

1 **Epistasis and Quantitative Resistance to *Pyricularia oryzae***
2 **Revealed by GWAS in Advanced Rice Breeding Populations**

3

4 Juan E. Rosas^{1,2¶*}, Maia Escobar^{3¶}, Sebastián Martínez¹, Pedro Blanco¹, Fernando
5 Pérez¹, Gaston Quero^{3,4}, Lucía Gutiérrez^{2,5} and Victoria Bonnacarrère³.

6

7 ¹Instituto Nacional de Investigación Agropecuaria (INIA), Programa Producción de
8 Arroz, Estación Experimental INIA Treinta y Tres, Ruta 8 km 281, Treinta y Tres 33000,
9 Uruguay.

10 ²Universidad de la República, Facultad de Agronomía, Departamento de Biometría y
11 Estadística, Garzón 780, Montevideo 12900, Uruguay.

12 ³Instituto Nacional de Investigación Agropecuaria (INIA), Unidad de Biotecnología,
13 Estación Experimental Wilson Ferreira Aldunate, Ruta 48 km 10, Canelones 90200,
14 Uruguay.

15 ⁴ Universidad de la República, Facultad de Agronomía, Departamento de Biología
16 Vegetal, Garzón 780, Montevideo 12900, Uruguay.

17 ⁵Department of Agronomy, College of Agricultural and Life Sciences, University of
18 Wisconsin-Madison, 1575 Linden Dr., Madison, WI 53706, USA

19 ¶Equal contribution

20 *Corresponding author, jrosas@inia.org.uy

21 **Abstract**

22 **Background:** Rice blast caused by *Pyricularia oryzae* is a major rice disease
23 worldwide. Despite the detailed knowledge on major resistance genes available to
24 date, little is known about how these genes interact with quantitative blast resistance
25 loci and with the genetic background. Knowledge on these interactions is crucial for
26 assessing the usefulness of introgressed resistance loci in breeding germplasm. Our
27 goal was to identify blast resistance loci in rice breeding populations and to describe
28 how they interact among each other and with the genetic background. To that end,
29 resistance to blast was mapped in two advanced rice breeding populations, one made
30 of 305 indica type inbred lines, and the other of 245 tropical japonica inbred lines. The
31 interactions and main effects of blast resistance loci were assessed in a multilocus
32 model.

33 **Results:** Well known, major effect blast resistance gene clusters were detected in
34 both tropical japonica (*Pii/Pi3/Pi5*) and indica (*Piz/Pi2/Pi9*) populations with the GWAS
35 scan 1. When these major effect loci were included as fixed cofactors in subsequent
36 GWAS scans 2 and 3, additional QTL and more complex genetic architectures were
37 revealed. The multilocus model for the tropical japonica population showed that
38 *Pii/Pi3/Pi5* had significant interaction with two QTL in chromosome 1 and one QTL in
39 chromosome 8, together explaining 64% of the phenotypic variance. In the indica
40 population a significant interaction among the QTL in chromosomes 6 and 4 and the
41 genetic background, together with *Piz/Pi2/Pi9* and QTL in chromosomes 1, 4 and 7,
42 explained 35% of the phenotypic variance.

43 **Conclusions:** Our results suggest that epistatic interactions can play a major role
44 modulating the effect of major effect blast resistance loci such as *Pii/Pi3/Pi5*.
45 Furthermore, the additive and epistatic effects of multiple QTL bring additional layers

46 of quantitative resistance with a magnitude comparable to that of major effect loci.
47 These findings highlight the need of genetic background-specific validation of markers
48 for molecular assisted blast resistance breeding and provide insights for developing
49 quantitative resistance to blast disease in rice.

50

51 **Keywords**

52 Leaf blast, GWAS, QTL by QTL interaction, QTL by genetic background interaction,
53 *Magnaporthe oryzae*.

54

55 **Background**

56 Rice is a major staple food worldwide, feeding half of the world population (Khush
57 2005). One of the major threats to the rice crop is blast, a major disease caused by
58 the fungus *Pyricularia oryzae* (Khush and Jena 2009). Genetic resistance to blast is a
59 key objective in rice breeding programs, given its economic and environmental
60 advantages over chemical control (Ashkani et al. 2015). Current breeding methods for
61 rice blast resistance involves phenotypic and marker assisted selection strategies
62 (Miah et al. 2013). The high genetic instability of PO mediated by parasexuality
63 generates variations in fungal avirulence genes that prevent gene-for-gene interaction
64 with rice resistance genes (Jia et al. 2000; Miah et al. 2013), leading to frequent breaks
65 of race specific resistance (Liu et al. 2010).

66 More than 100 race specific blast resistance genes have been identified up to
67 date in rice (Ashkani et al. 2014; Tanweer et al. 2015), and hundreds of quantitative
68 trait loci (QTL) associated with blast resistance have been mapped (Ballini et al. 2008).
69 Molecular markers linked to these genes and loci have been widely reported and used
70 for assisted breeding of blast resistance (Wang et al. 1994; Koide et al. 2009; Tacconi

71 et al. 2010; Miah et al. 2013). Pyramiding several resistance genes is a common
72 breeding strategy to broaden resistance spectrum and delay resistance breakdown
73 (Hittalmani et al. 2000; Tabien et al. 2000; Koide et al. 2010; Fukuoka et al. 2015).
74 This usually requires gene or QTL introgression from diverse donors to an adapted
75 recipient, rising the issues of epistasis, namely QTL by QTL interaction and QTL by
76 genetic background interaction (Collard and Mackill 2008).

77 Low order QTL by QTL interaction effects are often estimated in biparental QTL
78 studies (Holland 2007), while the ability to test the interaction between QTL and
79 genetic background is limited in biparental QTL studies (Jannink 2007; Bernardo 2008),
80 mainly due to the low number of QTL and genetic backgrounds combinations (Jannink
81 2008). A widespread approach for identifying main effect QTL that exploits the
82 diversity of allelic combinations from diverse genetic backgrounds is the genome-wide
83 association study (GWAS, Thornsberry et al. 2001; Arbelbide and Bernardo 2006).
84 Assessment of QTL by QTL interaction in GWAS is possible through multi loci models
85 such as those proposed by von Zitzewitz et al. (2011), developed by Segura et al.
86 (2012), and further implemented for several traits and crops (Lipka et al. 2013;
87 Locatelli et al. 2013; Gutierrez et al. 2015) including disease resistance in rice (Rosas
88 et al. 2018). Furthermore, the multiple genetic backgrounds and levels of relatedness
89 present in GWAS mapping populations can be exploited to effectively investigate QTL
90 by genetic background interactions (Hamblin et al. 2011). A model that incorporates a
91 term for QTL by genetic background interaction in GWAS analysis has been proposed
92 by Jannink (2007). GWAS has been extensively used for QTL mapping in highly
93 diverse rice germplasm collections, reviewed by Zhang et al. (2016a), and more
94 recently in rice advanced breeding populations (Begum et al. 2015; Liang et al. 2016;
95 Quero et al. 2018). GWAS has been also used for successfully identifying blast

96 resistance QTL in different types of mapping populations, such as a multi-parent
97 advanced generation inter-cross population (Bandillo et al. 2013), collections of indica
98 type landraces (Wang et al. 2014; Chen et al. 2017), a small set of temperate japonica
99 elite breeding lines (Shinada et al. 2015), and in rice diversity panels (Kang et al. 2016;
100 Raboin et al. 2016). However, none of these studies has investigated epistatic
101 interaction in blast resistance genetics, despite reports from biparental QTL studies of
102 significant effects for several traits in rice (Li et al. 1997; Lin et al. 2000; Xing et al.
103 2002; Fan et al. 2005; Wang et al. 2012b), including blast resistance (Wang et al. 1994;
104 Li et al. 2007; Urso et al. 2016; Inoue et al. 2017). Furthermore, to our knowledge no
105 statistical analysis with experimental data on QTL by genetic background interaction
106 has been reported for blast resistance in rice up to date. In the present work, QTL
107 main effects, QTL by genetic background, and QTL by QTL interaction effects for blast
108 resistance in two advanced rice breeding populations were estimated using multi loci
109 models in a GWAS framework.

