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Abstract

PCR-based analysis of skeletonized human remains is a common aspect in both forensic human identification as well as Ancient DNA research. In this, both areas not merely utilize very similar methodology, but also share the same problems regarding quantity and quality of recovered DNA and presence of inhibitory substances in samples from excavated remains. To enable amplification based analysis of the remains, development of optimized DNA extraction procedures is thus a critical factor in both areas.

The method paper here presents an optimized protocol for DNA extraction from ancient skeletonized remains using Chelex-100, with improved effectiveness in yielding amplifiable extracts from sample material excavated after centuries in a soil environment, which consequently have high inhibitor content and overall limited DNA preservation.

Further studies showed that the optimized protocol can likewise be utilized for extraction of DNA from common and trace Forensic sample material.

Introduction

Ancient DNA analysis of historical human remains and forensic human identification explore similar questions, utilizing similar (and frequently the same) methodology, while the former does so under more extreme conditions with regards to DNA content, degree of degradation and presence of inhibitors. Consequently, improvements of methodology and procedures in one of these areas will inform the other, and vice versa.

When analyzing highly degraded or ancient DNA, the quality and quantity of DNA targets available for PCR amplification - and therefore the efficiency of the extraction process by means of which the genetic material is isolated - represents a crucial factor 1-3.

Consequently, the evaluation (e.g. see 4) and optimization of standard protocols 2, 3, 5 and the design of new protocols for the extraction of degraded DNA (e.g. 6-9), are important means to improve the reliability of the analysis of degraded or ancient DNA.

Comparative studies including a variety of extraction procedures have shown that phenol/chloroform extraction protocols represent the most effective method to isolate amplifiable DNA (e.g. 4, 10), especially when extracting from hard tissues 10 or even ancient human remains 4. However, the application of an organic extraction procedure might not always be possible, or researchers might be inclined to apply a
less hazardous non-organic – but still very potent (e.g. 11,12) – alternative DNA extraction protocol like
the Chelex-100 method⁻¹.

In context with the extraction of DNA from historical and ancient specimens, a further advantage of
Chelex based DNA extraction is its applicability to minute samples of less than 1mg of bone or tooth
powder, which significantly minimizes the damage to the analyzed specimen ¹⁴. When analyzing samples
containing minute quantities of DNA, an important advantage of Chelex based procedures is the
comparatively limited risk of contamination with pristine modern DNA, due to the limited number of
additions and transfers of reagents (cf. ¹³).

The disadvantage of standard Chelex protocols lies in their limited purification efficiency where samples
containing PCR inhibitors are concerned: standard Chelex based extraction procedures may remove
inhibitory substances to a certain extent ¹⁵,¹⁶. Depending on the concentration of the inhibitor present,
additional purification of extracts may be required to allow for successful PCR amplification ¹⁷. In the
case of low DNA content samples, the additional purification step can likewise be utilized as a
concentration step to enrich DNA extracts ¹⁸.

Strategies for additional purification range from simple procedures, such as chromatography with
Sephadex G-50 columns ¹⁹ or ultrafiltration dialysis (e.g. ²⁰), to a more complex re-extraction of extracts
using silica column based commercial kits ²¹. A further method for purification of extracts is alcoholic
precipitation of the DNA in the presence of sodium acetate ²². In the case of inhibitors like humic
substances, which are frequently present in ancient specimen - especially those recovered from soil ²³,²⁴,
replacement of the generally used ethanol ²² by isopropanol proved to be more efficient in removing
inhibitory substances from DNA extracts ⁷. To support the precipitation of minute amounts of highly
degraded DNA, as is usually encountered in archaeological or ancient skeletal material, silica can be
added during the alcoholic precipitation ²,²⁵.

Based upon a Chelex protocol published by Lassen ²¹ for the extraction of DNA from ancient bone, a
modified protocol was designed taking into account the findings published for successful optimization of
a phenol/chloroform protocol for extraction of degraded DNA ²,⁵. The aim here was to improve this
Chelex based method in terms of quantity and quality of extracted DNA. The optimized protocol
presented here was evaluated by comparison of the resulting extracts, to extracts of the same samples
derived from the previously published protocol (for detail refer to Schmerer 2021 ²⁶,²⁷).
Results of this comparison indicate that both methods may yield similar quantities of DNA, with but a comparably higher target quality in the case of the optimized protocol, accompanied by a comparably higher efficiency of the new protocol in the removal of polymerase inhibiting substances 26, 27.

