

1 **In vitro antiviral activity of Echinaforce[®], an *Echinacea purpurea***
2 **preparation, against common cold coronavirus 229E and highly pathogenic**
3 **MERS-CoV and SARS-CoV**

4
5

6 J. Signer^{a*}, H. R Jonsdottir^{a*}, W. C. Albrich^c, M. Strasser^a, R. Züst^a, S. Ryter^a, R. Ackermann-Gäumann^a,
7 N. Lenz^a, D. Siegrist^a, A. Suter^b, R. Schoop^b, and O. B. Engler^{a†}

8
9

^aSPIEZ LABORATORY, Spiez, Switzerland

10 ^bA.Vogel AG, Roggwil, Switzerland

11 ^cDivision of Infectious Diseases and Hospital Epidemiology, Kantonsspital St. Gallen, St. Gallen,
12 Switzerland

13
14

*equal contribution

15
16

[†]Corresponding author:

17 O. B. Engler, SPIEZ Laboratory, Austrasse, 3700 Spiez, Switzerland

18 Tel: +41 58 468 15 39, Email: olivier.engler@babs.admin.ch

19
20

Keywords: antivirals, Echinacea, coronavirus, prevention, HCoV-229E, common cold, SARS-CoV,
21 MERS-CoV

22 **Abstract:**

23 Coronaviruses (CoVs) were long thought to only cause mild respiratory and gastrointestinal symptoms
24 in humans but recent outbreaks of Middle Eastern Respiratory Syndrome (MERS)-CoV, Severe Acute
25 Respiratory Syndrome (SARS)-CoV, and the newly identified SARS-CoV-2 have cemented their
26 zoonotic potential and their capacity to cause serious morbidity and mortality, with case fatality rates
27 ranging from 2 to 35%. Currently, no specific prophylaxis or treatment is available for CoV infections
28 and therefore we investigated the antiviral potential of *Echinacea purpurea* (Echinaforce®) against
29 human coronavirus (HCoV) 229E and the highly pathogenic MERS- and SARS-CoVs in vitro. We
30 found that HCoV-229E was irreversibly inactivated when exposed to Echinaforce at 3.2µg/ml IC₅₀. Pre-
31 treatment of cell lines, however, did not inhibit infection with HCoV-229E and post-infection treatment
32 had only a marginal effect on virus propagation at 50 µg/ml. However, we did observe a protective
33 effect in an organotypic respiratory cell culture system by exposing pre-treated respiratory epithelium
34 to droplets of HCoV-229E, imitating a natural infection. Finally, antiviral activity was not restricted to
35 common cold coronaviruses, as the highly pathogenic SARS- and MERS-CoVs were inactivated at
36 comparable concentrations. These results suggest that *Echinacea purpurea* preparations, such as
37 Echinaforce, could be effective as prophylactic treatment for all CoVs, including newly occurring
38 strains, such as SARS-CoV-2.

39 **1. Introduction**

40 Coronaviruses (CoVs) are believed to be responsible for 10-15% of all upper respiratory tract infections
41 in humans and were mainly thought to be responsible for the common cold until 2002 (1). Currently,
42 seven CoVs have been found to cause disease in humans. Four of those, HCoV-229E, HCoV-OC43,
43 HCoV-NL63 and HCoV-HKU1, are non-zoonotic and cause worldwide outbreaks predominantly in the
44 winter period. These HCoVs replicate in the nasopharynx and generally cause mild, self-limited upper
45 respiratory tract infections with short incubation periods, although lower tract respiratory infections and
46 pneumonia have occasionally been described (2-5). The more virulent coronaviruses, Middle East
47 respiratory syndrome (MERS)-CoV and Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome (SARS)-CoV have
48 animal reservoirs with proposed origins in bats (6) and can cause severe pneumonias with longer
49 incubation periods and often fatal outcome (7). SARS-CoV was introduced into the human species in
50 2002 causing a worldwide epidemic in 2003 culminating in 8422 infections and 916 deaths (8). MERS-
51 CoV is heavily endemic in dromedary camels and leads to lower respiratory tract infections in humans
52 with a current case-fatality rate of 35.5% (9). As of December 31st 2019, a pneumonia outbreak
53 originating from a live seafood market in Wuhan, China, has resulted in an increasing number of fatal
54 severe respiratory tract infections and, so far unprecedented, travel bans (10). To date, there is a lack of
55 established and clinically tested antiviral compounds against coronaviruses in general and, more
56 distressingly, the zoonotic betacoronaviruses (11). Given their increasing incidence and burden, an
57 inexpensive, accessible and effective treatment for HCoVs is of utmost importance.

58 *Echinacea* plants have traditionally been used in North America for the prevention and
59 treatment of cold and flu symptoms and are now one of the most widely used medical plants in both
60 North America and Europe (12). Several different products are on the market, not only varying in the
61 *Echinacea* species and the parts of the plant used but also in manufacturing procedures, which,
62 unfortunately, results in a large variability in quality and activity (13, 14). Echinaforce® is a
63 standardized preparation extracted from herb and roots of freshly harvested *Echinacae purpurea* plants
64 with a 65% alcoholic solution.