110

111 **Results**

112 **Phenotyping and population structure**

113 Pairwise correlations between adjusted phenotypic means of disease evaluations at
114 14, 21, and 28 days post inoculation, and of the area under the disease progress curve
115 (AUDPC) were high (>0.98 , $P < 0.001$). Distributions of disease scores in the tropical
116 japonica and indica populations are shown in Figure 1. Resistant genotypes (i.e. rated
117 3 or below in the three evaluation dates) had adjusted phenotypic means of AUDPC
118 from -2.8 to 44.5 in indica and from -4.7 to 43.0 in tropical japonica. Genotypes rated
119 as susceptible (at least one score above 3) had adjusted phenotypic means of AUDPC
120 ranging from 39.3 to 68.0 in indica and from 40.2 to 61.9 in tropical japonica (Figure

121 1). Heritability for AUDPC was 0.56 (0.07 SE) in the tropical japonica population
122 experiment and 0.26 (0.10 SE) in the indica population experiment.

123 For the tropical japonica population, six subpopulations were defined based on
124 pedigree (Figure 2a). Subpopulations 1 and 5 had indica type lines in their pedigree
125 record, derived from CIAT-IRRI dwarf germplasm nurseries tested in Uruguay in the
126 80's. However, locally developed tropical japonica lines were predominant in the
127 pedigree of both subpopulations. Subpopulations 1 and 3 shared the same local
128 tropical japonica lines as parents. Subpopulations 2, 4, 5 and 6 were composed by
129 locally developed lines in whose pedigrees predominated (not exclusively) the US
130 tropical japonica cultivars Cypress (Linscombe et al. 1993), Gulfmont (Bollich et al.
131 1990)(Bollich et al. 1990), Newbonnet (Johnston et al. 1984)(Johnston et al. 1984),
132 and Lemont (Bollich et al. 1985)(Bollich et al. 1985), respectively. Pedigree groups
133 were clustered in the PCA plot (Figure 2a). PC1 explained 10.9% of the genotypic
134 variance and separated subpopulations 1 and 5 from 2 and 3, and PC2 explained 9.0%
135 of the genotypic variance and separated subpopulation 4 from 1 and 3.

136 For the indica population, nine subpopulation groups were defined based on
137 the origins of parents (Figure 2b, upper section). INIA Olimar (Blanco et al. 2004), an
138 indica cultivar with high yield and quality, was one of the parents in four out of nine of
139 those groups (65% of the indica population). A clear gradient of allele sharing with
140 INIA Olimar ranged from 78 to 99% and was found to correspond with PC1 ($r = 0.98$,
141 $P < 0.001$), the latter explaining 21.3% of the genetic variance (Figure 2b, upper and
142 lower sections). PC2 explained 5.7% of the genetic variance. Furthermore, lines
143 derived from crosses between INIA local germplasm, including INIA Olimar, clustered
144 on the left side of PC1 and at the center of PC2, indicating lower genetic variability
145 and more similarity to INIA Olimar. Lines derived from more diverse germplasm

146 sources (FLAR and CIAT, including tropical japonica parents) are found on the right
147 side of PC1, corresponding with higher levels of diverse genomic introgressions and
148 more genetic distance from INIA Olimar.

149

150 **QTL found in GWAS scans 1, 2 and 3, and colocalizing reported blast resistance**
151 **loci**

152 Results of GWAS scans 1, 2 and 3 are displayed together in Figure 3, and by scan in
153 Additional file 1: Fig. S1. In the tropical japonica population (Figure 3a, outer circle),
154 GWAS scan 1 identified a single QTL on chromosome 9, with SNP S9_9786203
155 having the highest $-\log_{10}(P \text{ value})$ (Additional file 1: Fig. S1). LD block analysis in the
156 surrounding region of S9_9786203 (Figure 4a) defined an LD block in chromosome 9
157 from 9.64 to 9.79 Mb. This LD block had an average pairwise R_2 of 0.96 (Figure 4a,
158 lower section) and precisely colocalized with the reported physical position for the
159 *Pi5/Pi3/Pii* locus from 9.63 to 9.80 Mb (Figure 4a, Lee et al. 2009). GWAS scan 2,
160 using S9_9786203 as fixed cofactor to remove the effect of the *Pi5/Pi3/Pii* locus,
161 showed two additional QTL located in chromosomes 1 (S1_37612210) and 8
162 (S8_14597990, Figure 3a outer circle and Additional file 1: Fig. S1). LD blocks for
163 these QTL ranged from 37.5 to 37.9 Mb in chromosome 1 and from 12.8 to 14.6 Mb
164 in chromosome 8. With GWAS scan 3, using S9_9786203, S1_37612210 and
165 S8_14597990 as fixed cofactors, two additional QTL were found: one in chromosome
166 1 (S1_1631976, located in an LD block from 1.6 to 2.1 Mb), and another in
167 chromosome 10 (S10_17378459, located in an LD block from 17.3 to 17.6 Mb,
168 Additional file 1: Fig. S1).

169 In the indica population (Figure 3a, inner circle), GWAS scan 1 identified a
170 major effect QTL in chromosome 6 located in an LD block from 9.8 to 12.5 Mb with

171 SNP S6_10469906 having the highest $-\log_{10}(P \text{ value})$ (Figure 4b and Additional file 1:
172 Fig. S1). Within this region the averaged pairwise R_2 was 0.44, while linkage with other
173 surrounding LD blocks was weak, with an averaged pairwise R_2 between LD blocks
174 ranging from 0.14 to 0.35 (Figure 4b, lower section). The QTL with S6_10469906
175 colocalized with the blast resistance gene cluster *Piz/Pi2/Pi5* (Wang et al., 2012a,
176 Figure 4b, upper section). Subsequent GWAS scan 2 using S6_10469906 as fixed
177 cofactor identified QTL S4_31419616 in an LD block from 31.4 to 32.7 Mb in
178 chromosome 4 (Additional file 1: Fig. S1). GWAS scan 3 with S6_10469906 and
179 S4_31419616 as fixed cofactors identified QTL S1_3350405 in an LD block from 3.2
180 to 3.4 Mb in chromosome 1, and S7_12704004 in an LD block from 12.7 to 14.8 Mb
181 in chromosome 7 (Figure 3a inner circle and Additional file 1: Fig. S1).

182 Allelic distribution of QTL was unbalanced across subpopulations. In the
183 tropical japonica population, allele S9_9786203:C was the major allele with an overall
184 frequency of 0.70 (Figure 2a). Its frequencies within subpopulations ranged from 0.22
185 in subpopulation 1, to 0.91 in subpopulation 2. In the indica population, allele
186 frequencies of QTL SNPs varied across PC1 (Figure 2b). The indica cultivar INIA
187 Olimar alleles predominated in pedigree groups originated from crosses that included
188 that cultivar and were rare or minor in pedigree groups with other ancestries (Figure
189 2b).

190

191 **QTL by QTL interaction**

192 All the main effects of QTL found in the GWAS scans for tropical japonica were
193 significant in the multi locus model analysis ($P < 0.001$). The multi locus model provided
194 evidence for two significant triple interactions, one involving S9_9786203,
195 S1_37612210, and S1_1631976, and another with S9_9786203, S1_37612210, and

196 S8_14597990 ($P < 0.001$, Figure 5a). All genotypes with allele S1_1631976:C and
197 allele S1_37612210:T were susceptible regardless the alleles of S9_9786203.
198 Analogously, all genotypes with the allelic combination S8_14597990:A and
199 S1_37612210:T had intermediate resistance regardless the alleles of S9_9786203.

200 In the indica population the multi locus model analysis had significant main
201 effects for QTL S6_10469906, S1_3350405, S4_31419616, and S7_12704004
202 ($P < 0.001$, Figure 3, intermediate circle). A significant interaction between
203 S6_10469906 and S4_31419616 ($P < 0.001$) was found, determined by the effect of
204 S4_31419616 when the susceptible allele S6_10469906:T was fixed (Figure 5c).

205

206 **QTL by genetic background interaction**

207 Significant QTL by subpopulation interaction in the tropical japonica population was
208 found for S9_9786203 ($P < 0.001$, Figure 5b), while there was not significant interaction
209 between other QTL and the genetic background. Allele S9_9786203:C was the
210 resistance allele across all subpopulations. Allele S9_9786203:T was associated with
211 susceptibility in all but subpopulation 2, where it was significantly associated with
212 resistance.

