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Abstract

Background: High-risk patients undergoing elective surgery may experience life-threatening complications. Preoperative assessment clinics provide an opportunity to conduct Advance Care Planning but it is unknown how often this is accomplished or subsequently needed for goals of care discussions.

Objective: 1) assess the relationship between advance directives with readmissions and mortality at one year 2) qualitatively examine clinical events that occurred for patients who died during follow-up.

Design: This was an observational cohort study conducted via chart review. Patients were followed for one year.

Participants: Four hundred patients who were undergoing preoperative evaluation for elective surgery at two academic hospitals.

Main measures: The prevalence of advance directives at the time of surgery, prevalence of advance directives in the electronic medical record during the one year follow-up period, readmissions and mortality at one year.

Key Results: Three hundred and ninety patients were included. There were 102 (26.4%) patients were readmitted, which was not associated with having an AD on file. Seventeen (4.4%) filed an AD during follow-up. Twelve of 19 (63%) patients who died had an AD on file at the time of death. There was a significant association between having an AD at any time with mortality (chi-square p-value <0.001). Total mortality for the cohort was 4.9%. Of the 19 patients who died, seven (37%) underwent resuscitation, four of whom had an AD on file.

Conclusions: A minority of patients who die within a year after major surgery have an AD, highlighting the missed opportunity to conduct advance care planning in a preoperative clinic.

Introduction

Factors such as age and chronic disease are associated with high surgical risk\(^1\).\(^2\) High-risk patients who undergo elective surgery have an increased likelihood of life-threatening complications during the perioperative period\(^3\). Such patients may also be at high risk of other medical complications even after the surgery. The profound impact of the novel coronavirus-19 in the population exemplifies the importance of AD completion.

Preoperative clinics evaluate patients prior to surgery and are shown to decrease operative room cancellations, post-operative length of stay and possibly mortality\(^4\).\(^5\). Some preoperative clinics employ internal medicine certified hospitalists to provide nuanced risk assessments and medically optimize patients prior to surgery. These clinics are potential venues to discuss Advance Care Planning (ACP) as patients seen in preoperative clinics are already being advised of their comorbidity and procedurally
associated risks. Counselling and documentation of Advance Directives (ADs) is not standard at these clinics, though prior research has demonstrated that it is feasible, even within clinic time constraints.

Studies have estimated the community AD completion rate to be between 5–25%. In one study of intensive care unit patients, 42% of patients had an AD, however the cohort was known to be significantly ill, with a mortality of 24%. In contrast, another study examining the prevalence of ADs in a cohort of cancer patients undergoing surgery consultation reported 10% of these patients had a Do-Not-Resuscitate order in their medical record but none had an AD on file. Studies have also demonstrated completion of ADs are associated with lower readmission rates, which have implications for both individual patients and health systems.

We have previously published a retrospective chart review of patients seen in a preoperative clinic to identify the prevalence of ADs, including living wills or surrogate decision maker (SDM) documentation. In the present analysis, we followed these patients for one year post-operatively to evaluate for additional medical events that are often discussed during ACP. The primary objective was to determine if patients who had either readmission or death at one year were more likely to have ADs. The secondary objective was to qualitatively examine the events that occurred for patients who died during follow-up.

Methods

This study was an observational cohort study conducted at two, large, urban, academic hospitals via chart review. Four hundred consecutive patients between February-March 2017 undergoing preoperative evaluation for elective surgery at two Pre-Admission Testing (PAT) Clinics were identified. This study was approved by the Indiana University Institutional Review Board.

The PAT clinic appointment usually occurred days to weeks prior to surgery. Demographics and co-morbidities were obtained from the preoperative evaluation in the Electronic Medical Record (EMR). The EMR was then reviewed at one year from the PAT clinic appointment date for the presence of ADs, mortality, and readmissions. Patients were coded as having an AD on file if they had either an SDM, living will or any other type of AD document in the EMR. Statistical methods included descriptive statistics of patient characteristics, and chi-square and Fisher’s exact tests for comparison between groups.

Results

A total of 400 charts were reviewed. At one-year follow-up, ten patients did not undergo surgery, thus 390 records were included. The average age of patients was 58.4 years (SD 14.6) and 187 (48%) were male. The cohort was predominantly white (85%), with 14% African American. There was an even split of patients seen at the two clinics (51% versus 49%). Forty-two (10.8%) patients had Medicaid insurance, 183 (47%) had Medicare, 144 (37%) had private insurance - though some patients had a combination. Only 63 (16.2%) had an AD in the EMR prior to surgery. A previously published article discusses the co-morbidities, functional status, and pre-operative risk in this patient population.
There were 102 (26.4%) patients readmitted at least once. Readmissions were not associated with having an AD on file at follow-up (p-value 0.42). About one-third of the readmissions were with patients with underlying cancer, the majority of whom underwent surgery related to their malignancy. An additional 40 (10.3%) of patients returned to the Emergency Department during follow-up but were not admitted.

Seventeen (4.4%) patients filed an AD during follow-up, two of whom also had an AD on file at the time of surgery. Of the 15 patients who had no ADs on file prior to surgery and completed one during follow-up, nine died. Twelve of 19 (63%) patients who died had an AD on file at the time of death (Fig. 1). There was a significant association between having an AD at any time with mortality (18% of survivors compared with 63% of decedents, chi-square p-value < 0.001). A total of three of the decedents (17%) had an AD on file prior to surgery.