65 Echinaforce as prevention and treatment of respiratory tract infections has been investigated in both
66 pre-clinical and clinical studies and its beneficial effects documented (15-18). Specific mechanism of

67 action is not fully understood but in vitro studies indicate that Echinaforce inhibits membranous
68 respiratory viruses including influenza A and B, respiratory syncytial virus (RSV) or parainfluenza
69 virus, through direct interaction with virus particles and viral envelope proteins (19, 20). Intracellular
70 activity of *Echinacea* has been observed for some viruses (e.g. influenza and herpes simplex virus) but
71 not others (e.g. RSV), and only at higher concentrations required for extracellular inactivation.
72 Furthermore, *Echinacea* has been shown to interfere with virus mediated cytokine release (21, 22) and
73 since typical symptoms of the common cold, sneezing, coughing and runny nose, are the results of the
74 stimulation of pro-inflammatory cytokines, the reduction of cytokine release might help to ease
75 symptoms. In a randomized, double-blind, multi-center, non-inferiority clinical trial Echinaforce was
76 demonstrated to be non-inferior to Oseltamivir in patients with influenza-like illness and confirmed
77 influenza infection with a trend for lower incidence of complications with Echinaforce Hot Drink as
78 with Oseltamivir (16).

79 The antiviral activity of *Echinacea* has been investigated in vitro for most of the respiratory
80 viruses associated with common colds and flu, but as of yet, not for coronaviruses. HCoV-229E is a
81 typical representative of a coronavirus strain causing a seasonal common cold. By using HCoV-229E
82 as a model, we investigated the anti-coronaviral activity of Echinaforce extract, thereby closing the
83 knowledge gap on the antiviral effects of *Echinacea purpurea* on typical common cold viruses.
84 Furthermore, we expanded our analysis to the highly pathogenic SARS- and MERS-CoVs and other
85 viruses that cause disease in humans. Additionally, we utilized an organotypic respiratory cell culture
86 system (MucilAir™) of nasal origin to investigate the protective effect of Echinaforce against
87 coronaviruses in a culture system that closely mimics in vivo human airway epithelium. In the current
88 study, we observed an irreversible inhibition of the infectivity of three coronavirus strains upon direct
89 contact with Echinaforce. Furthermore, a protective effect was observed upon pre-treatment in an
90 organotypic airway model.

91 **2. Material and Methods**

92 *2.1 Echinacea preparation*

93 Echinaforce® (A.Vogel AG, Roggwil, Switzerland) is derived from hydroethanolic extraction (65% v/v
94 ethanol) of freshly harvested *Echinacea purpurea* herb and roots (95:5). The composition of typical
95 marker compounds such as caftaric acid, chlorogenic acid, echinacoside and alkylamide derivatives has
96 been previously described elsewhere (20). The final concentration of ethanol in the extract was 65%
97 v/v with 16 mg/ml dry mass *Echinacea*. Experimental concentrations are expressed as dry mass of
98 Echinaforce extract.

99

100 *2.2. Cell lines and viruses*

101 Table 1: Overview of cell lines used in the current study.

Name	Animal	Tissue	Medium*	Procured from
Huh-7	Human	Liver	DMEM+10%FBS, 2mM Glutamine, non-essential amino acids, Pen/strep, HEPES (Biochrom, Germany)	Prof. Volker Thiel, University of Bern, Switzerland
Vero (CRL 81 TM)	African Green Monkey	Kidney	MEM+10%FBS, 2mM Glutamine, non-essential amino acids, Pen/strep, HEPES (Biochrom, Germany)	ATCC (Manassas, VA, 20110 USA)
A9 (85011426)	Mouse	Areolar adipose tissue	DMEM+10%FBS, 2mM Glutamine, non-essential amino acids, Pen/strep, HEPES (Biochrom, Germany)	ECACC (Public Health England, Salisbury, UK)

102 *Dulbecco's Modified Eagle Medium (DMEM), Minimum Essential Medium (MEM), Fetal Bovine Serum (FBS),
103 Penicillin/Streptomycin (Pen/Strep, 100U/mL).

104

105 All cells were cultured at 37°C without CO₂.

106

107 Table 2: Overview of viruses used in the current study.

Name	Strain	Propagated in	Medium*	Procured from
HCoV	229E	Huh-7, 33°C	DMEM+5%FBS, 2mM Glutamine, non-essential amino acids,	Prof. Volker Thiel, University of Bern,

			Pen/strep, HEPES (Biochrom, Germany)	Switzerland (23, 24)
MERS-CoV	EMC	Vero, 37°C	DMEM+2%FBS, 2mM Glutamine, non- essential amino acids, Pen/strep, HEPES (Biochrom, Germany)	The National Collection of Pathogenic Viruses, UK
SARS-CoV	Frankfurt-1			
Mouse parvovirus	MVM Prototype, ATCC-1346	A9, 37°C		
Yellow Fever virus	17D, NCPV-0507	Vero, 37°C		
Vaccinia virus	Elstree (Lister Vaccine), ATCC- VR-1549			

108

109 All viruses were cultured without CO₂ in non-vented flasks, 24 well-, or 96 well-plates covered with
110 sealing foil (Biorad, microseal B-film, MSB 1001) for the duration of experiments.