213 In the indica population, a triple interaction involving S6_10469906,
214 S4_31419616, and the genetic background modeled as the proportion of allele sharing
215 with the susceptible indica cultivar INIA Olimar was found to be significant ($P = 0.004$,
216 Figure 5c). The magnitude of the allele substitution effect for S6_10469906 varied
217 proportionally with the percentage of allele sharing with INIA Olimar, and across allelic
218 combinations of S4_31419616. All genotypes with low allele sharing with INIA Olimar
219 and carrying the resistance allele S4_31419616:T were resistant regardless the
220 S6_10469906. Genotypes with the susceptible allele S6_10469906:T still had

221 intermediate levels of AUDPC when combined whether with the resistance allele
222 S4_31419616:T, or with low proportion of allele sharing with INIA Olimar. The highest
223 AUDPC and magnitude of allele substitution effect for S6_10469906 were associated
224 with the susceptible allele S4_31419616:A and high proportion of allele sharing with
225 INIA Olimar.

226

227 Discussion

228 A single major effect QTL was found in each population, S9_9786203 in tropical
229 japonica and S6_10469906 in indica (Figure 3). These major effect QTL were in strong
230 LD and corresponded with well-known blast resistance loci: the *Pii/Pi3/Pi5* gene
231 cluster (Lee et al. 2009) in tropical japonica; and the *Piz/Pi2/Pi9* gene family (Wang et
232 al. 2012a) in indica. The *Pii/Pi3/Pi5* and *Piz/Pi2/Pi9* regions harbor clusters of genes
233 with nucleotide binding site-leucine-rich repeat (NBS-LRR) sequences (Liu et al. 2002;
234 Jeon et al. 2003), a gene class commonly associated to complete resistance
235 (DeYoung and Innes 2006).

236 Other QTL in regions previously reported containing blast resistance loci were
237 identified in this study. In the tropical japonica population, QTL S1_37612210 was
238 detected where the blast resistance locus *OsPdk1* was localized at 37.85 Mb (Matsui
239 et al. 2010). Also, in the proximity to S8_14597990 a QTL linked to a marker at 16.5
240 Mb in chromosome 8 was reported, which had an additive effect together with gene
241 *Pi13* in chromosome in a biparental mapping population (Ebitani et al. 2011). As for
242 QTL in the indica population, QTL S4_31419616 was found in an LD in chromosome
243 4 that contains a cluster of blast resistance loci. Several blast resistance loci were
244 mapped in this cluster, such as QTL-614 at 32.24 Mb, associated with complete blast
245 resistance in greenhouse (Wang et al. 1994), and the *Pi63* gene at 31.9 Mb, coding a

246 NBS-LRR protein (Xu et al. 2014). The QTL S7_12704004 colocalized with the
247 reported Os07g0409900 locus at 12.8 Mb. This gene codifies for a calcium dependent
248 protein kinase and represses defense gene expression, negatively regulating rice blast
249 resistance (Xie et al. 2014). To our knowledge there are no previous reports for blast
250 resistance loci in the LD block regions where we detected QTL S1_1631976 and
251 S10_17378459 in tropical japonica, nor in the LD block for QTL S1_3350405 in indica.

252 In this study, we found statistical evidence of an epistatic interaction between
253 S9_9786203, a QTL colocalizing in the *Pii/Pi3/Pi5* locus, and newly found QTL for
254 blast resistance in chromosomes 1 and 8 in a tropical japonica population. In
255 particular, these interactions consisted in a loss of the expected resistance effect of
256 S9_9786203 when combined with certain alleles of the interacting QTL. The molecular
257 basis behind these interactions may correspond with regulation of expression and/or
258 activity of NBS-LRR genes by *trans* acting elements (Marone et al. 2013; Lee and
259 Yeom 2015). Similar QTL by QTL interactions have been reported for other
260 pathosystems such as resistance of pea (*Pisum sativum* L.) to *Ascochyta* blight
261 disease (Timmerman-Vaughan et al. 2016). Furthermore, several biparental blast
262 resistance QTL studies have reported digenic epistatic interactions involving rice blast
263 resistance genes: Wang et al. (1994) found epistatic interactions between *Pi5(t)* and
264 the *Pi7(t)* locus in chromosome 11; Sirithunya et al. (2002) reported significant
265 epistatic interactions between a QTL close to the *Pi5(t)* locus and a QTL in
266 chromosome 7; Wu et al. (2005) found two digenic interactions between partial blast
267 resistance QTL located in chromosomes 7 and 8; and Li et al. (2007) found from 2 to
268 7 digenic epistatic interactions among major- and minor-effect QTL for blast resistance
269 across different developmental stages of rice. Other indications of epistatic
270 interactions between blast resistance genes in rice have been derived from differences

271 in resistance phenotypes found among different susceptible genotypes used as
272 recipients of blast resistance genes (Zhang et al. 2016b). Therefore, our findings
273 confirm previously observed blast resistance QTL by QTL interactions from biparental
274 populations, but within the more diverse genetic background offered by GWAS
275 mapping populations.

276 On the other hand, the triple interaction between the *Piz/Pi2/Pi9* linked QTL
277 S6_10469906, the QTL S4_31419616, and the genetic background, is probably not
278 attributable to epistasis, but to the additive effect of multiple small effect blast
279 resistance QTL that collinearly segregate with the genetic background in the indica
280 populations. That is, genotypes with low proportion of allele sharing with the
281 susceptible cultivar INIA Olimar may carry a higher number of favorable alleles
282 additional to S6_10469906 and S4_31419616 attributable to their more diverse
283 ancestry.

284

285 **Conclusions**

286 We found statistical evidence for a genetic background-dependent effect of the major
287 effect blast resistance locus *Pii/Pi3/Pi5* in a tropical japonica breeding population, that
288 suggest epistatic interactions. Also, the architecture of blast quantitative resistance
289 that is usually masked by major effect blast resistance genes was revealed in two rice
290 breeding populations. This means that, even among germplasm with relatively low
291 genetic diversity such as advanced lines from a breeding program, the contribution of
292 Pi genes to the enhancement of blast resistance may not be homogenous. Thus, a
293 genetic background-specific validation of this contribution should be addressed for
294 introgression of blast resistance loci in breeding germplasm.

295

296 **Methods**

297

298 **Plant Material**

299 Two mapping populations were selected representing the genetic variability of
300 Uruguayan INIA's National rice advanced breeding germplasm. The tropical japonica
301 population comprised 245 tropical japonica advanced inbred lines, and the cultivars
302 INIA Tacuarí (Blanco et al. 1993) and Parao (Molina et al. 2011). The indica population
303 had 305 advanced inbred lines, and the cultivars El Paso 144 (Yan et al. 2007) and
304 INIA Olimar (Blanco et al. 2004).

305

306 **Phenotyping of disease resistance and *Pyricularia oryzae* isolate**

307 For phenotyping blast resistance two separate greenhouse experiments were run, one
308 for each mapping population. Each population was planted in 8 by 13-cell seedbeds
309 with a completely randomized experimental design with three replicates. The
310 experimental unit was the seedbed cell. Four seeds were sown per cell and trimmed
311 to left one plant per cell at inoculation. The inoculum solution was prepared following
312 Bonman et al. (1986). Briefly, conidia were harvested by scrapping the culture surface
313 with a metallic spatula, suspended in distilled water, filtered through gauze, and
314 centrifuged at 8000 rpm for 30 min. The resulting pellet was adjusted to 3×10^5 conidia
315 ml⁻¹, mixed with 4% gelatin, and sprayed over rice plants at 3-leaves stage. Relative
316 humidity was maintained at >98% and temperature from 24 to 28 °C during the first
317 two days post inoculation. The area under disease progress curve (AUDPC) was
318 calculated with the *audpc* function from the *agricolae* package (Mendiburu et al.
319 2015)(Mendiburu et al. 2015) in R software (R Core Team 2019), which implements
320 Equation [1] for the trapezoidal method (Simko and Piepho 2012):

$$321 \quad AUDPC_k = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \frac{(y_i + y_{i+1})}{2} (t_{i+1} - t_i) \quad [1]$$