Additional studies demonstrated that, although originally developed for the extraction from ancient bone, the protocol can likewise be used successfully for the extraction of DNA from blood, dried blood spots and saliva 28 as well as trace DNA material like hair 28, 29, finger nail clippings, skin, cigarette ends with or without filter 29 and even fingerprint residues 30.

In tests with known concentration of polymerase-inhibitor in the extracted sample (humic acid added to saliva), this modified Chelex protocol was more efficient in removing the inhibitor than phenol-chloroform extraction 31, which concurs with previously published findings 17, 18, 32-35. Applying this protocol, the inhibitor was successfully removed even when present in high concentrations 31.

These studies 28-31 likewise showed the protocol to be robust and easy to use, even in the hand of relatively inexperienced student researchers.

**Reagents**

proteinase K-solution (20mg/ml, e.g. Qiagen)

5% (w/v) Chelex-100 (Bio-Rad) in sterile Water (Ampuwa, Frsenius or UltraPure™, Invitrogen)

isopropanol (abs.)

sodium acetate buffer (2M, pH 4.5)

ethanol (abs.)

PCR grade water (Ampuwa, Frsenius or UltraPure™, Invitrogen)

**Equipment**

scalpel (disposable scalpel, size 10, Swann-Morton)

mixer mill (e.g. MM2000, Retsch) - agate or stainless steel cups

vortex (e.g. Vortex Genie 2, VWRbrand)

incubator or shaking water bath
centrifuge (desktop centrifuge 5415C, Eppendorf) - adapter for 1.5-2ml tubes

Thermomixer (Eppendorf) or heat block

PH-Indicator Strips, pH 6.5-10 (Merck)

Procedure

General Considerations:

Steps 1-5 are specific to the extraction of DNA from skeletal human remains. When applying this protocol to other source materials like forensic trace samples, steps 1-5 should be replaced by sample-specific preparation steps as featured in e.g. Wash et al (1991) 13.

Optimized Protocol:

I. Sample Preparation

1. When investigating ancient human skeletons, the sampling of long bones – preferably the mid shaft region – is recommendable. Because of their compactness, these skeletal elements show a relatively high probability of successful DNA recovery compared to less dense parts of the skeleton. A sample of 1x1cm (ca. 1g) is separated for processing as follows:

2. To prevent co-processing of possible adhering contaminations, exposed surfaces of the bone sample are quantitatively removed by the use of a sterile scalpel. Subsequently the material is exposed to UV light for 15min each of the previous surfaces (periosteum and medullary cavity).

3. Samples are ground to a fine powder using a mixer mill (MM2000, Retsch) or an agate mortar and pestle, according to the consistency of the material.

4. 0.3g bone powder is mixed with 1,5ml EDTA-solution (0.5M, pH 8.3) in a 2ml reaction tube, vortexed vigorously (e.g. Vortex Genie 2, VWRbrand), and incubated at constant rotation or agitation respectively for 48h at a constant temperature of 20°C (incubator or shaking water bath). Depending on the degree of DNA degradation respectively state of DNA preservation to be expected in the material at hand, the parameters of this step can be adapted to the following to optimize DNA yield of the extracts (cf. 2, 5 and Tab. 1).

5. The remaining bone powder is pelleted by centrifugation for 5min at 6000rpm (desktop centrifuge 5415C, Eppendorf).
II. Chelex 100-based DNA extraction

6. The supernatant (ca. 1300µl) is transferred to a 5ml tube (Polypropylene round-bottom tube, Falcon).

7. 1300µl Chelex-100-solution (5% in sterile Water, e.g. Ampuwa®), Fresenius or 18 Megohm Water, Sigma) and 500µl proteinase K-solution (20mg/ml, e.g. Qiagen) are added.

8. The mixture is vortexed briefly (5-10sec, Vortex Genie 2, VWRbrand) and incubated at 56˚C and constant shaking at 300rpm (Thermomixer, Eppendorf) for a duration appropriate for the DNA degradation expected in the material to be analyzed (cf. 2, 5 and Tab. 2).

9. The mix is vortexed again briefly (5-10sec) and incubated at 95˚C for 8min (Thermomixer, Eppendorf) to denature and deactivate the proteinase.

