, BMI, alcohol consumption, income, and daily dietary intakes (energy, protein, fat, carbohydrate, and fiber)				
Abbreviations: BMI, body mass index; FG, fasting blood glucose; FI, fasting insulin; HOMA-IR, homeostasis model assessment of insulin resistance; HOMA-β, homeostasis model assessment of β cell function.				

The associations between toenail Se levels and glucose metabolic indices are presented in Table 2. The multi-linear regression results showed that omnivore diet was positively associated with FI ( $\beta = 1.030$ , 95% CI: 0.393, 1.667) and HOMA-IR ( $\beta = 0.245$ , 95% CI: 0.098, 0.392) after adjusting for age, sex, BMI, alcohol consumption, income, and daily dietary intakes (energy, protein, fat, carbohydrate, and fiber). No significant differences were found between vegetarian diet and FI as well as HOMA-IR.

Table 2

Multiple regression analysis for associations between toenail Se levels and glucose metabolic indexes in vegetarians and omnivores.

		Vegetarian(n = 220)		Omnivore(n = 220)	
		$\beta$ (95% CI)	P	$\beta$ (95% CI)	P
FG	Model 1	0.340 (-0.224, 0.905)	0.24	0.079 (-0.022, 0.180)	0.13
	Model 2	0.303 (-0.278, 0.885)	0.31	0.029 (-0.065, 0.122)	0.55
FI	Model 1	1.427 (-0.503, 3.357)	0.15	1.294 (0.532, 2.056)	< 0.01
	Model 2	1.190 (-0.707, 3.086)	0.22	1.030 (0.393, 1.667)	< 0.01
HOMA-IR	Model 1	0.358 (-0.136, 0.851)	0.16	0.319 (0.144, 0.493)	< 0.01
	Model 2	0.288 (-0.201, 0.776)	0.25	0.245 (0.098, 0.392)	< 0.01
HOMA-B	Model 1	13.560 (-27.543, 54.663)	0.52	8.991 (-6.671, 24.653)	0.26
	Model 2	8.568 (-32.381, 49.517)	0.68	9.995 (-4.444, 24.434)	0.18
Model 1: unadjusted regression;					
Model 2: adjusted for age, sex, BMI, alcohol consumption, income, and daily dietary intakes (energy, protein, fat, carbohydrate, and fiber)					
FG, fasting blood glucose; FI, fasting insulin; HOMA-IR, homeostasis model assessment of insulin resistance; HOMA- $\beta$ , homeostasis model assessment of $\beta$ cell function.					

The associations between toenail Se levels and glucose metabolic indices according to dietary Se intake in omnivores are displayed in Table 3. The concentration of Se in toenails was positively associated with FI ( $\beta = 1.053$ , 95% CI: 0.415, 1.691), HOMA-IR ( $\beta = 0.237$ , 95%CI: 0.079, 0.395), and HOMA- $\beta$  ( $\beta = 13.271$ , 95%CI: 4.433, 22.109) in omnivores with dietary intake of Se  $\geq 60$  ug/d (China recommended nutrient intake (RNI) level), however, no association was observed, when the dietary Se intake was < 60 ug/d, after multivariable adjustment for age, sex, BMI, alcohol consumption, income, and daily dietary intakes (energy, protein, fat, carbohydrate, and fiber).



Table 3

Multiple regression analysis for associations between toenail Se levels and glucose metabolic indexes according to dietary Se intake in omnivores

Dietary Se intake( $\mu\text{g}/\text{d}$ )	< 60 (n = 155)			60(n = 65)	
		$\beta$ (95% CI)	P	$\beta$ (95% CI)	P
FG	Model 1	0.391 (0.059, 0.723)	0.06	0.034 (-0.072, 0.140)	0.53
	Model 2	0.166 (-0.159, 0.491)	0.32	-0.025 (-0.123, 0.073)	0.62
FI	Model 1	2.330 (-0.410, 5.070)	0.10	1.161 (0.469, 1.853)	< 0.01
	Model 2	2.146 (-0.710, 5.002)	0.14	1.053 (0.415, 1.691)	< 0.01
HOMA-IR	Model 1	0.614 (0.001, 1.227)	0.05	0.279 (0.112, 0.445)	< 0.01
	Model 2	0.504 (-0.140, 1.148)	0.13	0.237 (0.079, 0.395)	< 0.01
HOMA-B	Model 1	-4.121 (-63.969, 55.726)	0.89	11.041 (0.670, 21.412)	< 0.01
	Model 2	13.766 (-46.868, 74.400)	0.66	13.271 (4.433, 22.109)	< 0.01
Model 1: unadjusted regression;					
Model 2: regression with age, sex, BMI, alcohol consumption, income, and daily dietary intakes (energy, protein, fat, carbohydrate, and fiber) controlled;					
Abbreviations: FG, fasting blood glucose; FI, fasting insulin; HOMA-IR, homeostasis model assessment of insulin resistance; HOMA- $\beta$ , homeostasis model assessment of $\beta$ cell function.					