322 where $AUDPC_k$ is the total accumulated disease until time k , y_i is the disease score
 323 rated at time i , t_i is the time point i when a disease score was rated, and n is the total
 324 number of rating times. Disease scores data rated at 14, 21 and 28 days post
 325 inoculation on a 0-5 scale, with scores of 0-3 considered resistant and of 4-5
 326 susceptible reactions (Bonman et al. 1986). Adjusted phenotypic means were
 327 estimated with the mixed model in Equation [2]:

$$328 \quad Y_{ijmn} = \mu + \gamma_i + G_j + R_{m(i)} + C_{n(i)} + \varepsilon_{ijmn} \quad [2]$$

329 where Y_{ijmn} is the AUDPC score; μ is the intercept; γ_i is the random block effect with
 330 $\gamma \sim N(0, \sigma_B^2)$; G_j is the genotypic effect modeled as $G_j = g_k + c_l$, where g_k is the
 331 random effect of the k^{th} genotype line with $g \sim N(0, \sigma_G^2)$ for estimation of genetic
 332 variances and as fixed effect for estimating adjusted means, and c_l is the fixed effect
 333 of the k^{th} check; $R_{m(i)}$ and $C_{n(i)}$ are the row and column as coordinates for each
 334 experimental unit in the greenhouse modelled as random effects nested within blocks,
 335 with $R \sim N(0, \sigma_R^2)$ and $C \sim N(0, \sigma_C^2)$; and ε_{ijmn} is the residual. The models were fit in R
 336 with the package *lme4* (Bates et al. 2005). AUDPC estimates equal to or below 30
 337 were considered resistant, between 30 and 45 intermediate, and equal to or above 45
 338 susceptible reaction. Heritability for AUDPC was estimated following Cullis et al.
 339 (2006), and its standard error was estimated with a bootstrap resampling procedure
 340 following Holland et al. (2003) with the *boot* package in R (Canty and Ripley 2015).
 341 *Pyricularia oryzae* strain Po 188 isolated from leaves of temperate japonica rice cv.
 342 Samba (Titone et al. 2015) in naturally infected commercial fields in Rio Branco, Cerro
 343 Largo, Uruguay (32° 67'S, 53° 32'W) was selected based on its high virulence to
 344 locally adapted rice germplasm. The isolate was grown at 25 °C for 15 days in rice

345 bran agar media (1:1 w/w) and exposed for 4 days to sunlight radiation to induce
346 sporulation.

347

348 **Genotyping**

349 DNA was isolated from rice plant seedlings using the DNeasy kit (Qiagen). The 550
350 advanced breeding lines and cultivars were genotyped- by-sequencing (GBS, Elshire
351 et al. 2011). GBS libraries and sequencing were performed in the Biotechnology
352 Resource Center at Cornell University using digestion with enzyme ApeKI following
353 Elshire et al. (2011). GBS data was analyzed separately for indica and tropical
354 japonica. SNP were called with the TASSEL version 3.0 GBS pipeline. Sequences
355 were aligned with the MSU version 7.0 of Nipponbare reference genome using BWA-
356 0.7.5a. SNPs with a minor allele frequency (MAF) below 1% were removed from the
357 datasets. A detailed description of data curation can be found in Quero et al. (2018).

358

359 **Population structure and genetic background analyses**

360 Population structure was determined with principal component analysis (PCA) in both
361 indica and tropical japonica populations, computing the singular value decomposition
362 of the centered and scaled SNP score matrix for each population independently using
363 the *prcomp* base function in R. Pedigree groups within each population were defined
364 based on the origin and ancestry of the parental lines.

365

366 **GWAS scans**

367 Three GWAS scans were performed for each population, fitting the linear mixed model
368 in Equation [3] for each SNP in the genotypic matrix at a time.

369
$$y = X\beta + Zu + e \quad [3]$$

370 where \mathbf{y} is a vector of adjusted phenotypic means; $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ is a vector of fixed effects (single
371 SNP for GWAS scan 1, or single SNP and SNPs selected as covariates from the
372 previous scans for GWAS scans 2 and 3); \mathbf{u} is a vector of random genotypic effects
373 with $\mathbf{u} \sim N(\mathbf{G}\boldsymbol{\sigma}_G^2)$; \mathbf{e} is a vector of residual effects with $\mathbf{E} \sim N(\mathbf{I}\boldsymbol{\sigma}_e^2)$; \mathbf{X} and \mathbf{Z} are incidence
374 matrices that relate \mathbf{y} to $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ and to \mathbf{u} respectively; \mathbf{G} is the realized additive genotypic
375 relationship matrix (Endelman and Jannink 2012); $\boldsymbol{\sigma}_G^2$ is the genetic variance; \mathbf{I} is an
376 identity matrix; and $\boldsymbol{\sigma}_e^2$ is the residual variance. QTL of major effect were found in the
377 GWAS scan 1 in both populations co-localizing with well-known and physically
378 mapped blast resistance genes (*Pii/Pi3/Pi5* locus in tropical japonica, and *Piz/Pi2/Pi9*
379 locus in indica). To explore additional QTL that may have been hidden by these major
380 effect QTL, GWAS scans 2 and 3 were performed using selected SNP from QTL of
381 previous GWAS scans as cofactors. GWAS scans were run with the *GWAS* function
382 from the *rrBLUP* R package (Endelman 2011). Significant thresholds for GWAS scans
383 were adjusted by the effective number of independent tests (Li and Ji 2005).

384 **QTL identification**

385 The significant SNP of each chromosome were clustered by their physical positions
386 using the *hclust* and *cutree* base R functions with an *h* parameter of a quarter of the
387 maximum height of the tree. Clusters with three or more significant SNP at less than
388 1Mb from each other were considered a QTL following Quero et al. (2018) and Rosas
389 et al. (2018). The SNP with the highest $-\log_{10}(P)$ within each QTL was selected and
390 represented the QTL in all further analyses. The same criteria were used for GWAS
391 scans 1, 2, and 3.

392 **LD blocks**

393 LD in the surrounding region and within each QTL found in GWAS scans 1 and 2 was
394 computed as the pairwise R^2 between all SNP in the region. Limits between LD blocks
395 were graphically assessed with the R package *LDheatmap* (Shin et al. 2006).

396 **Multilocus Models, Epistasis, and candidate locus search**

397 Two separate multilocus models were fit, one to estimate QTL main effects and QTL
398 by genetic background interaction effects, and another one to estimate QTL main
399 effects and QTL by QTL interaction effects. An initial full model considering all the
400 identified QTL and all second level interactions was fit, progressively removing non-
401 significant effects ($\alpha=0.01$) with a backwards elimination procedure. To evaluate
402 epistasis, multilocus models following Equation [3] were used. For QTL by genetic
403 background interaction, the fixed effects in the β vector were: the QTL main effects;
404 and the QTL by subpopulation interaction effect (for tropical japonica) or QTL by
405 proportion of allele sharing with INIA Olimar interaction effect (for indica). For QTL by
406 QTL interaction, the fixed effects in the β vector were: the QTL main effects; and the
407 QTL by QTL interaction effects. The random effects in the u vector were the genotypes
408 for all the models, with $u \sim N(0, G\sigma_G^2)$, where G is the realized additive genotypic
409 relationship matrix and σ_G^2 is the genetic variance. These multilocus models were fitted
410 with the *relmatLmer* function from *lme4qtl* R package (Ziyatdinov et al. 2018) and
411 least-squared means for QTL and genetic background effects were estimated with the
412 *emmeans* R package (Lenth 2018). Searches for loci reportedly associated with blast
413 resistance that co-localized with the QTL that had a significant effect in the multilocus
414 model were performed in literature and public databases (Yonemaru et al. 2010;
415 Alexandrov et al. 2015).

416 **Abbreviations**

417 AUDPC, area under the disease progress curve; CIAT, International Center for
418 Tropical Agriculture; FLAR, Latin American Irrigated Rice Fund; GWAS, genome wide
419 association study; Ind, indica population; INIA, National Institute of Agricultural
420 Research (Uruguay); IRGA, Rice Research Institute of Rio Grande (Brazil); PO,
421 *Pyricularia oryzae*; PCA, principal component analysis; QTL, quantitative trait locus;
422 Trj, tropical japonica population.

423

424 **Consent for publication**

425 Not applicable.

426

427 **Availability of data and materials**

428 The datasets used and analyzed during the current study are available from the
429 corresponding author on reasonable request.

