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Abstract

Background

Bladder cancer (BC) is among the most frequent cancers globally. Although substantial efforts have been put to understand its pathogenesis, its underlying molecular mechanisms have not been fully elucidated.

Methods

The Robust Rank Aggregation (RRA) approach was adopted to integrate four eligible bladder urothelial carcinoma (BLCA) microarray datasets from the GEO. Differentially expressed genes (DEGs) sets were identified between tumor samples and equivalent healthy samples. We constructed gene co-expression networks using WGCNA to explore the alleged relationship between BC clinical characteristics and gene sets, as well as to identify hub genes. We also incorporated the WGCNA and RRA to screen DEGs.

Results

CDH11, COL6A3, EDNRA and SERPINF1 were selected from the key module and validated. Based on the results, significant downregulation of the hub genes occurred during the early stages of BC. Moreover, Receiver operating characteristics (ROC) curves and Kaplan-Meier (KM) plots showed that the genes exhibited favorable diagnostic and prognostic value for BC. Based on GSEA for single hub gene, all the genes were closely linked to BC cell proliferation.

Conclusions

These results offer unique insight into the pathogenesis of BC and recognize CDH11, COL6A3, EDNRA and SERPINF1 as potential biomarkers with diagnostic and prognostic roles in BC.

Introduction

Bladder cancer (BC), a prevalent urological malignancy, is a global public health concern, and the 9th commonly diagnosed cancer in men, especially in high-income countries (1). Following a report by Boccardo et al., nearly a quarter BC cases are at first diagnosed as muscle-invasive bladder cancer (MIBC). Moreover, less than 16% of patients, characterized by non-muscle-invasive BC present with invasive recurrent cancer during treatment, in most cases, within one year (2). As the tumor progresses, BC survival rate declines remarkably. The BC symptoms are usually atypical, without any uniqueness, this poses difficulty in earlier diagnosis (1). Based on the current understanding, BC diagnosis and surveillance primarily incorporates cystoscopy and urine cytology (3), however, these approaches are unsatisfactory (4). Besides, an ideal BC detection technique must be more convenient and rapid. Hence,
researchers should urgently uncover more accurate indices for clinical staging, treatment and prognosis of BC.

In this work, we explored 4 independent microarray datasets abstracted from Gene Expression Omnibus web resource (GEO, https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/) with Robust Rank Aggregation (RRA) to reveal robust differentially expressed genes (DEGs) between BC tissues and matched control. Thereafter, we subjected the DEGs to weighted gene co-expression network analysis (WGCNA) to determine key modules related to clinical parameters. Using the gene ontology (GO) functional annotation and Kyoto encyclopedia of genes and genomes (KEGG) pathway analysis, we assessed the potential functions of the genes within the key module. In exploring the biosignatures and targets for BC therapy, we did a range of analyses via mining of sequencing data with high-throughput, retrieved from publicly available databases. Consequently, the present study reported CDH11, COL6A3, EDNRA and SERPINF1 as potential biomarkers and therapeutic target of BC, and are all linked to the prognosis of individuals with bladder cancer.

**Materials And Methods**

**Microarray data**

From the GEO web resource (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/), we retrieved the GSE13507, GSE7476, GSE65635, as well as GSE37815 gene expression pattern matrix files. The workflow of validation, identification, as well as functional analysis of DEGs are shown in Figure S1. The GSE7476 platform is GPL570 (Affymetrix Human Genome U133 Plus 2.0 Array), comprising 9 bladder cancer tissues and 3 healthy bladder tissues. The GSE13507 platform is GPL6102 (Illumina human-6 v2.0 expression beadchip), and this dataset had 188 and 68 bladder cancer tissues, as well as healthy bladder tissues, respectively. The GSE37815 platform is GPL6102 (Illumina human-6 v2.0 expression beadchip), which harbor 6 and 18 healthy bladder tissues and bladder cancer tissues, respectively. The GSE65635 platform is GPL14951 (Illumina HumanHT-12 WG-DASL V4.0 R2 expression beadchip), containing 3 healthy bladder tissues and 9 bladder cancer tissues (Table S 5-8). In addition, we downloaded the BLCA RNA-sequencing and clinical data from the TCGA web resource (https://cancergenome.nih.gov/) for analysis. The pathological types of bladder cancer include: Transitional cell papillomas and carcinomas (409 cases), adenomas and adenocarcinomas (1 case), epithelial neoplasms, nos (1 case) and squamous cell neoplasms (1 case).