## Discussion

In the present study, no association was observed between toenail Se levels and insulin resistance in vegetarians, yet high toenail Se levels were associated with increased insulin resistance risk in omnivores. This positive relationship remained significant in the omnivores who had dietary intake of  $\text{Se} \geq 60 \mu\text{g}/\text{d}$ , but not  $< 60 \mu\text{g}/\text{d}$ .

To the best of our knowledge, this was the first study to investigate the relationship between Se and insulin resistance among Chinese vegetarians. Our findings were supported by a recent cross-sectional study that showed that higher nail Se levels were associated with higher HOMA-IR in older people in rural

China (12). The adjusted odds ratio for the highest Se quartile group ( $\geq 0.568 \mu\text{g/g}$ ) was 0.34 (95% CI: 0.08, 0.80) compared with the lowest Se quartile group ( $< 0.320 \mu\text{g/g}$ ) (12). Another study found positive correlations between serum Se levels and HOMA-IR in aging Polish men with metabolic syndrome, which were also consistent with our findings (16). Unlike the previous studies that focused on older participants (12, 16), our research offered some vital insights into the association between toenail Se levels and insulin resistance in younger populations. This finding also accords with the previous work, which showed that higher level of toenail Se might be associated with the higher risk of diabetes (8, 12).

However, our findings disagree with previous studies which have suggested that higher levels of toenail Se associated with a decreased risk of diabetes in U.S. Men and Women (10). In addition, the ORDET cohort study observed that toenail Se was not associated with the incidence of diabetes (17).

The inconsistent results across studies may be explained by the variant outcomes. For example, the outcome of our study was insulin resistance, while the studies with contradictory findings used the incidence of diabetes as their outcome. Insulin resistance had been identified as one of risk factors for the incidence of diabetes (18). Since increased physical activity and weight reduction would improve insulin resistance, it may result in the decrease of the incidence of diabetes (19), and lead to inconsistent conclusions from different studies. Besides, another explanation may be due to the differences in the distribution of related insulin resistance and diabetes confounders, including lifestyle factors and genetic susceptibility to insulin resistance and diabetes (20).

As expected, our study observed a positive correlation between dietary Se intake and toenail Se level among the subjects, after adjusting for major confounding factors. In other words, dietary Se intake affects the toenail Se level, while the toenail Se level reflects dietary Se intake. Taking into account the practical guidance on dietary Se intake, our study divided omnivores into two groups according to  $60 \mu\text{g/d}$  (China RNI level), to investigate the associations between toenail Se levels and glucose metabolic indexes among omnivores, as displayed in Table 3. Interestingly, when dietary Se intake was above  $60 \mu\text{g/d}$ , the increased toenail Se levels were positively associated with FI and HOMA-IR. However, no significant association was observed in subjects when the dietary intake of Se under  $60 \mu\text{g/d}$ . Previous studies have suggested that high levels of Se may be associated with insulin resistance and the increased risk of diabetes (20). Our finding was in accordance with the ORDET cohort study, which observed that the odds ratio for diabetes comparing the highest quantile of Se intake ( $55 \mu\text{g/d}$ ) to the lowest one ( $32 \mu\text{g/d}$ ) was 1.74, (95% CI: 1.12, 2.72; *P* for linear trend 0.001), after adjusting for age, education and menopausal status (21). These findings raise additional concerns about the association of dietary Se intake above  $60 \mu\text{g/d}$  with insulin resistance risk in the Chinese omnivores. Therefore, more studies with larger participants in different populations are required to explore the safe range of dietary Se intake.

In the present study, no statistically significant differences between toenail Se levels and insulin resistance were found in the vegetarian group. This result may partly be explained by the low dietary Se intake in vegetarians. The mean dietary Se intake of vegetarians was  $25.64 \pm 18.52 \mu\text{g/d}$ , which was

significantly lower than that of omnivores ( $55.14 \pm 37.51$ ,  $P < 0.05$ ). Previous studies have observed a U-shaped relationship between serum Se level and the risk of diabetes (22). Therefore, when the Se levels were relatively low, the association between Se levels and insulin resistance may not be observed. Another possible explanation for this finding is that the vegetarian diet may have a potential protective effect on the improvement of insulin resistance. A plant-based diet with various foods rich in antioxidants and phytochemicals, which may have a direct effect on alleviating oxidative stress and inflammation, may account for the lower insulin resistance among vegetarians (23). A recent prospective study indicated that higher consumptions of phytochemical-rich foods may improve the development of IR (23). Hence, the effect of Se on insulin resistance was reduced because of the protective effect of a vegetarian diet. Future studies are needed to investigate the potential underlying mechanisms.