430

431 **Competing interest**

432 The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

433

434 **Funding**

435 This research was funded by the National Agricultural Research Institute of Uruguay
436 (projects INIA AZ-13 and INIA AZ-19). JR was supported by the Monsanto's Beachell-
437 Borlaug International Scholarship Program, and JR and ME by fellowships from the
438 Agencia Nacional de Investigación e Innovación (ANII) - Uruguay Grants
439 POS_NAC_2012_1_8627 and POS_NAC_2014_1_102208, respectively.

440

441 **Authors' contributions**

442 JR: designed and performed data analyses and wrote the manuscript; ME: grew rice
 443 plants and inoculum, and performed blast inoculations and disease evaluation, and
 444 original data analysis; SM: supervised inoculum production, blast inoculations and
 445 disease evaluation; PB and FP: developed, selected and provided rice genotypes; GQ:
 446 designed and supervised experiments and edited the manuscript; LG: designed and
 447 supervised data analyses and edited the manuscript; VB: designed and supervised
 448 the experiments and edited the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final
 449 manuscript.

450

451 **Acknowledgements**

452 Authors thank the molecular laboratory and greenhouse teams of the Biotechnology
 453 Unit at INIA Las Brujas and the staff of Rice Pathology Laboratory at INIA Treinta y
 454 Tres for their valuable help.

455

456 **References**

457 Alexandrov N, Tai S, Wang W, Mansueto L, Palis K, Fuentes RR, Ulat VJ, Chebotarov
 458 D, Zhang G, Li Z, Mauleon R, Hamilton RS, McNally KL (2015) SNP-Seek
 459 database of SNPs derived from 3000 rice genomes. *Nucleic Acids Res*
 460 43:D1023–D1027. doi:10.1093/nar/gku1039.

461 Arbelbide M, Bernardo R (2006) Mixed-model QTL mapping for kernel hardness and
 462 dough strength in bread wheat. *Theor Appl Genet* 112:885–890.
 463 doi:10.1007/s00122-005-0190-1.

464 Ashkani S, Rafii MY, Shabanimofrad M, Ghasemzadeh A, Ravanfar SA, Latif MA
 465 (2014) Molecular progress on the mapping and cloning of functional genes for

- 466 blast disease in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.): current status and future considerations.
 467 Crit Rev Biotechnol 36:353–67. doi:10.3109/07388551.2014.961403.
- 468 Ashkani S, Rafii MY, Shabanimofrad M, Miah G, Sahebi M, Azizi P, Tanweer FA,
 469 Akhtar MS, Nasehi A (2015) Molecular Breeding Strategy and Challenges
 470 Towards Improvement of Blast Disease Resistance in Rice Crop. Front Plant Sci
 471 6:886. doi:10.3389/fpls.2015.00886.
- 472 Ballini E, Morel J-B, Droc G, Price A, Courtois B, Notteghem J-L, Tharreau D (2008)
 473 A Genome-Wide Meta-Analysis of Rice Blast Resistance Genes and Quantitative
 474 Trait Loci Provides New Insights into Partial and Complete Resistance. Mol
 475 Plant-Microbe Interact 21:859. doi:10.1094/MPMI-21-7-0859.
- 476 Bandillo N, Raghavan C, Muyco PA, Sevilla MAL, Lobina IT, Dilla-Ermita CJ, Tung C-
 477 W, McCouch S, Thomson M, Mauleon R, Singh RK, Gregorio G, Redoña E,
 478 Leung H (2013) Multi-parent advanced generation inter-cross (MAGIC)
 479 populations in rice: progress and potential for genetics research and breeding.
 480 Rice (N Y) 6:11. doi:10.1186/1939-8433-6-11.
- 481 Bates D, Mächler M, Bolker B (2005) Fitting linear mixed-effects models using lme4.
 482 R News 27–30.
- 483 Begum H, Spindel JE, Lalusin A, Borromeo T, Gregorio GIG, Hernandez J, Virk P,
 484 Collard BB, McCouch SRS (2015) Genome-Wide Association Mapping for Yield
 485 and Other Agronomic Traits in an Elite Breeding Population of Tropical Rice
 486 (*Oryza sativa*). PLoS One 10:e0119873. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0119873.
- 487 Bernardo R (2008) Molecular Markers and Selection for Complex Traits in Plants:
 488 Learning from the Last 20 Years. Crop Sci 48:1649.
 489 doi:10.2135/cropsci2008.03.0131.

- 490 Blanco P, Molina F, Pérez de Vida F, Avila S, Lavecchia A, Marchesi C, Deambrosi E,
 491 Mendez R, Saldain N, Roel A, Zorrilla G, Acevedo A (2004) INIA Olimar -
 492 Características y Comportamiento en la Zafra 2003-2004. *Arroz* 38:40–48.
- 493 Blanco P, Pérez de Vida F, Piriz M (1993) INIA Tacuarí. Nueva variedad de arroz
 494 precoz de alto rendimiento. *Bol Divulg INIA* 5–10.
- 495 Bollich CN, Webb BD, Marchetti MA, Scott JE (1990) Registration of “Gulfmont” rice.
 496 *Crop Sci* 30:1159–1160.
- 497 Bollich CN, Webb BD, Marchetti MA, Scott JE (1985) Registration of Lemont rice. *Crop*
 498 *Sci* 25:883–885.
- 499 Bonman JM, de Dios TI, Khin MM (1986) Physiologic specialization of *Pyricularia*
 500 *oryzae* in the Philippines. *Plant Dis* 70:767–769.
- 501 Canty A, Ripley BD (2015) boot: Bootstrap R (S-Plus) Functions.
- 502 Chen H, Wu Y, He J, Guan C, Li A, Fang N, He W, Wang R, Wang J, Bao Y, Zhang
 503 H (2017) Population structure analysis and association mapping of bacterial
 504 blight resistance in indica rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) accessions. *Plant Growth Regul*
 505 82:21–35. doi:10.1007/s10725-016-0234-3.
- 506 Collard B, Mackill D (2008) Marker-assisted selection : an approach for precision plant
 507 breeding in the twenty-first century. *Phil Trans R Soc B* 363:557–572.
 508 doi:10.1098/rstb.2007.2170.
- 509 Cullis BR, Smith AB, Coombes NE (2006) On the design of early generation variety
 510 trials with correlated data. *J. Agric. Biol. Environ. Stat.* 11:381–393.
- 511 DeYoung BJ, Innes RW (2006) Plant NBS-LRR proteins in pathogen sensing and host
 512 defense. *Nat Immunol* 7:1243–1249. doi:10.1038/ni1410.
- 513 Ebitani T, Hayashi N, Omoteno M, Ozaki H, Yano M, Moriikawa M, Fukuta Y (2011)
 514 Characterization of Pi13, a blast resistance gene that maps to chromosome 6 in

- 515 indica rice (*Oryza sativa* L. variety, Kasalath). *Breed Sci* 61:251–259.
- 516 doi:10.1270/jsbbs.61.251.
- 517 Elshire RJ, Glaubitz JC, Sun Q, Poland J a, Kawamoto K, Buckler ES, Mitchell SE
518 (2011) A robust, simple genotyping-by-sequencing (GBS) approach for high
519 diversity species. *PLoS One* 6:e19379. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0019379.
- 520 Endelman JB (2011) Ridge regression and other kernels for genomic selection with R
521 package rrBLUP. *Plant Genome* 4:250-255.
- 522 Endelman JB, Jannink J-L (2012) Shrinkage estimation of the realized relationship
523 matrix. *G3 (Bethesda)* 2:1405–13. doi:10.1534/g3.112.004259.
- 524 Fan CC, Yu XQ, Xing YZ, Xu CG, Luo LJ, Zhang Q (2005) The main effects, epistatic
525 effects and environmental interactions of QTLs on the cooking and eating quality
526 of rice in a doubled-haploid line population. *Theor Appl Genet* 110:1445–1452.
527 doi:10.1007/s00122-005-1975-y.
- 528 Fukuoka S, Saka N, Mizukami Y, Koga H, Yamanouchi U, Yoshioka Y, Hayashi N,
529 Ebana K, Mizobuchi R, Yano M (2015) Gene pyramiding enhances durable blast
530 disease resistance in rice. *Sci Rep* 5:7773. doi:10.1038/srep07773.
- 531 Gutiérrez L, Germán S, Pereyra S, Hayes PM, Pérez CA, Capettini F, Locatelli A,
532 Berberian NM, Falconi EE, Estrada R, Fros D, Gonza V, Altamirano H, Huerta-
533 Espino J, Neyra E, Orjeda G, Sandoval-Islas S, Singh R, Turkington K, Castro
534 AJ (2015) Multi-environment multi-QTL association mapping identifies disease
535 resistance QTL in barley germplasm from Latin America. *Theor Appl Genet*
536 128:501–516. doi:10.1007/s00122-014-2448-y.
- 537 Hamblin MT, Buckler ES, Jannink JL (2011) Population genetics of genomics-based
538 crop improvement methods. *Trends Genet.* 27:98–106.