**Data processing**

Employing the GEO website, sequential matrix files of cohorts were retrieved. The R package “limma” (9) was used for data normalization and identify the DEGs. Then, we employed the RRA to integrate the findings of the 4 cohorts to identify DEGs with the highest significance (10). Genes with a corrected \( p \)-value < 0.05 and |log fold change (FC)| > 1 were considered as significant DEGs in the RRA analysis.

**Gene Ontology and KEGG pathway analysis**
With the Database for Annotation, Visualization and Integrated Discovery (DAVID, https://david.ncifcrf.gov/), important for functional analysis of genes, we performed KEGG pathway enrichment and Gene Ontology (GO) functional analyses, \( p<0.05 \) for statistical significance.

**WGCNA analysis of the filtered genes**

Herein, 343 DEGs were retrieved following RRA analysis. This aided in obtaining WGCNA with expression data from TCGA. Using the R package “WGCNA”, we uncovered the associated hub genes and clinical traits-related modules (11). Using the topological overlap measure (TOM) matrix, transformed through an adjacency matrix, we estimated its network connectivity (12). Thereafter, we established a hierarchical clustering dendrogram of the TOM matrix employing the average distance with a value of 20 as the minimum size threshold. This was to group genes with similar expression patterns into distinct gene modules, after which we determined the correlation of different module eigengenes (MEs) with the clinical features. We evaluated the gene significant (GS) quantifying correlations between individual genes and the module membership (MM) as well as the clinically interesting trait which depicts the association of the module eigengenes with gene expression profiles. Following previous reports, if the GS and MM were highly associated, the highly critical elements in the modules were also strongly linked to the trait (13). We used the highly correlated module to explore potential function via GO and KEGG analyses and for hub gene screening. Notably, we defined hub genes with: Significance (GS)>0.2, and modules membership (MM)>0.8.

**Validation and survival analysis of hub genes**

We employed “ggstatsplot” (R packages, https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/ggstatsplot) to verify the levels of expression of hub genes between BC and neighboring healthy tissue sample. Also, we evaluated how they are correlated with clinical traits in The Cancer Genome Atlas bladder urothelial carcinoma (TCGA-BLCA) dataset. Accordingly, we employed the independent samples T-test or one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA). To evaluate the diagnosis values of hub genes, we generated receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curves and used “survminer” (R package, https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=survminer) and “survicval” (R package, https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=survival) to calculate for hub genes. For tumor samples within the TCGA-BLCA dataset, we classified them into two groups relying on the best-separation cut-off value for each hub gene. After that, we plotted the Kaplan-Meier (K-M) survival curves.

**Oncomine database**

Herein, we retrieved transcriptional expression profiles of CDH11, COL6A3, EDNRA and SERPINF1 in BC patients using the Oncomine web resource (https://www.oncomine.org) (14). To compare the differences in transcriptional expression, we employed Students’ t-test with fold change and cut-off of \( p \)-value as follows: Data type: mRNA, \( p \)-value=0.01, gene rank=10%, Fold Change=1.5.

**Tumor Immune Estimation Resource (TIMER)**
TIMER (https://cistrome.shinyapps.io/timer/) offers a web interface, which is user friendly, important for dynamic analysis of the associations of immune infiltrates with gene expression (15). Using the Gene module, we validated the association between immune infiltration and genes. We then generated scatterplots, depicting statistical significance and Spearman's correlation.

**Data processing of gene set enrichment analysis (GSEA)**

Using the R package “clusterprofiler”(16), we conducted a GSEA analysis of hub genes using TCGA-BLCA RNA-dataset. For each hub gene, we determined the median expression by classifying 414 BLCA samples into high and low expression groups. We considered \( p < 0.01 \) to be statistically significant. For the reference gene set, we used “h.all.v7.1.symbols.gmt”, abstracted from the Molecular Signature Database (MSigDB, http://software.broadinstitute.org/gsea/msigdb/index.jsp).