111

112 2.3 *In vitro* reconstituted human airway epithelia (MucilAir™)

113 Reconstituted human airway epithelia (MucilAir™) from nasal epithelial cells were purchased from
114 Epithelix Sàrl, Geneva, Switzerland. Cells from three different healthy donors were used in all
115 experiments to account for donor variability and experiments were conducted four times, in duplicates.
116 During maintenance, basal culture medium (MucilAir™, 500 µl/24-well) was exchanged every 2-3
117 days while the apical side was washed gently (2-4 times) with 200 µl of media to remove residual
118 mucus.

119

120 2.4 Cell toxicity

121 Cell toxicity was determined by exposing 80% confluent Huh-7 and Vero cells to serial dilutions of
122 Echinaforce and measuring cell viability by MTT assay (Vybrant® MTT Cell Proliferation Assay Kit,
123 ThermoFisher, Rheinach, Switzerland) according to the manufacturer's protocol. Briefly, Echinaforce
124 was diluted in corresponding cell culture medium to 100, 50, 20, 10, 1 and 0 µg/ml and added to 80%
125 confluent Huh-7, Vero or Vero E6 cells in 96 well plates (200 µl/well). Cells were covered with sealing
126 foil and incubated at 33°C for 5 days (Huh-7) or 7 days (Vero and Vero E6). For analysis, medium was

127 exchanged with fresh cell culture medium (200 µl/well), 10 µl of MTT stock solution added per well
128 and cells incubated for 4 h at 37°C. Following the incubation, 100 µl SDS-HCL solution was added per
129 well and incubated for 18 h at 37°C. Absorbance was read in a photometer (SpectraMax Plus, Bucher
130 Biotec, Basel, Switzerland) at 570 nm.

131

132 2.5 Antiviral activity against HCoV-229E in cell cultures

133 2.5.1 Pre-treatment of virus particles

134 4×10^4 TCID₅₀/ml HCoV-229E were incubated with Echinaforce diluted to 0, 2, 5, 10, 20, 40, 500 and
135 100 µg/ml in 2%-FBS DMEM and incubated for 1 hour at room temperature (RT) on a rocking platform.
136 To estimate residual infectivity, treated virus dilutions were washed four times with 15-17 ml wash
137 buffer (1:100 PBS, pH 7.4, in dH₂O, Biochrom, Germany) and filtered through Vivaspin® 20
138 Ultrafiltration Units (Sartorius AG, Goettingen Germany) at 800 g for 15 min. Viruses were recovered
139 from the Ultrafiltration Unit with glycine buffer (3750 mg/l glycine, 10 g/l beef extract, 14.6 g/l NaCl,
140 pH 9.5, Sigma-Aldrich, Germany), and diluted in 1:10 in 5%-FBS DMEM. Residual virus infectivity
141 was determined by a limiting dilution assay (TCID₅₀) according to Spearman-Kärber (25).

142

143 2.5.2 Pre-treatment of cells

144 Huh-7 cells were incubated with 0, 1, 10 or 50 µg/ml *Echinaforce* in cell culture medium for 3 days at
145 33°C. Thereafter, Echinaforce-containing medium was removed and cells infected with 100 TCID₅₀
146 HCoV-229E for 1h at 33°C. Medium was replaced and cells further incubated for 48 h at 33°C and
147 virus titer determined by limiting dilution assay.

148

149 2.5.3 Post-infection-treatment of cells

150 Huh-7 cells were infected with 100 TCID₅₀ HCoV-229E for 1 h at 33°C and after washing the cells
151 twice with complete culture medium; medium containing 0, 1, 10 or 50 µg/ml Echinaforce was added.
152 Cells were incubated at 33°C for 72 h and virus titer determined at 24 and 72 hours post infection by
153 limiting dilution assay.

154

155 *2.6 Antiviral activity against HCoV-229E on re-differentiated respiratory epithelium*

156 Prior to treatment, the mucus layer was removed from the apical surface of MucilAir™ respiratory
157 cultures (Epithelix Sàrl, Geneva, Switzerland) by washing it three times with 200 µl Hank's Balanced
158 Salt Solution (HBSS, Cat N° 14175095, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Rheinach, Switzerland). Thereafter,
159 the epithelium was pre-treated by incubating the inserts with 100µl MucilAir™ culture medium
160 containing 1, 10 or 50 µg/ml *Echinaforce* for 1 h at 33°C before re-establishing air-liquid interface. The
161 following day, 50µl HBSS buffer containing 1, 10 or 50 µg/ml *Echinaforce* was added to the apical
162 surface, followed by another 50 µl of HBSS containing 100 TCID₅₀ HCoV-229E, added dropwise, and
163 incubating for 1 h at 33°C. Subsequently, air-liquid interface was re-established and cultures further
164 incubated at 33°C. Progeny virus was collected from the apical side by washing inserts with 200 µl
165 HBSS on 24, 48 and 72 hours post infection. Virus titers were determined by limiting dilution assay.

166

167 *2.7 Antiviral activity against MERS-CoV, SARS-CoV, YFV, VACV and MVM*

168 To evaluate the antiviral activity of *Echinaforce* against MERS-CoV and SARS-CoV, yellow fever
169 virus (YFV), vaccinia virus (VACV) and mouse parvovirus (minute virus of mice, MVM), we incubated
170 MERS-CoV (5x10⁴ pfu/ml), SARS-CoV (2x10⁵ pfu/ml), YFV (4x10⁵ pfu/TCID₅₀) and VACV (8x10⁴
171 pfu) and MVM (8x10⁴ TCID₅₀) with of 0, 1, 10 and 50 µg/ml *Echinaforce* in cell culture media for 60
172 minutes at RT on a rocking platform. Residual infectivity was determined by standard plaque assay on
173 Vero cells (MERS-CoV, SARS-CoV, YFV and VACV) or in a limiting dilution assay on A9 cells
174 (MVM) as described below.