There are a few possible metabolic explanations as to why Se affects insulin resistance. Se might affect insulin resistance via multiple mechanistic routes including insulin-like action, oxidative stress, and inflammatory cytokines (11). In experimental animal studies, high Se diets had a positive effect on the release of glucagon, which in return promotes hyperglycemia, or may induce elevated expressions of glutathione peroxidase-1 and other anti-oxidant selenoproteins leading to insulin resistance as well as obesity (21). Similarly, a significantly positive association between glutathione peroxidase activity and insulin resistance was observed in non-diabetic women during normal pregnancy (24). From the mechanistic perspective, dietary Se intakes above the recommended level for the optimal activity of antioxidant selenoproteins like glutathione peroxidases ( $55 \mu\text{g/d}$ ), will lead to the non-specific incorporation of selenomethionine replacing methionine in both albumin and other proteins (25, 26). The metabolic pathways including this extra pool of Se are still poorly understood and may account for some adverse effects of high Se exposure on insulin resistance.

One of the strengths of this study is that Se was measured via toenail samples, which reflected a relatively long-term measure of Se exposure, in comparison with serum or urine samples. In addition, the Se levels in toenail did not fluctuate remarkably with the daily dietary Se intake (27). Secondly, many major confounding factors were controlled, ensuring the findings in this study to be more accurate. Thirdly, dietary assessments were conducted by trained and professional Chinese registered dietitians, and strict quality control measures were adopted throughout the study.

Some potential limitations in the present study need to be acknowledged. Firstly, our study sample was relatively small, so larger studies are warranted. Secondly, the findings were limited by the use of a cross-sectional design. Thirdly, this study did not consider genetic factors or other environmental factors that might influence the association between Se levels and the risk of insulin resistance. Therefore, more researches need to be undertaken before the association between Se and insulin resistance is more clearly understood.

## Conclusions

In conclusion, our findings revealed a significantly positive association of toenail Se levels with insulin resistance in Chinese omnivores after adjusting for major confounding factors. This positive relationship between toenail Se levels and insulin resistance remains significant in the omnivores, whose dietary Se intake was above 60 µg/d, but not in the participants below 60 µg/d. These results raise additional concerns about the association of dietary Se intake above 60 µg/d with insulin resistance risk.

## List Of Abbreviations

BMI, body mass index; FG, fasting blood glucose; FI, fasting insulin; HOMA-IR, homeostasis model assessment of insulin resistance; HOMA-β, homeostasis model assessment of β cell function; T2DM, Type 2 diabetes mellitus; Se, Selenium.

## Declarations

### Ethics approval and consent to participate

This study was approved by the Institutional Review Board of the Shanghai Jiao Tong University School of Medicine. Written informed consents from all patients were obtained prior to the enrolment.

### Consent for publication

Not applicable.

### Availability of data and materials

The datasets used and analyzed during the present study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

### Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

### Funding

This work is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 81773407).

### Authors' contributions

XS contributed to study concept and design; WC and QT contributed to assist the research design and field survey; BW, XC, and KD contributed to conduct the research; QG and XC contributed to data analysis; QG and XS wrote the manuscript. All authors approved the final version of the report.

### Acknowledgements

The authors thank the personnel of all teams for their participation and contribution to this work.