Three patients died during the index hospitalization and 16 patients died during the follow-up year, for a total mortality of 4.9%. The 19 patients who died had an average age of 58.5 years (SD 14.3 years) and an average Charlson Risk Score of 4.6 (SD 3.0, range 2 to 11). Fourteen of the 19 patients had an underlying diagnosis of cancer; four of these patients had known metastatic cancer. Of these, 13 underwent surgery for resection of their cancer and one had surgery for a pathologic fracture.

Three of the surviving patients required resuscitation during their initial hospitalization. One suffered an MCA stroke and thus required re-intubation. Another patient required re-intubation due to respiratory distress and ultimately required a tracheostomy prior to discharge. The third patient required re-intubation and vasopressors, thought to be due to complications from their surgery. Of the 19 patients who died, seven (37%) underwent resuscitation — three of whom were intubated and four of whom experienced cardiopulmonary arrest. Four of the seven patients who underwent resuscitation had an AD on file (two had an SDM and two had a living will). Five of the seven patients had return of spontaneous circulation (ROSC); the other two died during the attempted resuscitation. The five patients who had ROSC all were ultimately transitioned to comfort measures.

Discussion And Conclusions

Similar to prior studies, in this chart review, approximately 15% of patients had an AD on file. Over a quarter of the patients were readmitted in the following year. Our data does not find an association between readmission and having an AD on file, which was surprising as readmitted patients have more contacts with the health system and are potentially a sicker portion of the cohort. This is equally surprising considering one-third of our readmitted patients had a diagnosis of potentially lethal cancer.

Our mortality rate was < 5%. Other studies of patients undergoing elective surgeries had mortality rates ranging from < 1% to approximately 3%, however these studies examined in-hospital and/or six month mortality. The overall low mortality rate may be more of a reflection of the safety of modern surgery rather than improved health of our patients. Recent data suggests that patient frailty, which takes medical co-morbidities as well as cognitive and functional measures into account, may be a more powerful risk
factor for post-operative outcomes\textsuperscript{13,14}. Frailty may be a better marker to identify which patients may benefit most from AD completion prior to surgery.

Only 17\% of patients who died in the cohort had an AD on file prior to surgery and 63\% of patients who died had an AD on file prior to death. While there was a statistically significant relationship between having an AD on file and death, some of those were likely in response to the patient’s declining condition. Further review revealed that patients who died were high risk based on having cancer and other advanced diseases at the time of surgery. These findings suggest a missed opportunity for identifying patients with high one-year mortality risk so that ACP conversations can occur and ADs can be completed in advance.

Almost 40\% of patients who died were resuscitated prior to their death. The perioperative evaluation is a missed opportunity to explore whether life sustaining treatments were consistent with the patient’s goals. Studies have demonstrated that the more elements of goals of care that are discussed, the better agreement between patient’s preferences and goals of care\textsuperscript{15}. Moreover, ACP is correlated with decreased aggressive measures at the end of life and increased concordance with patients’ end of life wishes\textsuperscript{16}.

There are several limitations to this study. It was conducted within one health system, including one tertiary referral center, which may attract a higher risk surgical population. Data was collected over only one year and was observational. Finally, the high percentage of surgeries performed for cancer affected the likelihood of patients proceeding to surgery with minimal discussion as most preoperative clinics are more likely to recommend proceeding to cancer surgery without delay than for elective procedures.

In conclusion, the finding that a minority of patients who die within a year of major surgery have an advance directive highlights a missed opportunity to conduct advance care planning in a perioperative clinic. Future studies should include the patient perspective on how patients undergoing elective procedures would have benefited from the opportunity to discuss advance care planning along with the implementation of advance care planning in preoperative clinics and subsequent measure of effect on patient expectations and experience.
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**Tables**

Characteristics and outcomes of survivors and decedents.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Survivors</th>
<th>Decedents</th>
<th>N = 371, Number (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Gender</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>194 (52)</td>
<td>9 (47)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Surgery</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cancer</td>
<td>102 (28)</td>
<td>14 (74)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dental</td>
<td>16 (4)</td>
<td>2 (11)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General surgery</td>
<td>46 (12)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ear, nose and throat</td>
<td>34 (9)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gynecology</td>
<td>11 (3)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neurosurgery</td>
<td>61 (16)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orthopedic</td>
<td>53 (14)</td>
<td>1 (5)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urology</td>
<td>12 (3)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>36 (10)</td>
<td>2 (11)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cancer diagnosis, total</strong></td>
<td>104</td>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metastatic</td>
<td>21 (20)</td>
<td>4 (27)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not metastatic or unknown</td>
<td>83 (80)</td>
<td>11 (73)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Palliative Care consulted</td>
<td>5 (1)</td>
<td>2 (11)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Died during initial/surgical hospitalization</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>3 (16)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Died in the hospital</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>8 (42)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Died in hospice</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>3 (16)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Resuscitation (CPR or intubation)</strong></td>
<td>3 (1)</td>
<td>7 (37)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Died during code</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>2 (29)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figures**
Figure 1

Mortality data