175

176 *2.8 Virus quantification*

177 Tissue culture infectious dose (TCID₅₀) for HCoV-229E and MVM was determined by limiting dilution
178 assay. Briefly, the samples of interest were serially diluted 1:10 in 2%-FBS MEM. From each dilution
179 100 µl were applied to 10 separate wells of a 96-well plate containing 80% confluent Huh-7 cells
180 (HCoV-229E) or A9 cells (MVM). After 7 days of incubation at 33°C (HCoV-229E) or 13 days at 37°C
181 (MVM) plates were stained with crystal violet (1% aqueous solution, Merck, Zug, Switzerland) and
182 TCID₅₀ calculated using the Spearman-Kärber Method (25). Plaque forming units (pfu) for MERS-CoV

183 and SARS-CoV, YFV, VACV were determined by standard plaque assay. Serially diluted samples (100
184 μ l/well) were titrated on Vero cells in 24-well plates, overlaid with 2% FBS MEM medium containing
185 1.2% methylcellulose (90HG 4000cP, Sigma Aldrich, Switzerland) and incubated at 37°C until plaques
186 were clearly visible. For visualization, plates were stained with Crystal Violet for 15 minutes and
187 washed with PBS.

188 3. Results

189 3.1 *Echinaforce reduces the infectivity of HCoV-229E in a dose dependent manner*

190 To assess the direct antiviral activity of Echinaforce against human coronaviruses, 4×10^4 TCID₅₀/ml
191 HCoV-229E was exposed to increasing concentrations of extract and the effect on virus infectivity
192 determined by quantifying infectious virus particles by a limiting dilution assay. Exposure to
193 Echinaforce for 60 minutes led to a dose dependent reduction of infectious HCoV-229E virus particles
194 (Figure 1). Complete inhibition of replicating virus was observed at 50-100 µg/ml extract, with mean
195 inhibitory concentration (IC₅₀) 3.2 µg/ml. Parallel incubation of cells with Echinaforce showed stable
196 cell viability at all tested concentrations (Figure 1).

197

198 3.2 *Echinaforce affects infectivity through stable interactions with HCoV-229E virus particles*

199 Since little is known about the mode of action of *Echinacea* extracts we aimed to determine whether
200 Echinaforce exerts its antiviral activity exclusively through direct interaction with virus particles or also
201 intracellularly during virus replication. To this end, Echinaforce was introduced at different stages of
202 HCoV-229E infection. First, HCoV-229E virus particles were pre-treated prior to infection. Second,
203 cells were pre-treated for 3 days prior to infection. Third, Echinaforce was added to cells one hour post-
204 infection (hpi). Results show, that upon contact with the extract, a permanent alteration of virus
205 infectivity occurred, as the inhibitory effect could not be reversed through extensive washing of treated
206 virus particles (Figure 2a). In contrast, pre-treatment of cells had no influence on HCoV-229E
207 infectivity or replication (Figure 2b). In cells treated post-infection, a small reduction in virus titer was
208 observed after treatment with the highest dose of 50 µg/ml (Figure 2c).

209

210 3.3 *Echinaforce inhibits HCoV-229E infection of respiratory epithelial cells*

211 To evaluate how Echinaforce may exert its antiviral activity in a more natural setting, we utilized a re-
212 differentiated, pseudostratified respiratory epithelial cell culture model. The reconstituted epithelium is
213 functional, produces mucus and exhibits active ciliary-beating and mucociliary clearance much like in
214 vivo epithelium. To simulate daily usage of the extract, cultures were pre-treated with 0, 10 and 50
215 µg/ml Echinaforce for one day. Virus exposure, reflecting common cold transmission, was simulated

216 by dropwise application of 100 TCID₅₀ HCoV-229E virus suspension onto the apical surface of the
217 epithelium, covered with 0, 10 and 50 µg/ml Echinaforce (Figure 3a). Virus infection and replication
218 was analyzed 24, 48 and 72 hpi by measuring infectious virus particles in apical secretions. In non-
219 treated respiratory epithelium (0 µg/ml), HCoV-229E replicated efficiently; an elevation of virus titer
220 could be observed as early as 24 hours after infection and virus titers increased over 72h to a mean of
221 2x10⁶ TCID₅₀/ml. In respiratory epithelium pre-treated with 50 µg/ml Echinaforce, viral titers remained
222 below detection level in most cultures at 48 hours (7/8) and 72 hours (5/8) post infection (Figure 3b).
223 When virus was not completely neutralized (3/8), the increase of viral titer started later and eventually
224 reached titers that remained 2-3 logs below controls at 72 hpi, indicating a protective effect in the
225 absence of total inactivation. Pre-treatment of respiratory epithelium with 10 µg/ml Echinaforce was
226 less effective; it did nonetheless result in delayed and reduced increase of viral titers, but completely
227 inhibited virus growth in only 1 out of 8 cultures.