**Statistical analysis**

The results were given as means ± SD of independent experiments. \( p \)-Values were calculated using SPSS v. 24.0 software with unpaired, two-tailed Student’s \( t \)-test or where indicated with one-way analysis of variance followed by Turkey’s test. \( p \)-Values of less than 0.05 were considered to indicate statistical significance. *\( p < 0.05 \), **\( p < 0.01 \), and ***\( p < 0.001 \).

**Results**

**Identifying robust DEGs via the RRA method**

Using the selection criteria, 4 independently eligible BLCA datasets were enrolled for subsequent RRA analysis. A series of clinical traits, including GEO accession ID, Platform ID, as well as the number of genes for each platform are displayed in Table S (5-8). Based on RRA analysis data, we identified 111 up-regulated and 232 down-regulated remarkable DEGs (Supplementary file 1). Besides, the top 50 up-regulated, as well as down-regulated DEGs are depicted in the heatmap (Figure 1).

**Functional enrichment analysis of DEGs**

The biologically functioning DEGs were revealed via the GO and KEGG functional enrichment analysis using DAVID. We considered the results significant only if \( p < 0.05 \), we have highlighted the three categories of the GO results in Figure 2A and Figure 2B. Results on the upregulated and downregulated DEGs in top 15 findings derived from the GO enrichment analysis are depicted in Table S  and Table S . Of note, the upregulated genes were highly enriched in protein binding (ontology: MF), nuclear division during mitosis (ontology: BP), and cytoplasm (ontology: CC). Besides, the downregulated genes were highly abundant in, extracellular exosome (ontology: CC), and binding of calcium ions (ontology: MF) and cell adhesion (ontology: BP). As to KEGG pathway analysis, ECM-receptor interaction, Focal adhesion, P13K-Akt signaling cascade, Proteoglycans in cancer, as well as Vascular smooth muscle contraction, were mostly associated with these genes (Figure 2C).
WCGNA analysis and modules significance calculation

To reveal the key modules highly related to the clinical characteristics of BC, we analyzed the WGCNA on the TCGA-BLCA cohort by integrating the DEGs retrieved from the RRA analysis (Figure 3). Clinical information of BC sample from TCGA, including stage, age, grade, and TNM classification were retrieved (Figure 3A). We set the soft-thresholding power at 6 (scale free $R^2=0.9$) and cut height as 0.25. Consequently, 4 modules were identified (Figure 3B-3D). Based on the heatmap showing module-trait correlations, the blue module shows the highest correlation with clinical symptoms, particularly the stage (correlation coefficient=0.24, $p=1E-06$). The blue module had 67 genes (see Supplementary file 2). We set the module membership (MM)>0.8 and gene significance (GS)>0.2 then identified 19 hub genes from the blue module: EDNRA, SERPINF1, COLEC12, FBLN5, DDR2, SFRP2, OLFML3, AEBP1, DCN, CDH11, TIMP2, LUM, DPT, COL6A3, COL16A1, EMILIN1, SPON1, OLFML1 and CRISPLD2. Through GO and KEGG analyses, we uncovered the prospective biological roles of the genes in the blue module. The highest remarkable GO terms for biological process, molecular function, and cellular component, as well as KEGG pathways, are depicted in Figure 4A-4D. Following this evaluation, genes within the blue modules were primarily linked to signal transduction, cell adhesion, and extracellular matrix organization.

Survival analysis and significant gene identification

We assessed whether the 19 hub genes in BC were clinically relevant. To achieve this, correlation assessment of the hub genes with prognosis outcome of BC patients in TCGA-BLCA data sets was performed. By optimizing the cut-off values for hub gene analysis, CDH11, COL6A3, EDNRA and SERPINF1 were highly expressed and were associated with poor prognosis (Figure 5A and Figure S2). Furthermore, receiver operating characteristics (ROC) curves demonstrated that they had high diagnostic potential as BC biosignatures (Figure S3, CDH11 AUC: 0.699, COL6A3 AUC: 0.697, EDNRA AUC: 0.833, SERPINF1 AUC: 0.804), suggesting the potential use of the genes as indicators in monitoring prognosis.