## References

1. Balakumar P, Maung UK, Jagadeesh G. Prevalence and prevention of cardiovascular disease and diabetes mellitus. *Pharmacological research*. 2016;113(Pt A):600-9.
2. Cho NH, Shaw JE, Karuranga S, Huang Y, da Rocha Fernandes JD, Ohlrogge AW, et al. IDF Diabetes Atlas: Global estimates of diabetes prevalence for 2017 and projections for 2045. *Diabetes research and clinical practice*. 2018;138:271-81.
3. Wang L, Gao P, Zhang M, Huang Z, Zhang D, Deng Q, et al. Prevalence and Ethnic Pattern of Diabetes and Prediabetes in China in 2013. *Jama*. 2017;317(24):2515-23.
4. Qian F, Liu G, Hu FB, Bhupathiraju SN, Sun Q. Association Between Plant-Based Dietary Patterns and Risk of Type 2 Diabetes: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. *JAMA internal medicine*. 2019.
5. Kahleova H, Pelikanova T. Vegetarian Diets in the Prevention and Treatment of Type 2 Diabetes. *Journal of the American College of Nutrition*. 2015;34(5):448-58.
6. Olfert MD, Wattick RA. Vegetarian Diets and the Risk of Diabetes. *Current diabetes reports*. 2018;18(11):101.
7. Cui X, Wang B, Wu Y, Xie L, Xun P, Tang Q, et al. Vegetarians have a lower fasting insulin level and higher insulin sensitivity than matched omnivores: A cross-sectional study. *Nutrition, metabolism, and cardiovascular diseases : NMCD*. 2019;29(5):467-73.
8. Rajpathak S, Rimm E, Morris JS, Hu F. Toenail selenium and cardiovascular disease in men with diabetes. *Journal of the American College of Nutrition*. 2005;24(4):250-6.
9. Vinceti M, Filippini T, Rothman KJ. Selenium exposure and the risk of type 2 diabetes: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *European journal of epidemiology*. 2018;33(9):789-810.
10. Park K, Rimm EB, Siscovick DS, Spiegelman D, Manson JE, Morris JS, et al. Toenail selenium and incidence of type 2 diabetes in U.S. men and women. *Diabetes care*. 2012;35(7):1544-51.
11. Wang Y, Lin M, Gao X, Pedram P, Du J, Vikram C, et al. High dietary selenium intake is associated with less insulin resistance in the Newfoundland population. *PloS one*. 2017;12(4):e0174149.
12. Su LQ, Jin YL, Unverzagt FW, Cheng YB, Hake AM, Ran L, et al. Nail Selenium Level and Diabetes in Older People in Rural China. *Biomedical and environmental sciences : BES*. 2016;29(11):818-24.
13. Wallace TM, Levy JC, Matthews DR. Use and abuse of HOMA modeling. *Diabetes care*. 2004;27(6):1487-95.
14. Hung CJ, Huang PC, Li YH, Lu SC, Ho LT, Chou HF. Taiwanese vegetarians have higher insulin sensitivity than omnivores. *The British journal of nutrition*. 2006;95(1):129-35.
15. Wang C, Wang Y, Wu J, Liu S, Zhu Y, Lv S, et al. Current Smoking Dose-Dependently Associated with Decreased  $\beta$ -Cell Function in Chinese Men without Diabetes. *Journal of diabetes research*. 2015;2015:841768.
16. Rotter I, Kosik-Bogacka D, Dołęgowska B, Safranow K, Lubkowska A, Laszczyńska M. Relationship between the concentrations of heavy metals and bioelements in aging men with metabolic syndrome. *International journal of environmental research and public health*. 2015;12(4):3944-61.

17. Vinceti M, Grioni S, Alber D, Consonni D, Malagoli C, Agnoli C, et al. Toenail selenium and risk of type 2 diabetes: the ORDET cohort study. *Journal of trace elements in medicine and biology : organ of the Society for Minerals and Trace Elements (GMS)*. 2015;29:145-50.
18. Yamauchi K, Aizawa T. Impact of impaired insulin secretion and insulin resistance on the incidence of diabetes in a Japanese cohort. *Diabetologia*. 2013;56(11):2544-5.
19. Rosenberg DE, Jabbour SA, Goldstein BJ. Insulin resistance, diabetes and cardiovascular risk: approaches to treatment. *Diabetes, obesity & metabolism*. 2005;7(6):642-53.
20. Chakraborty S, Bhattacharyya R, Banerjee D. Infections: A Possible Risk Factor for Type 2 Diabetes. *Advances in clinical chemistry*.80:227-51.
21. Stranges S, Sieri S, Vinceti M, Grioni S, Guallar E, Laclaustra M, et al. A prospective study of dietary selenium intake and risk of type 2 diabetes. *BMC public health*. 2010;10:564.
22. Bleys J, Navas-Acien A, Laclaustra M, Pastor-Barriuso R, Menke A, Ordovas J, et al. Serum selenium and peripheral arterial disease: results from the national health and nutrition examination survey, 2003-2004. *American journal of epidemiology*. 2009;169(8):996-1003.
23. Bahadoran Z, Mirmiran P, Tohidi M, Azizi F. Dietary phytochemical index and the risk of insulin resistance and  $\beta$ -cell dysfunction: a prospective approach in Tehran lipid and glucose study. *International journal of food sciences and nutrition*. 2015;66(8):950-5.
24. Chen X, Scholl TO, Leskiw MJ, Donaldson MR, Stein TP. Association of glutathione peroxidase activity with insulin resistance and dietary fat intake during normal pregnancy. *The Journal of clinical endocrinology and metabolism*. 2003;88(12):5963-8.
25. Burk RF. Selenium, an antioxidant nutrient. *Nutrition in clinical care : an official publication of Tufts University*.5(2):75-9.
26. Burk RF, Norsworthy BK, Hill KE, Motley AK, Byrne DW. Effects of chemical form of selenium on plasma biomarkers in a high-dose human supplementation trial. *Cancer epidemiology, biomarkers & prevention : a publication of the American Association for Cancer Research, cosponsored by the American Society of Preventive Oncology*. 2006;15(4):804-10.
27. He K. Trace elements in nails as biomarkers in clinical research. *European journal of clinical investigation*. 2011;41(1):98-102.