228

229 *3.4 Echinaforce exerts antiviral effects on MERS and SARS coronaviruses*

230 Since *Echinacea* preparations have shown an antiviral effect against HCoV-229E and other
231 membranous respiratory viruses (12, 26), we expected to see a similar effect on the related, highly
232 pathogenic coronaviruses MERS-CoV and SARS-CoV. To this end, we evaluated the antiviral activity
233 of Echinaforce against these viruses and found that the antiviral effects against MERS-CoV (Figure 4a)
234 and SARS-CoV (Figure 4b) were comparable with the effect observed for HCoV-229E. Interestingly,
235 MERS-CoV was even more sensitive than HCoV-229E to pre-treatment with the lower concentration
236 (10 µg/ml) of Echinaforce.

237

238 *3.5 Echinaforce reduces infectivity other membranous viruses in vitro*

239 Similar antiviral activity was observed for yellow fever virus, another enveloped RNA virus (Figure
240 5a). In contrast, Echinaforce showed no effect at all on the infectivity of vaccinia virus (Figure 5b) and
241 the minute virus of mice (Figure 5c), which are DNA viruses, with and without an envelope,
242 respectively.

243 **4. Discussion:**

244 Broadly active antiviral therapeutics are of great interest to medicine, as drugs with too high of a
245 specificity rely on quick and accurate pathogen identification and may fail to target genetic variants or
246 newly emerging viruses. Due to the sheer number of different viruses capable of causing respiratory
247 disease and the speed at which symptoms can develop, readily available and broadly effective
248 therapeutics would be highly desirable for both prophylaxis and treatment of respiratory infections.
249 However, for most respiratory viruses, no specific antiviral therapy is available. Effective broad-
250 spectrum antivirals would reduce the severity of illness, reduce transmission and prevent secondary
251 infections, thereby lessening the general burden and morbidity of these viruses. Given their penchant
252 for zoonotic transmission, antiviral treatments against highly pathogenic coronaviruses are of particular
253 interest and the current SARS-CoV-2 outbreak further illustrates the need for accessible, fast-acting
254 anti-coronavirals.

255 Herbal preparations of *Echinacea* have traditionally been used to prevent and treat symptoms
256 of colds and flu and are still widely used (9, 12). Echinaforce, an *Echinacea purpurea* extract, has been
257 shown to broadly inhibit the infectivity of influenza A and B, RSV, parainfluenza virus, and herpes
258 simplex virus in-vitro and to interfere with cytokine production induced upon viral infection (19-21).
259 Results from the current study complement these previous findings by demonstrating a direct antiviral
260 activity of Echinaforce both against common cold coronavirus 229E (HCoV-229E) and highly
261 pathogenic coronaviruses (SARS-CoV and MERS-CoV). We observed a dose dependent inactivation
262 of HCoV-229E upon direct exposure to the extract and 50% reduction of HCoV-229E infectivity (IC₅₀)
263 was achieved at 3.2 µg/ml. As previously seen for RSV, limited intracellular effect was observed for
264 HCoV-229E, as virus replication was not affected by the addition of Echinaforce prior to infection. This
265 observation, along with the fact that treatment of cell cultures with the extract post infection has only a
266 limited effect at the highest concentration (50µg/ml), suggests that the observed antiviral effects against
267 coronaviruses are primarily restricted to the extracellular phases, i.e prior to viral entry into the cell
268 and/or during progeny virus release. Furthermore, this antiviral activity is not strain-specific since the
269 related coronaviruses SARS-CoV and MERS-CoV were inactivated in a comparable manner.

270 Interestingly, even unrelated enveloped RNA viruses such as yellow fever virus were sensitive to
271 Echinaforce treatment indicating a broad antiviral activity against enveloped viruses.

272 Mechanism of action of different *Echinacea* extracts are currently unclear, however, for most
273 viruses, Echinaforce seems to exert its antiviral effect upon direct contact, leading to a permanent
274 inactivation of the virus particles. In the current study, inhibition of HCoV-229E infectivity after direct
275 exposure could not be reverted by washing. This observed effect is likely due to a stable alteration of
276 viral components, presumably, the viral membrane, or membrane proteins. Although specific inhibition
277 has been suggested for Influenza (19), the heterogeneity of the envelope proteins and cell receptors used
278 by all the different viruses susceptible to *Echinacea* treatment strongly argues against a specific
279 mechanism of action. Rather, the broad antiviral activity of *Echinacea* on various membranous RNA
280 viruses points to a more general inhibitory effect. Non-enveloped rhinoviruses are sensitive to
281 Echinaforce at high concentrations while adenoviruses and mouse parvovirus are not (20). Interestingly,
282 *Echinacea* does not inhibit vaccinia virus, a large, enveloped DNA virus. So far, it is the only enveloped
283 virus found to be resistant to treatment with *Echinaforce*.