Differential expression of CDH11, COL6A3, EDNRA and SERPINF1

We compared the mRNA expression of CDH11, COL6A3, EDNRA and SERPINF1 between bladder tumor and neighboring healthy tissues, respectively. This was based on data for RNA-sequence obtained from the Oncomine and TCGA databases. Notably, the transcriptional levels of CDH11, COL6A3, EDNRA and SERPINF1 expressions were lowly expressed in BC tissues in comparison to healthy tissues (Figure 5B). Besides, there was a significant correlation of CDH11 mRNA expression and BC samples with a mild clinical stage (Figure 5C), whereas the lowest CDH11 mRNA expression was reported stage +++. Similarly, we evaluated the association of CDH11 mRNA expression with different pathological grade, whereby it was revealed that mRNA expression of CDH11 is significantly correlated with lower pathological grades (Figure 5D). Additionally, mRNA levels of COL6A3, EDNRA and SERPINF1 were lower in BC tissues (Figure 5B). COL6A3, EDNRA and SERPINF1 mRNA expression in BLCA sample were significantly correlated with mild clinical staging, whereas the lowest COL6A3, EDNRA and SERPINF1 mRNA expression were detected
in stage II + III (Figure 5C). Moreover, mRNA expression levels of COL6A3, EDNRA and SERPINF1 were related to lower clinicopathological grading (Figure 5D). Collectively, we demonstrated that the expressions of CDH11, COL6A3, EDNRA and SERPINF1 were lower in BC tissues compared to healthy tissues. Thus, the hub gene CDH11, COL6A3, EDNRA and SERPINF1 could play a pivotal role in bladder cancer progression. Overall, low expression of CDH11, COL6A3, EDNRA and SERPINF1 mRNA is significantly associated with mild clinical-pathological parameters in BC patients and is significantly lowered in the early disease stages. This may be vital in the early BC diagnosis.

**Association of hub genes’ expression with tumor-infiltrating immune cells**

Referring to the critical roles of invading immune cells within the tumor microenvironment, we comprehensively analyzed immune signatures plus immune infiltrates. From the TIMER web resource, the association between CDH11, COL6A3, EDNRA and SERPINF1 immune signatures and tumor purity or numerous vital immune cells was revealed. CDH11, COL6A3, EDNRA and SERPINF1 were all negatively correlated with tumor purity. The correlations (Cor>0.5 and p<0.05) were considered to be the strongest correlated. Although it was observed no or weak correlations of these genes with infiltration of CD8+ T cells, dendritic cells, CD4+ T cells, B cells, and neutrophils, CDH11, COL6A3 and SERPINF1 were significantly associated with macrophages. (Figure 6)

**GSEA analysis**

To assess the potential roles of CDH11, COL6A3, EDNRA and SERPINF1 in BC, GSEA was conducted for hallmark analysis of the genes on the TCGA-BLCA RNA-seq data. Genes in low expression CDH11, COL6A3, EDNRA and SERPINF1 groups were enriched in “MYC-TARGETS-V2” “MYC-TARGETS-V1”, and “OXIDATIVE-PHOSPHORYLATION” pathways (Figure 7). Meanwhile, the “DNA-REPAIR” gene set was abundant in low-expression groups of CDH11, COL6A3 and EDNRA, and “PEROXISOME” was enriched in the COL6A3 and EDNRA low-expression groups.

**Discussion**

Bladder cancer, being the most prevalent malignant tumors of the genitourinary system has in recent years, shown an increasing incidence. More importantly, identifying the prognostic, as well as predictive biosignatures for BC is vital because BC is a diverse disease with an unpredictable clinical endpoints (17). A wealth of studies have shown that progression of BC is attributed by the accumulation of cellular and molecular aberrations, such as transcriptomic, miRNA, epigenetic, metabolomic and proteomic abnormalities (18–20). Following the multiple “omics” research that purposed to reveal diagnostic biomarkers for early BC detection, both the heterogeneity and the potential commonalities at the molecular level were highlighted in different BC stages. Of note, there is evidence on BC molecular heterogeneity, associated with several changes at genetic and protein levels. Therefore, a bunch of comprehensively-selected candidates could be representative of these tumors. Several assessments employing microarray and RNA-seq data have been performed to uncover novel therapeutic targets and
biomarkers for BC; however, inconsistencies exist on the DEGs detected in various studies (21). Of interest, we present the first report to the use of RRA-WGCNA to explore novel hub genes related to BLCA.