- 539 Hittalmani S, Parco A, Mew T V., Zeigler RS, Huang N (2000) Fine mapping and DNA
540 marker-assisted pyramiding of the three major genes for blast resistance in rice.
541 *Theor Appl Genet* 100:1121–1128. doi:10.1007/s001220051395.
- 542 Holland J, Nyquist W, Cervantes C (2003) Estimating and Interpreting Heritability for
543 Plant Breeding: An Update. In: Janick J (ed) *Plant Breeding Reviews*. John Wiley
544 & Sons, Inc., pp 9–112.
- 545 Holland JB (2007) Genetic architecture of complex traits in plants. *Curr Opin Plant Biol*
546 10:156–61. doi:10.1016/j.pbi.2007.01.003.
- 547 Inoue H, Nakamura M, Mizubayashi T, Takahashi A, Sugano S, Fukuoka S, Hayashi
548 N (2017) Panicle blast 1 (Pb1) resistance is dependent on at least four QTLs in
549 the rice genome. *Rice* 10:1–10. doi:10.1186/s12284-017-0175-0.
- 550 Jannink J-L (2007) Identifying quantitative trait locus by genetic background
551 interactions in association studies. *Genetics* 176:553–61.
552 doi:10.1534/genetics.106.062992.
- 553 Jannink J-L (2008) QTL × genetic background interaction: predicting inbred progeny
554 value. *Euphytica* 161:61–69. doi:10.1007/s10681-007-9509-0.
- 555 Jeon J-S, Chen D, Yi G-H, Wang GL, Ronald PC (2003) Genetic and physical mapping
556 of Pi5(t), a locus associated with broad-spectrum resistance to rice blast. *Mol*
557 *Genet Genomics* 269:280–289. doi:10.1007/s00438-003-0834-2.
- 558 Jia Y, Mcadams SA, Bryan GT, Hershey HP, Valent B (2000) Direct interaction of
559 resistance gene and avirulence gene products confers rice blast resistance.
560 *EMBO journal* 19:4004-4014.
- 561 Johnston TH, Kuenzel KA, Lee FN, Wells BR, Henry SE, Sunderman DW, O’Connell
562 B, Walker AK, Schmitthenner AF, Hartwig EE (1984) Registration of Newbonnet
563 rice. *Crop Sci* 24:209–210.

- 564 Kang H, Wang Y, Peng S, Zhang Y, Xiao Y, Wang D, Qu S, Li Z, Yan S, Wang Z, Liu
 565 W, Ning Y, Korniliev P, Leung H, Mezey J, McCouch SR, Wang G-L (2016)
 566 Molecular Dissection of the Complex Genetic Architecture of Rice Immunity to
 567 the Blast Fungus *Magnaporthe*. *Mol Plant Pathol* 17:959–972.
 568 doi:10.1111/mpp.12340.
- 569 Khush GS (2005) What it will take to Feed 5.0 Billion Rice consumers in 2030. *Plant*
 570 *Mol Biol* 59:1–6. doi:10.1007/s11103-005-2159-5.
- 571 Khush GS, Jena KK (2009) Current Status and Future Prospects for Research on
 572 Blast Resistance in Rice. In: Wang G-L, Valent B (eds) *Advances in Genetics,*
 573 *Genomics and Control of Rice Blast Disease*, 1st edn. Springer Netherlands,
 574 Dordrecht, pp 1–10.
- 575 Koide Y, Kawasaki A, Telebanco-Yanoria MJ, Hairmansis A, Nguyet NTM, Bigirimana
 576 J, Fujita D, Kobayashi N, Fukuta Y (2010) Development of pyramided lines with
 577 two resistance genes, Pish and Pib, for blast disease (*Magnaporthe oryzae* B.
 578 Couch) in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). *Plant Breed* 129:670–675. doi:10.1111/j.1439-
 579 0523.2010.01781.x.
- 580 Koide Y, Kobayashi N, Xu D, Fukuta Y (2009) Resistance Genes and Selection DNA
 581 Markers for Blast Disease in Rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). *Japan Agric Res Q JARQ*
 582 43:255–280. doi:10.6090/jarq.43.255.
- 583 Lee HA, Yeom SI (2015) Plant NB-LRR proteins: Tightly regulated sensors in a
 584 complex manner. *Brief Funct Genomics* 14:233–242. doi:10.1093/bfgp/elv012.
- 585 Lee SK, Song MY, Seo YS, Kim HK, Ko S, Cao PJ, Suh JP, Yi G, Roh JH, Lee S, An
 586 G, Hahn TR, Wang GL, Ronald P, Jeon JS (2009) Rice Pi5-mediated resistance
 587 to *Magnaporthe oryzae* requires the presence of two coiled-coil-nucleotide-

- 588 binding-leucine-rich repeat genes. *Genetics* 181:1627–1638.
 589 doi:10.1534/genetics.108.099226.
- 590 Lenth R (2018) Package ‘emmeans’: Estimated Marginal Means, aka Least-Squares
 591 Means. *R Packag. version 1.15-15* 34:216–221.
- 592 Li J, Ji L (2005) Adjusting multiple testing in multilocus analyses using the eigenvalues
 593 of a correlation matrix. *Heredity (Edinb)* 95:221–7. doi:10.1038/sj.hdy.6800717.
- 594 Li YB, Wu CJ, Jiang GH, Wang LQ, He YQ (2007) Dynamic analyses of rice blast
 595 resistance for the assessment of genetic and environmental effects. *Plant Breed*
 596 126:541–547. doi:10.1111/j.1439-0523.2007.01409.x.
- 597 Li Z, Pinson SRM, Park IWD, Paterson AH, Stanselt JW (1997) Epistasis for Three
 598 Grain Yield Components i n Rice. *Genetics* 145:453–465.
- 599 Liang S, Wu L, Ren G, Zhao X, Zhou M, McNeil D, Ye G (2016) Genome-wide
 600 association study of grain yield and related traits using a collection of advanced
 601 indica rice breeding lines for irrigated ecosystems. *F Crop Res* 193:70–86.
 602 doi:10.1016/j.fcr.2016.03.008.
- 603 Lin HX, Yamamoto T, Sasaki T, Yano M (2000) Characterization and detection of
 604 epistatic interactions of 3 QTLs, Hd1, Hd2, and Hd3, controlling heading date in
 605 rice using nearly isogenic lines. *Theor Appl Genet* 101:1021–1028.
 606 doi:10.1007/s001220051576.
- 607 Linscombe SD, Jodari F, McKenzie KS, Bollich PK, White LM, Groth DE, Dunand TT
 608 (1993) Registration of ‘Cypress’ rice. *Crop Sci* 33:355.
- 609 Lipka AE, Gore M a., Magallanes-Lundback M, Mesberg A, Lin H, Tiede T, Chen C,
 610 Buell CR, Buckler ES, Rocheford T, DellaPenna D (2013) Genome-Wide
 611 Association Study and Pathway Level Analysis of Tocochromanol Levels in