284 We investigated whether a protective effect in the upper-respiratory tract could be reproduced
285 in-vitro, in re-constituted three-dimensional nasal epithelium, i.e air-liquid interface (ALI) cell cultures,
286 where the apical side is exposed to air resembling the human airways in-vivo. This cell culture system
287 recapitulates many of the characteristics of the human respiratory tract, including ciliary beating and
288 mucus production (27, 28). Regular intake of Echinaforce was simulated by overlaying cells with a thin
289 layer of the extract and this treatment was sufficient to either prevent or reduce infection with HCoV-
290 229E in respiratory epithelium. Almost complete protection was observed in respiratory epithelium
291 treated with 50 µg/ml. At a lower concentration (10 µg/ml), the protection was less efficient but
292 detectable. These results are in agreement with observations made in clinical studies investigating the
293 effect of Echinaforce on the incidence of respiratory tract infections in 755 volunteers. In this
294 randomized, double blind, placebo controlled, clinical study the numbers of cold episodes were
295 significantly lower in the volunteers receiving Echinaforce. While the placebo group had 188 cold
296 episodes, with a collective duration of 850 days, the Echinaforce-treated group had 149 with a duration
297 of 672 days. Throughout the whole study period, 54 viral infections, of which 21 were caused by

298 coronaviruses (9: 229E, 11: HKU1, 1: OC43) were detected in the treated group and 74, of which 33
299 were coronaviruses (15: 229E, 17: HKU1, 1: OC43) in the placebo group. The same study found that
300 the infection rates of membranous respiratory viruses (including HCoV-229E, NL-63 and OC-43) could
301 be reduced in adults by approximately 50% ($p=0.0114$) during a 4-month prophylactic treatment with
302 Echinaforce (15). Furthermore, very similar results were recently obtained in a pediatric study where
303 similar reduction in infection rates was observed in 203 children, aged 4-12 years ($p=0.0218$) after
304 Echinaforce treatment (Ogal M, unpublished data).

305 These studies indicate a clinically relevant protection against coronaviruses with prophylactic
306 Echinaforce treatment at tolerable and safe dosages. Furthermore, we have also observed partial
307 protection at lower concentrations. In vivo, this might be due to insufficient dosage. A better protection
308 may be achieved by ingesting higher doses of the extract or a more directed distribution of Echinaforce
309 in the airways, e.g. by aerosol delivery. Furthermore, isolation and concentration of the active
310 compounds in *Echinacea* products could result in smaller daily doses and increased activity.

311 As previously mentioned, in addition to direct inactivation of viral particles, *Echinacea* also
312 inhibits cytokine secretions during virus infection. Excessive production of interleukin-6 (IL-6) or IL-
313 8 have been associated with symptomatic development of viral infections and such responses, i.e. a
314 cytokine storm, are likely responsible for many of cold-associated symptoms such as runny nose,
315 coughing, sneezing et cetera (29). During certain viral infections (e.g. influenza), the heightened
316 immune response may actually contribute to the destruction of respiratory epithelium and may even be
317 the dominant reason for symptoms in absence of virus-mediated cytopathicity (30, 31). In these cases,
318 the inhibition of virus-induced cytokine production by Echinaforce may be beneficial by limiting the
319 damage of the respiratory epithelium provoked by the immune system (13). For many other viruses,
320 including coronaviruses, no direct cell destruction is observed during infection. This is in accordance
321 with the fact that coronaviruses, in general, do not elicit a pronounced cytokine response upon infection
322 (32). Despite severe symptoms and pulmonary pathology, the highly pathogenic MERS-CoV does not
323 elicit an overwhelming cytokine response in primary respiratory epithelial cells in the early course of
324 infection. However, later on, a marked induction of the pro-inflammatory cytokines/chemokines IL-1 β ,
325 IL-8 and IL-6 was observed (33). Even if the anti-inflammatory action of Echinaforce is less relevant

326 for coronaviruses, treatment with 50µg/ml Echinaforce inactivated both MERS-CoV and SARS-CoV
327 particles to similar levels as observed for HCoV-229E.

328 In the current study, we have shown that human coronaviruses are readily inhibited by
329 Echinaforce in vitro, further strengthening its use as a prophylactic treatment against a wide range of
330 respiratory viruses causing either serious pulmonary disease or the common cold. Furthermore, a
331 broadly acting antiviral compound suitable for long-term prophylaxis upon exposure could potentially
332 reduce the high mortality rates associated with MERS- and SARS-CoV infections. Due to its general
333 mode of action, novel zoonotic coronaviruses, such as SARS-CoV-2, could also be sensitive to
334 Echinaforce, potentially providing an accessible and inexpensive prophylactic treatment for emerging
335 coronavirus infections.

336 **Author contributions:**

337 J.S, R.Z, S.R, R.A.G, N.L and D.S performed experiments and analyses. R.S and A.S provided
338 material and expertise. W.C.A and M.S provided expert counsel. H.R.J performed experiments, wrote
339 and revised the manuscript. O.B.E supervised the study and wrote the manuscript. This manuscript
340 has been read and approved by all authors.

341

342 **Funding:**

343 Consumables were partly funded by A. Vogel AG.

344

345 **Conflicts of interest:**

346 R. Schoop and A. Suter are employees of A. Vogel AG and W. C. Albrich has been the recipient of
347 grants and fees from A. Vogel AG. The remaining authors declare no conflict of interest.