In the present work, unlike a single genetic or cohort study, we incorporated 4 qualified BLCA datasets from GEO into the RRA technique, after which several robust DEGs were identified. In total, 343 DEGs were revealed, including 111 up-regulated and 232 down-regulated genes. Then, we conducted GO based on DAVID, which demonstrated that the DEGs were mainly abundant in cell division, mitotic nuclear division, cell proliferation, protein kinase binding and protein serine/threonine kinase activity. Based on these observations, we confirmed their role in BC development (22–24). Additionally, enrichment of the DEGs in some KEGG pathways, for instance, ECM-receptor interaction and Focal adhesion implicate that they are essential in the pathogenesis of BC. Following GO and KEGG analysis findings, we proposed that the DEGs have a close association with the development of BC.

Moreover, upon constructing the co-expression network, as well as identifying the hub genes via WGCNA, we revealed that genes within the co-expression module which are highly associated with clinical features of BLCA samples in TGCA (blue module) were enriched in: Signal transduction, cell adhesion, P13K-Akt signaling pathway as well as ECM-receptor interaction by GO and KEGG analyses. After filtering for GS and MM value, 19 hub genes (EDNRA, SERPINF1, COLEC12, FBLN5, DDR2, SFRP2, OLFML3, AEBP1, DCN, CDH11, TIMP2, LUM, DPT, COL6A3, COL16A1, EMILIN1, SPON1, OLFML1 and CRISPLD2) were eventually obtained. Notably, most of them could exert essential functions in BC pathogenesis (25). Moreover, after performing survival analysis, CDH11, COL6A3, EDNRA and SERPINF1 were revealed as the only 4 outstanding genes.

CDH11 (cadherin-11), which is a cadherin superfamily member, a group of intercellular adhesion molecules dependent on calcium, which are critical for adhesion, proliferation and invasion of cells (26, 27). The expression of CDH11 has been correlated to numerous pathologic processes, including fibrosis and inflammation, which is essential as it progresses from chronic inflammation to cancer (28, 29). Besides, CDH11 has been implicated in breast, prostate, colorectal cancer metastases (30–32). However, based on recent studies, CDH11 functions as a gene that suppresses tumors, upon CDH11 inactivation, which is linked to the malignant characteristics of different human tumors (33–36). However, the association of CDH11 with bladder cancer is yet to be fully elucidated.

COL6A3 (Collagen alpha 3), a protein of the extracellular matrix, is present in a majority of connective tissues, such as skin, muscle, vessels, and tendons (37). Based on recent understanding, numerous studies have outlined the critical function of COL6A3 in the prognosis and diagnosis of prostate, lung, and colorectal cancers (38–40). Besides the above findings, the use of COL6A3 to diagnose and prognose BC is still elusive.

EDNRA is a G-protein coupled endothelins receptor which is expressed on vascular smooth-muscles cells as well as on neuronal cells, kidney, and heart (41). Notably, the potential functional effects of EDNRA in metastasis and cancer progression remains unclear.
SERPINF1, also known as pigment epithelium-derived factor (PEDF), is secreted as a protein with multiple functions. It impedes metastasis and angiogenesis, promotes tumor cell differentiation and apoptosis, and activates cellular immunity in fighting breast cancer, cervical cancer, and melanoma (42–44). Of note, SERPINF1 promotes vascular microenvironment maturation and regression of immature blood vessels (45). Some reports show that SERPINF1 potentially impede the migration and proliferation simultaneously, which is induced via the vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) (46). Consequently, it inhibits angiogenesis through the interaction with specific cell surface receptors (46), though its actual role in BC progression is unclear.

Herein, we demonstrated that CDH11, COL6A3, EDNRA and SERPINF1 are significantly down-regulated in the early stages of bladder cancer, thus may be utilized as indicators for early bladder cancer diagnosis. Moreover, ROC curves demonstrated that all the 4 genes, when adopted as biomarkers could distinguish tumors from healthy bladder tissue in a more sensitive and accurate manner. It is worth noting that all these genes are prospective candidates as prognosis predictors as well as therapeutic targets.

For the hub genes, we further explored their biological functions by inferring to the TIMER dataset and GSEA. It was noted that the expression of CDH11, COL6A3, EDNRA and SERPINF1 were negatively associated with tumor purity. However, we did not find any or weak relationships for hub genes and invading immune cells except for macrophages in BC tissues. Based on TIMER results, we suggested that CDH11, COL6A3 and SERPINF1 may exhibit their macrophage-associated functions. Recent studies also revealed that macrophages enhance the tumorigenesis and increase aggressive clinical manifestations of BC (47, 48). GSEA showed that significant pathways for CDH11, COL6A3, EDNRA and SERPINF1 include “MYC-TARGETS-V1”, “MYC-TARGETS-V2” and “OXIDATIVE-PHOSPHORYLATION”. Of note, all the gene sets with the highest enrichment scores had a close association with tumor proliferation (49–51).

