**Table S1**

Findings Regarding Resistance to Mouth Opening, Resistance to Insertion, Cough, Swallowing, Laryngospasm/Airway Obstruction, and Head and Body Movement

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Objection | Score | Standard |
| Resistance to mouth opening grade | 3  | No |
|  | 2 | Significant |
|  | 1  | Undue force required |
| Resistance to insertion grade | 3  | Easy |
|  | 2  | No |
|  | 1  | Significant |
| Cough | 3  | Undue force required |
|  | 2  | Light |
|  | 1  | Serious |
| Swallowing grade | 3  | None |
|  | 2  | Slight |
|  | 1  | Gross |
| Laryngospasm/airway obstruction | 3  | None |
|  | 2  | Slight |
|  | 1  | Gross |
| Head and body movement | 3  | None |
|  | 2  | Slight |
|  | 1  | Gross |

The evaluation criteria of laryngeal mask placement conditions comprise six-three levels proposed by Sivalingam, which are graded on six aspects: resistance to mouth opening, resistance to insertion, coughing, swallowing, laryngospasm/airway obstruction, and head and body movement. Each item is divided into 3 points, 2 points, and 1 point, based on the degree of severity. The full score was 18 points; 16 points and higher indicated a satisfactory response, and less than 16 points indicated an unsatisfactory response.