348

349 **Data availability:**

350 All relevant source data is available from the corresponding author upon request.

351 **References:**

- 352 1. Elliot AJ, Fleming DM. Common respiratory infections diagnosed in general practice.
353 In: Eccles R, Weber O, editors. Common Cold. Basel: Birkhäuser Basel; 2009. p. 47-75.
- 354 2. Dominguez SR, Robinson CC, Holmes KV. Detection of four human coronaviruses in
355 respiratory infections in children: a one-year study in Colorado. *Journal of medical virology*.
356 2009;81(9):1597-604.
- 357 3. Pene F, Merlat A, Vabret A, Rozenberg F, Buzyn A, Dreyfus F, et al. Coronavirus 229E-
358 Related Pneumonia in Immunocompromised Patients. *Clinical Infectious Diseases*.
359 2003;37(7):929-32.
- 360 4. Woo PCY, Lau SKP, Chu C-m, Chan K-h, Tsoi H-w, Huang Y, et al. Characterization
361 and Complete Genome Sequence of a Novel Coronavirus, Coronavirus HKU1, from Patients
362 with Pneumonia. *Journal of Virology*. 2005;79(2):884-95.
- 363 5. Su S, Wong G, Shi W, Liu J, Lai ACK, Zhou J, et al. Epidemiology, Genetic
364 Recombination, and Pathogenesis of Coronaviruses. *Trends in microbiology*. 2016;24(6):490-
365 502.
- 366 6. Cui J, Li F, Shi ZL. Origin and evolution of pathogenic coronaviruses. *Nature reviews*
367 *Microbiology*. 2019;17(3):181-92.
- 368 7. de Wit E, van Doremalen N, Falzarano D, Munster VJ. SARS and MERS: recent
369 insights into emerging coronaviruses. *Nature reviews Microbiology*. 2016;14(8):523-34.
- 370 8. Peiris JS, Guan Y, Yuen KY. Severe acute respiratory syndrome. *Nature medicine*.
371 2004;10(12 Suppl):S88-97.
- 372 9. Clarke TC, Black LI, Stussman BJ, Barnes PM, Nahin RL. Trends in the use of
373 complementary health approaches among adults: United States, 2002-2012. *National health*
374 *statistics reports*. 2015(79):1-16.
- 375 10. Paules CI, Marston HD, Fauci AS. Coronavirus Infections-More Than Just the
376 Common Cold. *Jama*. 2020.
- 377 11. Zumla A, Chan JF, Azhar EI, Hui DS, Yuen KY. Coronaviruses - drug discovery and
378 therapeutic options. *Nature reviews Drug discovery*. 2016;15(5):327-47.
- 379 12. Barrett B. Medicinal properties of Echinacea: a critical review. *Phytomedicine :
380 international journal of phytotherapy and phytopharmacology*. 2003;10(1):66-86.
- 381 13. Vimalanathan S, Schoop R, Suter A, Hudson J. Prevention of influenza virus induced
382 bacterial superinfection by standardized Echinacea purpurea, via regulation of surface
383 receptor expression in human bronchial epithelial cells. *Virus research*. 2017;233:51-9.
- 384 14. Osowski S, Rostock M, Bartsch HH, Massing U. [Pharmaceutical comparability of
385 different therapeutic Echinacea preparations]. *Forschende Komplementarmedizin und
386 klassische Naturheilkunde = Research in complementary and natural classical medicine*.
387 2000;7(6):294-300.
- 388 15. Jawad M, Schoop R, Suter A, Klein P, Eccles R. Safety and Efficacy Profile of
389 Echinacea purpurea to Prevent Common Cold Episodes: A Randomized, Double-Blind,
390 Placebo-Controlled Trial. *Evidence-based complementary and alternative medicine : eCAM*.
391 2012;2012:841315.
- 392 16. Raus K, Pleschka S, Klein P, Schoop R, Fisher P. Effect of an Echinacea-Based Hot
393 Drink Versus Oseltamivir in Influenza Treatment: A Randomized, Double-Blind, Double-
394 Dummy, Multicenter, Noninferiority Clinical Trial. *Current therapeutic research, clinical and
395 experimental*. 2015;77:66-72.
- 396 17. Schapowal A. Efficacy and safety of Echinaforce(R) in respiratory tract infections.
397 *Wiener medizinische Wochenschrift (1946)*. 2013;163(3-4):102-5.
- 398 18. Schapowal A, Klein P, Johnston SL. Echinacea reduces the risk of recurrent respiratory
399 tract infections and complications: a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Advances
400 in therapy*. 2015;32(3):187-200.
- 401 19. Pleschka S, Stein M, Schoop R, Hudson JB. Anti-viral properties and mode of action
402 of standardized Echinacea purpurea extract against highly pathogenic avian influenza virus
403 (H5N1, H7N7) and swine-origin H1N1 (S-OIV). *Virology journal*. 2009;6:197.