- 612 Maize Grain. G3 Genes|Genomes|Genetics 3:g3.113.006148.
613 doi:10.1534/g3.113.006148.
- 614 Liu G, Lu G, Zeng L, Wang GL (2002) Two broad-spectrum blast resistance genes,
615 Pi9(t) and Pi2(t), are physically linked on rice chromosome 6. Mol Genet
616 Genomics 267:472–480. doi:10.1007/s00438-002-0677-2.
- 617 Liu J, Wan X, Mitchell T, Hu Y, Liu X, Dai L, Wang G-L (2010) Recent progress and
618 understanding of the molecular mechanisms of the rice–*Magnaporthe oryzae*
619 interaction. Mol Plant Pathol 11:419–427. doi:10.1111/j.1364-
620 3703.2009.00607.x.
- 621 Locatelli A, Cuesta-Marcos A, Gutiérrez L, Hayes PM, Smith KP, Castro AJ (2013)
622 Genome-wide association mapping of agronomic traits in relevant barley
623 germplasm in Uruguay. Mol Breed 31:631–654. doi:10.1007/s11032-012-9820-
624 x.
- 625 Marone D, Russo MA, Laidò G, De Leonardis AM, Mastrangelo AM (2013) Plant
626 nucleotide binding site-leucine-rich repeat (NBS-LRR) genes: Active guardians
627 in host defense responses. Int J Mol Sci 14:7302–7326.
628 doi:10.3390/ijms14047302.
- 629 Matsui H, Miyao A, Takahashi A, Hirochika H (2010) Pdk1 Kinase Regulates Basal
630 Disease Resistance Through the OsOxi1 – OsPti1a Phosphorylation Cascade in
631 Rice. 1:2082–2091. doi:10.1093/pcp/pcq167.
- 632 Mendiburu F, Simon R, De Mendiburu F (2015) Agricolae -Ten years of an Open
633 source Statistical tool for experiments in Breeding, agriculture and biology.
634 doi:10.7287/peerj.preprints.1404v1.

- 635 Miah G, Rafii MY, Ismail MR, Puteh AB, Rahim HA, Asfaliza R, Latif MA (2013) Blast
 636 resistance in rice: A review of conventional breeding to molecular approaches.
 637 Mol Biol Rep 40:2369–2388. doi:10.1007/s11033-012-2318-0.
- 638 Molina F, Blanco P, Pérez F (2011) Nuevo cultivar de Arroz L5502 Parao,
 639 Características y Comportamiento. Arroz 28–34.
- 640 Quero G, Gutiérrez L, Monteverde E, Blanco P, Pérez de Vida F, Rosas J, Fernández
 641 S, Garaycochea S, McCouch S, Berberian N, Simondi S, Bonnacarrère V (2018)
 642 Genome-Wide Association Study Using Historical Breeding Populations
 643 Discovers Genomic Regions Involved in High-Quality Rice. Plant Genome 0:0.
 644 doi:10.3835/plantgenome2017.08.0076.
- 645 R Core Team (2019). R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R
 646 Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. URL [https://www.R-](https://www.R-project.org/)
 647 [project.org/](https://www.R-project.org/).
- 648 Raboin L-M, Ballini E, Tharreau D, Ramanantsoanirina A, Frouin J, Courtois B,
 649 Ahmadi N (2016) Association mapping of resistance to rice blast in upland field
 650 conditions. Rice 9:59. doi:10.1186/s12284-016-0131-4.
- 651 Rosas JE, Martínez S, Blanco P, Vida FP De, Mosquera G, Cruz M, Garaycochea S,
 652 Mccouch S, Germán S, Jannink J, Gutiérrez L (2018) Resistance to Multiple
 653 Temperate and Tropical Stem and Sheath Diseases of Rice. 1–13.
 654 doi:10.3835/plantgenome2017.03.0029.
- 655 Segura V, Vilhjálmsson BJ, Platt A, Korte A, Seren Ü, Long Q, Nordborg M (2012) An
 656 efficient multi-locus mixed-model approach for genome-wide association studies
 657 in structured populations. Nat Genet 44:825–830. doi:10.1038/ng.2314.
- 658 Shin J-H, Blay S, McNeney B, Graham J (2006) LDheatmap: An R Function for
 659 Graphical Display of Pairwise Linkage Disequilibria between Single Nucleotide

- 660 Polymorphisms. J Stat Softw 16:1–10.
 661 doi:<http://dx.doi.org/10.18637/jss.v016.c03>.
- 662 Shinada H, Yamamoto T, Sato H, Yamamoto E, Hori K, Yonemaru J, Sato T, Fujino K
 663 (2015) Quantitative trait loci for rice blast resistance detected in a local rice
 664 breeding population by genome-wide association mapping. *Breed Sci* 65:388–
 665 95. doi:[10.1270/jsbbs.65.388](https://doi.org/10.1270/jsbbs.65.388).
- 666 Simko I, Piepho HP (2012) The area under the disease progress stairs: Calculation,
 667 advantage, and application. *Phytopathology* 102:381–389. doi:[10.1094/PHYTO-](https://doi.org/10.1094/PHYTO-07-11-0216)
 668 [07-11-0216](https://doi.org/10.1094/PHYTO-07-11-0216).
- 669 Sirithunya P, Tragoonrung S, Vanavichit a., Pa-In N, Vongsaprom C, Toojinda T
 670 (2002) Quantitative trait loci associated with leaf and neck blast resistance in
 671 recombinant inbred line population of rice (*Oryza sativa*). *DNA Res* 9:79–88.
- 672 Tabien RE, Li Z, Paterson AH, Marchetti MA, Stansel JW, Pinson SRM, Park WD
 673 (2000) Mapping of four major rice blast resistance genes from “Lemont” and
 674 “Teqing” and evaluation of their combinatorial effect for field resistance. *Theor*
 675 *Appl Genet* 101:1215–1225. doi:[10.1007/s001220051600](https://doi.org/10.1007/s001220051600).
- 676 Tacconi G, Baldassarre V, Lanzanova C, Faivre-Rampant O, Cavigiolo S, Urso S,
 677 Lupotto E, Valè G (2010) Polymorphism analysis of genomic regions associated
 678 with broad-spectrum effective blast resistance genes for marker development in
 679 rice. *Mol Breed* 26:595–617. doi:[10.1007/s11032-010-9394-4](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11032-010-9394-4).
- 680 Tanweer FA, Rafii MY, Sijam K, Rahim HA, Ahmed F, Latif MA (2015) Current advance
 681 methods for the identification of blast resistance genes in rice. *C R Biol* 338:321–
 682 334. doi:[10.1016/j.crv.2015.03.001](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.crv.2015.03.001).

- 683 Thornsberry JM, Goodman MM, Doebley J, Kresovich S, Nielsen D, Buckler ES (2001)
 684 Dwarf8 polymorphisms associate with variation in flowering time. *Nat Genet*
 685 28:286–289. doi:10.1038/90135.
- 686 Timmerman-Vaughan GM, Moya L, Frew TJ, Murray SR, Crowhurst R (2016)
 687 *Ascochyta* blight disease of pea (*Pisum sativum* L.): defence-related candidate
 688 genes associated with QTL regions and identification of epistatic QTL. *Theor*
 689 *Appl Genet* 129:879–896. doi:10.1007/s00122-016-2669-3.
- 690 Titone P, Mongiano G, Tamborini L (2015) Resistance to neck blast caused by
 691 *Pyricularia oryzae* in Italian rice cultivars. *Eur J Plant Pathol* 142:49–59.
 692 doi:10.1007/s10658-014-0588-1.
- 693 Urso S, Desiderio F, Biselli C, Bagnaresi P, Crispino L, Piffanelli P, Abbruscato P,
 694 Assenza F, Guarnieri G, Cattivelli L, Vale G (2016) Genetic analysis of durable
 695 resistance to *Magnaporthe oryzae* in the rice accession Gigante Vercelli
 696 identified two blast resistance loci. *Mol Genet Genomics* 291:17–32.
 697 doi:10.1007/s00438-015-1085-8.
- 698 von Zitzewitz J, Cuesta-Marcos A, Condon F, Castro AJ, Chao S, Corey A, Filichkin
 699 T, Fisk SP, Gutierrez L, Haggard K, Karsai I, Muehlbauer GJ, Smith KP, Veisz
 700 O, Hayes* PM (2011) The Genetics of Winterhardiness in Barley: Perspectives
 701 from Genome-Wide Association Mapping. *Plant Genome J* 4:76.
 702 doi:10.3835/plantgenome2010.12.0030.
- 703 Wang C, Yang Y, Yuan X, Xu Q, Feng Y, Yu H, Wang Y (2014) Genome-wide
 704 association study of blast resistance in indica rice. *BMC Plant Biol* 14:311.
 705 doi:10.1186/s12870-014-0311-6.