10. The mixture is left to cool down slowly to room temperature (Thermomixer, Eppendorf) and the aqueous portion is separated from the Chelex resin by centrifugation for 6min at 4000rpm (Centrifuge 5804, Eppendorf).

III. Alcoholic precipitation in the presence of silica

11. The aqueous supernatant is transferred to a 14ml tube (Polypropylene Round-Bottom Tube, Falcon) with addition of 3250µl Isopropanol (abs., RT), 60-120µl sodium acetate buffer (2M, pH 4.5) and 5µl silica solution (Glasmilk™, Bio 101).

12. Prior to addition of the silica, the pH of the solution should be evaluated (e.g. PH-Indicator Strips, pH 6.5-10, Merck). If necessary, the pH should be adjusted to a value of 7.5 by adding further sodium acetate buffer to ensure optimal adsorptive binding of DNA to silica (cf. 2, 5).

13. Precipitation is carried out for 30min at RT.

14. Subsequently the precipitate is separated by centrifugation for 2min at 4000rpm (Centrifuge 5804, Eppendorf) and the supernatant discarded by careful decanting.

15. The DNA-silica pellet is washed with 500µl EtOH (abs.), then the alcohol removed by centrifugation for 2min at 4000rpm (Centrifuge 5804, Eppendorf) and the pellet left to air dry for ca. 30min at RT.

16. The DNA is eluted in 50µl sterile water (e.g. Ampuwa®, Fresenius or 18 Megohm Water, Sigma) for 5min at 50˚C and constant shaking at 300rpm (Termomixer, Eppendorf) and the eluate transferred to a 2ml tube (Safelock, Eppendorf). To ensure optimal stability of the extracted DNA, storage of the extract with silica at -20˚C is recommended 36.

Troubleshooting
PCR inhibition due to co-amplified polymerase inhibiting substances (e.g. 23), or brownish color of bone powder or the resulting extract indicate the presence of inhibitors like humic acids 24, 37 as frequently present when amplifying DNA extracted from historical or ancient specimen. In these cases an additional cleaning of the extract would be indicated. For this purpose the application of e.g. ultrafiltration dialysis (e.g. 20) or the Wizard PCR Prep™ DNA Purification System (Promega) 38 following a modified protocol 5 could be utilized.

**Time Taken**

Duration of the procedure is variable and predominantly influenced by the incubation time utilized in steps 4 and 8.

I. Sample Preparation:

DNA extraction from skeletal remains:

Step 1-3: duration depends on the number of samples processed min. 3-4h (one sample). Combining the successive processing of multiple samples in each step (and spreading step 1-3 over consecutive days) is recommended.

Step 4: incubation time 24-120h, with an effective hands-on manipulation time of 15min

DNA extraction from other material:

Steps 1-4 is part of the skeletal remains-specific pre-preparation. Consequently, when the protocol is applied to samples like hair, finger nail clippings, skin, cigarette ends, small quantities of liquid or dried blood or fingerprint residues, with their individual standards for sample preparation, duration of these steps is significantly reduced. Incubation times for e.g. wash-steps and pre-digests vary between 30min and over-night incubations, with effective hands-on manipulation between 0.5-2h.

II. Chelex 100-based DNA extraction:

Varies with incubation time selected for step 8. Overall duration is ca. 1.5-2h.

III. Alcoholic precipitation in the presence of silica

Duration is ca. 1h.

In general: any steps requiring hands-on manipulation will increase in duration with the number of samples processed.
Anticipated Results
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**Figures**
Tab. 1: Parameters for optimized decalcification of bone powder based on the expected preservation of the contained DNA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Degree of DNA degradation</th>
<th>Incubation time</th>
<th>Temperature</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>high</td>
<td>96-120h</td>
<td>at 20°C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>intermediate</td>
<td>48h</td>
<td>at (20)-30°C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>low</td>
<td>24h</td>
<td>at (20)-30°C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>consensus (DNA preservation n.d.)</td>
<td>96h</td>
<td>at 20°C</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 1
Parameters for optimized decalcification of bone powder based on the expected preservation of the contained DNA

Tab. 2: Parameters for optimized proteinase K digestion based on the expected preservation of sample DNA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Degree of DNA degradation</th>
<th>Incubation time</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>high</td>
<td>(60)-90min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>intermediate</td>
<td>90min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>low</td>
<td>60min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>consensus (DNA preservation n.d.):</td>
<td>90min</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 2
Parameters for optimized proteinase K digestion based on the expected preservation of sample DNA