**Conclusion**

In a nutshell, the present study integrated RRA, WGCNA with other bioinformatics tools to identify and characterize numerous robust DEGs and significant gene modules in BC. Of note, 4 hub genes (CDH11, COL6A3, EDNRA and SERPINF1) were strongly down-regulated in BC tissues, which may be vital in uncovering the underlying mechanisms related to BC progression and provide more insights into its molecular pathogenesis in addition to defects in the signaling pathways of hub genes associated with the BC.

**Abbreviations**

eigengene, GS: gene significant, ROC: receiver operating characteristics, KM: Kaplan-Meier, TIMER: Tumor Immune Estimation Resource, GSEA: Data processing of gene set enrichment analysis,
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Figures
Figure 1

Identifying robust DEGs via RRA analysis. A heatmap highlighting the top 50 up-regulated genes (A) and 50 down-regulated genes (B) based on the P-value. Every row denotes the gene name, whereas each column shows the GEO IDs. Red denotes up-regulation, whereas green denotes down-regulation.
Figure 2

Distribution of integrated DEGs in bladder cancer for different GO-enriched functions and KEGG pathway enrichment analysis. (A) Upregulated DGEs for GO-enriched functions. (B) Downregulated DGEs for GO-enriched functions. (C) KEGG pathway enrichment analysis.
Figure 3

Identifying the key modules associated with clinical features in the TCGA-PRAD cohort using WGCNA. (A) Clustering dendrograms of genes, based on the TCGA-BLCA RNA-seq data of robust DEGs from RRA analysis. There is a positive variation of color intensity with age, grade and pathological stage. (B) Scale-free fit index (left), as well as the mean connectivity (right) analyses for various soft-thresholding powers. (C) Clustering of module eigengenes. The red line denotes the cut height (0.25). (D) Dendrogram of all
DEGs clustered based on a dissimilarity measure (1-TOM). (E) Heatmap of the correlation of module eigengenes with clinical features of BLCA. Each cell show the correlation coefficient and P value. (F) Scatter plot of module eigengenes are denoted in the blue module.

**Figure 4**

The functional annotation of the WGCNA module highly correlated with clinical traits. (A) Biological process GO terms for genes in the blue module. (B) Cellular component GO terms for genes in the blue module. (C) Molecular function GO term for genes in the blue module. (D) KEGG analysis for genes in the blue module.
Figure 5

Survival plot and transcriptional expression of hub genes in bladder tumor samples and neighboring healthy tissues. (A) Association between CDH11, COL6A3, EDNRA and SERPINF1 expression and disease-free survival time in the TCGA-PRAD cohort. The red line shows samples with highly expressed genes (above best-separation value), and the blue line indicates the samples with lowly expressed genes (below best-separation value). (B) CDH11, COL6A3, EDNRA and SERPINF1 gene expression differences between normal and tumor tissues.
BC and neighboring healthy tissues from the Oncomine dataset. (C) Transcriptional level of CDH11, COL6A3, EDNRA and SERPINF1 expression in BC samples with different stages from the TCGA-BLCA cohort. (D) Transcriptional level of CDH11, COL6A3, EDNRA and SERPINF1 expression in BC samples with different grades from the TCGA-BLCA dataset. *p<0.05, **p<0.01, and ***p<0.001.

Figure 6

Integrative analysis of the established hub immune biosignature with tumor-infiltrating immune cells. (A) CDH11. (B) COL6A3. (C) EDNRA. (D) SERPINF1. P<0.05 show statistically significant difference, whereas each dot denotes a sample in the TCGA-BLCA cohort.
Figure 7

Gene set enrichment analysis (GSEA) of hub genes in the TCGA-BLCA dataset. (A-D) Top 5 gene sets (according to GSEA enrichment score) abundant in the high-expression group of single hub genes. (A) CDH11, (B) COL6A3, (C) EDNRA, (D) SERPINF1.
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