- 706 Wang GL, Mackill DJ, Bonman JM, McCouch SR, Champoux MC, Nelson RJ (1994)
 707 RFLP mapping of genes conferring complete and partial resistance to blast in a
 708 durably resistant rice cultivar. *Genetics* 136:1421–1434.
- 709 Wang Y, Wang D, Deng X, Liu J, Sun P, Liu Y, Huang H, Jiang N, Kang H, Ning Y,
 710 Wang Z, Xiao Y, Liu X, Liu E, Dai L, Wang G-L (2012a) Molecular mapping of
 711 the blast resistance genes Pi2-1 and Pi51(t) in the durably resistant rice
 712 “Tianjingyeshengdao”. *Phytopathology* 102:779–86. doi:10.1094/PHYTO-03-12-
 713 0042-R.
- 714 Wang Z, Cheng J, Chen Z, Huang J, Bao Y, Wang J, Zhang H (2012b) Identification
 715 of QTLs with main, epistatic and QTL × environment interaction effects for salt
 716 tolerance in rice seedlings under different salinity conditions. *Theor Appl Genet*
 717 125:807–815. doi:10.1007/s00122-012-1873-z.
- 718 Wu JL, Fan YY, Li DB, Zheng KL, Leung H, Zhuang JY (2005) Genetic control of rice
 719 blast resistance in the durably resistant cultivar Gumei 2 against multiple isolates.
 720 *Theor Appl Genet* 111:50–56. doi:10.1007/s00122-005-1971-2.
- 721 Xie K, Chen J, Wang Q, Yang Y (2014) Direct phosphorylation and activation of a
 722 mitogen-activated protein kinase by a calcium-dependent protein kinase in rice.
 723 *Plant Cell* 26:3077–3089. doi:10.1105/tpc.114.126441.
- 724 Xing YZ, Tan YF, Hua JP, Sun XL, Xu CG, Zhang Q (2002) Characterization of the
 725 main effects, epistatic effects and their environmental interactions of QTLs on
 726 the genetic basis of yield traits in rice. *Theor Appl Genet* 105:248–257.
 727 doi:10.1007/s00122-002-0952-y.
- 728 Xu X, Hayashi N, Pikahei Á, Pi Á (2014) Rice blast resistance gene Pikahei - 1 (t), a
 729 member of a resistance gene cluster on chromosome 4 , encodes a nucleotide-
 730 binding site and leucine-rich repeat protein. 1:. doi:10.1007/s11032-014-0067-6

- 731 Yan W, Rutger JN, Bryant RJ, Bockelman HE, Fjellstrom RG, Chen M-H, Tai TH,
732 McClung AM (2007) Development and evaluation of a core subset of the USDA
733 rice germplasm collection. *Crop Sci* 47:869–876.
- 734 Yonemaru J ichi, Yamamoto T, Fukuoka S, Uga Y, Hori K, Yano M (2010) Q-TARO:
735 QTL annotation rice online database. *Rice* 3:194–203. doi:10.1007/s12284-010-
736 9041-z.
- 737 Zhang P, Zhong K, Shahid MQ, Tong H (2016a) Association Analysis in Rice: From
738 Application to Utilization. *Front Plant Sci* 7:1202. doi:10.3389/fpls.2016.01202.
- 739 Zhang X, Yang S, Wang J, Jia Y, Huang J, Tan S (2016b) A genome-wide survey
740 reveals abundant rice blast R-genes in resistant cultivars. *Plant J* 84:20–28.
741 doi:10.1111/tpj.12955.A.
- 742 Ziyatdinov A, Vázquez-Santiago M, Brunel H, Martinez-Perez A, Aschard H, Soria JM
743 (2018) lme4qtl : linear mixed models with flexible covariance structure for genetic
744 studies of related individuals. 1–5.

745 Figure 1. Distribution of AUDPC in the indica (Ind) and tropical japonica (Trj)
746 populations according to their blast reaction rated at 14, 21, and 28 days post
747 inoculation, with the rates at 14, 21, and 28 days post inoculation equal to or below 3
748 as resistant, and at least one rate higher than 3 as susceptible reaction.

749

750 Figure 2. Principal component (PC) showing population structure of the two mapping
751 populations used in this study. **(a)** PC analysis of 28850 SNP showing population
752 structure of 245 tropical japonica rice advanced inbred lines. Pedigree based
753 subpopulations are color coded and point sizes represent the allele of S9_9786203
754 (small = S9_9786203:T, big = S9_9786203:C). **(b)** Upper section: Principal component
755 (PC) analysis of 49589 SNP showing population structure of 305 advanced indica rice
756 inbred lines. Parents' origins are color coded, and point sizes represent the allele of
757 S6_10469906 (small = T, big = G). Origins of parents of pedigree groups: Olimar =
758 indica cultivar INIA Olimar (Uruguay); Ind IN = indica type inbred lines from INIA
759 (Uruguay); Ind FL1 = old indica type inbred lines from FLAR diverse germplasm (Latin
760 America); Ind FL2 = new indica type inbred lines from diverse FLAR germplasm (Latin
761 America); Ind IR = indica type inbred lines from IRGA (Brazil); Trj IN = tropical japonica
762 inbred lines from INIA (Uruguay); Trj CT = tropical japonica inbred lines from diverse
763 CIAT germplasm (Latin America and Asia). Middle section: Distribution of alleles for
764 blast resistance QTL across PC1, with red box for the susceptible allele and green box
765 for the resistance allele. Lower section: Gradient of mean allele sharing between
766 genotypes and the indica cultivar INIA Olimar across PC1.

767

768 Figure 3. Results of GWAS scans 1, 2, and 3 for tropical japonica (Trj) and indica (Ind)
769 populations. **(a)** Circular Manhattan plots with scans 1, 2 and 3 overlaid for Trj (outer

770 circle) and Ind (inner circle). Significant SNPs are highlighted in black, and the SNP
771 with the highest $-\log_{10}(P)$ within each QTL that was significant in the multi locus model
772 is labelled and colored in red. (b) Quantile-quantile plots of GWAS scans 1, 2 and 3
773 for tropical japonica (Trj) and indica (Ind) populations.

774

775 Figure 4. Zoomed-in views of major effect QTL regions with reported gene clusters. (a)
776 chromosome 9 from 8.5 to 10.5 Mb in tropical japonica showing the physical position
777 of the gene cluster *Pii/Pi3/Pi5* (following Lee et al. 2009) and the LD block where this
778 gene cluster colocalizes. (b) chromosome 6 from 3 to 19 Mb in indica showing the
779 physical position of the gene cluster *Piz/Pi2/Pi9* (following Wang et al. 2012a) and the
780 q6 LD block where this gene cluster colocalizes. For both panels, the SNP with the
781 highest $-\log_{10}(P)$ within each QTL is labelled and colored in red. Lower triangle shows
782 average R^2 values within and between each LD block.

783 Figure 5. QTL by QTL and QTL by genetic background interactions in tropical japonica
784 and indica populations. (a) Triple QTL interactions among tropical japonica blast
785 resistance loci S9_9786203 by S1_37612210 by S1_1631976 and S9_9786203 by
786 S1_37612210 by S8_14597990. The effect of the favorable allele S9_9786203:C (in
787 strong LD with the blast resistance gene cluster *Pii/Pi3/Pi*), changed from resistance
788 to susceptibility in genotypes that combine the S1_37612210:T and S1_1631976:C
789 alleles, or from resistance to intermediate resistance in genotypes combining the
790 S1_37612210:T and S8_14597990:A alleles. (b) QTL by genetic background
791 interaction in the tropical japonica population (Trj), with genetic background modeled
792 as pedigree-based subpopulations 1 to 6. The effect of the susceptible allele
793 S9_9786203:T was not significantly different to that of the resistance allele
794 S9_9786203:C in genotypes belonging to subpopulation 2. For (a) and (b) the number

795 of genotypes with each allelic combinations is shown in curved brackets (c) QTL by
796 QTL and QTL by genetic background interactions in the indica population (Ind). As the
797 proportion of shared alleles with the susceptible indica cultivar INIA Olimar increases,
798 so does the AUDPC of genotypes with the susceptible allele S6_10469906:T. This
799 increment in susceptibility is less pronounced when the S4_31419616:T favorable
800 allele is present. Error bars show the 95% confidence intervals for the estimated
801 marginal means of AUDPC.