- 404 20. Sharma M, Anderson SA, Schoop R, Hudson JB. Induction of multiple pro-
405 inflammatory cytokines by respiratory viruses and reversal by standardized Echinacea, a
406 potent antiviral herbal extract. *Antiviral research*. 2009;83(2):165-70.
- 407 21. Sharma M, Schoop R, Hudson JB. Echinacea as an antiinflammatory agent: the
408 influence of physiologically relevant parameters. *Phytotherapy research : PTR*.
409 2009;23(6):863-7.
- 410 22. Sharma M, Schoop R, Hudson JB. The efficacy of Echinacea in a 3-D tissue model of
411 human airway epithelium. *Phytotherapy research : PTR*. 2010;24(6):900-4.
- 412 23. Kindler E, Jonsdottir HR, Muth D, Hamming OJ, Hartmann R, Rodriguez R, et al.
413 Efficient replication of the novel human betacoronavirus EMC on primary human epithelium
414 highlights its zoonotic potential. *mBio*. 2013;4(1):e00611-12.
- 415 24. Thiel V, Herold J, Schelle B, Siddell SG. Infectious RNA transcribed in vitro from a
416 cDNA copy of the human coronavirus genome cloned in vaccinia virus. *The Journal of general
417 virology*. 2001;82(Pt 6):1273-81.
- 418 25. Ramakrishnan MA. Determination of 50% endpoint titer using a simple formula. *World
419 journal of virology*. 2016;5(2):85-6.
- 420 26. Hudson J, Vimalanathan S. Echinacea—A Source of Potent Antivirals for Respiratory
421 Virus Infections. *Pharmaceuticals (Basel)*. 2011;4(7):1019-31.
- 422 27. de Jong PM, van Sterkenburg MA, Hesselning SC, Kempenaar JA, Mulder AA,
423 Mommaas AM, et al. Ciliogenesis in human bronchial epithelial cells cultured at the air-liquid
424 interface. *American journal of respiratory cell and molecular biology*. 1994;10(3):271-7.
- 425 28. de Jong PM, van Sterkenburg MA, Kempenaar JA, Dijkman JH, Ponc M. Serial
426 culturing of human bronchial epithelial cells derived from biopsies. *In vitro cellular &
427 developmental biology Animal*. 1993;29a(5):379-87.
- 428 29. Doyle WJ, Skoner DP, Gentile D. Nasal cytokines as mediators of illness during the
429 common cold. *Current allergy and asthma reports*. 2005;5(3):173-81.
- 430 30. Lee S, Hirohama M, Noguchi M, Nagata K, Kawaguchi A. Influenza A Virus Infection
431 Triggers Pyroptosis and Apoptosis of Respiratory Epithelial Cells through the Type I Interferon
432 Signaling Pathway in a Mutually Exclusive Manner. *J Virol*. 2018;92(14).
- 433 31. Van Reeth K. Cytokines in the pathogenesis of influenza. *Veterinary microbiology*.
434 2000;74(1-2):109-16.
- 435 32. Thiel V, Weber F. Interferon and cytokine responses to SARS-coronavirus infection.
436 *Cytokine & growth factor reviews*. 2008;19(2):121-32.
- 437 33. Lau SK, Lau CC, Chan KH, Li CP, Chen H, Jin DY, et al. Delayed induction of
438 proinflammatory cytokines and suppression of innate antiviral response by the novel Middle
439 East respiratory syndrome coronavirus: implications for pathogenesis and treatment. *The
440 Journal of general virology*. 2013;94(Pt 12):2679-90.
- 441

442 **Figure legends:**

443 Figure 1

444 **Dose-dependent inactivation of HCoV-229E by *Echinaforce***

445 Direct exposure of virus particles to Echinaforce lead to a dose-dependent inactivation of HCoV-229E.

446 Mean inhibitory concentration, IC₅₀, was calculated as 3.2 µg/ml and complete virus inactivation was

447 achieved at a concentration of 50 µg/ml, while no effect was observed on cell viability (right y-axis).

448 The data shown are representative of three independent experiments (mean ± sd).

449

450 Figure 2:

451 **Treatment of cells with *Echinaforce* does not inhibit HCoV-229E replication**

452 (a) Direct exposure of virus particles to Echinacea led to a permanent inactivation that could not be

453 reverted by extensive washing. (b) Three day pre-treatment of Huh-7 cells with Echinaforce does not

454 inhibit virus replication. (c) Treatment of Huh-7 cells one-hour post infection (hpi) only resulted in

455 lower viral titers at the highest concentration (50 µg/ml). Dashed line: detection limit, 10 TCID₅₀/ml,

456 n.d: not detected at detection limit. The data shown are representative of three independent experiments

457 (mean ± sd).

458

459 Figure 3:

460 ***Echinaforce* inhibits infection of HCoV-229E in organotypic airway cultures**

461 (a) To simulate natural infection, organotypic nasal epithelial cultures were infected with droplets of

462 HCoV-229E from the apical side. (b) Viral titer in apical secretions was determined at 24, 48 and 72

463 hpi. Pre-treatment with 50 µg/ml lead to complete inhibition of virus replication in 5/8 cultures, while

464 10 µg/ml showed complete inhibition only in 1/8 cultures. In both pre-treatment groups, a reduction of

465 mean titer was observed when compared to non-treated controls. Dashed line: detection limit, 10

466 TCID₅₀/ml.

467

468 Figure 4:

469 **MERS-CoV and SARS-CoV are inactivated upon direct contact with Echinaforce®.**

470 (a) MERS-CoV is highly sensitive to direct Echinaforce treatment, with significant reduction in viral
471 titer observed at 10µg/ml and complete inactivation at 50µg/ml. (b) SARS-CoV is completely
472 inactivated at the highest concentration with a slight reduction in viral titer after exposure to 10µg/ml.
473 No effect was observed on cell viability (right y-axis). The data shown are representative of two
474 independent experiments (mean ± sd).

475

476 Figure 5:

477 **Antiviral effect of Echinaforce on other viruses.**

478 (a) Exposure to 50 µg/ml *Echinacea* extract leads to complete inactivation of yellow fever virus. (b,c)
479 Vaccinia virus and mouse parvovirus (MVM) were not sensitive to Echinaforce. Data shown are
480 representative of two independent experiments (mean ± sd).